

Appendix G – Section 106 Documentation

1. Section 106 Documentation
2. Draft Programmatic Agreement



Appendix G

Section 106 Documentation

Table of Contents

1.	SECTION 106 PROCESS AND PROGRAMMATIC AGREEMENT	1
2.	CONSULTING PARTIES AND CONSULTATIONS	4
2.1	CONSULTING PARTIES	4
2.2	AGENCY CONSULTATIONS	6
2.3	GOVERNMENT TO GOVERNMENT CONSULTATIONS	7
3.	SIGNATORIES AND CONCURRING PARTIES TO THE PROGRAMMATIC AGREEMENT.....	9
4.	CORRESPONDENCE	10

PROGRAMMATIC AGREEMENT

Tables

TABLE 1:	TRIBES AND AGENCIES INVITED TO BE CONSULTING PARTIES	4
TABLE 2:	AGENCY CONSULTATIONS.....	6
TABLE 3:	GOVERNMENT-TO-GOVERNMENT CONSULTATIONS.....	7
TABLE 4:	SECTION 106 CORRESPONDENCE.....	11

Figures

FIGURE 1:	NEPA PROCESS AND SECTION 106 PA PROCESS FLOW CHART	3
FIGURE 2:	TRIBAL LANDS AND ANCESTRAL LANDS IN COUNTIES AFFECTED BY ACTION ALTERNATIVES.....	8

1. Section 106 Process and Programmatic Agreement

Section 106 of the NHPA requires federal agencies to take into account the effects of their undertakings on historic properties through a consultation process that includes a State Historic Preservation Officer (SHPO) and other parties. The requirements for Section 106 consultation are outlined in regulations issued by the Advisory Council on Historic Preservation (36 CFR 800). The regulations define an “undertaking” to include “a project, activity, or program funded in whole or in part under the direct or indirect jurisdiction of a Federal agency, including those carried out by or on behalf of a Federal agency; those carried out with Federal financial assistance; and those requiring a Federal permit, license or approval.”

FRA has determined that the development and adoption of the NEC FUTURE Investment Program is an undertaking with the potential to affect historic properties.¹ Therefore, the FRA has initiated a Section 106 consultation process for the NEC FUTURE Investment Program concurrently with the preparation of this Tier 1 EIS (see Figure 1).

FRA is conducting Section 106 consultation for the NEC FUTURE Investment Program using a phased approach, as authorized by the Section 106 regulations. Under this phased approach, FRA has identified potential impacts to historic properties during the Tier 1 process. More detailed identification of historic properties, assessments of effects, and resolution of adverse effects will occur as part of Tier 2 studies for individual projects.

The Section 106 process during Tier 1 has included consultation with the Federal Transit Administration (FTA), SHPOs, the Advisory Council on Historic Preservation (ACHP), federally recognized tribes, and other consulting parties.² This process has focused on identification of known historic resources and assessment of potential effects. FRA identified known historic resources within a preliminary area of potential effect (“Preliminary APE”), which is intended to include those resources that have the greatest potential to be affected by the Tier 1 EIS Alternatives (see Section 7.9.3 in Chapter 7.9 of the Tier 1 Draft EIS for a description of the Preliminary APE). The results of this analysis are documented in Chapter 7.9 of the Tier 1 Draft EIS.

As part of Section 106 consultation during Tier 1, FRA has worked with FTA, the SHPOs, ACHP, tribes, and others to develop a Programmatic Agreement, which is intended to establish the process that will be followed to complete Section 106 consultation during the environmental review process for individual projects on (known as Tier 2 projects). The draft Programmatic Agreement is provided in this appendix for review and comment during the public comment period associated


¹ This determination is based on several factors, including: FRA’s role in funding the development of the Investment Program; the federal ownership and control of the railroad infrastructure assets along the NEC; ongoing federal funding for railroad operations and capital improvements along the NEC; the complexity of the corridor, including the large number of SHPOs, tribes, and other entities involved, as well the number of historic properties present; and the likelihood that decisions made by FRA in Tier 1 will be used to guide future federal funding decisions for projects on and off the NEC over a period of many years.

² The consulting parties during Tier 1 included the SHPOs from the District of Columbia and the states of Maryland, Delaware, New Jersey, Pennsylvania, New York, Connecticut, Rhode Island and Massachusetts.

with this Tier 1 Draft EIS. Based on feedback received during the comment period, the FRA will revise and finalize the Programmatic Agreement for signature. The signed Programmatic Agreement will be included in the Tier 1 Final EIS.

During Tier 2 studies for individual projects, FRA (or other federal agencies with Section 106 responsibilities) will determine a project-specific APE and will complete the identification of historic properties, assessment of effects, and resolution of adverse effects. Consulting parties will be invited to participate in Section 106 consultation for individual Tier 2 projects, as appropriate, in accordance with the Section 106 regulations and the Programmatic Agreement.

Figure 1: NEPA Process and Section 106 PA Process Flow Chart



2. Consulting Parties and Consultations

FRA identified tribes and agencies that should be consulted as part of the NEC FUTURE Section 106 process. Throughout the NEC FUTURE program, the FRA has engaged in agency consultations as well as government to government consultations with tribes. This section provides a list of these consulting parties and consultations. Consultation materials, including agendas, presentations, handouts, notes, and outcomes are documented and maintained in the project files.

2.1 CONSULTING PARTIES

The FRA initiated consultation with the SHPOs and tribes listed in Table 1 in June 2012, and in October 2014, extended invitations to these parties to be Consulting Party and/or a Signatory or Concurring Party to the PA as described further in Section 3. The status of each tribe or agency with respect to the NEC FUTURE Section 106 process is noted in the table.

Table 1: Tribes and Agencies Invited to be Consulting Parties

	List of Tribes or Agencies	Status of invitation acceptance
Tribes: Initiated Consultation June 2012	Mashantucket (Western) Pequot of Connecticut	Concurring Party to the PA
	Mashpee Wampanoag Tribe	Consulting Party
	Mohegan Indian Tribe of Connecticut	Concurring Party to the PA
	Narragansett Indian Tribe of Rhode Island	Consulting Party
	Shinnecock Indian Nation	
Invited to be a Consulting Party October 2014	Stockbridge-Munsee Mohican Tribe	Concurring Party to the PA
	Wampanoag Tribe of Gay Head (Aquinnah) of Massachusetts	
Invited to be a Concurring Party to the PA 2014-2015	Delaware Tribe of Indians	Consulting Party
Tribes: Initiated Consultation June 2012 Invited to be a Consulting Party October 2014	Absentee-Shawnee Tribe of Oklahoma	
	Cayuga Nation of New York	
	Delaware Nation	
	Eastern Shawnee Tribe of Oklahoma	
	Oneida Nation of New York	
	Oneida Tribe of Indians of Wisconsin	
	Onodaga Nation of New York	
	Seneca Nation of New York	
	Seneca-Cayuga Tribe of Oklahoma	
	Shawnee Tribe	
	Saint Regis Mohawk Tribe	
	Towanda Band of Seneca Indians of New York	
	Tuscarora Nation of New York	

	List of Tribes or Agencies	Status of invitation acceptance
SHPOs: Initiated Consultation June 2012 Invited to be a Consulting Party October 2014 Invited to be a Signatory to the PA December 2014	District of Columbia Historic Preservation Office	
	Maryland Historical Trust	
	Delaware Division of Historical & Cultural Affairs	
	Pennsylvania Historical and Museum Commission	Signatory to the PA
	New Jersey Department of Environmental Protection Historic Preservation	
	NYS Office of Parks, Recreation & Historic Preservation	Signatory to the PA
	Connecticut Department of Economic and Community Development - Historic Preservation and Museum Division	
	Rhode Island Historical Preservation and Heritage Commission	Consulting Party
	Massachusetts Historical Commission	Signatory to the PA
Other Corridor-Wide Agencies: Invited to be a Concurring Party to the PA March 2015	National Conference of State Historic Preservation Officers	Concurring Party
Other State and Local Agencies: Invited to be a Consulting Party October 2014 – July 2015	District Department of Transportation	Consulting Party
	District of Columbia Historic Preservation Review Board	
	Maryland Department of Transportation	
	Baltimore City Commission for Historical and Architectural Preservation	
	Delaware Department of Transportation (DELDOT)	Consulting Party
	New Castle County Historic Review Board	
	City of Wilmington Design Review and Preservation Commission	
	Pennsylvania Department of Transportation (PennDOT)	
	The Philadelphia Historical Commission	
	New Jersey Department of Transportation (NJDOT)	
	NJ TRANSIT	Consulting Party
	New York City Landmarks Preservation Commission	Concurring Party to the PA
	NYS Department of Transportation	
	Connecticut Department of Transportation	Consulting Party
	The Connecticut Trust For Historic Preservation	Consulting Party
	Rhode Island Department of Transportation	Consulting Party
	Providence Historic District Commission	
	Massachusetts Department of Environmental Protection	
Massachusetts Department of Transportation		
Boston Landmarks Commission	Consulting Party	
Intercity, Commuter, and Freight Rail Operators Invited to be a Consulting Party August 2015	AMTRAK	Consulting Party
	MDOT – Maryland Transit Administration (for MARC service)	Consulting Party
	Southeastern Pennsylvania Transportation Authority	
	Metropolitan Transportation Authority	
	Long Island Rail Road	
	Metro-North Railroad	Consulting Party
	Massachusetts Bay Transportation Authority	
	CSX Transportation	Consulting Party
	Norfolk Southern Corporation	Declined invitation
	Consolidated Rail Corporation	Declined invitation
Providence & Worcester Railroad Company		
Nonprofit Organizations Invited to be a Consulting Party September 2015	National Trust for Historic Preservation (and state partners)	

Source: NEC FUTURE team, 2015

Note: Blank cells indicate that no formal response has been received.

2.2 AGENCY CONSULTATIONS

Table 2 provides a list of FRA consultations with SHPOs, ACHP, FTA, and the National Conference of State Historic Preservation Officers (NCSHPO), including individual and group webinars and conference calls held on regular basis to facilitate coordination.

Table 2: Agency Consultations

Date	Location	Purpose	Involved Agencies
3/4/2013	Webinar	FRA – ACHP Discussion on Section 106 Strategy	ACHP
3/5/2013	Webinar	FRA – SHPO Project Overview and Data Collection	NY SHPO
3/7/2013	Webinar	FRA – SHPO Project Overview and Data Collection	CT SHPO, DE SHPO, MD SHPO, PA SHPO, RI SHPO, MA SHPO
3/18/2013	Conference Call	Section 106 Consultation – Initial	MD SHPO
3/18/2013	NJ SHPO Offices, Trenton, NJ	Section 106 Consultation – Initial	NJ SHPO
3/18/2013	Conference Call	Section 106 Consultation – Initial	NY SHPO
3/18/2013	Conference Call	Section 106 Consultation	PA SHPO
3/19/2013	DE SHPO Offices, Dover, DE	Section 106 Consultation – Initial	DE SHPO
3/21/2013	DC HPO Offices, Washington, D.C.	Section 106 Consultation – Initial	DC SHPO
3/21/2013	ACHP Offices, Old Post Office Building, 1100 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW, Suite 803, Washington, DC 20004	FRA-ACHP-NCSHPO Discussion on Section 106 Strategy	ACHP, NCSHPO
3/25/2013	CT SHPO, Department of Economic and Community Development, One Constitution Plaza, 2nd Floor, Hartford, Connecticut 06103	Section 106 Consultation – Initial	CT SHPO
3/26/2013	RI SHPO, Old State House, 150 Benefit Street, Providence, RI 02903	Section 106 Consultation – Initial	RI SHPO
7/11/2013	ACHP Offices, Old Post Office Building, 1100 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW, Suite 803, Washington, DC 20004	FRA-ACHP-NCSHPO Update on NEC FUTURE and Discussion on Section 106 Strategy	ACHP, NCSHPO
1/9/2014	Webinar/Conference Call	Programmatic Agreement Working Group #1	ACHP, NCSHPO, FTA
4/29/2014	Webinar/Conference Call	Programmatic Agreement Working Group #2	ACHP, NCSHPO, DE SHPO, NJ SHPO, FTA
5/14/2014	US DOT building (1200 New Jersey Avenue, SE) - USDOTW35-201	Spring 2014 ACHP/NCSHPO Update	ACHP, NCSHPO
9/19/2014	Teleconference	NEC Future SHPO Discussion – MD SHPO	MD SHPO
9/23/2014	Teleconference	NEC Future SHPO Discussion – RI SHPO	RI SHPO
9/23/2014	Teleconference	NEC Future SHPO Discussion – CT SHPO	CT SHPO
9/23/2014	Teleconference	NEC Future SHPO Discussion – NJ SHPO	NJ SHPO
9/23/2014	Teleconference	NEC Future SHPO Discussion – PA SHPO	PA SHPO
9/23/2014	Teleconference	NEC Future SHPO Discussion – DE SHPO	DE SHPO
10/2/2014	Teleconference	NEC Future SHPO Discussion – NY SHPO	NY SHPO
11/17/2014	ACHP Offices, Washington, DC	ACHP/NCSHPO Update on NEC FUTURE Program Section 106 Coordination	ACHP, NCSHPO
3/24/2015	USDOT, 1200 New Jersey Avenue, SE	FRA-ACHP NEC FUTURE update/PA review	ACHP

Date	Location	Purpose	Involved Agencies
4/1/2015	Teleconference	MA SHPO-FRA NEC FUTURE PA review	MA SHPO
4/1/2015	Teleconference	RI SHPO-FRA NEC FUTURE PA review	RI SHPO
4/1/2015	Teleconference	MD SHPO-FRA NEC FUTURE PA review	MD SHPO
4/2/2015	Teleconference	NJ SHPO-FRA NEC FUTURE PA review	NJ SHPO
4/2/2015	Teleconference	DC SHPO-FRA NEC FUTURE PA review	DC SHPO
4/2/2015	Teleconference	FTA-FRA NEC FUTURE PA review	FTA
4/2/2015	Teleconference	PA SHPO-FRA NEC FUTURE PA review	PA SHPO
4/2/2015	Teleconference	DE SHPO-FRA NEC FUTURE PA review	DE SHPO
5/6/2015	Teleconference	FTA-FRA NEC FUTURE PA review	FTA
7/9/2015	Webinar/Conference Call	Review of cultural/historic resources analysis in FRA NEC FUTURE Tier 1 DEIS	ACHP, FTA, SHPOs

Source: NEC FUTURE team, 2015

The FRA also invited parties involved in the Section 106 process to participate in broader public involvement and agency coordination activities. These outreach efforts are described in Chapter 11 of the Tier 1 Draft EIS. The FRA utilized a variety of outreach efforts to inform and engage the public, elected officials, government agencies, private businesses and individuals during the NEC FUTURE process via the project website (www.necfuture.com), and via numerous public meetings and workshops, stakeholder meetings, and agency meetings. In particular, ACHP and the SHPOs were invited to participate in resource and regulatory agency meetings, Technical Working Groups (TWGs), and broader agency coordination meetings. Other Consulting Parties often participated in agency meetings held in each of the corridor's eight states and the District of Columbia.

2.3 GOVERNMENT TO GOVERNMENT CONSULTATIONS


In June 2012, the FRA reached out to all of the 21 Native American tribes listed in Table 1 to participate in government to government consultations with the FRA. Of the 21 tribes, six of the tribes have indicated that they have tribal lands or claim ancestral lands in counties through which the NEC FUTURE Action Alternatives run. Figure 2 shows the counties affected by Action Alternatives that coincide with known tribal and ancestral lands. FRA has actively pursued government to government consultations with these tribes and has had some successful consultations to identify the general locations of tribal lands and sites within the counties through which each of the Action Alternatives run. Table 3 lists the meetings with these tribes.

Table 3: Government-to-Government Consultations

Date	Location	Involved Tribes
12/07/2012	13 Crow Hill Road, Uncasville, CT	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ■ Mashantucket (Western) Pequot of Connecticut ■ Mohegan Indian Tribe of Connecticut
1/20/2015	Mohegan Sun Government Center	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ■ Mashantucket (Western) Pequot of Connecticut ■ Mohegan Indian Tribe of Connecticut
3/25/2015	AECOM office (40 British American Blvd., Latham, NY 12110)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ■ Stockbridge-Munsee Mohican Tribe
6/1/2015	Mashpee Wampanoag Tribe's Government Center 483 Great Neck Road South, Mashpee MA	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ■ Mashpee Wampanoag Tribe
7/14/2015	Temple University, Philadelphia	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ■ Delaware Tribe of Indians

Source: NEC FUTURE team, 2015

Figure 2: Tribal Lands and Ancestral Lands in Counties Affected by Action Alternatives



3. Signatories and Concurring Parties to the Programmatic Agreement

Signatories to the PA include the Federal Transit Administration, the Advisory Council on Historic Preservation (ACHP), the Connecticut SHPO, Delaware SHPO, District of Columbia SHPO, Maryland SHPO, Massachusetts SHPO, New Jersey SHPO, New York SHPO, Pennsylvania SHPO, and Rhode Island SHPO.

While all consulting parties can concur on the PA, FRA specifically invited the following tribes to concur:

- ▶ Mashantucket (Western) Pequot of Connecticut
- ▶ Narragansett Indian Tribe of Rhode Island
- ▶ Shinnecock Indian Nation
- ▶ Mohegan Indian Tribe of Connecticut
- ▶ Wampanoag Tribe of Gay Head (Aquinnah) of Massachusetts
- ▶ Stockbridge-Munsee Mohican Tribe
- ▶ Mashpee Wampanoag Tribe
- ▶ Delaware Tribe of Indians

The tribes that were specifically invited to concur on the PA are federally recognized tribes that have indicated that they have tribal lands or claim ancestral lands in counties through which the NEC FUTURE Action Alternatives run. These tribes are the same tribes identified in Section 2 with whom FRA has actively pursued consultation and whose lands are mapped in Figure 2.

In addition, in consultation with the ACHP and NY SHPO, FRA specifically invited the following agencies to concur:

- ▶ National Conference of State Historic Preservation Officers (NCSHPO)
- ▶ New York City Landmarks Preservation Commission

4. Correspondence

The FRA initiated Section 106 consultation with the ACHP, the nine SHPOs within the NEC FUTURE Study Area, and tribes in June 2012. In addition, the FRA relayed official notifications and correspondence regarding the development of the PA under Section 106 beginning in February, 2014. Table 4 lists invitations for consultations and other correspondence between FRA, FTA, tribes, ACHP, and the nine SHPOs, as well as invitations and other correspondence with Consulting Parties to the Section 106 process and Concurring Parties to the Programmatic Agreement developed under Section 106.

Table 4: Section 106 Correspondence

DATE	IN / OUT	VIA	FROM (Name, Organization)	TO (Name, Organization)	RE	Notes
6/20/2012	Outgoing	Letter, Email	David Valenstein, Federal Railroad Administration	Edward F. Sanderson, Rhode Island Historic Preservation & Heritage Commission	(1) Formal initiation of consultation under Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act for the Northeast Corridor Passenger Rail Corridor Investment Plan (2) Participation in the Northeast Corridor Tier 1 Environmental Impact Statement	Sent to replace previously relayed letter dated June 15, 2012.
				James Vaughan, Bureau for Historic Preservation (Pennsylvania)		
				Rose Harvey, Office of Parks, Recreation and Historic Preservation (New York State)		
				Bob Martin, Department of Environmental Protection (New Jersey)		
				J. Rodney Little, Maryland Historical Trust		
				Brona Simon, Massachusetts Historical Commission		
				Timothy A. Slavin, Division of Historical and Cultural Affairs (Delaware)		
				David Maloney, Historic Preservation Office (Washington DC)		
				David Bahlman, Connecticut Department of Economic and Community Development		
6/20/2012	Outgoing	Letter	David Valenstein, Federal Railroad Administration	Chairwoman Cheryl Andrews-Maltais, Wampanoag Tribe of Gay Head (Aquinnah) of Massachusetts	(1) Initiation of Government-to-Government Consultation with Native American Tribal Governments pursuant to Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act for the Northeast Corridor Passenger Rail Corridor Investment Plan (2) Participation in the Northeast Corridor Tier 1 Environmental Impact Statement	Sent to replace previously relayed letter dated June 15, 2012.
				Chief Leo R. Henry, Tuscarora Nation of New York		
				Chief Roger Hill, Towanda Band of Seneca Indians of New York		
				President Kimberly Vele, Stockbridge Munsee Community, Wisconsin		
				Chairperson Randy King, Shinnecock Indian Nation		
				Chairman Ron Sparkman, Shawnee Tribe		
				Chief LeRoy Howard, Seneca-Cayuga Tribe of Oklahoma		
				President Robert Odawi Porter, Seneca Nation of New York		
				Chiefs Mark Garrow, Monica Jacobs, and Randy Hart, Saint Regis Mohawk Tribe		
				Chief Irving Powless, Jr., Onodaga Nation of New York		
				Chairperson Richard Hill, Oneida Tribe of Indians of Wisconsin		
				Nation Representative Ray Halbritter, Oneida Nation of New York		
				Chief Sachem Matthew Thomas, Narragansett Indian Tribe of Rhode Island		
				Chairman Bruce Bozsum, Mohegan Indian Tribe of Connecticut		

DATE	IN / OUT	VIA	FROM (Name, Organization)	TO (Name, Organization)	RE	Notes
				Chairman Cedrick Cromwell, Mashpee Wampanoag Tribe Chairman Rodney Butler, Mashantucket Pequot of Connecticut Chief Glenna J. Wallace, Eastern Shawnee Tribe of Oklahoma Chief Paula Pechonick, Delaware Tribe of Indians President Kerry Holton, Delaware Nation Nation Representative Clint Halftown, Cayuga Nation of New York Governor Governor Blanchard, Absentee-Shawnee Tribe of Indians of Oklahoma		
6/21/2012	Incoming	Email	Beth Cole, Maryland Historical Trust / MD SHPO	Rebecca Reyes-Alicea, Federal Railroad Administration	FRA's Northeast Corridor Passenger Rail Corridor Investment Plan - Tier 1 EIS	Establishing Beth Cole as POC, coordinating with Tim Tamburrino, Transportation Reviewer.
6/25/2012	Incoming	Email	Daniel Forrest, State Historic Preservation Office (CT)	Rebecca Reyes-Alicea, Federal Railroad Administration	NEC FUTURE - Initiation of Consultation and Participation in the NEC Tier 1 EIS	Establishing Daniel Forrest as POC, coordinating with Susan Chandler, SHPO's Historical Architect; David Bahlman retired.
6/25/2012	Incoming	Email	Brona Simon, Massachusetts Historical Commission	Rebecca Reyes-Alicea, Federal Railroad Administration	NEC FUTURE - Initiation of Consultation and Participation in the NEC Tier 1 EIS	Request to send original, signed copy by mail or courier.
6/26/2012	Incoming	Returned Letter	David Valenstein, Federal Railroad Administration	Chairman Cedrick Cromwell, Mashpee Wampanoag Tribe	(1) Initiation of Government-to-Government Consultation with Native American Tribal Governments pursuant to Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act for the Northeast Corridor Passenger Rail Corridor Investment Plan (2) Participation in the Northeast Corridor Tier 1 Environmental Impact Statement	RETURN TO SENDER / NOT DELIVERABLE AS ADDRESSED
6/28/2012	Incoming	Email	Kathleen Knowles, Mashantucket (Western) Pequot Tribal Nation	Rebecca Reyes-Alicea, Federal Railroad Administration	FRA First Phase Planning Process for Improving Rail Service in the Corridor Between Boston, MA & Washington, D.C.	Requesting a copy of any work performed on the project (SDP, Tier 1 EIS, Archaeology Surveys) for review and comment, when completed.
7/2/2012	Incoming	Email	James Quinn, Mohegan Tribe of Connecticut	Rebecca Reyes-Alicea, Federal Railroad Administration	NEC FUTURE - Initiation of Consultation and Participation in the NEC Tier 1 EIS	Requesting all future communications go to Tribal Chair Bruce Bozsum and to James Quinn as he handles all Section 106 consultations for the Mohegan Tribe.
7/2/2012	Incoming	Letter (w/ email transmitted 7/2/2012)	Daniel Forrest, State Historic Preservation Office (CT)	Rebecca Reyes-Alicea, Federal Railroad Administration	NEC FUTURE - Initiation of Consultation and Participation in the NEC Tier 1 EIS	Attaching CT SHPO's acknowledgement of initiation of consultation and request for a meeting.
7/10/2012	Incoming	Email	C. Andrew Lewis, DC State Historic Preservation Office	Rebecca Reyes-Alicea, Federal Railroad Administration; David Valenstein, Federal Railroad Administration	Section 106 Review: Northeast Corridor Passenger Rail Corridor Investment Plan	Attaching response letter; would like to review additional information about alternatives as soon as it is available.

DATE	IN / OUT	VIA	FROM (Name, Organization)	TO (Name, Organization)	RE	Notes
7/10/2012	Incoming	Letter	Edward F. Sanderson, Executive Director, State Historic Preservation Officer	David Valenstein, Federal Railroad Administration	Initiation of consultation under Section 106 of the National historic Preservation Act Northeast Corridor Passenger Rail Corridor Investment Plan Northeast Corridor Tier 1 Environmental Impact Statement	Establishing Jeffrey D. Emidy as RIHPHC's POC; confirmation of initiation of consultation under Section 106
7/12/2012	Incoming	Email	Brice Obermeyer, Delaware Tribe Historic Preservation Office	Rebecca Reyes-Alicea, Federal Railroad Administration	Delaware Tribe and the NE Rail Corridor	Requesting clarification re: previous correspondence transmitted in error.
7/20/2012	Incoming	Email	Barbara Frederick, Bureau for Historic Preservation (PA)	Rebecca Reyes-Alicea, Federal Railroad Administration	Northeast Corridor Passenger Rail Corridor Investment Plan	Establishing Barbara Frederick as the primary POC for the Bureau for Historic Preservation and for future Section 106 consultation.
7/23/2012	Ongoing	Email	Rebecca Reyes-Alicea, Federal Railroad Administration	Brice Obermeyer, Delaware Tribe Historic Preservation Office	Delaware Tribe and the NE Rail Corridor	Clarification re: previous correspondence transmitted in error; requesting POC; Scoping Update
7/23/2012	Outgoing	Email	Rebecca Reyes-Alicea, Federal Railroad Administration	C. Andrew Lewis, DC State Historic Preservation Office	Section 106 Review: Northeast Corridor Passenger Rail Corridor Investment Plan	Scoping Update
7/23/2012	Outgoing	Email	Rebecca Reyes-Alicea, Federal Railroad Administration	Daniel Forrest, State Historic Preservation Office (CT)	NEC FUTURE - Initiation of Consultation and Participation in the NEC Tier 1 EIS	Scoping Update; Confirmation of POC; discussion regarding next meeting
7/23/2012	Outgoing	Email	Rebecca Reyes-Alicea, Federal Railroad Administration	Barbara Frederick, Bureau for Historic Preservation (PA)	Northeast Corridor Passenger Rail Corridor Investment Plan	Scoping Update; Confirmation of POC
7/23/2012	Outgoing	Email	Rebecca Reyes-Alicea, Federal Railroad Administration	James Quinn, Mohegan Tribe of Connecticut	NEC FUTURE - Initiation of Consultation and Participation in the NEC Tier 1 EIS	Confirmation of additional POC; Scoping Update
7/23/2012	Outgoing	Email	Rebecca Reyes-Alicea, Federal Railroad Administration	Kathleen Knowles, Mashantucket (Western) Pequot Tribal Nation	FRA First Phase Planning Process for Improving Rail Service in the Corridor Between Boston, MA & Washington, D.C.	Scoping Update; clarification re: archaeological surveys
7/23/2012	Incoming	Email	Brice Obermeyer, Delaware Tribe Historic Preservation Office	Rebecca Reyes-Alicea, Federal Railroad Administration	Delaware Tribe and the NE Rail Corridor	Confirming B. Obermeyer as POC; discussion regarding next meeting
7/24/2012	Incoming	Comment Form (via website)	James Quinn, Mohegan Tribe of Connecticut	The NEC FUTURE Team	NA	Request to initiate Section 106 consultation; request that any relevant planning documentation, including but not limited to a proposed APE, be sent; The Mohegan Tribe has concerns with any project of this magnitude in Connecticut adversely affecting resources of cultural, religious or historical significance to the Tribe.
7/26/2012	Incoming	Letter	Douglas C. McLearn, Chief, Division of Archaeology and Protection	David Valenstein, Federal Railroad Administration	ER# 2012-1952-042-A FRA: Northeast Corridor Passenger Rail Corridor Investment Plan and Northeast Corridor Tier 1 Environmental Impact Statement	Establishing Barbara Frederick as the POC for above ground cultural resources and Mark Shaffer as the POC for below ground cultural resources for the Bureau for Historic Preservation.
7/28/2012	Incoming	Letter	John A. Bonafide, Director, Technical Preservation Services Bureau	David Valenstein, Federal Railroad Administration	Northeast Corridor Passenger Rail Corridor Plan/Section 106 Initiation	Establishing John A. Bonafide as the New York SHPO's primary POC for the Section 106 undertaking; welcoming opportunity to be an active participant in this process.

DATE	IN / OUT	VIA	FROM (Name, Organization)	TO (Name, Organization)	RE	Notes
9/17/2012	Incoming	Letter (w/ email transmitted 9/18/2012)	C. Andrew Lewis, DC State Historic Preservation Office	Rebecca Reyes-Alicea, Federal Railroad Administration	District of Columbia State Historic Preservation Officer Early Section 106/Tier I Scoping Comments: Northeast Corridor Passenger Rail Corridor Investment Plan	Scoping comments
10/18/2012	Incoming	Email	James Quinn, the Mohegan Tribe	Rebecca Reyes-Alicea, Federal Railroad Administration	NEC FUTURE - Initiation of Consultation and Participation in the NEC Tier 1 EIS	"Concerns that the portion of the project that is proposed for southwestern Rhode Island and for all of Connecticut may impact sites of cultural, religious or historical significance to the Mohegan Tribe. We respectfully request that the FRA engage the Mohegan Tribe in a separate, government to government Section 106 consultation as the project planning moves forward."
10/18/2012	Outgoing	Email	Rebecca Reyes-Alicea, Federal Railroad Administration	James Quinn, the Mohegan Tribe	NEC FUTURE - Initiation of Consultation and Participation in the NEC Tier 1 EIS	Welcoming opportunity to set up a meeting; will coordinate dates.
10/18/2012	Incoming	Email	James Quinn, the Mohegan Tribe	Rebecca Reyes-Alicea, Federal Railroad Administration	NEC FUTURE - Initiation of Consultation and Participation in the NEC Tier 1 EIS	"Thank you for your quick response. The Mohegan Tribe looks forward to working with you as the project progresses. "
10/31/2012	Outgoing	Email	Becky Blatnica, USDOT	James Quinn, the Mohegan Tribe	NEC FUTURE - Initiation of Consultation and Participation in the NEC Tier 1 EIS	Requesting meeting for Friday, December 7.
11/1/2012	Outgoing	Email	Amishi Castelli, USDOT	Kathleen Knowles, Mashantucket (Western) Pequot of Connecticut	NEC FUTURE - Initiation of Consultation and Participation in the NEC Tier 1 EIS	Requesting meeting for Friday, December 7.
11/2/2012	Incoming	Email	James Quinn, the Mohegan Tribe	Becky Blatnica, USDOT	NEC FUTURE - Initiation of Consultation and Participation in the NEC Tier 1 EIS	Confirming 12/07/2012 meeting date; suggested time; suggested contacting Kathleen Knowles (Mashantucket THPO) to notify her about meeting and to invite her to also attend meeting with Mohegan.
11/2/2012	Outgoing	Email	Rebecca Reyes-Alicea, Federal Railroad Administration	James Quinn, the Mohegan Tribe	NEC FUTURE - Initiation of Consultation and Participation in the NEC Tier 1 EIS	Notifying James that we have already reached out to Kathleen Knowles (Mashantucket THPO) and that "We welcome the opportunity to meet with both Tribes".
11/5/2012	Incoming	Email	Kathleen Knowles, Mashantucket (Western) Pequot of Connecticut	Rebecca Reyes-Alicea, Federal Railroad Administration	NEC FUTURE - Initiation of Consultation and Participation in the NEC Tier 1 EIS	Acknowledged and accepted meeting invitation (with Mohegan THPO as well) for 12/07/2012.
11/15/2012	Outgoing	Email	Rebecca Reyes-Alicea, Federal Railroad Administration	James Quinn, the Mohegan Tribe; Kathleen Knowles, Mashantucket (Western) Pequot of Connecticut	NEC FUTURE - Initiation of Consultation and Participation in the NEC Tier 1 EIS	Confirming 12/07/2012 meeting time and date; inquiring about location.
12/7/2012			Meeting with James Quinn, the Mohegan Tribe; Kathleen Knowles, Mashantucket (Western) Pequot of Connecticut, at Mohegan Tribe in Connecticut. Meeting acknowledged via follow up correspondence on 12/14/2012. --			
12/14/2012	Outgoing	Email	Rebecca Reyes-Alicea, Federal Railroad Administration	James Quinn, the Mohegan Tribe Kathleen Knowles, Mashantucket (Western) Pequot Tribal Nation	NEC FUTURE - December 7 Meeting Follow-up	Thank you for hosting; follow-up on discussion points.
12/14/2012	Outgoing	Email	Rebecca Reyes-Alicea, Federal Railroad Administration	Daniel Forrest, State Historic Preservation Office (CT)	NEC FUTURE - Follow-up	Discussion regarding meeting with CT SHPO; information about meeting with Mohegan Indian Tribe of Connecticut and Mashantucket (Western) Pequot Tribe.

DATE	IN / OUT	VIA	FROM (Name, Organization)	TO (Name, Organization)	RE	Notes
12/17/2012	Incoming	Email	Daniel Forrest, State Historic Preservation Office (CT)	Rebecca Reyes-Alicea, Federal Railroad Administration	NEC FUTURE - Follow-up	Discussion regarding meeting with CT SHPO
12/20/2012	Incoming	Email	Kathleen Knowles, Mashantucket (Western) Pequot of Connecticut	Rebecca Reyes-Alicea, Federal Railroad Administration James Quinn, the Mohegan Tribe	NEC FUTURE - December 7 Meeting Follow-up	Acknowledgement of 12/14 email, confirmation of contact information.
1/8/2013	Outgoing	Email	Rebecca Reyes-Alicea, Federal Railroad Administration	Daniel Forrest, State Historic Preservation Office (CT)	NEC FUTURE - Follow-up	Discussion regarding meeting with CT SHPO, coordination when we get back in touch with the CT Tribes, and future confirmation for tribal points-of-contact
1/8/2013	Outgoing	Email	Rebecca Reyes-Alicea, Federal Railroad Administration	James Quinn, the Mohegan Tribe Kathleen Knowles, Mashantucket (Western) Pequot Tribal Nation	NEC FUTURE - December 7 Meeting Follow-up	Inquiry re: coordination for USET conference
1/10/2013	Incoming	Email	James Quinn, the Mohegan Tribe	Rebecca Reyes-Alicea, Federal Railroad Administration	NEC FUTURE - December 7 Meeting Follow-up	Providing name and contact info for Chairman of Cultural and Heritage Committee for USET.
1/11/2013	Incoming	Email	Daniel Forrest, State Historic Preservation Office (CT)	Rebecca Reyes-Alicea, Federal Railroad Administration	NEC FUTURE - Follow-up	Discussion regarding meeting with CT SHPO, positive feedback from consultations with Mohegan Tribe and Mashantucket Pequot, and POCs for tribes in CT and MA.
1/12/2013	Outgoing	Email	Amishi Castelli, USDOT	Robert Thrower, Poarch Band of Creek Indians Chairman, Cultural and Heritage Committee, USET	Presentation of FRA project at USET	Providing program introduction/overview, tribal consultations to-date, and interest in doing a briefing at USET.
1/12/2013	Incoming	Email	Robert Thrower, Poarch Band of Creek Indians Chairman, Cultural and Heritage Committee, USET	Amishi Castelli, USDOT	Presentation of FRA project at USET	Regarding logistics of presenting at USET
1/12/2013	Outgoing	Email	Amishi Castelli, USDOT	Robert Thrower, Poarch Band of Creek Indians Chairman, Cultural and Heritage Committee, USET	Presentation of FRA project at USET	Regarding logistics of presenting at USET

DATE	IN / OUT	VIA	FROM (Name, Organization)	TO (Name, Organization)	RE	Notes
1/12/2013	Outgoing	Email	Rebecca Reyes-Alicea, Federal Railroad Administration	State Historic Preservation Office Contacts (Dan.Saunders@dep.state.nj.us; rose.harvey@oprhp.state.ny.us; ruth.pierpont@oprhp.state.ny.us; john.bonafide@parks.ny.gov; brona.simon@state.ma.us; Daniel.Forrest@ct.gov; edward.sanderson@preservation.ri.gov; jeffrey.emidy@preservation.ri.gov; bafrederic@pa.gov; bcole@mdp.state.md.us; andrew.lewis@dc.gov; david.maloney@dc.gov; rlittle@mdp.state.md.us; jvaughan@pa.gov; timothy.slavin@state.de.us; Charles.Scott@dep.state.nj.us; jeffrey.emidy@preservation.ri.gov; susan.chandler@ct.gov; chnagle@pa.gov; craig.lukezic@state.de.us; Gwen.Davis@state.de.us; Charles.Scott@dep.state.nj.us; susan.chandler@ct.gov; chnagle@pa.gov; craig.lukezic@state.de.us; Gwen.Davis@state.de.us; ttamburrino@mdp.state.md.us; ttamburrino@mdp.state.md.us)	NEC FUTURE - Upcoming Webinar - January 25	Announcing CEQ NEPA Pilot 1/25/13 webinar with federal and state environmental resource and regulatory agencies
2/7/2013	Outgoing	Email	Rebecca Reyes-Alicea, Federal Railroad Administration	Nancy Schamu, NCSHPO	FRA's NEC FUTURE, NCSHPO Coordination	Regarding opportunity to hold a joint meeting with the SHPOs during the Annual Historic Preservation Advocacy Week, February 24-27.
2/7/2013	Outgoing	Email	Rebecca Reyes-Alicea, Federal Railroad Administration	Charlene Vaughn, ACHP	NEC FUTURE - ACHP Meeting	Regarding potential meeting with ACHP to discuss Section 106 approach last week of February.

DATE	IN / OUT	VIA	FROM (Name, Organization)	TO (Name, Organization)	RE	Notes
2/8/2013	Outgoing	Email	Rebecca Reyes-Alicea, Federal Railroad Administration	State Historic Preservation Office Contacts (Dan.Saunders@dep.state.nj.us; rose.harvey@oprhp.state.ny.us; ruth.pierpont@oprhp.state.ny.us; john.bonafide@parks.ny.gov; brona.simon@state.ma.us; Daniel.Forrest@ct.gov; edward.sanderson@preservation.ri.gov; jeffrey.emidy@preservation.ri.gov; bafrederic@pa.gov; bcole@mdp.state.md.us; andrew.lewis@dc.gov; david.maloney@dc.gov; rlittle@mdp.state.md.us; jvaughan@pa.gov; timothy.slavin@state.de.us; Charles.Scott@dep.state.nj.us; jeffrey.emidy@preservation.ri.gov; susan.chandler@ct.gov; chnagle@pa.gov; craig.lukezic@state.de.us; Gwen.Davis@state.de.us; ttamburrino@mdp.state.md.us; EHughes@mdp.state.md.us; Laura.Mancuso@ct.gov; jecutler@pa.gov)	NEC FUTURE - Upcoming Webinar - January 25	Regarding potential meeting during upcoming NCSHPO conference in Washington, DC at end of February to touch base on progress of NEC FUTURE and Section 106 consultation.
2/11/2013	Outgoing	Email	Amishi Castelli, USDOT	gwen.davis@state.de.us; EHughes@mdp.state.md.us; laura.mancuso@ct.gov; edward.sanderson@preservation.ri.gov; jecutler@pa.gov; bafrederic@pa.gov; Daniel.Forrest@ct.gov (cc: schamu@sso.org)	FW: NEC FUTURE - NCSHPO meeting	Regarding meeting at upcoming NCSHPO conference in Washington, DC. Proposing 2/26 from 10-11a.

DATE	IN / OUT	VIA	FROM (Name, Organization)	TO (Name, Organization)	RE	Notes
2/20/2013	Outgoing	Email	Rebecca Reyes-Alicea, Federal Railroad Administration	Dan.Saunders@dep.state.nj.us; rose.harvey@oprhp.state.ny.us; ruth.pierpont@oprhp.state.ny.us; john.bonafide@parks.ny.gov; brona.simon@state.ma.us; Daniel.Forrest@ct.gov; edward.sanderson@preservation.ri.gov; jeffrey.emidy@preservation.ri.gov; bafrederic@pa.gov; bcole@mdp.state.md.us; andrew.lewis@dc.gov; david.maloney@dc.gov; rlittle@mdp.state.md.us; jvaughan@pa.gov; timothy.slavin@state.de.us; Charles.Scott@dep.state.nj.us; jeffrey.emidy@preservation.ri.gov; susan.chandler@ct.gov; chnagle@pa.gov; craig.lukezic@state.de.us; Gwen.Davis@state.de.us; ttamburrino@mdp.state.md.us; EHughes@mdp.state.md.us; Laura.Mancuso@ct.gov; jecutler@pa.gov	FRA - NEC FUTURE - Webinar	Follow-up email regarding no meeting in DC the week of February 25, 2013. Intend to schedule a webinar first week of March 2013.
2/20/2013	Outgoing	Email	Rebecca Reyes-Alicea, Federal Railroad Administration	Charlene Vaughn, ACHP cc: Castelli, Amishi (VOLPE); Blatnica, Rebecca (VOLPE); Siegel, Ruby (Ruby.Siegel@aecom.com)	NEC FUTURE - ACHP Meeting	Follow-up email regarding no meeting with the SHPOs in DC during the NCSHPO meeting. Intend to schedule a webinar first week of March 2013 with the SHPOs and would still like to set up a meeting with ACHP.
2/27/2013	Outgoing	Email	Ruby Siegel, Joint Venture	Charlene Vaughn, ACHP; Colleen Vaughn, Federal Railroad Administration; Rebecca Reyes-Alicea, Federal Railroad Administration; Nancy Schamu, NCSHPO; Amishi Castelli, USDOT; Rebecca Blatnica, USDOT; Alan Tabachnick, JV; Richard Starzak, JV; Susan Anderson, JV	NEC FUTURE Section 106 Approach Review	Conference call and webinar invitation, attaching NEC FUTURE Section 106 strategies.
3/4/2013	Outgoing	Email	Rebecca Reyes-Alicea, Federal Railroad Administration	Charlene Vaughn, ACHP	NEC FUTURE Section 106 Approach Review	Regarding participation in conference call and webinar on March 4, 2013.
3/4/2013	Incoming	Email	Charlene Vaughn, ACHP	Rebecca Reyes-Alicea, Federal Railroad Administration	NEC FUTURE Section 106 Approach Review	Reply regarding participation in conference call and webinar on March 4, 2013.
3/4/2013	Incoming	Email	Rebecca Reyes-Alicea, Federal Railroad Administration	Charlene Vaughn, ACHP cc: Castelli, Amishi (VOLPE)	NEC FUTURE Section 106 Approach Review	Regarding conference call and webinar on March 4, 2013.
3/12/2013	Outgoing	Email	Amishi Castelli, USDOT	Nancy Schamu, NCSHPO; Charlene Vaughn, ACHP cc: Louise Brodnitz, ACHP; Susan Anderson, JV; Ruby Siegel, JV; Rebecca Reyes-Alicea, Federal Railroad Administration; Colleen Vaughn, Federal Railroad Administration; Rebecca Blatnica, USDOT	NEC FUTURE Section 106 Approach Review	Follow-up on the conference call and webinar on March 4, 2013, including summary. Intend to schedule another ACHP/NCSHPO meeting with Erik Hein, NCSHPO and Charlene Vaughn, ACHP.

DATE	IN / OUT	VIA	FROM (Name, Organization)	TO (Name, Organization)	RE	Notes
3/12/2013	Outgoing	Email	Nancy Schamu, NCSHPO	Erik Hein, NCSHPO cc: Amishi Castelli, USDOT	NEC FUTURE Section 106 Approach Review	Forwarding 3/12/2013 email from Amishi Castelli, USDOT.
3/12/2013	Outgoing	Email	Amishi Castelli, USDOT	C. Andrew Lewis, DC HPO; David Maloney, DC HPO cc: Rebecca Reyes-Alicea, FRA; Becky Blatnica, USDOT; Ruby Siegel, JV; Susan Anderson, JV; Colleen Vaughn, FRA	DC SHPO consultation for NEC FUTURE	Regarding scheduling of meeting.
3/14/2013	Incoming	Email	C. Andrew Lewis, DC State Historic Preservation Office	Amishi Castelli, USDOT cc: Rebecca Reyes-Alicea, FRA; Becky Blatnica, USDOT; Ruby Siegel, JV; Susan Anderson, JV; Colleen Vaughn, FRA	DC SHPO consultation for NEC FUTURE	Regarding scheduling of meeting.
5/1/2013	Outgoing	Email	Brian Albright, Joint Venture	H. Higgins, State Historic Preservation Office (CT) cc: Faline Schneiderman; Cosimo Sgarlata; Cosimo Sgarlata; Cosimo Sgarlata; Tabachnick, Alan; Castelli, Amishi (VOLPE)	NEC Future Project	Request for GIS data.
5/1/2013	Outgoing	Email	Brian Albright, Joint Venture	Daniel Forrest, State Historic Preservation Office (CT) cc: Amishi Castelli, USDOT; Alan Tabachnick, JV; Susan Anderson, JV	NEC Future GIS data	Attaching buffer area shapefiles of the study area for their use.
5/13/2013	Outgoing	Email	Amishi Castelli, USDOT	C. Andrew Lewis, DC State Historic Preservation Office cc: David Maloney, DC State Historic Preservation Office; Rebecca Reyes-Alicea, Federal Railroad Administration; Rebecca Blatnica, USDOT; Ruby Siegel, JV; Susan Anderson, JV; Colleen Vaughn, Federal Railroad Administration	DC NEC FUTURE consultation	Follow-up on March 21, 2013 consultation
5/13/2013	Outgoing	Email	Amishi Castelli, USDOT	Beth Cole, Maryland Historical Trust; Tim Tamburrino, Maryland Historical Trust cc: J. Rodney Little, Maryland Historical Trust; Rebecca Reyes-Alicea, Federal Railroad Administration; Rebecca Blatnica, USDOT; Ruby Siegel, JV; Susan Anderson, JV; Colleen Vaughn, Federal Railroad Administration	MD NEC FUTURE consultation, 03/18	Follow-up on March 18, 2013 consultation
5/13/2013	Outgoing	Email	Amishi Castelli, USDOT	Craig Lukezic, Division of Historical and Cultural Affairs (Delaware) cc: Timothy Slavin, Division of Historical and Cultural Affairs (Delaware); Gwen Davis, Division of Historical and Cultural Affairs (Delaware); Rebecca Reyes-Alicea, Federal Railroad Administration; Rebecca Blatnica, USDOT; Ruby Siegel, JV; Susan Anderson, JV; Colleen Vaughn, Federal Railroad Administration	DE NEC FUTURE consultation 03/19	Follow-up on March 19, 2013 consultation

DATE	IN / OUT	VIA	FROM (Name, Organization)	TO (Name, Organization)	RE	Notes
5/13/2013	Outgoing	Email	Amishi Castelli, USDOT	Barbara Frederick, Bureau for Historic Preservation (PA) cc: James Vaughan, Bureau for Historic Preservation (Pennsylvania); Cheryl Nagle, Bureau for Historic Preservation (Pennsylvania); Rebecca Reyes-Alicea, Federal Railroad Administration; Rebecca Blatnica, USDOT; Ruby Siegel, JV; Susan Anderson, JV; Colleen Vaughn, Federal Railroad Administration	PA NEC FUTURE consultation, 03/18	Follow-up on March 18, 2013 consultation
5/13/2013	Outgoing	Email	Amishi Castelli, USDOT	Dan Saunders, Department of Environmental Protection (New Jersey), Historic Preservation; Caroline (Charles) Scott, Department of Environmental Protection (New Jersey), Historic Preservation cc: Rebecca Reyes-Alicea, Federal Railroad Administration; Rebecca Blatnica, USDOT; Ruby Siegel, JV; Susan Anderson, JV; Colleen Vaughn, Federal Railroad Administration	NJ NEC FUTURE consultation, 03/18	Follow-up on March 18, 2013 consultation
5/13/2013	Outgoing	Email	Amishi Castelli, USDOT	John Bonafide, Office of Parks, Recreation and Historic Preservation (New York State) cc: Rebecca Reyes-Alicea, Federal Railroad Administration; Rebecca Blatnica, USDOT; Ruby Siegel, JV; Susan Anderson, JV; Colleen Vaughn, Federal Railroad Administration	NY NEC FUTURE consultation, 03/18	Follow-up on March 18, 2013 consultation
5/13/2013	Outgoing	Email	Amishi Castelli, USDOT	Daniel Forrest, State Historic Preservation Office (CT) cc: Susan Chandler, State Historic Preservation Office (CT); Rebecca Reyes-Alicea, Federal Railroad Administration; Rebecca Blatnica, USDOT; Ruby Siegel, JV; Susan Anderson, JV; Colleen Vaughn, Federal Railroad Administration	CT NEC FUTURE consultation, 03/25	Follow-up on March 25, 2013 consultation
5/13/2013	Outgoing	Email	Amishi Castelli, USDOT	Jeffrey Emidy, Rhode Island Historic Preservation & Heritage Commission cc: Edward F. Sanderson, Rhode Island Historic Preservation & Heritage Commission; Rebecca Reyes-Alicea, Federal Railroad Administration; Rebecca Blatnica, USDOT; Ruby Siegel, JV; Susan Anderson, JV; Colleen Vaughn, Federal Railroad Administration	RI NEC FUTURE consultation, 03/26	Follow-up on March 26, 2013 consultation
5/14/2013	Incoming	Email	C. Andrew Lewis, DC State Historic Preservation Office	Amishi Castelli, USDOT cc: Rebecca Reyes-Alicea, FRA; Becky Blatnica, USDOT; Ruby Siegel, JV; Susan Anderson, JV; Colleen Vaughn, FRA; Ruth Troccoli, DC HPO	DC NEC FUTURE consultation	Response to follow-up; data sharing agreement and Consulting Parties list

DATE	IN / OUT	VIA	FROM (Name, Organization)	TO (Name, Organization)	RE	Notes
5/15/2013	Incoming	email	Daniel Forrest, State Historic Preservation Office (CT)	Amishi Castelli, USDOT cc: Susan Chandler, Rebecca Reyes-Alicea, Becky Blatnica, Ruby Siegel, Susan Anderson, Colleen Vaughn	CT NEC FUTURE consultation, 03/25	Response to follow-up; data sharing agreement and Consulting Parties list
5/16/2013	Outgoing	email	Amishi Castelli, USDOT	Daniel Forrest, State Historic Preservation Office (CT) cc: Susan Chandler, Rebecca Reyes-Alicea, Becky Blatnica, Ruby Siegel, Susan Anderson, Colleen Vaughn	CT NEC FUTURE consultation, 03/25	Response to follow-up; data sharing agreement and Consulting Parties list
5/16/2013	Incoming	email	Daniel Forrest, State Historic Preservation Office (CT)	Amishi Castelli, USDOT cc: Susan Chandler, Rebecca Reyes-Alicea, Becky Blatnica, Ruby Siegel, Susan Anderson, Colleen Vaughn	CT NEC FUTURE consultation, 03/25	Response to follow-up; data sharing agreement and Consulting Parties list
5/20/2013	Outgoing	email	Amishi Castelli, USDOT	Brian Albright, Joint Venture Michael Schifferli, Office of Parks, Recreation and History Preservation (New York State) cc: John Bonafide, Office of Parks, Recreation and History Preservation (New York State); Ruby Siegel, JV; Susan Anderson, JV	NY NEC FUTURE consultation, 03/18	Regarding dataset specifics and data sharing agreement.
5/29/2013	Outgoing	email	Amishi Castelli, USDOT	Daniel Forrest, State Historic Preservation Office (CT) cc: Susan Chandler, Rebecca Reyes-Alicea, Becky Blatnica, Ruby Siegel, Susan Anderson, Colleen Vaughn	CT NEC FUTURE consultation, 03/25	Response to follow-up; data sharing agreement and Consulting Parties list
6/3/2013	Incoming	email	Daniel Forrest, State Historic Preservation Office (CT)	Amishi Castelli, USDOT cc: Susan Chandler, Rebecca Reyes-Alicea, Becky Blatnica, Ruby Siegel, Susan Anderson, Colleen Vaughn	CT NEC FUTURE consultation, 03/25	Response to follow-up; data sharing agreement and Consulting Parties list
6/3/2013	Outgoing	email	Amishi Castelli, USDOT	Daniel Forrest, State Historic Preservation Office (CT) cc: Susan Chandler, Rebecca Reyes-Alicea, Becky Blatnica, Ruby Siegel, Susan Anderson, Colleen Vaughn, Selina Zapata	CT NEC FUTURE consultation, 03/25	Response to follow-up; data sharing agreement and Consulting Parties list
6/3/2013	Incoming	email	Daniel Forrest, State Historic Preservation Office (CT)	Amishi Castelli, USDOT cc: Colleen Vaughn	CT GIS data for NEC Future	Data
6/3/2013	Incoming	email	Jeff Emidy, Historical Preservation and Heritage Commission	Amishi Castelli, USDOT	RI NEC FUTURE consultation, 03/26	Response to follow-up; Consulting Parties list
6/4/2013	Outgoing	email	Amishi Castelli, USDOT	Jeffrey Emidy, Historical Preservation and Heritage Commission Susan Anderson, Ruby Siegel, Selina Zapata, Becky Blatnica, Rebecca Reyes-Alicea	RI NEC FUTURE consultation, 03/26	Response to follow-up; Consulting Parties list

DATE	IN / OUT	VIA	FROM (Name, Organization)	TO (Name, Organization)	RE	Notes
6/4/2013	Outgoing	email	Amishi Castelli, USDOT	Daniel Forrest, State Historic Preservation Office (CT) cc: Colleen Vaughn	CT GIS data for NEC Future	Data
6/5/2013	Incoming	email	C. Andrew Lewis, DC State Historic Preservation Office	Amishi Castelli, USDOT cc: David Maloney, Rebecca Reyes-Alicea, Becky Blatnica, Ruby Siegel, Susan Anderson, Colleen Vaughn, Ruth Troccoli	DC NEC FUTURE consultation	Response to follow-up; data sharing agreement and Consulting Parties list
6/5/2013	Outgoing	email	Amishi Castelli, USDOT	C. Andrew Lewis, DC State Historic Preservation Office cc: David Maloney, DC State Historic Preservation Office; Rebecca Reyes-Alicea, Federal Railroad Administration; Rebecca Blatnica, USDOT; Ruby Siegel, JV; Susan Anderson, JV; Colleen Vaughn, Federal Railroad Administration; Ruth Troccoli	DC NEC FUTURE consultation	Response to follow-up; data sharing agreement and Consulting Parties list
6/6/2013	Outgoing	email	Amishi Castelli, USDOT	John Bonafide, Office of Parks, Recreation and Historic Preservation (New York State)	Shinnecock Indian Nation	Request for contact information

DATE	IN / OUT	VIA	FROM (Name, Organization)	TO (Name, Organization)	RE	Notes
6/6/2013	Outgoing	email	Amishi Castelli, USDOT	brona.simon@state.ma.us; Daniel.Forrest@ct.gov; edward.sanderson@preservation.ri.gov; jeffrey.emidy@preservation.ri.gov; cvaughn@achp.gov; lbrodnitz@achp.gov; hein@sso.org; susan.chandler@ct.gov; brandee.loughlin@state.ma.us; Laura.Mancuso@ct.gov; charlotte.taylor@preservation.ri.gov; todd.levine@ct.gov; Dan.Saunders@dep.state.nj.us; rose.harvey@oprhp.state.ny.us; ruth.pierpont@oprhp.state.ny.us; john.bonafide@parks.ny.gov; Charles.Scott@dep.state.nj.us; Vincent.maresca@dep.state.nj.us; kate.marcopul@dep.state.nj.us; bafrederic@pa.gov; bcole@mdp.state.md.us; andrew.lewis@dc.gov; david.maloney@dc.gov; rlittle@mdp.state.md.us; jvaughan@pa.gov; timothy.slavin@state.de.us; cvaughn@achp.gov; chnagle@pa.gov; craig.lukezic@state.de.us; Gwen.Davis@state.de.us; ttamburrino@mdp.state.md.us; EHughes@mdp.state.md.us; jecutler@pa.gov; ruth.trocolli@dc.gov cc: Rebecca.Reyesalicea@dot.gov; Siegel, Ruby; Rebecca.Blatnica@dot.gov; Anderson, Susan; Zapata, Selina	NEC FUTURE resource agency meetings	June 2013 regional resource and regulatory agency meetings
6/6/2013 (transmittal 6/9/2013)	Outgoing	email and letter	Rebecca Reyes-Alicea, Federal Railroad Administration	Cedrick Cromwell, Chairman, Mashpee Wampanoag Tribe cc: Colleen Vaughn, FRA Historic Preservation Officer; Chuckie Green, Assistant Director, Tribal Historic Preservation Officer; Ramona Peters, Tribal Historic Preservation Officer; Brona Simon, Massachusetts Historical Commission	(1) Update on NEC FUTURE program (2) Update on Government-to-Government Consultation with Native American Tribal Governments pursuant to Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act	
6/6/2013 (transmittal 6/9/2013)	Outgoing	email and letter	Rebecca Reyes-Alicea, Federal Railroad Administration	Randy King, Chairperson, Shinnecock Indian Nation cc: Colleen Vaughn, FRA Historic Preservation Officer; Marguerite A. Smith; John Bonafide, New York State Office of Parks, Recreation and Historic Preservation, Division for Historic Preservation	(1) Update on NEC FUTURE program (2) Update on Government-to-Government Consultation with Native American Tribal Governments pursuant to Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act	

DATE	IN / OUT	VIA	FROM (Name, Organization)	TO (Name, Organization)	RE	Notes
6/6/2013 (transmittal 6/9/2013)	Outgoing	email and letter	Rebecca Reyes-Alicea, Federal Railroad Administration	LeRoy Howard, Chief, Seneca-Cayuga Tribe of Oklahoma cc: Colleen Vaughn, FRA Historic Preservation Officer; Paul Barton, THPO, Environmental Director	(1) Update on NEC FUTURE program (2) Update on Government-to-Government Consultation with Native American Tribal Governments pursuant to Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act	
6/6/2013 (transmittal 6/9/2013)	Outgoing	email and letter	Rebecca Reyes-Alicea, Federal Railroad Administration	Sheryl Andrews-Maltais, Chairwoman, Wampanoag Tribe of Gay Head (Aquinnah) of Massachusetts cc: Colleen Vaughn, FRA Historic Preservation Officer; Bettina Washington, Tribal Historic Preservation Officer; Brona Simon, Massachusetts Historical Commission	(1) Update on NEC FUTURE program (2) Update on Government-to-Government Consultation with Native American Tribal Governments pursuant to Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act	
6/6/2013 (transmittal 6/9/2013)	Outgoing	email and letter	Rebecca Reyes-Alicea, Federal Railroad Administration	Leo R. Henry, Chief, Tuscarora Nation of New York cc: Colleen Vaughn, FRA Historic Preservation Officer; Stuart Paterson, Chief, Tuscarora Nation of New York; Neil Patterson, Jr., Director, Tuscarora Nation of New York; John Bonafide, New York State Office of Parks, Recreation and Historic Preservation, Division for Historic Preservation	(1) Update on NEC FUTURE program (2) Update on Government-to-Government Consultation with Native American Tribal Governments pursuant to Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act	
6/6/2013 (transmittal 6/9/2013)	Outgoing	email and letter	Rebecca Reyes-Alicea, Federal Railroad Administration	Roger Hill, Chief, Towanda Band of Seneca Indians of New York cc: Colleen Vaughn, FRA Historic Preservation Officer; Darwin Hill, Chief; John Bonafide, New York State Office of Parks, Recreation and Historic Preservation, Division for Historic Preservation	(1) Update on NEC FUTURE program (2) Update on Government-to-Government Consultation with Native American Tribal Governments pursuant to Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act	
6/6/2013 (transmittal 6/9/2013)	Outgoing	email and letter	Rebecca Reyes-Alicea, Federal Railroad Administration	Kimberly Vele, President, Stockbridge Munsee Community, Wisconsin cc: Colleen Vaughn, FRA Historic Preservation Officer; Sherry White, Tribal Historic Preservation Officer; John Bonafide, New York State Office of Parks, Recreation and Historic Preservation, Division for Historic Preservation	(1) Update on NEC FUTURE program (2) Update on Government-to-Government Consultation with Native American Tribal Governments pursuant to Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act	
6/6/2013 (transmittal 6/9/2013)	Outgoing	email and letter	Rebecca Reyes-Alicea, Federal Railroad Administration	Ron Sparkman, Chairman, Shawnee Tribe cc: Colleen Vaughn, FRA Historic Preservation Officer; Kim Jumper, Tribal Historic Preservation Officer; Jody Hayes, Tribe Administrator; Barbara Frederick, Pennsylvania Historical and Museum Commission-Bureau for Historic Preservation; Beth Cole, Maryland Historic Trust; Tim Tamburrino, Maryland Historic Trust	(1) Update on NEC FUTURE program (2) Update on Government-to-Government Consultation with Native American Tribal Governments pursuant to Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act	

DATE	IN / OUT	VIA	FROM (Name, Organization)	TO (Name, Organization)	RE	Notes
6/6/2013 (transmittal 6/9/2013)	Outgoing	email and letter	Rebecca Reyes-Alicea, Federal Railroad Administration	Mark Garrow, Chief, Saint Regis Mohawk Tribe Monica Jacobs, Chief, Saint Regis Mohawk Tribe Randy Hart, Chief, Saint Regis Mohawk Tribe cc: Colleen Vaughn, FRA Historic Preservation Officer; Arnold Printup, Jr., Tribal Historic preservation Officer; Ken Jocks, Director, Saint Regis Mohawk Tribe; John Bonafide, New York State Office of Parks, Recreation and Historic Preservation, Division for Historic Preservation	(1) Update on NEC FUTURE program (2) Update on Government-to-Government Consultation with Native American Tribal Governments pursuant to Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act	
6/6/2013 (transmittal 6/9/2013)	Outgoing	email and letter	Rebecca Reyes-Alicea, Federal Railroad Administration	Irving Powless, Jr., Chief, Onodaga Nation of New York cc: Colleen Vaughn, FRA Historic Preservation Officer; Tony Gonyea, Faithkeeper; John Bonafide, New York State Office of Parks, Recreation and Historic Preservation, Division for Historic Preservation	(1) Update on NEC FUTURE program (2) Update on Government-to-Government Consultation with Native American Tribal Governments pursuant to Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act	
6/6/2013 (transmittal 6/9/2013)	Outgoing	email and letter	Rebecca Reyes-Alicea, Federal Railroad Administration	Edward Delgado, Chairman, Oneida Tribe of Indians of Wisconsin cc: Colleen Vaughn, FRA Historic Preservation Officer; Corina Burke, Tribal Historic Preservation Officer; John Bonafide, New York State Office of Parks, Recreation and Historic Preservation, Division for Historic Preservation	(1) Update on NEC FUTURE program (2) Update on Government-to-Government Consultation with Native American Tribal Governments pursuant to Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act	
6/6/2013 (transmittal 6/9/2013)	Outgoing	email and letter	Rebecca Reyes-Alicea, Federal Railroad Administration	(emailed to info@oneida-nation.org; sbarbano@oneida-nation.org; cc: colleen.vaughn@dot.gov; jbergevin@oneida-nation.org; john.bonafide@parks.ny.gov; Amishi.Castelli@dot.gov) Irving Powless, Jr., Chief, Onodaga Nation of New York cc: Colleen Vaughn, FRA Historic Preservation Officer; Tony Gonyea, Faithkeeper; John Bonafide, New York State Office of Parks, Recreation and Historic Preservation, Division for Historic Preservation	(1) Update on NEC FUTURE program (2) Update on Government-to-Government Consultation with Native American Tribal Governments pursuant to Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act	

DATE	IN / OUT	VIA	FROM (Name, Organization)	TO (Name, Organization)	RE	Notes
6/6/2013 (transmittal 6/9/2013)	Outgoing	email and letter	Rebecca Reyes-Alicea, Federal Railroad Administration	Matthew Thomas, Chief Sachem, Narragansett Indian Tribe of Rhode Island cc: Colleen Vaughn, FRA Historic Preservation Officer; John Brown, THPO; Jeffrey Emidy, Rhode Island Historical Preservation and Heritage Commission; Daniel T. Forrest, Connecticut Department of Economic and Community Development -- Historic Preservation and Museum Division	(1) Update on NEC FUTURE program (2) Update on Government-to-Government Consultation with Native American Tribal Governments pursuant to Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act	
6/6/2013 (transmittal 6/9/2013)	Outgoing	email and letter	Rebecca Reyes-Alicea, Federal Railroad Administration	James Quinn, Tribal Historic Preservation Officer, Mohegan Indian Tribe of Connecticut cc: Colleen Vaughn, FRA Historic Preservation Officer; Bruce Bozsum, Chairperson, Mohegan Indian Tribe of Connecticut; Elaine Thomas, Deputy THPO, Mohegan Indian Tribe of Connecticut; Sue Kobyluck, Administrative Assistant, Mohegan Indian Tribe of Connecticut; Daniel T. Forrest, Connecticut Department of Economic and Community Development -- Historic Preservation and Museum Division	(1) Update on NEC FUTURE program (2) Update on Government-to-Government Consultation with Native American Tribal Governments pursuant to Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act	
6/6/2013 (transmittal 6/9/2013)	Outgoing	email and letter	Rebecca Reyes-Alicea, Federal Railroad Administration	Dr. Bruce Obermeyer, Tribal Historic Preservation Officer, Delaware Tribe cc: Colleen Vaughn, FRA Historic Preservation Officer; Paula Pechonick, Chief; Wayne Stull, Trust Board Chairman; John Bonafide, New York State Office of Parks, Recreation and Historic Preservation, Division for Historic Preservation	(1) Update on NEC FUTURE program (2) Update on Government-to-Government Consultation with Native American Tribal Governments pursuant to Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act	
6/6/2013 (transmittal 6/9/2013)	Outgoing	email and letter	Rebecca Reyes-Alicea, Federal Railroad Administration	Kerry Holton, Tribal President, Delaware Nation cc: Colleen Vaughn, FRA Historic Preservation Officer; Tamara Francis, THPO; John Bonafide, New York State Office of Parks, Recreation and Historic Preservation, Division for Historic Preservation	(1) Update on NEC FUTURE program (2) Update on Government-to-Government Consultation with Native American Tribal Governments pursuant to Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act	

DATE	IN / OUT	VIA	FROM (Name, Organization)	TO (Name, Organization)	RE	Notes
6/6/2013 (transmittal 6/9/2013)	Outgoing	email and letter	Rebecca Reyes-Alicea, Federal Railroad Administration	Glenna J. Wallace, Chief, Eastern Shawnee Tribe of Oklahoma cc: Colleen Vaughn, FRA Historic Preservation Officer; Robin Dushane, Cultural Preservation Officer/Environmental Contact; Barbara Frederick, Pennsylvania Historical and Museum Commission-Bureau for Historic Preservation; Beth Cole, Maryland Historic Trust; Tim Tamburrino, Maryland Historic Trust	(1) Update on NEC FUTURE program (2) Update on Government-to-Government Consultation with Native American Tribal Governments pursuant to Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act	
6/6/2013 (transmittal 6/9/2013)	Outgoing	email and letter	Rebecca Reyes-Alicea, Federal Railroad Administration	George Blanchard, Governor, Absentee -- Shawnee Tribe of Indians of Oklahoma cc: Colleen Vaughn, FRA Historic Preservation Officer; Henryetta Ellis, THPO; Joseph Lanchard, THPO; Barbara Frederick, Pennsylvania Historical and Museum Commission-Bureau for Historic Preservation; Beth Cole, Maryland Historic Trust; Tim Tamburrino, Maryland Historic Trust	(1) Update on NEC FUTURE program (2) Update on Government-to-Government Consultation with Native American Tribal Governments pursuant to Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act	
6/6/2013 (transmittal 6/9/2013)	Outgoing	email and letter	Rebecca Reyes-Alicea, Federal Railroad Administration	Robert Odawi Porter, President, Seneca Nation of New York; Lana Watt, Tribal Historic Preservation Officer, Seneca Nation of New York cc: Colleen Vaughn, FRA Historic Preservation Officer; John Bonafide, New York State Office of Parks, Recreation and Historic Preservation, Division for Historic Preservation	(1) Update on NEC FUTURE program (2) Update on Government-to-Government Consultation with Native American Tribal Governments pursuant to Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act	
6/6/2013 (transmittal 6/9/2013)	Outgoing	email and letter	Rebecca Reyes-Alicea, Federal Railroad Administration	Clint Halftown, Nation Representative, Cayuga Nation of New York cc: Colleen Vaughn, FRA Historic Preservation Officer; Melinda Maybee, Nation Representative; John Bonafide, New York State Office of Parks, Recreation and Historic Preservation, Division for Historic Preservation	(1) Update on NEC FUTURE program (2) Update on Government-to-Government Consultation with Native American Tribal Governments pursuant to Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act	
6/7/2013	Incoming	email	Jeff Emidy, Historical Preservation and Heritage Commission	Amishi Castelli, USDOT	NEC FUTURE resource agency meetings	June 2013 regional resource and regulatory agency meetings
6/9/2013	Outgoing	email	Amishi Castelli, USDOT	Jeffrey Emidy, Historical Preservation and Heritage Commission Ruby Siegel, Rebecca Reyes-Alicea, Susan Anderson	NEC FUTURE resource agency meetings	June 2013 regional resource and regulatory agency meetings
6/10/2013	Incoming	email	Barbara Frederick, Bureau for Historic Preservation (PA)	Amishi Castelli, USDOT cc: Mark Shaffer, Ruby Siegel	NEC FUTURE resource agency meetings	June 2013 regional resource and regulatory agency meetings

DATE	IN / OUT	VIA	FROM (Name, Organization)	TO (Name, Organization)	RE	Notes
6/10/2013	Outgoing	email	Amishi Castelli, USDOT	Barbara Frederick, Bureau for Historic Preservation (PA) cc: Mark Shaffer, Ruby Siegel	NEC FUTURE resource agency meetings	June 2013 regional resource and regulatory agency meetings
6/10/2013	Incoming	email	Nancy Herter, Office of Parks, Recreation and Historic Preservation (New York State)	Amishi Castelli cc: John Bonafide	Shinnecock Indian Nation	Request for contact information
6/10/2013	Outgoing	email	Amishi Castelli, USDOT	Nancy Herter, Office of Parks, Recreation and Historic Preservation (New York State) cc: John Bonafide	Shinnecock Indian Nation	Request for contact information
6/24/2013	Incoming	email	Tim Tamburrino, Maryland Historical Trust	Amishi Castelli, USDOT	MD NEC FUTURE consultation, 03/18	Response to follow-up; Consulting Parties list
6/24/2013	Outgoing	email	Amishi Castelli, USDOT	Tim Tamburrino, Maryland Historical Trust cc: Ruby Siegel, Susan Anderson, Rebecca Reyes-Alicea, Becky Blatnica	MD NEC FUTURE consultation, 03/18	Response to follow-up; Consulting Parties list
6/28/2013	Incoming	email	C. Andrew Lewis, DC State Historic Preservation Office	Amishi Castelli, USDOT	DC NEC FUTURE consultation	Response to follow-up; Consulting Parties list
7/11/2013	Outgoing	letter	Rebecca Reyes-Alicea, Federal Railroad Administration	Brona Simon, Massachusetts Historical Commission cc: Brandee Loughlin, Colleen Vaughn, Amishi Castelli	(1) Continued consultation under Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act for the FRA NEC FUTURE Program (2) Requested review of Consulting Parties list	(i) confirm points of contact, (ii) brief office on the NEC FUTURE Program as it relates to the Section 106 process, (iii) request review of a draft Consulting Parties list, and (iv) confirm whether there is a need for a completed data sharing agreement
7/18/2013	Outgoing	email	Amishi Castelli, USDOT	Barbara Frederick, Bureau for Historic Preservation (PA) Beth Cole, Maryland Historical Trust; Tim Tamburrino, Maryland Historical Trust Tim Tamburrino, Maryland Historical Trust; Tim Tamburrino, Maryland Historical Trust	FRA NEC FUTURE: help with tribes	Request for corrected tribal contact information.
7/22/2013	Incoming	email	Barbara Frederick, Bureau for Historic Preservation (PA)	Mark Shaffer, Bureau for Historic Preservation (PA) cc: Amishi Castelli, USDOT	FRA NEC FUTURE: help with tribes	Regarding corrected tribal contact information
7/22/2013	Outgoing	email	Amishi Castelli, USDOT	Barbara Frederick, Bureau for Historic Preservation (PA) Mark Shaffer, Bureau for Historic Preservation (PA)	FRA NEC FUTURE: help with tribes	Regarding corrected tribal contact information
7/22/2013	Incoming	email	Mark Shaffer, Bureau for Historic Preservation (PA)	Amishi Castelli, USDOT cc: Barbara Frederick, Bureau for Historic Preservation (PA)	FRA NEC FUTURE: help with tribes	Regarding corrected tribal contact information

DATE	IN / OUT	VIA	FROM (Name, Organization)	TO (Name, Organization)	RE	Notes
7/22/2013	Outgoing	email	Amishi Castelli, USDOT	Mark Shaffer, Bureau for Historic Preservation (PA) cc: Barbara Frederick, Bureau for Historic Preservation (PA) Beth Cole, Maryland Historical Trust; Tim Tamburrino, Maryland Historical Trust Tim Tamburrino, Maryland Historical Trust; Tim Tamburrino, Maryland Historical Trust	FRA NEC FUTURE: help with tribes	Regarding corrected tribal contact information
7/22/2013	Outgoing	email and letter	Rebecca Reyes-Alicea, Federal Railroad Administration	George Blanchard, Governor, Absentee -- Shawnee Tribe of Indians of Oklahoma cc: Colleen Vaughn, FRA Historic Preservation Officer; Henryetta Ellis, THPO; Joseph Lanchard, Absentee – Shawnee Tribe of Oklahoma; Liana Staci Hesler, Absentee – Shawnee Tribe of Oklahoma; Barbara Frederick, Pennsylvania Historical and Museum Commission-Bureau for Historic Preservation; Beth Cole, Maryland Historical Trust; Tim Tamburrino, Maryland Historical Trust	(1) Update on NEC FUTURE program (2) Update on Government-to-Government Consultation with Native American Tribal Governments pursuant to Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act	
7/22/2013	Outgoing	email and letter	Rebecca Reyes-Alicea, Federal Railroad Administration	Ron Sparkman, Chairman, Shawnee Tribe cc: Colleen Vaughn, FRA Historic Preservation Officer; Kim Jumper, Tribal Historic Preservation Officer; Jody Hayes, Tribe Administrator; Barbara Frederick, Pennsylvania Historical and Museum Commission-Bureau for Historic Preservation; Beth Cole, Maryland Historical Trust; Tim Tamburrino, Maryland Historical Trust	(1) Update on NEC FUTURE program (2) Update on Government-to-Government Consultation with Native American Tribal Governments pursuant to Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act	
8/19/2013	Incoming	email	Charde Reid, DC Historic Preservation Office	Amishi Castelli, USDOT; Brian Albright, AECOM	DC NEC FUTURE consultation	Attaching HPO signed portion of Data Sharing Agreement.
8/29/2013	Outgoing	email	Amishi Castelli, USDOT; Brian Albright, AECOM	Charde Reid, DC Historic Preservation Office cc: Ruth Troccoli, DC Historic Preservation Office; Rebecca Reyes-Alicea, FRA; Susan Anderson, JV; Selina Zapata, JV; Brian Albright, JV; Andrew Lewis, DC Historic Preservation Office	DC NEC FUTURE consultation	Attaching FRA signed data sharing agreement.
9/4/2013	Incoming	email	Barbara Frederick, Bureau for Historic Preservation (PA)	Susan Anderson, JV	FRA NEC FUTURE: Section 106 Environmental Technical Working Group (TWG) Web-ex	Requesting list of municipalities the alternatives pass through in Pennsylvania to inform additional suggestions for proposed CP list.

DATE	IN / OUT	VIA	FROM (Name, Organization)	TO (Name, Organization)	RE	Notes
9/4/2013	Outgoing	email	Susan Anderson, JV	Barbara Frederick, Bureau for Historic Preservation (PA) cc: Amishi Castelli, USDOT; Ruby Siegel, JV; Selina Zapata, JV	FRA NEC FUTURE: Section 106 Environmental Technical Working Group (TWG) Web-ex	Response regarding request for list of municipalities.
9/4/2013	Outgoing	email	Susan Anderson, JV	Barbara Frederick, Bureau for Historic Preservation (PA) cc: Amishi Castelli, USDOT; Ruby Siegel, JV; Selina Zapata, JV	FRA NEC FUTURE: Section 106 Environmental Technical Working Group (TWG) Web-ex	Providing list of counties/municipalities.
9/9/2013	Incoming	email	Barbara Frederick, Bureau for Historic Preservation (PA)	Susan Anderson, JV cc: Mark Shaffer, Bureau for Historic Preservation (PA)	FRA NEC FUTURE: Section 106 Environmental Technical Working Group (TWG) Web-ex	Providing suggestions for additional CPs.
9/9/2013	Outgoing	email	Susan Anderson, JV	Barbara Frederick, Bureau for Historic Preservation (PA) cc: Mark Shaffer, Bureau for Historic Preservation (PA); Amishi Castelli, USDOT; Ruby Siegel, JV; Selina Zapata, JV	FRA NEC FUTURE: Section 106 Environmental Technical Working Group (TWG) Web-ex	Response regarding additional CPs.
9/10/2013	Incoming	email	Barbara Frederick, Bureau for Historic Preservation (PA)	Amishi Castelli, USDOT cc: Mark Shaffer, Bureau for Historic Preservation (PA)	tribal consultation in PA	Providing suggestion regarding contacting tribes in PA.
9/10/2013	Outgoing	email	Amishi Castelli, USDOT	Barbara Frederick, Bureau for Historic Preservation (PA) cc: Mark Shaffer, Bureau for Historic Preservation (PA); Susan Anderson, JV; Selina Zapata, JV	tribal consultation in PA	Response regarding suggestion for contacting tribes in PA.
9/11/2013	Incoming	email	Beth Cole, Maryland Historical Trust / MD SHPO	Amishi Castelli, USDOT; Susan Anderson, JV; Richard Starzak, ICFI cc: Erik Hein, NCSHPO; Elizabeth Hughes, Maryland Historical Trust / MD SHPO	NEC Future SHPO webinar	Providing feedback regarding 9/10/13 Section 106 webinar.
9/11/2013	Outgoing	email	Amishi Castelli, USDOT	Beth Cole, Maryland Historical Trust / MD SHPO; Susan Anderson, JV; Richard Starzak, ICFI cc: Erik Hein, NCSHPO; Elizabeth Hughes, Maryland Historical Trust / MD SHPO; Selina Zapata, JV; Ruby Siegel, JV; Rebecca Reyes-Alicea, FRA	NEC Future SHPO webinar	Response regarding feedback on 9/10/13 Section 106 webinar.
9/11/2013	Outgoing	email	Amishi Castelli, USDOT	Dan Saunders, Department of Environmental Protection (New Jersey), Historic Preservation; Caroline (Charles) Scott, Department of Environmental Protection (New Jersey), Historic Preservation cc: Ruby Siegel, JV; Susan Anderson, JV	NJ NEC FUTURE consultation, 03/18	Follow-up on 5/13/13 email.

DATE	IN / OUT	VIA	FROM (Name, Organization)	TO (Name, Organization)	RE	Notes
10/21/2013	Outgoing	email	Amishi Castelli, USDOT	Erik Hein, NCSHPO; Kelly Fanizzo, ACHP; Darin Allan, FTA; Dale Youngkin, FTA, Beth Cole, MD SHPO; Todd Levine, CT SHPO cc: Susan Anderson, JV; Ruby Siegel, JV; Richard Starzak, ICFI; Rebecca Reyes- Alicea, FRA; Becky Blatnica, USDOT; David Valenstein, FRA	NEC FUTURE 106 PA working group	Regarding the Section 106 PA working group

DATE	IN / OUT	VIA	FROM (Name, Organization)	TO (Name, Organization)	RE	Notes
				<p>Anderson, Susan <Susan.Anderson@aecom.com>; whitney.phend@dot.gov; Rebecca.Reyesalicea@dot.gov; david.valenstein@dot.gov; Elizabeth.Patel@dot.gov; robert.sachnin.ctr@dot.gov; baer@pbworld.com; carol@pbworld.com; lebeaux@pbworld.com; masonm@pbworld.com; nungesser@pbworld.com; Siegel, Ruby <Ruby.Siegel@aecom.com>; WMalley@perkinscoie.com; Rebecca.Blatnica@dot.gov; cvaughn@achp.gov; colleen.vaughn@dot.gov; richard.starzak@icfi.com; hein@sso.org; Victor_Knox@nps.gov; David_Hayes@nps.gov; shaun_eyring@nps.gov; Maryanne_Gerbauckas@nps.gov; Mary_Morrison@nps.gov; John_Piltzecker@nps.gov; Daniel.Forrest@ct.gov; craig.lukezic@state.de.us; andrew.lewis@dc.gov; bcole@mdp.state.md.us; ttamburrino@mdp.state.md.us; brandee.loughlin@state.ma.us; brona.simon@state.ma.us; Charles.Scott@dep.state.nj.us; john.bonafide@parks.ny.gov; bafrederic@pa.gov; jeffrey.emidy@preservation.ri.gov; Siegel, Ruby <Ruby.Siegel@aecom.com>; Darin.Allan@dot.gov</p> <p>cc: susan.chandler@ct.gov; todd.levine@ct.gov; Laura.Mancuso@ct.gov; Gwen.Davis@state.de.us; timothy.slavin@state.de.us; david.maloney@dc.gov; EHughes@mdp.state.md.us; rlittle@mdp.state.md.us; kate.marcopul@dep.state.nj.us; Vincent.maresca@dep.state.nj.us; Dan.Saunders@dep.state.nj.us; rose.harvey@oprhp.state.ny.us; ruth.pierpont@oprhp.state.ny.us; jecutler@pa.gov; chnagle@pa.gov; jvaughan@pa.gov; edward.sanderson@preservation.ri.gov; charlotte.taylor@preservation.ri.gov; hein@ncshpo.org; sabra_smith@nps.gov; bonnie_halda@nps.gov;</p>		
Page 32				<p>mary.hassell@dot.gov; Albright, Brian <Brian.Albright@aecom.com>; cece@historicalperspectives.org; faline@historicalperspectives.org; camays@pbworld.com;</p>		Tier 1 Final EIS Volume 2

DATE	IN / OUT	VIA	FROM (Name, Organization)	TO (Name, Organization)	RE	Notes
10/22/2013	Incoming	email	Craig Lukezic, Division of Historical and Cultural Affairs (Delaware)	Amishi Castelli, USDOT	FRA NEC FUTURE: Section 106 Environmental Technical Working Group (TWG) Web-ex	Inquiry re: inclusion of localities, Native Americans, and interested publics in the list of CPs.
10/22/2013	Outgoing	email	Amishi Castelli, USDOT	cc: Susan Anderson, JV	FRA NEC FUTURE: DE Consulting parties	Response re: inquiry about inclusion of localities, Native Americans, and interested publics in the list of CPs.
10/22/2013	Incoming	email	Craig Lukezic, Division of Historical and Cultural Affairs (Delaware)	cc: Susan Anderson, JV	FRA NEC FUTURE: DE Consulting parties	Providing suggestions to add to list of CPs.
10/22/2013	Outgoing	email	Amishi Castelli, USDOT	cc: Susan Anderson, JV	FRA NEC FUTURE: DE Consulting parties	Response to suggestions for CP list.
12/18/2013	Outgoing	email	Amishi Castelli, USDOT	Erik Hein (hein@ncshpo.org); kfanizzo@achp.gov; Allan, Darin (FTA); Youngkin, Dale (FTA); bcole@mdp.state.md.us; todd.levine@ct.gov Cc: Anderson, Susan (Susan.Anderson@aecom.com); Siegel, Ruby (Ruby.Siegel@aecom.com); richard.starzak@icfi.com; Reyes-Alicea, Rebecca (FRA); Blatnica, Rebecca (VOLPE)	NEC FUTURE 106 PA working group	Invitation to the Section 106 PA working group session.
12/18/2013	Outgoing	email/calendar invite	Amishi Castelli, USDOT	Amishi.Castelli@dot.gov; hein@ncshpo.org; kfanizzo@achp.gov; Darin.Allan@dot.gov; dale.youngkin@dot.gov; antoINETTE.quagliata@dot.gov; bcole@mdp.state.md.us; todd.levine@ct.gov; Anderson, Susan; Siegel, Ruby; richard.starzak@icfi.com; Rebecca.Reyesalicea@dot.gov; Rebecca.Blatnica@dot.gov	NEC FUTURE 106 PA working group-Session #1	Invitation to the Section 106 PA working group session.
12/19/2013	Incoming	email	Beth Cole, Maryland Historical Trust / MD SHPO	Amishi Castelli, U SDOT	NEC FUTURE 106 PA working group-Session #1	Declining meeting invitation.
12/19/2013	Outgoing	email	Amishi Castelli, USDOT	Beth Cole, Maryland Historical Trust / MD SHPO cc: Susan Anderson, Ruby Siegel, Selina Zapata	NEC FUTURE 106 PA working group-Session #1	Response to delined meeting invitation.
12/27/2013	Outgoing	email and letter	Rebecca Reyes-Alicea, Federal Railroad Administration	106review@mwtribe.com cc: cgreen@mwtribe.com; brona.simon@state.ma.us; Amishi.Castelli@dot.gov	FRA NEC FUTURE Update	Attaching letter providing program update. NOTE: This email missing letter attachment. Email re-sent with attachment 1/6/2014.

DATE	IN / OUT	VIA	FROM (Name, Organization)	TO (Name, Organization)	RE	Notes
12/27/2013	Outgoing	email and letter	Rebecca Reyes-Alicea, Federal Railroad Administration	Dr. Bruce Obermeyer, Tribal Historic Preservation Officer, Delaware Tribe cc: Amishi Castelli, Volpe Center, FRA NEC FUTURE Environmental Lead; Paula Pechonick, Chief; John Bonafide, New York State Office of Parks, Recreation and Historic Preservation, Division of Historic Preservation	FRA NEC FUTURE Update	Attaching letter providing program update.
12/27/2013	Outgoing	email and letter	Rebecca Reyes-Alicea, Federal Railroad Administration	Sheryl Andrews-Maltais, Chairwoman, Wampanoag Tribe of Gay Head (Aquinnah) of Massachusetts cc: Amishi Castelli, Volpe Center, FRA NEC FUTURE Environmental Lead; Bettina Washington, Tribal Historic Preservation Officer; Brona Simon, Massachusetts Historical Commission	FRA NEC FUTURE Update	Attaching letter providing program update.
12/27/2013	Outgoing	email and letter	Rebecca Reyes-Alicea, Federal Railroad Administration	Glenna J. Wallace, Chief, Eastern Shawnee Tribe of Oklahoma cc: Amishi Castelli, Volpe Center, FRA NEC FUTURE Environmental Lead; Robin Dushane, Cultural Preservation Officer/Environmental Contact; Barbara Frederick, Pennsylvania Historical and Museum Commission-Bureau for Historic Preservation; Beth Cole, Maryland Historic Trust; Tim Tamburrino, Maryland Historic Trust	FRA NEC FUTURE Update	Attaching letter providing program update.
12/27/2013	Outgoing	email and letter	Rebecca Reyes-Alicea, Federal Railroad Administration	Edward Delgado, Chairman, Oneida Tribe of Indians of Wisconsin cc: Amishi Castelli, Volpe Center, FRA NEC FUTURE Environmental Lead; John Bonafide, New York State Office of Parks, Recreation and Historic Preservation, Division for Historic Preservation	FRA NEC FUTURE Update	Attaching letter providing program update.
12/27/2013	Outgoing	email and letter	Rebecca Reyes-Alicea, Federal Railroad Administration	Raymond Halbritter, Nation Representative, Oneida Nation of New York cc: Amishi Castelli, Volpe Center, FRA NEC FUTURE Environmental Lead; Jesse Bergevin, Historian; John Bonafide, New York State Office of Parks, Recreation and Historic Preservation, Division for Historic Preservation	FRA NEC FUTURE Update	Attaching letter providing program update.

DATE	IN / OUT	VIA	FROM (Name, Organization)	TO (Name, Organization)	RE	Notes
12/27/2013	Outgoing	email and letter	Rebecca Reyes-Alicea, Federal Railroad Administration	Joseph Blanchard and Liana Staci Hesler, Absentee-Shawnee Tribe of Indians of Oklahoma cc: Amishi Castelli, Volpe Center, FRA NEC FUTURE Environmental Lead; Governor George Blanchard, Absentee-Shawnee Tribe of Oklahoma; Barbara Frederick, Pennsylvania Historical and Museum Commission-Bureau for Historic Preservation; Beth Cole, Maryland Historic Trust; Tim Tamburrino, Maryland Historic Trust	FRA NEC FUTURE Update	Attaching letter providing program update.
12/27/2013	Outgoing	email and letter	Rebecca Reyes-Alicea, Federal Railroad Administration	James Quinn, Tribal Historic Preservation Officer, Mohegan Indian Tribe of Connecticut cc: Amishi Castelli, Volpe Center, FRA NEC FUTURE Environmental Lead; Bruce Bozsum, Chairperson, Mohegan Indian Tribe of Connecticut; Elaine Thomas, Deputy THPO, Mohegan Indian Tribe of Connecticut; Sue Kobyluck, Administrative Assistant, Mohegan Indian Tribe of Connecticut; Daniel T. Forrest, Connecticut Department of Economic and Community Development -- Historic Preservation and Museum Division	FRA NEC FUTURE Update	Attaching letter providing program update.
12/27/2013	Outgoing	email and letter	Rebecca Reyes-Alicea, Federal Railroad Administration	Kathleen Knowles, Tribal Historic Preservation Officer, Natural Resources Protection & Regulatory Affairs, Mashantucket (Western) Pequot of Connecticut cc: Amishi Castelli, Volpe Center, FRA NEC FUTURE Environmental Lead; Daniel T. Forrest, Connecticut Department of Economic and Community Development -- Historic Preservation and Museum Division	FRA NEC FUTURE Update	Attaching letter providing program update. NOTE: This email and letter relayed twice.
12/27/2013	Outgoing	email and letter	Rebecca Reyes-Alicea, Federal Railroad Administration	Kerry Holton, Tribal President, Delaware Nation cc: Amishi Castelli, Volpe Center, FRA NEC FUTURE Environmental Lead; Tamara Francis, THPO; John Bonafide, New York State Office of Parks, Recreation and Historic Preservation, Division for Historic Preservation	FRA NEC FUTURE Update	Attaching letter providing program update.

DATE	IN / OUT	VIA	FROM (Name, Organization)	TO (Name, Organization)	RE	Notes
12/27/2013	Outgoing	email and letter	Rebecca Reyes-Alicea, Federal Railroad Administration	<p>Mark Garrow, Chief, Saint Regis Mohawk Tribe Monica Jacobs, Chief, Saint Regis Mohawk Tribe Randy Hart, Chief, Saint Regis Mohawk Tribe</p> <p>cc: Amishi Castelli, Volpe Center, FRA NEC FUTURE Environmental Lead; Arnold Printup, Jr., Tribal Historic Preservation Officer; John Bonafide, New York State Office of Parks, Recreation and Historic Preservation, Division for Historic Preservation</p>	FRA NEC FUTURE Update	Attaching letter providing program update.
12/27/2013	Outgoing	email and letter	Rebecca Reyes-Alicea, Federal Railroad Administration	<p>Tony Gonyea, Faithkeeper, Onodaga Nation of New York</p> <p>cc: Amishi Castelli, Volpe Center, FRA NEC FUTURE Environmental Lead; John Bonafide, New York State Office of Parks, Recreation and Historic Preservation, Division for Historic Preservation</p>	FRA NEC FUTURE Update	Attaching letter providing program update.
12/27/2013	Outgoing	email and letter	Rebecca Reyes-Alicea, Federal Railroad Administration	<p>Robert Odawi Porter, President, Seneca Nation of New York; Lana Watt, Tribal Historic Preservation Officer, Seneca Nation of New York</p> <p>cc: Amishi Castelli, Volpe Center, FRA NEC FUTURE Environmental Lead; John Bonafide, New York State Office of Parks, Recreation and Historic Preservation, Division for Historic Preservation</p>	FRA NEC FUTURE Update	Attaching letter providing program update.
12/27/2013	Outgoing	email and letter	Rebecca Reyes-Alicea, Federal Railroad Administration	<p>LeRoy Howard, Chief, Seneca-Cayuga Tribe of Oklahoma</p> <p>cc: Amishi Castelli, Volpe Center, FRA NEC FUTURE Environmental Lead; Paul Barton, THPO, Environmental Director</p>	FRA NEC FUTURE Update	Attaching letter providing program update.
12/27/2013	Outgoing	email and letter	Rebecca Reyes-Alicea, Federal Railroad Administration	<p>Clint Halftown, Nation Representative, Cayuga Nation of New York</p> <p>cc: Amishi Castelli, Volpe Center, FRA NEC FUTURE Environmental Lead; Melinda Maybee, Nation Representative; John Bonafide, New York State Office of Parks, Recreation and Historic Preservation, Division for Historic Preservation</p>	FRA NEC FUTURE Update	Attaching letter providing program update.

DATE	IN / OUT	VIA	FROM (Name, Organization)	TO (Name, Organization)	RE	Notes
12/27/2013	Outgoing	email and letter	Rebecca Reyes-Alicea, Federal Railroad Administration	Randy King, Chairperson, Shinnecock Indian Nation cc: Amishi Castelli, Volpe Center, FRA NEC FUTURE Environmental Lead; Marguerite A. Smith; John Bonafide, New York State Office of Parks, Recreation and Historic Preservation, Division for Historic Preservation	FRA NEC FUTURE Update	Attaching letter providing program update.
12/27/2013	Outgoing	email and letter	Rebecca Reyes-Alicea, Federal Railroad Administration	Roger Hill, Chief, Towanda Band of Seneca Indians of New York cc: Amishi Castelli, Volpe Center, FRA NEC FUTURE Environmental Lead; Darwin Hill, Chief; John Bonafide, New York State Office of Parks, Recreation and Historic Preservation, Division for Historic Preservation	FRA NEC FUTURE Update	Attaching letter providing program update.
12/27/2013	Outgoing	email and letter	Rebecca Reyes-Alicea, Federal Railroad Administration	Kimberly Vele, President, Stockbridge Munsee Community, Wisconsin cc: Amishi Castelli, Volpe Center, FRA NEC FUTURE Environmental Lead; Sherry White, Tribal Historic Preservation Officer; John Bonafide, New York State Office of Parks, Recreation and Historic Preservation, Division for Historic Preservation	FRA NEC FUTURE Update	Attaching letter providing program update.
12/27/2013	Outgoing	email and letter	Rebecca Reyes-Alicea, Federal Railroad Administration	Leo R. Henry, Chief, Tuscarora Nation of New York cc: Amishi Castelli, Volpe Center, FRA NEC FUTURE Environmental Lead; Stuart Paterson, Chief, Tuscarora Nation of New York; John Bonafide, New York State Office of Parks, Recreation and Historic Preservation, Division for Historic Preservation	FRA NEC FUTURE Update	Attaching letter providing program update.
1/2/2014	Outgoing	email and letter	Amishi Castelli, USDOT	Beth Cole, Maryland Historic Trust; Tim Tamburrino, Maryland Historic Trust; Barbara Frederick, Bureau for Historic Preservation (PA) cc: Rebecca Reyes-Alicea, Federal Railroad Administration	FRA NEC FUTURE Update	Forwarding 12/27/2013 correspondence to the Eastern Shawnee Tribe of Oklahoma
1/2/2014	Outgoing	email and letter	Amishi Castelli, USDOT	Daniel T. Forrest, Connecticut Department of Economic and Community Development -- Historic Preservation and Museum Division cc: Rebecca Reyes-Alicea, Federal Railroad Administration	FRA NEC FUTURE Update	Forwarding 12/27/2013 correspondence to the Mohegan Indian Tribe

DATE	IN / OUT	VIA	FROM (Name, Organization)	TO (Name, Organization)	RE	Notes
1/6/2014	Outgoing	email and letter	Amishi Castelli, USDOT	<p>Kim Jumper, Tribal Historic Preservation Officer, Shawnee Tribe</p> <p>cc: Amishi Castelli, Volpe Center, FRA NEC FUTURE Environmental Lead; Ron Sparkman, Chairman, Shawnee Tribe; Barbara Frederick, Bureau for Historic Preservation (PA); Beth Cole, Maryland Historic Trust; Tim Tamburrino, Maryland Historic Trust</p> <p>cc on email: Rebecca Reyes-Alicea, Federal Railroad Administration</p>	FRA NEC FUTURE Update	Attaching letter providing program update.
1/6/2014	Outgoing	email and letter	Amishi Castelli, USDOT	<p>Matthew Thomas, Chief Sachem, Narragansett Indian Tribe of Rhode Island</p> <p>cc: Amishi Castelli, Volpe Center, FRA NEC FUTURE Environmental Lead; John Brown, THPO, Narragansett Indian Tribe of Rhode Island; Jeffrey Emidy, Rhode Island Historical Preservation and Heritage Commission; Daniel T. Forrest, Connecticut Department of Economic and Community Development -- Historic Preservation and Museum Division</p> <p>cc on email: Rebecca Reyes-Alicea, Federal Railroad Administration</p>	FRA NEC FUTURE Update	Attaching letter providing program update.
1/6/2014	Outgoing	email and letter	Amishi Castelli, USDOT	<p>Ramona Peters, Tribal Historic Preservation Officer, Mashpee Wampanoag Tribe</p> <p>cc: Amishi Castelli, Volpe Center, FRA NEC FUTURE Environmental Lead; Chuckie Green, Assistant Director, Tribal Historic Preservation Officer, Mashpee Wampanoag Tribe; Ramona Peters, Tribal Historic Preservation Officer, Mashpee Wampanoag Tribe; Brona Simon, Massachusetts Historical Commission</p> <p>cc on email: Rebecca Reyes-Alicea, Federal Railroad Administration</p>	FRA NEC FUTURE Update	<p>Attaching letter providing program update.</p> <p>NOTE: Email re-sent with letter attachment. Original 12/27/2013 email was missing email attachment.</p>
1/9/2014	Incoming	email	Kathleen Knowles, Tribal Historic Preservation Officer, Natural Resources Protection & Regulatory Affairs, Mashantucket (Western) Pequot of Connecticut	Rebecca Reyes-Alicea, Federal Railroad Administration	UPDATE ON NORTHEAST CORRIDOR (NEC) FUTURE PROGRAM - TIER I ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT STATEMENT (TIER I EIS) ALTERNATIVES	Thank you for update.

DATE	IN / OUT	VIA	FROM (Name, Organization)	TO (Name, Organization)	RE	Notes
1/15/2014	Outgoing	email	Amishi Castelli, USDOT	Beth Cole, Maryland Historical Trust / MD SHPO cc: Susan Anderson; Ruby Siegel; Rebecca Reyes-Alicea, FRA	NEC FUTURE 106 PA working group-Session #1	Attaching presentation and requesting feedback.
1/15/2014	Outgoing	email	Amishi Castelli, USDOT	Todd Levine, State Historic Preservation Office (CT) cc: Susan Anderson; Ruby Siegel; Rebecca Reyes-Alicea, FRA; Daniel Forrest, State Historic Preservation Office (CT)	NEC FUTURE 106 PA working group-Session #1	Attaching presentation and requesting feedback.
1/15/2014	Incoming	email	Beth Cole, Maryland Historical Trust / MD SHPO	Amishi Castelli, USDOT	NEC FUTURE 106 PA working group-Session #1	Confirming receipt of materials.
1/15/2014	Outgoing	email	Amishi Castelli, USDOT	Beth Cole, Maryland Historical Trust / MD SHPO cc: Ruby Siegel, Susan Anderson	NEC FUTURE 106 PA working group-Session #1	Response to MD SHPO.
1/23/2014	Incoming	email	Ramona Peters, Mashpee Wampanoag Tribal Historic Preservation Officer	Rebecca Reyes-Alicea, FRA	FRA NEC FUTURE update	Regarding missing attachment to original 12/27/2013 email.
1/23/2014	Outgoing	email	Rebecca Reyes-Alicea, Federal Railroad Administration	Ramona Peters, Tribal Historic Preservation Officer, Mashpee Wampanoag Tribe cc: Amishi Castelli, USDOT	FRA NEC FUTURE update	Response regarding missing attachment to original 12/27/2013 email, which was subsequently relayed by Amishi Castelli. Amishi Castelli's 1/6/2014 email message attached to this email.
1/28/2014	Outgoing	email	Amishi Castelli, USDOT	Erik Hein (hein@ncshpo.org); Kfanizzo@achp.gov; Allan, Darin (FTA); Youngkin, Dale (FTA); bcole@mdp.state.md.us; todd.levine@ct.gov; Quagliata, Antoinette (FTA) Cc: Anderson, Susan (Susan.Anderson@aecom.com); Siegel, Ruby (Ruby.Siegel@aecom.com); richard.starzak@icfi.com; Reyes-Alicea, Rebecca (FRA); Blatnica, Rebecca (VOLPE); Valenstein, David (FRA)	NEC FUTURE 106 PA working group	Attaching meeting notes from first FRA NEC FUTURE PA working group session.
1/28/2014	Outgoing	email	Amishi Castelli, USDOT	Erik Hein (hein@ncshpo.org) Cc: Anderson, Susan (Susan.Anderson@aecom.com); Siegel, Ruby (Ruby.Siegel@aecom.com); richard.starzak@icfi.com	NEC FUTURE 106 PA working group	Regarding NCHPO as a signatory to the PA.
2/4/2014	Outgoing	email and letter	Rebecca Reyes-Alicea, Federal Railroad Administration	Charlene Dwin-Vaughn, Advisory Council on Historic Preservation cc: Kelly Fanizzo, ACHP; Darin Allan, FTA; Amishi Castelli; USDOT	FRA - NEC FUTURE - PA Development	Relaying official notification letter regarding the development of a Programmatic Agreement (PA) as a part of the Section 106 consultation process

DATE	IN / OUT	VIA	FROM (Name, Organization)	TO (Name, Organization)	RE	Notes
2/4/2014	Outgoing	email and letter	Rebecca Reyes-Alicea, Federal Railroad Administration	Charlene Dwin-Vaughn, Advisory Council on Historic Preservation cc: Kelly Fanizzo, ACHP; Darin Allan, FTA; Amishi Castelli; USDOT	FRA - NEC FUTURE - PA Development	Relaying official notification letter regarding the development of a Programmatic Agreement (PA) as a part of the Section 106 consultation process NOTE: Resent email and letter due to incorrect email address for Kelly Fanizzo.
2/4/2014	Incoming	email	Kelly Fanizzo, ACHP	Rebecca Reyes-Alicea, FRA; Charlene Vaughn, ACHP cc: Darin Allan, FTA; Amishi Castelli, USDOT	FRA - NEC FUTURE - PA Development	Acknowledging receipt.
2/4/2014	Outgoing	email	Amishi Castelli, USDOT	Kelly Fanizzo, ACHP cc: Darin Allan, FTA; Rebecca Reyes-Alicea, FRA; Charlene Vaughn, ACHP	FRA - NEC FUTURE - PA Development	Thank you for advising re: official notification letter.
2/4/2014	Incoming	email	Kelly Fanizzo, ACHP	Amishi Castelli, USDOT cc: Darin Allan, FTA; Rebecca Reyes-Alicea, FRA; Charlene Vaughn, ACHP	FRA - NEC FUTURE - PA Development	Acknowledgment.
2/4/2014	Incoming	email	Charlene Vaughn, ACHP	Amishi Castelli, USDOT; Kelly Fanizzo, ACHP cc: Darin Allan, FTA; Rebecca Reyes-Alicea, FRA	FRA - NEC FUTURE - PA Development	Support for Programmatic Agreement and request for follow-up teleconference to discuss project schedule, milestones, and engagement of Section 106 consulting parties.
2/5/2014	Incoming	email	Kelly Fanizzo, ACHP	Amishi Castelli, USDOT	FRA - NEC FUTURE - PA Development	Requesting brief follow-up via phone.
2/5/2014	Outgoing	email	Amishi Castelli, USDOT	Kelly Fanizzo, ACHP	FRA - NEC FUTURE - PA Development	Correspondence regarding set-up of phone conversation.
2/5/2014	Incoming	email	Kelly Fanizzo, ACHP	Amishi Castelli, USDOT	FRA - NEC FUTURE - PA Development	Correspondence regarding set-up of phone conversation.
2/5/2014	Outgoing	email	Amishi Castelli, USDOT	Kelly Fanizzo, ACHP cc: Susan Anderson, Ruby Siegel, Rebecca Reyes-Alicea, Rebecca Blatnica	FRA - NEC FUTURE - PA Development	Confirming understanding of input provided via phone conversation.
2/5/2014	Incoming	email	Kelly Fanizzo, ACHP	Amishi Castelli, USDOT cc: Susan Anderson, Ruby Siegel, Rebecca Reyes-Alicea, Rebecca Blatnica, Charlene Vaughn	FRA - NEC FUTURE - PA Development	Additional input provided.
2/5/2014	Outgoing	email	Amishi Castelli, USDOT	Kelly Fanizzo, ACHP cc: Susan Anderson, Ruby Siegel, Rebecca Reyes-Alicea, Rebecca Blatnica	FRA - NEC FUTURE - PA Development	Confirming receipt of input.
2/27/2014	Outgoing	email	Amishi Castelli, USDOT	Charlene Vaughn, ACHP; Kelly Fanizzo, ACHP cc: Darin Allan, FTA; Rebecca Reyes-Alicea, FRA; Ruby Siegel, JV; Susan Anderson, JV; Selina Zapata, JV	FRA - NEC FUTURE - PA Development	Response regarding request for teleconference, to take place after second session with the PA working group, to take place in late March/early April.

DATE	IN / OUT	VIA	FROM (Name, Organization)	TO (Name, Organization)	RE	Notes
2/27/2014	Incoming	email	Kelly Fanizzo, ACHP	Amishi Castelli, USDOT; Charlene Vaughn, ACHP cc: Darin Allan, FTA; Rebecca Reyes-Alicea, FRA; Ruby Siegel, JV; Susan Anderson, JV; Selina Zapata, JV	FRA - NEC FUTURE - PA Development	Acknowledgment.
3/6/2014	Incoming	letter via email	John M. Fowler, ACHP	The Honorable Joseph C. Szabo, FRA cc: Rebecca Reyes-Alicea On email: Rachell Macklin, FRA cc on email: Rebecca Reyes-Alicea, FRA; Colleen Vaughn, FRA; Amishi Castelli, USDOT; Darin Allan, FTA; Daniel Saunders, NJ SHPO; David Maloney, DC SHPO; Elizabeth J. Cole, MD SHPO; Gwen Davis, DE SHPO; Serena Bellew, PA SHPO; Ruth Pierpont, NY SHPO; Stacey Vairo, CT SHPO; Edward Sanderson, RI SHPO; Brona Simon, MA SHPO	Northeast Corridor (NEC) FUTURE Program DC, MD, DE, NJ, PA, NY, CT, RI, MA	Response to participate in the consultation to develop a programmatic agreement for the NEC FUTURE Program.
4/8/2014	Outgoing	email	Amishi Castelli, USDOT	Craig Lukezic, DE SHPO; Caroline Scott, NJ SHPO cc: Susan Anderson, JV; Ruby Siegel, JV; Rick Starzak, ICFI; Rebecca Reyes-Alicea, FRA; Becky Blatnica, USDOT	NEC FUTURE 106 PA working group	Regarding joining the PA Working Group, attaching the agenda and meeting notes from the first working group meeting held in January.
4/15/2014	Outgoing	email	Amishi Castelli, USDOT	Erik Hein (hein@ncshpo.org); Kelly Fanizzo, ACHP; Darin Allan, FTA; Dale Youngkin, FTA; Beth Cole, MD SHPO; Todd Levine, CT SHPO; Antoinette Quagliata, FTA; Craig Lukezic, DE SHPO; Caroline Scott, NJ SHPO Cc: Anderson, Susan (Susan.Anderson@aecom.com); Siegel, Ruby (Ruby.Siegel@aecom.com); richard.starzak@icfi.com; Rebecca Reyes-Alicea, FRA; Becky Blatnica, USDOT; David Valenstein, FRA	NEC FUTURE 106 PA working group	Regarding second meeting of the NEC FUTURE Programmatic Agreement working group which will focus on developing the PA.
4/28/2014	Outgoing	email	Amishi Castelli, USDOT	Erik Hein (hein@ncshpo.org); Kelly Fanizzo, ACHP; Darin Allan, FTA; Dale Youngkin, FTA; Todd Levine, CT SHPO; Antoinette Quagliata, FTA; Craig Lukezic, DE SHPO; Caroline Scott, NJ SHPO Cc: Anderson, Susan (Susan.Anderson@aecom.com); Siegel, Ruby (Ruby.Siegel@aecom.com); richard.starzak@icfi.com; Beth Cole, MD SHPO	NEC FUTURE 106 PA working group	Reminder regarding second meeting of the NEC FUTURE Programmatic Agreement working group.
4/29/2014	Incoming	email	Craig Lukezic, Division of Historical and Cultural Affairs (Delaware)	Amishi Castelli, USDOT	NEC FUTURE 106 PA working group	Regarding call-in information for PA Working Group Session #2.

DATE	IN / OUT	VIA	FROM (Name, Organization)	TO (Name, Organization)	RE	Notes
4/29/2014	Outgoing	email	Amishi Castelli, USDOT	Craig Lukezic, Division of Historical and Cultural Affairs (Delaware)	NEC FUTURE 106 PA working group	Regarding call-in information for PA Working Group Session #2.
4/29/2014	Incoming	email	Craig Lukezic, Division of Historical and Cultural Affairs (Delaware)	Amishi Castelli, USDOT	NEC FUTURE 106 PA working group	Regarding NHL and human remains issue on adjacent property.
4/29/2014	Outgoing	email	Amishi Castelli, USDOT	Craig Lukezic, Division of Historical and Cultural Affairs (Delaware)	NEC FUTURE 106 PA working group	Regarding NHL and human remains issue on adjacent property.
5/20/2014	Outgoing	email and letter	Rebecca Reyes-Alicea, Federal Railroad Administration	Erik Hein, NCSHPO cc: Amishi Castelli, USDOT	NEC FUTURE - Letter to Request Participation / Formal initiation of consultation under Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act	To formally initiate consultation with NCSHPO under Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act
6/11/2014	Incoming	email	Kelly Fanizzo, ACHP	Amishi Castelli, USDOT cc: Charlene Vaughn, ACHP; Erik Hein, NCSHPO	NCSHPO and SHPO signing NEC PA; other follow up items	Regarding NCSHPO and SHPOs as signatories and other items ACHP volunteered to provide.
6/12/2014	Outgoing	email	Amishi Castelli, USDOT	Kelly Fanizzo, ACHP; Erik Hein, NCSHPO cc: Charlene Vaughn, ACHP; Rebecca Reyes-Alicea, FRA; Becky Blatnica, USDOT; Susan Anderson, JV; Ruby Siegel, JV; Selina Zapata Bur, JV	NCSHPO and SHPO signing NEC PA; other follow up items	Regarding NCSHPO and SHPOs as signatories, other items provided by ACHP, and Section 106 one-pager.
6/27/2014	Outgoing	email	Amishi Castelli, USDOT	Erik Hein, NCSHPO cc: Rebecca Reyes Alicea, FRA; Becky Blatnica, USDOT; Ruby Siegel, JV	FRA NEC FUTURE- FORUM handout	Regarding Forum 2014 1-page Section 106 handout
7/1/2014	Incoming	email	Erik Hein, NCSHPO	Amishi Castelli, USDOT cc: Rebecca Reyes-Alicea, FRA; Becky Blatnica, USDOT; Ruby Siegel, JV	FRA NEC FUTURE- FORUM handout	Regarding Forum 2014 1-page Section 106 handout
7/1/2014	Outgoing	email	Amishi Castelli, USDOT	Erik Hein, NCSHPO cc: Rebecca Reyes Alicea, FRA; Becky Blatnica, USDOT; Ruby Siegel, JV	FRA NEC FUTURE- FORUM handout	Regarding Forum 2014 1-page Section 106 handout
7/1/2014	Incoming	email	Erik Hein, NCSHPO	Amishi Castelli, USDOT cc: Rebecca Reyes-Alicea, FRA; Becky Blatnica, USDOT; Ruby Siegel, JV	FRA NEC FUTURE- FORUM handout	Regarding Forum 2014 1-page Section 106 handout
7/1/2014	Outgoing	email	Amishi Castelli, USDOT	Erik Hein, NCSHPO cc: Rebecca Reyes Alicea, FRA; Becky Blatnica, USDOT; Ruby Siegel, JV	FRA NEC FUTURE- FORUM handout	Regarding Forum 2014 1-page Section 106 handout

DATE	IN / OUT	VIA	FROM (Name, Organization)	TO (Name, Organization)	RE	Notes
7/2/2014	Outgoing	email	Amishi Castelli, USDOT	Dan.Saunders@dep.state.nj.us; Charles.Scott@dep.state.nj.us; rose.harvey@oprhp.state.ny.us; ruth.pierpont@oprhp.state.ny.us; john.bonafide@parks.ny.gov; brona.simon@state.ma.us; brandee.loughlin@state.ma.us; Daniel.Forrest@ct.gov; edward.sanderson@preservation.ri.gov; jeffrey.emidy@preservation.ri.gov; bafrederic@pa.gov; bcole@mdp.state.md.us; ttamburrino@mdp.state.md.us; andrew.lewis@dc.gov; david.maloney@dc.gov; rlittle@mdp.state.md.us; jvaughan@pa.gov; craig.lukezic@state.de.us; timothy.slavin@state.de.us cc: Rebecca.Reyesalicea@dot.gov; Rebecca.Blatnica@dot.gov; Siegel, Ruby <Ruby.Siegel@aecom.com>; Anderson, Susan <Susan.Anderson@aecom.com>; Bur, Selina Zapata <Selina.Zapata.Bur@aecom.com>; kfanizzo@achp.gov; cvaughn@achp.gov; hein@ncshpo.org; Darin.Allan@dot.gov	FRA NEC FUTURE: PA development draft for review and input	Requesting review of Draft Programmatic Agreement and Consulting Parties list
7/20/2014	Outgoing	email and letter	Rebecca Reyes-Alicea, Federal Railroad Administration	Erik Hein, NCSHPO cc: Amishi Castelli, USDOT	Invitation to participate in development of a Programmatic Agreement for NEC FUTURE	Regarding invitation to participate in development of PA
7/20/2014	Outgoing	email and letter	Rebecca Reyes-Alicea, Federal Railroad Administration	Lucy Garliauskas, FTA, Christopher VanWyk, FTA cc: Darin Allan, FTA; Amishi Castelli, USDOT	Invitation to participate in development of a Programmatic Agreement for NEC FUTURE	Regarding invitation to participate in development of PA
7/25/2014	Incoming	email and letter	Erik Hein, NCSHPO	Rebecca Reyes Alicea, FRA cc: Amishi Castelli, USDOT	Invitation to participate in development of a Programmatic Agreement for NEC FUTURE	Accepting invitation to participate in development of PA

DATE	IN / OUT	VIA	FROM (Name, Organization)	TO (Name, Organization)	RE	Notes
8/6/2014	Outgoing	email	Amishi Castelli, USDOT	<p>Dan.Saunders@dep.state.nj.us; Charles.Scott@dep.state.nj.us; rose.harvey@oprhp.state.ny.us; ruth.pierpont@oprhp.state.ny.us; john.bonafide@parks.ny.gov; brona.simon@state.ma.us; brandee.loughlin@state.ma.us; Daniel.Forrest@ct.gov; edward.sanderson@preservation.ri.gov; jeffrey.emidy@preservation.ri.gov; bafrederic@pa.gov; bcole@mdp.state.md.us; ttamburrino@mdp.state.md.us; andrew.lewis@dc.gov; david.maloney@dc.gov; rlittle@mdp.state.md.us; jvaughan@pa.gov; craig.lukezic@state.de.us; timothy.slavin@state.de.us</p> <p>cc: Rebecca.Reyesalicea@dot.gov; Rebecca.Blatnica@dot.gov; Siegel, Ruby <Ruby.Siegel@aecom.com>; Anderson, Susan <Susan.Anderson@aecom.com>; Bur, Selina Zapata <Selina.Zapata.Bur@aecom.com>; kfanizzo@achp.gov; cvaughn@achp.gov; hein@ncshpo.org; Darin.Allan@dot.gov</p>	FRA NEC FUTURE: PA development draft for review and input	Check-in regarding review of Draft Programmatic Agreement and Consulting Parties list
8/21/2014	Incoming	email and letter	Lucy Garliauskas, FTA	<p>Rebecca Reyes-Alicea, FRA</p> <p>cc: Mary Beth Mello, FTA; Marilyn Shazor, FTA; Reginald B. Lovelace, FTA; Amishi Castelli, USDOT</p>	Letter from FTA Office of Planning and Environment	Accepting invitation to participate in development of and to be a signatory to the PA.
8/22/2014	Incoming	email	Jeff Emidy, Historical Preservation and Heritage Commission	Amishi Castelli, USDOT	FRA NEC FUTURE: PA development draft for review and input	Attaching review of Programmatic Agreement and Consulting Parties list.
8/23/2014	Outgoing	email	Amishi Castelli, USDOT	<p>Jeffrey Emidy, Rhode Island Historic Preservation & Heritage Commission</p> <p>cc: Rebecca Reyes-Alicea, FRA; Ruby Siegel, JV; Susan Anderson, JV</p>	FRA NEC FUTURE: PA development draft for review and input	Regarding RI SHPO review of Programmatic Agreement and Consulting Parties list.
8/27/2014	Incoming	email	Beth Cole, Maryland Historical Trust / MD SHPO	<p>Amishi Castelli, USDOT; Susan Anderson, JV</p> <p>cc: Elizabeth Hughes, MDP; Tim Tamburrino, MDP; Erik Hein, NCSHPO</p>	FRA NEC FUTURE: PA development draft for review and input	Attaching review of Programmatic Agreement and Consulting Parties list.
8/28/2014	Incoming	email	Chris Wilson, ACHP	<p>Amishi Castelli, USDOT</p> <p>cc: Kelly Fanizzo, ACHP</p>	FRA NEC FUTURE: PA development draft for review and input	Attaching review of Programmatic Agreement.
8/28/2014	Outgoing	email	Amishi Castelli, USDOT	<p>Chris Wilson, ACHP</p> <p>cc: Kelly Fanizzo, ACHP; Rebecca Reyes-Alicea, FRA; Becky Blatnica, USDOT; Ruby Siegel, JV; C. Vaughn, ACHP</p>	FRA NEC FUTURE: PA development draft for review and input	Regarding ACHP review of Programmatic Agreement and subsequent ACHP/NCSHPO meeting.

DATE	IN / OUT	VIA	FROM (Name, Organization)	TO (Name, Organization)	RE	Notes
8/29/2014	Incoming	email	Barbara Frederick, Bureau for Historic Preservation (PA)	Amishi Castelli, USDOT	FRA NEC FUTURE: PA development draft for review and input	PA SHPO comments on the preliminary draft PA, made in track changes. No comments on the proposed consulting parties list.
8/29/2014	Outgoing	email	Amishi Castelli, USDOT	Barbara Frederick, Bureau for Historic Preservation (PA) cc: Rebecca Reyes-Alicea, FRA; Becky Blatnica, USDOT; Susan Anderson, JV; Ruby Siegel, JV	FRA NEC FUTURE: PA development draft for review and input	Confirmation of receipt of comments on preliminary draft PA.
9/15/2014	Outgoing	email	Amishi Castelli, USDOT	Craig Lukezic, DE SHPO cc: Timothy Slavin, DE SHPO; Gwen Davis, DE SHPO; Rebecca Reyes-Alicea, FRA; Becky Blatnica, USDOT; Ruby Siegel, JV; Susan Anderson, JV	FRA NEC FUTURE: meeting request	Requesting teleconference to follow-up on request for comments on the PA and data collection issues.
9/15/2014	Outgoing	email	Amishi Castelli, USDOT	Caroline Scott, NJ SHPO; Dan Saunders, NJ SHPO cc: Rebecca Reyes-Alicea, FRA; Becky Blatnica, USDOT; Ruby Siegel, JV; Susan Anderson, JV	FRA NEC FUTURE: meeting request	Requesting teleconference to follow-up on request for comments on the PA and data collection issues.
9/15/2014	Outgoing	email	Amishi Castelli, USDOT	John Bonafide, NY SHPO cc: Rebecca Reyes-Alicea, FRA; Becky Blatnica, USDOT; Ruby Siegel, JV; Susan Anderson, JV	FRA NEC FUTURE: meeting request	Requesting teleconference to follow-up on request for comments on the PA and data collection issues.
9/15/2014	Outgoing	email	Amishi Castelli, USDOT	Daniel Forrest, CT SHPO cc: Susan Chandler, CT SHPO; Rebecca Reyes-Alicea, FRA; Becky Blatnica, USDOT; Ruby Siegel, JV; Susan Anderson, JV	FRA NEC FUTURE: meeting request	Requesting teleconference to follow-up on request for comments on the PA and data collection issues.
9/15/2014	Outgoing	email	Amishi Castelli, USDOT	Barbara Frederick, PA SHPO cc: James Vaughan, PA SHPO; Cheryl Nagle, PA SHPO; Rebecca Reyes-Alicea, FRA; Becky Blatnica, USDOT; Ruby Siegel, JV; Susan Anderson, JV	FRA NEC FUTURE: meeting request	Requesting teleconference to follow-up on request for comments on the PA and data collection issues.
9/16/2014	Outgoing	email	Amishi Castelli, USDOT	Jeffrey Emidy, RI SHPO cc: Edward Sanderson, RI SHPO; Rebecca Reyes-Alicea, FRA; Becky Blatnica, USDOT; Ruby Siegel, JV; Susan Anderson, JV	FRA NEC FUTURE: meeting request	Requesting teleconference to follow-up on request for comments on the PA and data collection issues.
9/16/2014	Outgoing	email	Amishi Castelli, USDOT	Andrew Lewis, DC HPO cc: David Maloney, DC HPO; Rebecca Reyes-Alicea, FRA; Becky Blatnica, USDOT; Ruby Siegel, JV; Susan Anderson, JV	FRA NEC FUTURE: meeting request	Requesting teleconference regarding comments on the PA

DATE	IN / OUT	VIA	FROM (Name, Organization)	TO (Name, Organization)	RE	Notes
9/23/2014	Outgoing	email	Amishi Castelli, USDOT	Anderson, Susan <Susan.Anderson@aecom.com>; richard.starzak@icfi.com; Bur, Selina Zapata <Selina.Zapata.Bur@aecom.com>; camays@pbworld.com; bafrederic@pa.gov; mshaffer@pa.gov; jvaughan@pa.gov; chnagle@pa.gov	PA SHPO-FRA conversation on NEC FUTURE	Meeting invite for 9/23/2014 webinar and conference call, including agenda and other meeting materials.
9/25/2014	Incoming	email	John Bonafide, NY SHPO	Amishi Castelli, USDOT	FRA NEC FUTURE: meeting request	Responding to 9/15 FRA meeting request/ Promising comments week of email.
9/25/2014	Outgoing	email	Amishi Castelli, USDOT	John Bonafide, NY SHPO	FRA NEC FUTURE: meeting request	Response to 9/25 email
9/26/2014	Incoming	email	John Bonafide, NY SHPO	Amishi Castelli, USDOT	FRA NEC FUTURE: meeting request	Response to 9/25 email
9/26/2014	Outgoing	email	Amishi Castelli, USDOT	John Bonafide, NY SHPO	FRA NEC FUTURE: meeting request	Response to 9/26 email
10/17/2014	Incoming	letter	Brona Simon, Massachusetts Historical Commission	Amishi Castelli, USDOT cc: David Mohler, MassDOT; Bettina Washington, Wampanoag Tribe of Gay Head (Aquinnah); Ramona Peters, Mashpee Wampanoag Tribe; Susan Anderson, JV	Federal Railroad Administration Northeast Corridor Future Rail Project, Massachusetts. MHC #RC.52707	Comments on the PA
10/24/2014	Outgoing	Letter	Rebecca Reyes-Alicea, Federal Railroad Administration	Marissa Turnbull Cc: AC, STA	Formal invitation to become a consulting party	Attachments include response form, dates for public meetings in November 2013, Section 106 information sheet, corridor and state maps (when appropriate) and a copy of the current PA
10/24/2014	Outgoing	Letter	Rebecca Reyes-Alicea, Federal Railroad Administration	Matthew Thomas CC: John Brown, AC, STA	Formal invitation to become a consulting party	Attachments include response form, dates for public meetings in November 2013, Section 106 information sheet, corridor and state maps (when appropriate) and a copy of the current PA
10/24/2014	Outgoing	Letter	Rebecca Reyes-Alicea, Federal Railroad Administration	Daniel Collins CC: Marguarite A Smith Esq, AC, STA	Formal invitation to become a consulting party	Attachments include response form, dates for public meetings in November 2013, Section 106 information sheet, corridor and state maps (when appropriate) and a copy of the current PA
10/24/2014	Outgoing	Letter	Rebecca Reyes-Alicea, Federal Railroad Administration	James Quinn cc: Sue Kobyluck, AC, STA	Formal invitation to become a consulting party	Attachments include response form, dates for public meetings in November 2013, Section 106 information sheet, corridor and state maps (when appropriate) and a copy of the current PA
10/24/2014	Outgoing	Letter	Rebecca Reyes-Alicea, Federal Railroad Administration	Cheryl Andrews-Maltais cc: Bettina, Washington, AC, STA	Formal invitation to become a consulting party	Attachments include response form, dates for public meetings in November 2013, Section 106 information sheet, corridor and state maps (when appropriate) and a copy of the current PA
10/30/2014	Outgoing	email	Rebecca Reyes-Alicea, Federal Railroad Administration	Marissa Turnbull Cc: AC, STA	Formal invitation to become a consulting party	Attachments include response form, dates for public meetings in November 2013, Section 106 information sheet, corridor and state maps (when appropriate) and a copy of the current PA

DATE	IN / OUT	VIA	FROM (Name, Organization)	TO (Name, Organization)	RE	Notes
10/30/2014	Outgoing	email	Rebecca Reyes-Alicea, Federal Railroad Administration	Matthew Thomas CC: John Brown, AC, STA	Formal invitation to become a consulting party	Attachments include response form, dates for public meetings in November 2013, Section 106 information sheet, corridor and state maps (when appropriate) and a copy of the current PA
10/30/2014	Outgoing	email	Rebecca Reyes-Alicea, Federal Railroad Administration	Daniel Collins CC: Marguarite A Smith Esq, AC, STA	Formal invitation to become a consulting party	Attachments include response form, dates for public meetings in November 2013, Section 106 information sheet, corridor and state maps (when appropriate) and a copy of the current PA
10/30/2014	Outgoing	email	Rebecca Reyes-Alicea, Federal Railroad Administration	James Quinn cc: Sue Kobyluck, AC, STA	Formal invitation to become a consulting party	Attachments include response form, dates for public meetings in November 2013, Section 106 information sheet, corridor and state maps (when appropriate) and a copy of the current PA
10/30/2014	Outgoing	email	Rebecca Reyes-Alicea, Federal Railroad Administration	Cheryl Andrews-Maltais cc: Bettina, Washington, AC, STA	Formal invitation to become a consulting party	Attachments include response form, dates for public meetings in November 2013, Section 106 information sheet, corridor and state maps (when appropriate) and a copy of the current PA
10/29/2014	Outgoing	email	Amishi Castelli	Joseph Blanchard, Liana Staci Hesler cc: George Blanchard, RRA, STA	Formal invitation to become a consulting party	Attachments include response form, dates for public meetings in November 2013, Section 106 information sheet, corridor and state maps (when appropriate) and a copy of the current PA
10/29/2014	Outgoing	email	Amishi Castelli	Clint Halftown (S Leroy email) CC: RRA, STA	Formal invitation to become a consulting party	Attachments include response form, dates for public meetings in November 2013, Section 106 information sheet, corridor and state maps (when appropriate) and a copy of the current PA
10/29/2014	Outgoing	email	Amishi Castelli	Kerry Holton cc: Tamara Francis, RRA, STA	Formal invitation to become a consulting party	Attachments include response form, dates for public meetings in November 2013, Section 106 information sheet, corridor and state maps (when appropriate) and a copy of the current PA
10/29/2014	Outgoing	email	Amishi Castelli	Dr. Bruce Obermeyer cc: Paula Pechonick, RRA, STA	Formal invitation to become a consulting party	Attachments include response form, dates for public meetings in November 2013, Section 106 information sheet, corridor and state maps (when appropriate) and a copy of the current PA
10/29/2014	Outgoing	email	Amishi Castelli	Cedrick Cromwell CC: Ramona Peters, RRA, STA	Formal invitation to become a consulting party	Attachments include response form, dates for public meetings in November 2013, Section 106 information sheet, corridor and state maps (when appropriate) and a copy of the current PA
10/29/2014	Outgoing	Letter	Amishi Castelli	Glenna J. Wallace cc: RRA, STA	Formal invitation to become a consulting party	Attachments include response form, dates for public meetings in November 2013, Section 106 information sheet, corridor and state maps (when appropriate) and a copy of the current PA

DATE	IN / OUT	VIA	FROM (Name, Organization)	TO (Name, Organization)	RE	Notes
10/29/2014	Outgoing	email	Amishi Castelli	Raymond Halbritter cc: RRA, STA	Formal invitation to become a consulting party	Attachments include response form, dates for public meetings in November 2013, Section 106 information sheet, corridor and state maps (when appropriate) and a copy of the current PA
10/29/2014	Outgoing	Letter	Amishi Castelli	Edward Delgado cc: Corina Burke, RRA, STA	Formal invitation to become a consulting party	Attachments include response form, dates for public meetings in November 2013, Section 106 information sheet, corridor and state maps (when appropriate) and a copy of the current PA Email returned-sent hard copy
10/29/2014	Outgoing	Letter	Amishi Castelli	Irving Powless, Jr. cc: RRA, STA	Formal invitation to become a consulting party	Attachments include response form, dates for public meetings in November 2013, Section 106 information sheet, corridor and state maps (when appropriate) and a copy of the current PA Email returned-sent hard copy
10/29/2014	Outgoing	email	Amishi Castelli	Robert Odawi Porter cc: RRA, STA	Formal invitation to become a consulting party	Attachments include response form, dates for public meetings in November 2013, Section 106 information sheet, corridor and state maps (when appropriate) and a copy of the current PA
10/29/2014	Outgoing	email	Amishi Castelli	LeRoy Howard, Lana Watt cc: Paul Barton, RRA, STA	Formal invitation to become a consulting party	Attachments include response form, dates for public meetings in November 2013, Section 106 information sheet, corridor and state maps (when appropriate) and a copy of the current PA Strikethrough=returned email, no further action taken, reached another person at that organization
10/29/2014	Outgoing	email	Amishi Castelli	Mark Garrow, Monica Jacobs, Randy Hart CC: Arnold Printup Jr., RRA, STA	Formal invitation to become a consulting party	Attachments include response form, dates for public meetings in November 2013, Section 106 information sheet, corridor and state maps (when appropriate) and a copy of the current PA
10/29/2014	Outgoing	email	Amishi Castelli	Kim Jumper cc: Ron Sparkman, RRA, STA	Formal invitation to become a consulting party	Attachments include response form, dates for public meetings in November 2013, Section 106 information sheet, corridor and state maps (when appropriate) and a copy of the current PA
10/29/2014	Outgoing	email	Amishi Castelli	Kimberly Vele cc: Sherry White, RRA, STA	Formal invitation to become a consulting party	Attachments include response form, dates for public meetings in November 2013, Section 106 information sheet, corridor and state maps (when appropriate) and a copy of the current PA
10/29/2014	Outgoing	email	Amishi Castelli	Roger Hill cc: RRA, STA	Formal invitation to become a consulting party	Attachments include response form, dates for public meetings in November 2013, Section 106 information sheet, corridor and state maps (when appropriate) and a copy of the current PA

DATE	IN / OUT	VIA	FROM (Name, Organization)	TO (Name, Organization)	RE	Notes
10/29/2014	Outgoing	Letter	Amishi Castelli	Leo R. Henry CC: RRA, STA	Formal invitation to become a consulting party	Attachments include response form, dates for public meetings in November 2013, Section 106 information sheet, corridor and state maps (when appropriate) and a copy of the current PA
10/29/2014	Outgoing	email	Amishi Castelli	CT List as a BCC: Daniel Forrest, James Redeker, Eugene Colonese, James, Spencer, Tom Maziarz, Helen Higgins CC: Daniel Forrest, RRA, STA	Formal invitation to become a consulting party	Attachments include response form, dates for public meetings in November 2013, Section 106 information sheet, corridor and state maps (when appropriate) and a copy of the current PA
10/29/2014	Outgoing	email	Amishi Castelli	DC List as a BCC: David Maloney, C Andrew Lewis, Matthew Brown, Steve Strauss CC: Andrew Lewis, RRA, STA	Formal invitation to become a consulting party	Attachments include response form, dates for public meetings in November 2013, Section 106 information sheet, corridor and state maps (when appropriate) and a copy of the current PA
10/29/2014	Outgoing	email	Amishi Castelli	DE List as a BCC: Shailen Bhatt, Charles Fithian, Craig Lukezic CC: Craig Lukezic, RRA, STA	Formal invitation to become a consulting party	Attachments include response form, dates for public meetings in November 2013, Section 106 information sheet, corridor and state maps (when appropriate) and a copy of the current PA
10/29/2014	Outgoing	email	Amishi Castelli	MA List as a BCC: Kenneth Kimmell, Richard Davey, Steve Woelfel, Katherine Fichter, Matthew Ciborowski, Tim Doherty, Brona Simon, Brandee Loughlin CC: Brandee Loughlin, Brona Simon, Rra, STA	Formal invitation to become a consulting party	Attachments include response form, dates for public meetings in November 2013, Section 106 information sheet, corridor and state maps (when appropriate) and a copy of the current PA Strikethrough=returned email, no further action taken, reached another person at that organization
10/29/2014	Outgoing	Letter	Amishi Castelli	MA Hard copy per their request on 10/29/2014: Brona Simon	Formal invitation to become a consulting party	Attachments include response form, dates for public meetings in November 2013, Section 106 information sheet, corridor and state maps (when appropriate) and a copy of the current PA
10/29/2014	Outgoing	email	Amishi Castelli	MD List as a BCC: Beth Cole, Tim Tamburrino, Bradley Smith CC: Tim Tamburrino, Beth Cole, RRA, STA	Formal invitation to become a consulting party	Attachments include response form, dates for public meetings in November 2013, Section 106 information sheet, corridor and state maps (when appropriate) and a copy of the current PA
10/29/2014	Outgoing	email	Amishi Castelli	NJ List as a BCC: Daniel Saunders, Charles Scott, Jamie Fox, Jeremy Colangelo, Richard Roberts CC: Dan Saunders, Charles Scott, RRA, STA	Formal invitation to become a consulting party	Attachments include response form, dates for public meetings in November 2013, Section 106 information sheet, corridor and state maps (when appropriate) and a copy of the current PA
10/29/2014	Outgoing	email	Amishi Castelli	NY List as a BCC: Meenakshi Srinivansan, Joan McDonald, Ron Epstein, Ruth Pierpont, Rose Harvey, John A. Bonafide CC: John Bonafide, RRA, STA	Formal invitation to become a consulting party	Attachments include response form, dates for public meetings in November 2013, Section 106 information sheet, corridor and state maps (when appropriate) and a copy of the current PA

DATE	IN / OUT	VIA	FROM (Name, Organization)	TO (Name, Organization)	RE	Notes
10/29/2014	Outgoing	email	Amishi Castelli	PA List as a BCC: Barry Schoch, Toby Fauver, Cathy Spohn, Dug McLearn, Barbara Frederick CC: Barbara Frederick, RRA, STA	Formal invitation to become a consulting party	Attachments include response form, dates for public meetings in November 2013, Section 106 information sheet, corridor and state maps (when appropriate) and a copy of the current PA
10/29/2014	Outgoing	email	Amishi Castelli	RI List as a BCC: Michael Lewis, Stephen Devine, Edward Sanderson, Jonathan Stevens, Jeffery Emidy CC: Jeffery Emidy, RRA, STA	Formal invitation to become a consulting party	Attachments include response form, dates for public meetings in November 2013, Section 106 information sheet, corridor and state maps (when appropriate) and a copy of the current PA
11/5/2014	both	email	Amishi Castelli	Gina Santucci NYC-LPC	New Contact and affirmative response to CP invitation	Several quick back and forth emails.
11/7/2014	both	email	Amishi Castelli	Jonathan Stevens, RI Governors Office/Jeffrey Emidy	Correspondence and coordination RE: CP letter; clarification on POC as Jeffrey Emidy	
11/13/2014	both	email	Barbara Frederick, Bureau for Historic Preservation (PA)	Susan Anderson	New Contact Emily Deihl	
11/18/2014	Incoming	email	Thomas Maziarz CTDOT	Amishi Castelli	Affirmative response to CP Invitation	
11/21/2014	Incoming	email	J. Brett Taylor, Del DOT	Amishi Castelli	New Contact and affirmative response to CP invitation	
11/24/2014	Incoming	email	Doug Harris, Narragansett	Amishi Castelli	New Contact and affirmative response to CP invitation	
11/25/2014	Incoming	email	Jeff Emidy, Historical Preservation and Heritage Commission	Amishi Castelli	Affirmative response to CP Invitation	
11/25/2014	Incoming	call	Ramona Peters, Mashpee Wampanoag Tribal Historic Preservation Officer	RRA	Updated contact information	
12/2/2014	Incoming	Call	Marissa Turnbull, Mashantucket Pequot	RRA	Affirmative response to be a Signatory on PA	

DATE	IN / OUT	VIA	FROM (Name, Organization)	TO (Name, Organization)	RE	Notes
12/2/2014	Outgoing	Email	Amishi Castelli	'James.Redeker@ct.gov'; 'Judy.Raymond@ct.gov'; 'Mark.W.Alexander@ct.gov'; 'James.Spencer@po.state.ct.us'; 'Thomas.Maziarz@ct.gov'; 'hhigginst@cttrust.org'; 'david.maloney@dc.gov'; 'ddot@dc.gov'; 'steve.strauss@dc.gov'; 'Shailen.bhatt@state.de.us'; 'Lesley.devine@state.de.us'; 'brett.taylor@state.de.us'; 'Chuck.Fithian@state.de.us'; 'Richard.Davey@dot.state.ma.us'; 'steve.woelfel@state.ma.us'; 'matthew.ciborowski@state.ma.us'; 'Timothy.Doherty@state.ma.us'; 'mhc@sec.state.ma.us'; 'bsmith9@mdot.state.md.us'; 'JColangelo-bryan@njtransit.com'; 'rtroberts@njtransit.com'; 'VHakim@njtransit.com'; 'Jmcdonald1@dot.state.ny.us'; 'commissioner@dot.ny.gov'; 'repstein@dot.state.ny.us'; 'Gsantucci@lpc.nyc.gov'; 'bschoch@pa.gov'; 'ebdutton@pa.gov'; 'tfauver@state.pa.us'; 'cspohn@pa.gov'; 'dmcclare@pa.gov'; 'mlewis@dot.ri.gov'; 'sdevine@dot.ri.gov'; 'Edward.sanderson@preservation.ri.gov'; 'jonathan.stevens@governor.ri.gov'	Reminder about Consulting Party invitation, Call for all PA comments by 12/31	
12/2/2014	Outgoing	Email	Amishi Castelli	'lenapendn@gmail.com'; 'nhorn@delawarenation.com'; 'tfrancis@delawarenation.com'; 'ppechonick@delawaretribe.org'; 'bobermeyer@delawaretribe.org'; 'dhathaway@mwtribe.com'; 'rpeters@mwtribe.com'; 'info@oneida- nation.org'; 'sbarbano@oneida- nation.org'; 'edelgado@oneidanation.org'; 'robert.porter@sni.org'; 'senecanet@gmail.com'; 'lhoward@sctribe.com'; 'pbarton@sctribe.com'; 'ronded@gmail.com'; 'kjunper_shawneetribes@hotmail.com'; 'abero@srmt-nsn.gov'; 'arnold.printup@srmt-nsn.gov'; 'tribal.council@mohican-nsn.gov'; 'kimberly.vele@mohican-nsn.gov'; 'sherry.white@mohican-nsn.gov'; 'tonseneca@aol.com'	Reminder about Consulting Party invitation, Call for all PA comments by 12/31	
12/2/2014	both	email	Amishi Castelli	Gina Santucci NYC-LPC	Request and resend of the PA and NHLs/NRHPs for their review	

DATE	IN / OUT	VIA	FROM (Name, Organization)	TO (Name, Organization)	RE	Notes
12/2/2014	Outgoing	Email	Amishi Castelli	Emily Diehl, PA Bureau of Historic Preservation	Reminder about Consulting Party invitation, Call for all PA comments by 12/31	
12/2/2014	both	email	Amishi Castelli	Bettina Washington Wampanoag Tribe	Update of the chairman's address	
12/2/2014	Incoming	Email	Amishi Castelli	Michael Herbert, RI DOT	New Contact and affirmative response to CP invitation	
12/2/2014	Incoming	Email	Amishi Castelli	Steve Strauss, DDOT	Affirmative response to CP Invitation	
12/2/2014	Outgoing	Email	Amishi Castelli	chairwoman@wampanoagtribe.net; bettina@wampanoagtribe.net addressed to Betinna at Wampanoag Tribe of Gay Head (Aquinnah)	Government to government consultation	
12/2/2014	Outgoing	Email	Amishi Castelli	jquinn@moheganmail.com Addressed to James at Mohegan	Government to government consultation	
12/2/2014	Outgoing	Email	Amishi Castelli	mturnbull@mptn-nsn.gov Addressed to Marissa at Mashantucket Pequot	Government to government consultation	
12/2/2014	Outgoing	Email	Amishi Castelli	sination@optonline.net Addressed to Chairman Collins at Shinnecock	Government to government consultation	
12/2/2014	Outgoing	Email	Amishi Castelli	dhnithpo@gmail.com addressed to Mr. Harris at Narragansett	Government to government consultation	
12/3/2014	both	email	Amishi Castelli	C. Andrew Lewis, DC SHPO	duplicate copy of PA requested and sent	
12/7/2014	outgoing	email	Amishi Castelli	James Quinn att Mohegan	correspondence re invited signatory and PA	
12/8/2014	both	email	Amishi Castelli	Ann Calafiore, CT DOT	Follow-up on consulting party status	
12/9/2014	both	email	Amishi Castelli	Bonney.Hartley@mohican-nsn.gov	Follow-up on consulting party status, resent forms, questions about consulting party versus Section 106 consultation	
12/9/2014	both	email	Amishi Castelli	Beth Cole, MD Historical Trust	clarification on CP invitation	
12/10/2014	both	email	Amishi Castelli	Helen Higgins, CT Trust for Historic Preservation	Affirmative response to CP Invitation	
12/11/2014	both	email	Amishi Castelli	Daniel Saunders, NJ Historic Preservation Office	clarification on CP invitation	
12/11/2014	both	email	Amishi Castelli	Bonney.Hartley@mohican-nsn.gov	clarification about consulting party versus Section 106 consultation	
12/12/2014	both	email	Amishi Castelli	James Quinn Mohegan	Affirmative CP Response	
12/12/2014	both	email	Amishi Castelli	J colangelo-Bryan, NJTransit	Affirmative CP Response	
12/15/2014	both	email	Amishi Castelli	Marissa Turnbull, Mashantucket	Agree to attend govt to govt consultation, response asked about CP status	
12/17/2014	outgoing	email	Amishi Castelli	Daniel Forrest, CT	SHPO, invitation to be a signatory on the PA	
12/17/2014	outgoing	email	Amishi Castelli	Andrew Lewis, DC	SHPO, invitation to be a signatory on the PA	
12/17/2014	outgoing	email	Amishi Castelli	Craig Lukezic, DE	SHPO, invitation to be a signatory on the PA	
12/17/2014	outgoing	email + Hard Copy	Amishi Castelli	Brona Simon, MA	SHPO, invitation to be a signatory on the PA	
12/17/2014	outgoing	email	Amishi Castelli	Beth Cole, MD and Timothy Slavin, DE	SHPO, invitation to be a signatory on the PA	
12/17/2014	outgoing	email	Amishi Castelli	Dan Saunders and Charles Scott, NJ	SHPO, invitation to be a signatory on the PA	
12/17/2014	outgoing	email	Amishi Castelli	John Bonafide, NY	SHPO, invitation to be a signatory on the PA	
12/17/2014	outgoing	email	Amishi Castelli	Emma Diehl, PA	SHPO, invitation to be a signatory on the PA	
12/17/2014	outgoing	email	Amishi Castelli	Jeffrey Emidy, RI	SHPO, invitation to be a signatory on the PA	

DATE	IN / OUT	VIA	FROM (Name, Organization)	TO (Name, Organization)	RE	Notes
12/17/2014	outgoing	email	Amishi Castelli	Chairman Collins, Shinnecock Nation	Follow-up on govt to govt consultation and CP status	
12/17/2014	outgoing	both	Amishi Castelli	Andrew Lewis, DC	Will be providing comments on PA draft	
12/17/2014	outgoing	email	Amishi Castelli	Bettina Washington Wampanoag Tribe	Follow-up on govt to govt consultation and CP status	
12/17/2014	outgoing	email	Amishi Castelli	Mr. Harris at Narragansett	Follow-up on govt to govt consultation and CP status	
12/17/2014	outgoing	email	Amishi Castelli	Kelly Fanizzo and Chris Wilson, ACHP	Revised PA incorporating comments	
12/17/2014	both	email	Amishi Castelli	Beth Cole, MD	agree to be a signatory on the PA	
12/18/2014	both	email	Amishi Castelli	Bonney Hartney, Mohican	CP update and follow-up re: phone call about counties with potential impacts	
12/18/2014	incoming	email	Blair Fink, Delaware Tribe	Amishi Castelli, USDOT	FRA NEC FUTURE update and consulting party invitation	Affirmative response to CP Invitation
12/19/2014	Incoming	email	Gina Santucci	Amishi Castelli, USDOT cc: John Bonafide, NY SHPO	LPC comments on proposed NEC PA	
12/22/2014	both	email	Amishi Castelli	Craig Lukezic, DE	agree to be a signatory on the PA	
12/22/2014	both	email	Amishi Castelli	Bonney Hartney, Mohican	Request to be a signatory	
12/29/2014	outgoing	email	Amishi Castelli	Emma Diehl, PA	agree to be a signatory on the PA	
12/30/2014	Both	email	Amishi Castelli	Gina Santucci NYC-LPC	Response and acknowledgement of comments on the PA.	
12/30/2014	Incoming	letter	Brona Simon, Massachusetts Historical Commission	Rebecca Reyes-Alicea, FRA cc: Amishi Castelli, USDOT; David Mohler, MassDOT; Chris Wilson, ACHP; Erik Hein, NCSHPO; Bettina Washington, Wampanoag Tribe of Gay Head (Aquinnah); Ramona Peters, Mashpee Wampanoag Tribe; Susan Anderson, JV	Federal Railroad Administration Northeast Corridor Future Rail Project, Massachusetts. MHC #RC.52707	Response to letter dated December 17, 2014 to MA SHPO.
12/31/2014	incoming	email	Amishi Castelli	John Bonafide, NYS	agree to be a signatory on the PA	
1/6/2015	Outgoing	email	Amishi Castelli	John Bonafide, NY SHPO	LPC comments on proposed NEC PA	Request discussion on how LPC should be involved in the NEC FUTURE PA
1/7/2015	Incoming	email	Bonney Hartley, Stockbridge Munsee Mohican	Amishi Castelli, USDOT Sherry White; susan.anderson@aecom.com; Reyes-Alicea, Rebecca (FRA)	FRA NEC FUTURE update and consulting party invitation	Comments on the PA
1/8/2015	Outgoing	email	Amishi Castelli	Bonney Hartley, Stockbridge Munsee Mohican Cc: Sherry White; susan.anderson@aecom.com; Reyes-Alicea, Rebecca (FRA)	FRA NEC FUTURE update and consulting party invitation	Follow-up regarding comments on the PA
1/14/2015	Outgoing	email	Amishi Castelli	Bonney Hartley, Stockbridge Munsee Mohican Cc: Sherry White; susan.anderson@aecom.com; Reyes-Alicea, Rebecca (FRA)	FRA NEC FUTURE update and consulting party invitation	Request to schedule a government-to-government consultation
1/15/2015	Outgoing	email	Amishi Castelli	John Bonafide, NY SHPO cc: Rebecca Reyes-Alicea, FRA; Ruby Siegel, JV; Susan Anderson, JV	LPC comments on proposed NEC PA	Follow-up on conversation regarding discussion on how LPC should be involved in the NEC FUTURE PA.

DATE	IN / OUT	VIA	FROM (Name, Organization)	TO (Name, Organization)	RE	Notes
1/22/2015	Incoming	email	Bonney Hartley, Stockbridge Munsee Mohican	Amishi Castelli, USDOT Sherry White; susan.anderson@aecom.com; Reyes-Alicea, Rebecca (FRA)	FRA NEC FUTURE update and consulting party invitation	Response regarding request to schedule a government-to-government consultation
1/26/2015	Outgoing	email	Amishi Castelli	Chris Wilson, ACHP cc: Kelly Fanizzo, ACHP	LPC involvement in NEC FUTURE 106 process	Regarding NYC LPC involvement
1/30/2015	Outgoing	email	Amishi Castelli	Bonney Hartley, Stockbridge Munsee Mohican Cc: Sherry White; susan.anderson@aecom.com; Reyes-Alicea, Rebecca (FRA)	FRA NEC FUTURE update and consulting party invitation	Regarding scheduling of a government-to-government consultation
1/30/2015	Incoming	email	Bonney Hartley, Stockbridge Munsee Mohican	Amishi Castelli, USDOT Sherry White; susan.anderson@aecom.com; Reyes-Alicea, Rebecca (FRA)	FRA NEC FUTURE update and consulting party invitation	Regarding scheduling of a government-to-government consultation
2/2/2015	Outgoing	email	Amishi Castelli	Bonney Hartley, Stockbridge Munsee Mohican Cc: Sherry White; susan.anderson@aecom.com; Reyes-Alicea, Rebecca (FRA)	FRA NEC FUTURE update and consulting party invitation	Regarding scheduling of a government-to-government consultation
2/2/2015	Outgoing	email	Amishi Castelli	Bonney Hartley, Stockbridge Munsee Mohican Cc: Sherry White; susan.anderson@aecom.com	FRA NEC FUTURE update and consulting party invitation	Regarding scheduling of a government-to-government consultation
2/2/2015	Incoming	email	Bonney Hartley, Stockbridge Munsee Mohican	Amishi Castelli, USDOT cc: susan.anderson@aecom.com	FRA NEC FUTURE update and consulting party invitation	Regarding scheduling of a government-to-government consultation
2/2/2015	Outgoing	email	Amishi Castelli	Chris Wilson, ACHP cc: Kelly Fanizzo, ACHP	LPC involvement in NEC FUTURE 106 process	Follow-up email regarding NYC LPC involvement
2/2/2015	Incoming	email	Chris Wilson, ACHP	Amishi Castelli, USDOT cc: Kelly Fanizzo, ACHP; Charlene Vaughn, ACHP	LPC involvement in NEC FUTURE 106 process	Response to email regarding NYC LPC involvement
2/2/2015	Outgoing	email	Amishi Castelli	Chris Wilson, ACHP cc: Kelly Fanizzo, ACHP; Charlene Vaughn, ACHP; Rebecca Reyes-Alicea, FRA; Susan Anderson, JV; Ruby Siegel, JV; LeAnn Walezko, JV; Selina Zapata Bur, JV	LPC involvement in NEC FUTURE 106 process	Response to ACHP recommendation regarding NYC LPC involvement
2/2/2015	Incoming	email	Charlene Vaughn, ACHP	Amishi Castelli, USDOT; Chris Wilson, ACHP cc: Kelly Fanizzo, ACHP; Rebecca Reyes-Alicea, FRA; Susan Anderson, JV; Ruby Siegel, JV; LeAnn Walezko, JV; Selina Zapata Bur, JV	LPC involvement in NEC FUTURE 106 process	Request for conversation regarding ACHP position on NCSHPO involvement

DATE	IN / OUT	VIA	FROM (Name, Organization)	TO (Name, Organization)	RE	Notes
2/2/2015	Outgoing	email	Amishi Castelli	Charlene Vaughn, ACHP; Chris Wilson, ACHP cc: Kelly Fanizzo, ACHP; Rebecca Reyes-Alicea, FRA; Susan Anderson, JV; Ruby Siegel, JV; LeAnn Walezko, JV; Selina Zapata Bur, JV; Becky Blatnica, USDOT	LPC involvement in NEC FUTURE 106 process	Follow-up regarding conversation on ACHP position on NCSHPO involvement
2/2/2015	Incoming	email	Charlene Vaughn, ACHP	Amishi Castelli, USDOT; Chris Wilson, ACHP cc: Kelly Fanizzo, ACHP; Rebecca Reyes-Alicea, FRA; Susan Anderson, JV; Ruby Siegel, JV; LeAnn Walezko, JV; Selina Zapata Bur, JV; Becky Blatnica, USDOT; Reid Nelson, ACHP	LPC involvement in NEC FUTURE 106 process	Follow-up regarding ACHP position on NCSHPO involvement
2/2/2015	Outgoing	email	Amishi Castelli	Charlene Vaughn, ACHP; Chris Wilson, ACHP cc: Kelly Fanizzo, ACHP; Rebecca Reyes-Alicea, FRA; Susan Anderson, JV; Ruby Siegel, JV; LeAnn Walezko, JV; Selina Zapata Bur, JV; Becky Blatnica, USDOT; Reid Nelson, ACHP	LPC involvement in NEC FUTURE 106 process	Follow-up regarding conversation on ACHP position on NCSHPO involvement
2/2/2015	Incoming	email	Charlene Vaughn, ACHP	Amishi Castelli, USDOT; Chris Wilson, ACHP cc: Kelly Fanizzo, ACHP; Rebecca Reyes-Alicea, FRA; Susan Anderson, JV; Ruby Siegel, JV; LeAnn Walezko, JV; Selina Zapata Bur, JV; Becky Blatnica, USDOT; Reid Nelson, ACHP	LPC involvement in NEC FUTURE 106 process	Follow-up regarding ACHP position on NCSHPO involvement
2/3/2015	Outgoing	email	Amishi Castelli	John Bonafide, NY SHPO cc: Rebecca Reyes-Alicea, FRA; Ruby Siegel, JV; Susan Anderson, JV	LPC involvement in NEC FUTURE 106 process	Regarding involving the NYC LPC as a concurring party
2/3/2015	Outgoing	email	Amishi Castelli	John Bonafide, NYSHPO; Brona Simon, MA SHPO cc: Susan Anderson, JV; LeAnn Walezko, JV; Selina Zapata Bur, JV; Brandee Louglin, MA SHPO	FRA NEC FUTURE - Stockbridge-Munsee Mohican consultation	Regarding engagement in consultation with Stockbridge-Munsee Mohican tribe
2/6/2015	Incoming	email	Charlene Vaughn, ACHP	Amishi Castelli, USDOT; Chris Wilson, ACHP cc: Kelly Fanizzo, ACHP; Rebecca Reyes-Alicea, FRA; Susan Anderson, JV; Ruby Siegel, JV; LeAnn Walezko, JV; Selina Zapata Bur, JV; Becky Blatnica, USDOT; Reid Nelson, ACHP	LPC involvement in NEC FUTURE 106 process	Follow-up regarding ACHP/NCSHPO conversation on NCSHPO involvement
2/6/2015	Outgoing	email	Amishi Castelli	Charlene Vaughn, ACHP; Chris Wilson, ACHP cc: Kelly Fanizzo, ACHP; Rebecca Reyes-Alicea, FRA; Susan Anderson, JV; Ruby Siegel, JV; LeAnn Walezko, JV; Selina Zapata Bur, JV; Becky Blatnica, USDOT; Reid Nelson, ACHP	LPC involvement in NEC FUTURE 106 process	Follow-up regarding ACHP/NCSHPO conversation on NCSHPO involvement

DATE	IN / OUT	VIA	FROM (Name, Organization)	TO (Name, Organization)	RE	Notes
2/6/2015	Incoming	email	Charlene Vaughn, ACHP	Amishi Castelli, USDOT; Chris Wilson, ACHP cc: Kelly Fanizzo, ACHP; Rebecca Reyes-Alicea, FRA; Susan Anderson, JV; Ruby Siegel, JV; LeAnn Walezko, JV; Selina Zapata Bur, JV; Becky Blatnica, USDOT; Reid Nelson, ACHP	LPC involvement in NEC FUTURE 106 process	Follow-up regarding ACHP/NCSHPO conversation on NCSHPO involvement
2/9/2015 - 3/6/2015	Incoming/Outgoing	email	Amishi Castelli	Bonney Hartley, Stockbridge Munsee Mohican	Government to Government consultation for FRA NEC FUTURE with Stockbridge-Munsee Mohican Tribe	Regarding transfer of files for discussion at meeting.
2/11/2015	Outgoing	email	Amishi Castelli	Gina Santucci NYC-LPC cc: John Bonafide, NY SHPO; Ruby Siegel, JV; Susan Anderson, JV; Rebecca Reyes-Alicea, FRA	FRA NEC FUTURE - concurring party invitation	Relaying concurring party invitation
2/12/2015	Incoming	email and response form	Gina Santucci, NYCLPC	Amishi Castelli, USDOT cc: John Bonafide, NY SHPO; Rebecca Reyes-Alicea	FRA NEC FUTURE - concurring party invitation	Concurring party acceptance
3/5/2015	Outgoing	email	Amishi Castelli	Charlene Vaughn, ACHP; Chris Wilson, ACHP cc: Kelly Fanizzo, ACHP; Rebecca Reyes-Alicea, FRA; Susan Anderson, JV; Ruby Siegel, JV; Becky Blatnica, USDOT	FRA-ACHP NEC FUTURE update/PA review	Proposing update meeting
3/5/2015 - 4/29/2015	Incoming and Outgoing	email	Amishi Castelli	Darin Allan, FTA cc: Rebecca Reyes-Alicea, FRA; Susan Anderson, JV; Ruby Siegel, JV; Becky Blatnica, USDOT	NEC FUTURE PA review	Request for meeting to review PA; re: FTA review of PA
3/5/2015	Outgoing	email	Amishi Castelli	Brona Simon, MA SHPO cc: Brandee Loughlin, MA SHPO; Susan Anderson, JV	FRA NEC FUTURE: Meeting request to review PA	
3/5/2015	Outgoing	email	Amishi Castelli	Jeffrey Emidy, RI SHPO cc: Edward Sanderson, RI SHPO; Jonathan Stevens, Governor's office; Susan Anderson, JV	FRA NEC FUTURE: Meeting request to review PA	
3/5/2015	Outgoing	email	Amishi Castelli	Daniel Forrest, CT SHPO cc: Susan Anderson, JV	FRA NEC FUTURE: Meeting request to review PA	
3/5/2015	Outgoing	email	Amishi Castelli	John Bonafide, NY SHPO cc: Ruth Pierpoint, NY Parks; Susan Anderson, JV	FRA NEC FUTURE: Meeting request to review PA	
3/5/2015	Outgoing	email	Amishi Castelli	Caroline Scott, NJ SHPO; Dan Saunders, NJ SHPO cc: Susan Anderson, JV	FRA NEC FUTURE: Meeting request to review PA	
3/5/2015	Outgoing	email	Amishi Castelli	Emma Diehl, PA SHPO cc: Susan Anderson, JV	FRA NEC FUTURE: Meeting request to review PA	

DATE	IN / OUT	VIA	FROM (Name, Organization)	TO (Name, Organization)	RE	Notes
3/5/2015	Outgoing	email	Amishi Castelli	Craig Lukezic, DE SHPO cc: Susan Anderson, JV	FRA NEC FUTURE: Meeting request to review PA	
3/5/2015	Outgoing	email	Amishi Castelli	Beth Cole, MD SHPO; Tim Tamburrino, MD SHPO cc: Susan Anderson, JV	FRA NEC FUTURE: Meeting request to review PA	
3/5/2015	Outgoing	email	Amishi Castelli	Andrew Lewis, DC HPO cc: David Maloney, DC HPO; Susan Anderson, JV	FRA NEC FUTURE: Meeting request to review PA	
3/5/2015	Incoming	email	Emma Diehl, PA SHPO	Amishi Castelli, USDOT cc: Mark Shaffer, PA SHPO; Susan Anderson, JV	FRA NEC FUTURE: Meeting request to review PA	Follow-up to meeting request
3/5/2015	Outgoing	email	Amishi Castelli	Emma Diehl, PA SHPO cc: Mark Shaffer, PA SHPO; Susan Anderson, JV	FRA NEC FUTURE: Meeting request to review PA	Follow-up to meeting request
3/5/2015 (letter) 3/8/2015 (email)	Outgoing	email and letter	Rebecca Reyes-Alicea, Federal Railroad Administration	Eric Hein, NCSHPO cc: Amishi Castelli, USDOT; Susan Anderson, JV; Charlene Vaughn, ACHP	Invitation to be a concurring party to the Programmatic Agreement and consulting party under Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act (NHPA) for the Northeast Corridor (NEC) FUTURE program	
3/6/2015	Incoming	email	Craig Lukezic, DE SHPO	Amishi Castelli, USDOT cc: Susan Anderson, JV; Gwen Davis, DE SHPO	FRA NEC FUTURE: Meeting request to review PA	Follow-up to meeting request
3/6/2015	Outgoing	email	Amishi Castelli	Craig Lukezic, DE SHPO cc: Gwen Davis, DE SHPO; Susan Anderson, JV	FRA NEC FUTURE: Meeting request to review PA	Follow-up to meeting request
3/6/2015- 3/30/2015	Incoming and Outgoing	email	Jeff Emidy, Historical Preservation and Heritage Commission	Amishi Castelli, USDOT	FRA NEC FUTURE: Meeting request to review PA / RI SHPO-FRA NEC FUTURE PA review	Follow-up to meeting request and relaying agenda and PA
3/8/2015	Outgoing	email and letter	Rebecca Reyes-Alicea, Federal Railroad Administration	Eric Hein, NCSHPO cc: Amishi Castelli, USDOT; Susan Anderson, JV; Charlene Vaughn, ACHP; Chris Wilson, ACHP	FRA - NEC FUTURE - Concurring Party Invitation	Relaying concurring party invitation
3/9/2015	Incoming and Outgoing	email	Beth Cole, Maryland Historical Trust / MD SHPO	Amishi Castelli cc: Tim Tamburrino, MDP; Susan Anderson, JV	FRA NEC FUTURE: Meeting request to review PA	Follow-up to meeting request
3/16/2015	Incoming	email and form	Erik Hein, NCSHPO	Rebecca Reyes-Alicea, Federal Railroad Administration cc: Amishi Castelli, USDOT; Ruby Siegel, JV; Susan Anderson, JV	Response Form	Response form re: concurring party invitation
3/16/2015 - 3/23/2015	Incoming and Outgoing	email	Amishi Castelli	Caroline Scott, NJ SHPO and Dan Saunders, NJ SHPO	FRA NEC FUTURE: Meeting request to review PA	Follow-up to meeting request

DATE	IN / OUT	VIA	FROM (Name, Organization)	TO (Name, Organization)	RE	Notes
3/16/2015 - 3/30/2015	Incoming and Outgoing	email	Amishi Castelli	Andrew Lewis, DC SHPO cc: David Maloney, DC SHPO; Susan Anderson, JV	FRA NEC FUTURE: Meeting request to review PA / DC SHPO-FRA NEC FUTURE PA review	Follow-up to meeting request and relaying agenda and PA
3/16/2015 - 3/30/2015	Incoming and Outgoing	email	Amishi Castelli	Caroline Scott, NJ SHPO and Dan Saunders, NJ SHPO	FRA NEC FUTURE: Meeting request to review PA / NJ SHPO-FRA NEC FUTURE PA review	Follow-up to meeting request and relaying agenda and PA
3/16/2015 - 4/1/2015	Incoming and Outgoing	email	Amishi Castelli	Andrew Lewis, DC SHPO cc: David Maloney, DC SHPO; Susan Anderson, JV	FRA NEC FUTURE: Meeting request to review PA / DC SHPO-FRA NEC FUTURE PA review	Follow-up to meeting request and relaying presentation
3/16/2015 - 4/7/2015	Outgoing	email	Amishi Castelli	Daniel Forrest, CT SHPO cc: Susan Anderson, JV	FRA NEC FUTURE: Meeting request to review PA	Follow-up to meeting request and relaying presentation and PA
3/16/2015 - 4/7/2015	Outgoing	email	Amishi Castelli	John Bonafide, NY SHPO cc: Ruth Pierpoint, NY Parks; Susan Anderson, JV	FRA NEC FUTURE: Meeting request to review PA	Follow-up to meeting request and relaying presentation and PA
3/20/2015 - 4/7/2015	Incoming and Outgoing	email	Chris Wilson, ACHP	Amishi Castelli, USDOT cc: Charlene Vaughn, ACHP; Kelly Yasaitis Fanizzo, ACHP	ACHP meeting with FRA / Security Sweep on Tuesday March 24, EARLY CLOSING	Regarding meeting
3/26/2015 - 3/30/2015	Outgoing	email	Amishi Castelli	Jonathan Patton, MA SHPO cc: Brona Simon, MA SHPO; Susan Anderson, JV	FRA NEC FUTURE: Meeting request to review PA / MA SHPO-FRA NEC FUTURE PA review	Follow-up to meeting request and relaying agenda and PA
3/30/2015	Outgoing	email	Amishi Castelli	Craig Lukezic, DE SHPO cc: Gwen Davis, DE SHPO; Susan Anderson, JV; Selina Zapata Bur, JV	FRA NEC FUTURE: Meeting request to review PA / DE SHPO-FRA NEC FUTURE PA review	Follow-up to meeting request and relaying agenda and PA
3/30/2015	Outgoing	email	Amishi Castelli	Beth Cole, MD SHPO; Tim Tamburrino, MD SHPO cc: Susan Anderson, JV; Stephanie Camay, JV; Selina Zapata Bur, JV; Ruby Siegel, JV; Rebecca Reyes-Alicea, FRA	FRA NEC FUTURE: Meeting request to review PA / MD SHPO-FRA NEC FUTURE PA review	Follow-up to meeting request and relaying agenda and PA
3/5/2015 - 3/30/2015	Incoming and Outgoing	email	Amishi Castelli	Emma Diehl, PA SHPO cc: Mark Shaffer, PA SHPO; Susan Anderson, JV; Stephanie Camay, JV; Selina Zapata Bur, JV; Ruby Siegel, JV; Rebecca Reyes-Alicea, FRA	FRA NEC FUTURE: Meeting request to review PA / PA SHPO-FRA NEC FUTURE PA review	Follow-up to meeting request and relaying agenda and PA
4/1/2015	Outgoing	email	Amishi Castelli	Craig Lukezic, DE SHPO cc: Gwen Davis, DE SHPO; Susan Anderson, JV; Selina Zapata Bur, JV	DE SHPO-FRA NEC FUTURE PA review	Follow-up to meeting request and relaying presentation
3/9/2015 - 4/1/2015	Incoming and Outgoing	email	Amishi Castelli	Beth Cole, MD SHPO; Tim Tamburrino, MD SHPO cc: Susan Anderson, JV; Selina Zapata Bur, JV	FRA NEC FUTURE: Meeting request to review PA / MD SHPO-FRA NEC FUTURE PA review	Follow-up to meeting request and relaying presentation
4/1/2015	Outgoing	email	Amishi Castelli	Caroline Scott, NJ SHPO and Dan Saunders, NJ SHPO cc: Susan Anderson, JV; Selina Zapata Bur, JV	NJ SHPO-FRA NEC FUTURE PA review	Follow-up to meeting request and relaying presentation

DATE	IN / OUT	VIA	FROM (Name, Organization)	TO (Name, Organization)	RE	Notes
4/1/2015	Outgoing	email	Amishi Castelli	Emma Diehl, PA SHPO cc: Mark Shaffer, PA SHPO; Susan Anderson, JV; Stephanie Camay, JV; Selina Zapata Bur, JV; Ruby Siegel, JV; Rebecca Reyes-Alicea, FRA	FRA NEC FUTURE: Meeting request to review PA / PA SHPO-FRA NEC FUTURE PA review	Follow-up to meeting request and relaying presentation
4/1/2015	Outgoing	email	Amishi Castelli	Jeff Emidy, Historical Preservation and Heritage Commission cc: Susan Anderson, JV; Selina Zapata Bur, JV	FRA NEC FUTURE: Meeting request to review PA / RI SHPO-FRA NEC FUTURE PA review	Follow-up to meeting request and relaying presentation
4/1/2015	Outgoing	email	Amishi Castelli	Jonathan Patton, MA SHPO cc: Brona Simon, MA SHPO; Susan Anderson, JV; Selina Zapata Bur, JV	FRA NEC FUTURE: Meeting request to review PA / MA SHPO-FRA NEC FUTURE PA review	Follow-up to meeting request and relaying presentation
4/1/2015	Outgoing	email	Amishi Castelli	Jonathan Patton, MA SHPO cc: Brona Simon, MA SHPO; Susan Anderson, JV; Selina Zapata Bur, JV	FRA NEC FUTURE: Meeting request to review PA / MA SHPO-FRA NEC FUTURE PA review	Follow-up to meeting request and relaying agenda and PA; re-sent email with corrected email address for Brona Simon
4/2/2015	Incoming	email	Andrew Lewis, DC SHPO	Amishi Castelli, USDOT	DC SHPO Comments on NEC Draft PA as of March 27 2015.docx	Providing comments on Draft PA
4/2/2015	Incoming and Outgoing	email	Amishi Castelli	Craig Lukezic, DE SHPO; Gwen Davis, DE SHPO cc: Susan Anderson, JV; Selina Zapata Bur, JV	Involvement of Delaware Nation in NEC FUTURE	Regarding Delaware Nation contacts
4/2/2015 - 4/3/2015	Incoming and Outgoing	email	Amishi Castelli	Mark Shaffer, PA SHPO cc: Emma Diehl, PA SHPO; Susan Anderson, JV; Selina Zapata Bur, JV	involvement of Delaware Tribe and Delaware Nation contacts in NEC	Regarding Delaware Nation and Delaware Tribe contacts
4/2/2015 - 4/3/2015	Incoming and Outgoing	email	Mark Shaffer, Bureau for Historic Preservation (PA)	Amishi Castelli, USDOT cc: Susan Anderson, JV; Selina Zapata Bur, JV	involvement of Delaware Tribe and Delaware Nation contacts in NEC	Regarding Delaware Nation and Delaware Tribe contacts
4/2/2015 - 4/22/2015	Incoming and Outgoing	email	Amishi Castelli	Andrew Lewis, DC SHPO cc: Susan Anderson, JV; Selina Zapata Bur, JV	DC SHPO Comments on NEC Draft PA as of March 27 2015.docx	Regarding map focusing on the DC area
4/6/2015	Incoming	email	Chris Wilson, ACHP	Amishi Castelli, USDOT	SHPO Withdrawal stip for NEC	For consideration regarding PA
4/15/2015	Incoming	email	Emma Diehl, PA SHPO	Amishi Castelli, USDOT cc: Susan Anderson, JV; Mark Shaffer, PA SHPO	NEC FUTURE, PA SHPO Comments to Draft PA	Relaying comments on PA
4/15/2015	Outgoing	email	Amishi Castelli	Emma Diehl, PA SHPO cc: Mark Shaffer, PA SHPO; Susan Anderson, JV	NEC FUTURE, PA SHPO Comments to Draft PA	Regarding receipt of omments on PA
4/16/2015	Incoming and Outgoing	email	John Bonafide, NY SHPO	Amishi Castelli, USDOT cc: Ruth Pierpoint, NY Parks; Susan Anderson, JV	FRA NEC FUTURE: Meeting request to review PA	Follow-up to email relaying presentation and PA

DATE	IN / OUT	VIA	FROM (Name, Organization)	TO (Name, Organization)	RE	Notes
3/5/2015	Incoming and Outgoing	email	Amishi Castelli	Darin Allan, FTA cc: Rebecca Reyes-Alicea, FRA; Susan Anderson, JV; Ruby Siegel, JV; Becky Blatnica, USDOT; Elizabeth Patel, FTA; Dale Youngkin, FTA; Sean Sullivan, FTA; Daniel Koenig, FTA; Antoinette Quagliata, FTA	NEC FUTURE PA review	Regarding PA review
4/7/2015 - 5/21/2015	Incoming and Outgoing	email	Amishi Castelli	Chris Wilson, ACHP cc: Charlene Vaughn, ACHP; Susan Anderson, JV; Rebecca Reyes-Alicea, FRA; Becky Blatnica, USDOT	ACHP meeting with FRA	Regarding PA review
4/7/2015 - 7/8/2015	Incoming and Outgoing	email	Amishi Castelli	Blair Fink, Delaware Tribe; Susan Bachor, Delaware Tribe	FRA NEC FUTURE update and consulting party invitation	Regarding tribal lands and meeting request
5/29/2015 - 6/15/2015	Incoming and Outgoing	email	Amishi Castelli	Charlene Vaughn, ACHP cc: Chris Wilson, ACHP; Susan Anderson, JV; Rebecca Reyes-Alicea, FRA; Becky Blatnica, USDOT; Ruby Siegel, JV; Kelly Yasaitis Fanizzo, ACHP	NEC FUTURE update	Regarding PA review
4/29/2015	Incoming and Outgoing	email	Andrew Lewis, DC SHPO	Amishi Castelli, USDOT cc: Selina Zapata Bur, JV; Susan Anderson, JV	DC SHPO Comments on NEC Draft PA as of March 27	Regarding map focusing on the DC area
5/5/2015	Incoming	email	Darin Allan, FTA	Amishi Castelli, USDOT cc: Christopher VanWyk, FTA; Elizabeth Patel, FTA; Dale Youngkin, FTA; Sean Sullivan, FTA; Daniel Koenig, FTA; Antoinette Quagliata, FTA; Rebecca Reyes-Alicea, FRA	NEC PA	FTA review of draft PA
5/6/2015	Outgoing	email	Amishi Castelli	Darin Allan, FTA cc: Christopher VanWyk, FTA; Elizabeth Patel, FTA; Dale Youngkin, FTA; Sean Sullivan, FTA; Daniel Koenig, FTA; Antoinette Quagliata, FTA; Rebecca Reyes-Alicea, FRA; Ruby Siegel, JV; Susan Anderson, JV	NEC PA	Receipt of comments on draft PA
5/27/2015	Incoming and Outgoing	email	Johnette Davies, Amtrak	Susan Anderson, JV	NEC cultural resources inventory	Follow-up regarding phone conversation
6/8/2015	Incoming and Outgoing	email	Ramona Peters, Mashpee Wampanoag Tribal Historic Preservation Officer	Amishi Castelli, USDOT	counties within Wampanoag Homelands	Relaying attachment with information relayed to HUD
6/10/2015	Incoming and Outgoing	email	Ramona Peters, Mashpee Wampanoag Tribal Historic Preservation Officer	Amishi Castelli, USDOT	Comments on PA	Comments on draft PA

DATE	IN / OUT	VIA	FROM (Name, Organization)	TO (Name, Organization)	RE	Notes
6/15/2015	Outgoing	email	Amishi Castelli	<p>cvaughn@achp.gov; kfanizzo@achp.gov; cwilson@achp.gov; Dan.Saunders@dep.state.nj.us; Charles.Scott@dep.state.nj.us; rose.harvey@oprhp.state.ny.us; ruth.pierpont@oprhp.state.ny.us; john.bonafide@parks.ny.gov; brona.simon@state.ma.us; jonathan.patton@state.ma.us; Daniel.Forrest@ct.gov; edward.sanderson@preservation.ri.gov; jeffrey.emidy@preservation.ri.gov; emdiehl@pa.gov; beth.cole@maryland.gov; tim.tamburrino@maryland.gov; andrew.lewis@dc.gov; david.maloney@dc.gov; jvaughan@pa.gov; craig.lukezic@state.de.us; timothy.slavin@state.de.us; ruth.trocolli@dc.gov; chnagle@pa.gov; mshaffer@pa.gov; Gwen.Davis@state.de.us; EHughes@mdp.state.md.us; kate.marcopul@dep.state.nj.us</p> <p>cc: Rebecca.Reyesalicea@dot.gov; Rebecca.Blatnica@dot.gov; Siegel, Ruby <Ruby.Siegel@aecom.com>; Anderson, Susan <Susan.Anderson@aecom.com>; camays@pbworld.com; carol@pbworld.com; Darin.Allan@dot.gov; david.valenstein@dot.gov; Laura.Shick@dot.gov</p>	FRA NEC FUTURE- review of cultural/historic resources analysis in preliminary draft of Tier 1 DEIS	Regarding review of outcomes of the environmental effects analysis on cultural and historic resources
6/16/2015	Incoming and Outgoing	email	Emma Diehl, PA SHPO	<p>Amishi Castelli, USDOT</p> <p>cc: Mark Shaffer, PA SHPO; Susan Anderson, JV</p>	Review of cultural/historic resources analysis in FRA NEC FUTURE Tier 1 DEIS	Regarding review of outcomes of the environmental effects analysis on cultural and historic resources

DATE	IN / OUT	VIA	FROM (Name, Organization)	TO (Name, Organization)	RE	Notes
7/7/2015	Outgoing	email	Amishi Castelli	Amishi.Castelli@dot.gov; cvaughn@achp.gov; kfanizzo@achp.gov; cwilson@achp.gov; Dan.Saunders@dep.state.nj.us; Charles.Scott@dep.state.nj.us; rose.harvey@oprhp.state.ny.us; ruth.pierpont@oprhp.state.ny.us; john.bonafide@parks.ny.gov; brona.simon@state.ma.us; jonathan.patton@state.ma.us; Daniel.Forrest@ct.gov; edward.sanderson@preservation.ri.gov; jeffrey.emidy@preservation.ri.gov; emdiehl@pa.gov; beth.cole@maryland.gov; tim.tamburrino@maryland.gov; andrew.lewis@dc.gov; david.maloney@dc.gov; jvaughan@pa.gov; craig.lukezic@state.de.us; timothy.slavin@state.de.us; ruth.troccoli@dc.gov; chnagle@pa.gov; mshaffer@pa.gov; Gwen.Davis@state.de.us; EHughes@mdp.state.md.us; kate.marcopul@dep.state.nj.us; Rebecca.Blatnica@dot.gov; camays@pbworld.com; Siegel, Ruby <Ruby.Siegel@aecom.com>; Anderson, Susan <Susan.Anderson@aecom.com>; Waletzko, LeAnn <LeAnn.Waletzko@aecom.com>; baer@pbworld.com; carol@pbworld.com; Darin.Allan@dot.gov; jonathan.patton2@state.ma.us cc: Bur, Selina Zapata <Selina.Zapata.Bur@aecom.com>; david.valenstein@dot.gov; Charles.Scott@dep.nj.gov; Rose.Harvey@parks.ny.gov; Ruth.Pierpont@parks.ny.gov; sean.sullivan@dot.gov; Victor.Waldron@dot.gov; daniel.koenig@dot.gov; antoinette.quagliata@dot.gov; Elizabeth.Patel@dot.gov; dale.youngkin@dot.gov; Laura.Shick@dot.gov; hilarly.page@dot.gov; WMalley@perkinscoie.com	Review of cultural/historic resources analysis in FRA NEC FUTURE Tier 1 DEIS	Attaching Draft PA for signatory review for discussion
7/7/2015	Outgoing	email and letter	Amishi Castelli	Eric Holcomb, Baltimore City Commission for Historical and Architectural Preservation	FRA NEC FUTURE - consulting party invitation	Relaying consulting party invitation
7/7/2015	Outgoing	email and letter	Amishi Castelli	Ellen Lipsey, Boston Landmarks Commission	FRA NEC FUTURE - consulting party invitation	Relaying consulting party invitation

DATE	IN / OUT	VIA	FROM (Name, Organization)	TO (Name, Organization)	RE	Notes
7/7/2015	Outgoing	email and letter	Amishi Castelli	Austin Blackmon (alternate contact), Boston Landmarks Commission	FRA NEC FUTURE - consulting party invitation	Relaying consulting party invitation
7/7/2015	Outgoing	email and letter	Amishi Castelli	David Maloney, Washington, DC, Historic Preservation Review Board	FRA NEC FUTURE - consulting party invitation	Relaying consulting party invitation
7/7/2015	Outgoing	email and letter	Amishi Castelli	Barbara E. Benson, New Castle County Historic Review Board	FRA NEC FUTURE - consulting party invitation	Relaying consulting party invitation
7/7/2015	Outgoing	email and letter	Amishi Castelli	Jon Farnham, Philadelphia Historical Commission	FRA NEC FUTURE - consulting party invitation	Relaying consulting party invitation
7/7/2015	Outgoing	email and letter	Amishi Castelli	Jason Martin, Providence Historic District Commission	FRA NEC FUTURE - consulting party invitation	Relaying consulting party invitation
7/7/2015	Outgoing	email and letter	Amishi Castelli	Patricia Maley, Design review and Preservation Commission of the City of Wilmington	FRA NEC FUTURE - consulting party invitation	Relaying consulting party invitation
7/9/2015	Incoming and Outgoing	email	Andrew Lewis, DC SHPO	Amishi Castelli, USDOT	DC SHPO Comments on NEC Future Webinar Update	Comments on 7/9/2015 presentation
7/9/2015	Outgoing	email	Amishi Castelli	Daniel Forrest, CT SHPO cc: Susan Anderson, JV	Review of cultural/historic resources analysis in FRA NEC FUTURE Tier 1 DEIS	Relaying word file of state-specific appendix to the draft PA
7/9/2015	Outgoing	email	Amishi Castelli	Andrew Lewis, DC SHPO cc: Susan Anderson, JV	Review of cultural/historic resources analysis in FRA NEC FUTURE Tier 1 DEIS	Relaying word file of state-specific appendix to the draft PA
7/9/2015	Outgoing	email	Amishi Castelli	Craig Lukezic, DE SHPO; Timothy Slavin, DE SHPO; Gwen Davis, DE SHPO cc: Susan Anderson, JV	Review of cultural/historic resources analysis in FRA NEC FUTURE Tier 1 DEIS	Relaying word file of state-specific appendix to the draft PA
7/9/2015	Outgoing	email	Amishi Castelli	Brona Simon, MA SHPO; Jonathan Patton, MA SHPO cc: Susan Anderson, JV	Review of cultural/historic resources analysis in FRA NEC FUTURE Tier 1 DEIS	Relaying word file of state-specific appendix to the draft PA
7/9/2015	Outgoing	email	Amishi Castelli	Jonathan Patton, MA SHPO cc: Susan Anderson, JV; Selina Zapata Bur, JV	UPS Ship Notification, Reference Number 1: 60319989.05.07A	Regarding delivery of hard copy of draft PA
7/9/2015	Outgoing	email	Amishi Castelli	Beth Cole, MD SHPO, Tim Tamburrino, MD SHPO cc: Susan Anderson, JV	Review of cultural/historic resources analysis in FRA NEC FUTURE Tier 1 DEIS	Relaying word file of state-specific appendix to the draft PA
7/9/2015	Outgoing	email	Amishi Castelli	Dan Saunders, NJ SHPO; Caroline Scott, NJ SHPO cc: Susan Anderson, JV	Review of cultural/historic resources analysis in FRA NEC FUTURE Tier 1 DEIS	Relaying word file of state-specific appendix to the draft PA
7/9/2015	Outgoing	email	Amishi Castelli	John Bonafide, NY SHPO cc: Susan Anderson, JV	Review of cultural/historic resources analysis in FRA NEC FUTURE Tier 1 DEIS	Relaying word file of state-specific appendix to the draft PA
7/9/2015	Outgoing	email	Amishi Castelli	Emma Diehl, PA SHPO cc: Susan Anderson, JV	Review of cultural/historic resources analysis in FRA NEC FUTURE Tier 1 DEIS	Relaying word file of state-specific appendix to the draft PA
7/9/2015	Outgoing	email	Amishi Castelli	Edward Sanderson, RI SHPO; Jeffrey Emidy, RI SHPO cc: Susan Anderson, JV	Review of cultural/historic resources analysis in FRA NEC FUTURE Tier 1 DEIS	Relaying word file of state-specific appendix to the draft PA

DATE	IN / OUT	VIA	FROM (Name, Organization)	TO (Name, Organization)	RE	Notes
7/10/2015 - 7/13/2015	Incoming and Outgoing	email	Craig Lukezic, DE SHPO	Amisi Castelli, USDOT; Timothy Slavin, DE SHPO; Gwen Davis, DE SHPO cc: Susan Anderson, JV	Review of cultural/historic resources analysis in FRA NEC FUTURE Tier 1 DEIS	Regarding removal of exemptions in draft PA
7/13/2015	Incoming	email	Jason Martin, Providence Historic District Commission	Rebecca Reyes-Alicea, FRA Johnette Davies, Amtrak	NEC FUTURE PA (Providence)	Relaying response form to be a consulting party
7/13/2015	Incoming and Outgoing	email	Susan Anderson, JV	cc: Amishi Castelli, USDOT; Ruby Siegel, JV; Selina Zapata Bur, JV; LeAnn Waletzko, JV	NEC cultural resources inventory	Regarding resources identified within preliminary APE
7/15/2015	Incoming and Outgoing	email	Beth Cole, Maryland Historical Trust / MD SHPO	Amishi Castelli, USDOT cc: Tim Tamburrino, MD SHPO; Susan Anderson, JV	Review of cultural/historic resources analysis in FRA NEC FUTURE Tier 1 DEIS	Regarding Standard Treatments and state-specific appendix
7/16/2015	Outgoing	email	Amishi Castelli	Jonathan Patton, MA SHPO, Brona Simon, MA SHPO	Review of cultural/historic resources analysis in FRA NEC FUTURE Tier 1 DEIS	Follow-up regarding receipt of package containing preliminary draft PA, including MA state specific appendix
7/16/2015	Incoming	email	Elizabeth Patel, FTA	Amishi Castelli, USDOT cc: Rebecca Reyes-Alicea, FRA; Daniel Koenig, FTA; Christopher Quagliata, FTA	Comments on the NEC PA	Relaying comments on draft PA
7/17/2015	Incoming and Outgoing	email	Chris Wilson, ACHP	Amishi Castelli, USDOT	ACHP Comments on NEC Draft PA	Relaying comments on draft PA
7/18/2015 - 7/19/2015	Incoming and Outgoing	email	Susan Bachor, Delaware Tribe	Amishi Castelli, USDOT	FRA NEC FUTURE update and consulting party invitation	Relaying tribal territories
7/22/2015 - 7/23/2015	Incoming and Outgoing	email	Patricia Maley, Design review and Preservation Commission of the City of Wilmington	Amishi Castelli	FRA NEC FUTURE - consulting party invitation	Requesting refreshed links to enclosures in 7/7/2015 consulting party invitation
7/23/2015	Incoming and Outgoing	email	Jeff Emidy, Historical Preservation and Heritage Commission	Amishi Castelli	Review of cultural/historic resources analysis in FRA NEC FUTURE Tier 1 DEIS	Regarding logistics of sending comments on draft PA and RI state specific appendix
7/24/2015	Incoming	email	Emma Diehl, PA SHPO	Amishi Castelli, USDOT cc: Susan Anderson, JV	Review of cultural/historic resources analysis in FRA NEC FUTURE Tier 1 DEIS	PA edits on state-specific appendix
7/24/2015	Outgoing	email	Amishi Castelli	Emma Diehl, PA SHPO cc: Susan Anderson, JV	Review of cultural/historic resources analysis in FRA NEC FUTURE Tier 1 DEIS	Receipt of comments on state-specific appendix
7/24/2015	Incoming and Outgoing	email	Jeff Emidy, Historical Preservation and Heritage Commission	Rebecca Reyes-Alicea, FRA cc: Amishi Castelli, USDOT	NEC Future PA comments	Relaying comments on revised draft PA
7/24/2015 - 8/31/2015	Outgoing	email and letter	Amishi Castelli	Susan Bachor, Delaware Tribe cc: Chester Brooks, Delaware Tribe; Rebecca Reyes-Alicea, FRA; Susan Anderson, JV	FRA - NEC FUTURE - Concurring Party Invitation Letter	Relaying concurring party invitation

DATE	IN / OUT	VIA	FROM (Name, Organization)	TO (Name, Organization)	RE	Notes
8/5/2015	Outgoing	email and letter	Amishi Castelli	Mike Stern, Amtrak cc: Johnette Davies, Amtrak; Craig Caldwell, Amtrak; Rebecca Reyes-Alicea, FRA; Susan Anderson, JV; Ruby Siegel, JV	NEC FUTURE Consulting Party invitation	Relaying consulting party invitation
8/5/2015 - 8/6/2015	Outgoing	email and letter	Amishi Castelli	Jonathan Broder, Conrail cc: Rebecca Reyes-Alicea, FRA; Susan Anderson, JV; Ruby Siegel, JV	NEC FUTURE Consulting Party invitation	Relaying consulting party invitation
8/5/2015	Outgoing	email and letter	Amishi Castelli	Marco Turra, CSX cc: Rebecca Reyes-Alicea, FRA; Susan Anderson, JV; Ruby Siegel, JV	NEC FUTURE Consulting Party invitation	Relaying consulting party invitation
8/5/2015	Outgoing	email and letter	Amishi Castelli	Elisa Picca, LIRR cc: Rebecca Reyes-Alicea, FRA; Susan Anderson, JV; Ruby Siegel, JV; John Bonafide, NY SHPO	NEC FUTURE Consulting Party invitation	Relaying consulting party invitation
8/5/2015	Outgoing	email and letter	Amishi Castelli	Frank DePaola, MBTA cc: Rebecca Reyes-Alicea, FRA; Susan Anderson, JV; Ruby Siegel, JV; Brona Simon, MA SHPO; Jonathan Patton, MA SHPO	NEC FUTURE Consulting Party invitation	Relaying consulting party invitation
8/5/2015	Outgoing	email and letter	Amishi Castelli	Dan Reagle, MD MTA cc: Rebecca Reyes-Alicea, FRA; Susan Anderson, JV; Ruby Siegel, JV; Bradley Smith, MD MTA; Beth Cole, MD SHPO; Tim Tamburrino, MD SHPO	NEC FUTURE Consulting Party invitation	Relaying consulting party invitation
8/5/2015	Outgoing	email and letter	Amishi Castelli	David Fogel, MNR cc: Rebecca Reyes-Alicea, FRA; Susan Anderson, JV; Ruby Siegel, JV; John Bonafide, NY SHPO	NEC FUTURE Consulting Party invitation	Relaying consulting party invitation
8/5/2015	Outgoing	email and letter	Amishi Castelli	Bill Wheeler, MTA cc: Rebecca Reyes-Alicea, FRA; Susan Anderson, JV; Ruby Siegel, JV; John Bonafide, NY SHPO	NEC FUTURE Consulting Party invitation	Relaying consulting party invitation
8/5/2015	Outgoing	email and letter	Amishi Castelli	Lee Cochran, Norfolk Southern Corporation cc: Rebecca Reyes-Alicea, FRA; Susan Anderson, JV; Ruby Siegel, JV	NEC FUTURE Consulting Party invitation	Relaying consulting party invitation
8/5/2015	Outgoing	email and letter	Amishi Castelli	Scott Conti, Providence & Worcester Railroad Company cc: Rebecca Reyes-Alicea, FRA; Susan Anderson, JV; Ruby Siegel, JV	NEC FUTURE Consulting Party invitation	Relaying consulting party invitation
8/5/2015	Outgoing	email and letter	Amishi Castelli	Byron Comati, SEPTA cc: Rebecca Reyes-Alicea, FRA; Susan Anderson, JV; Ruby Siegel, JV; Emma Diehl, PA SHPO; Mark Shaffer, PA SHPO	NEC FUTURE Consulting Party invitation	Relaying consulting party invitation

DATE	IN / OUT	VIA	FROM (Name, Organization)	TO (Name, Organization)	RE	Notes
8/5/2015	Incoming and Outgoing	email and response form	Michael Stern, Amtrak	Rebecca Reyes-Alicea, FRA cc: Johnette Davies, Amtrak; Craig Caldwell, Amtrak; Susan Anderson, JV; Ruby Siegel, JV; Amishi Castelli, USDOT	NEC FUTURE Consulting Party invitation	Relaying response to consulting party invitation
8/10/2015	Incoming	email and response form	Dan Reagle, MD MTA	Amishi Castelli, USDOT cc: Rebecca Reyes-Alicea, FRA; Ruby Siegel, JV; Susan Anderson, JV; Bradley Smith, MD MTA	NEC FUTURE Consulting Party invitation	Relaying response to consulting party invitation
8/10/2015	Incoming	email and response form	David Fogel, MNR	Rebecca Reyes-Alicea, FRA cc: Amishi Castelli, USDOT; Ruby Siegel, JV; Susan Anderson, JV; John Bonafide, NY SHPO; Robyn Hollander, MNR; Karen Timko, MNR	NEC FUTURE Consulting Party invitation	Relaying response to consulting party invitation
8/18/2015	Incoming	email and response form	Rick Nath, CSX	Rebecca Reyes-Alicea, FRA	NEC FUTURE Consulting Party invitation	Relaying response to consulting party invitation
8/19/2015	Incoming	email and response form	Linda Mayover, Conrail	Rebecca Reyes-Alicea, FRA	NEC FUTURE Consulting Party invitation	Relaying response to consulting party invitation
8/19/2015	Incoming	email and response form	Lee Cochran, Norfolk Southern Corporation	Rebecca Reyes-Alicea, FRA	NEC FUTURE Consulting Party invitation	Relaying response to consulting party invitation
8/31/2015	Outgoing	email and letter	Amishi Castelli	Susan Bachor, Delaware Tribe cc: Chester Brooks, Delaware Tribe; Rebecca Reyes-Alicea, FRA; Susan Anderson, JV	FRA - NEC FUTURE - Concurring Party Invitation Letter	Re-relaying concurring party invitation
9/2/2015 - 9/4/2015	Incoming and Outgoing	email and letters	Amishi Castelli	Allison Yue, National Trust	Consulting Party invitation for FRA NEC FUTURE	Relaying consulting party invitation to National Trust and nonprofit statewide partners
9/4/2015	Outgoing	email and letters	Amishi Castelli	Betsy Merritt, National Trust cc: Rebecca Reyes-Alicea, FRA; Ruby Siegel, JV; Susan Anderson, JV; Selina Zapata Bur, JV; Allison Yue, National Trust	Consulting Party invitation for FRA NEC FUTURE	Relaying consulting party invitation to National Trust and nonprofit statewide partners
9/17/2015	Incoming	email	Betsy Merritt, National Trust	Amishi Castelli	Consulting Party invitation for FRA NEC FUTURE	Requesting renewed links for materials relayed
9/17/2015	Outgoing	email	Amishi Castelli	Betsy Merritt, National Trust cc: Susan Anderson, JV; Selina Zapata Bur, JV	Consulting Party invitation for FRA NEC FUTURE	Regarding new links
9/17/2015	Outgoing	email	Selina Zapata Bur, JV	Betsy Merritt, National Trust cc: Rebecca Reyes-Alicea, FRA; Ruby Siegel, JV; Susan Anderson, JV; Selina Zapata Bur, JV; Allison Yue, National Trust	Consulting Party invitation for FRA NEC FUTURE	Relaying new links

DATE	IN / OUT	VIA	FROM (Name, Organization)	TO (Name, Organization)	RE	Notes
9/17/2015	Incoming	email	Betsy Merritt, National Trust	Selina Zapata Bur, JV	Consulting Party invitation for FRA NEC FUTURE	Confirmation of receipt of materials
9/21/2015	Incoming	email	Rosanne Foley, Boston Landmarks Commission	Amishi Castelli	Consulting Party invitation for FRA NEC FUTURE	Requesting renewed links for materials relayed
9/21/2015	Outgoing	email	Amishi Castelli	Rosanne Foley, Boston Landmarks Commission cc: Susan Anderson, JV; Ruby Siegel, JV; Rebecca Reyes-Alicea, FRA; Selina Zapata Bur, JV	Consulting Party invitation for FRA NEC FUTURE	Relaying new links
9/30/2015	Incoming	Email and response form	Rosanne Foley, Boston Landmarks Commission	Amishi Castelli	Consulting Party invitation for FRA NEC FUTURE	Relaying CP response form and requesting renewed links for materials relayed
10/1/2015	Outgoing	email	Amishi Castelli	Rosanne Foley, Boston Landmarks Commission cc: Susan Anderson, JV; Ruby Siegel, JV; Rebecca Reyes-Alicea, FRA; Selina Zapata Bur, JV	Consulting Party invitation for FRA NEC FUTURE	Relaying new links

Source: NEC FUTURE team, 2015



A Rail Investment Plan for
the Northeast Corridor

TIER 1
DRAFT
ENVIRONMENTAL
IMPACT
STATEMENT

Appendix G,
Section 106
Programmatic
Agreement

NOVEMBER 2015



U.S. Department of Transportation
Federal Railroad Administration

PROGRAMMATIC AGREEMENT AMONG

**THE FEDERAL RAILROAD ADMINISTRATION,
THE FEDERAL TRANSIT ADMINISTRATION,
THE ADVISORY COUNCIL ON HISTORIC PRESERVATION,
AND THE STATE HISTORIC PRESERVATION OFFICERS OF:
CONNECTICUT,
DELAWARE,
DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA,
MARYLAND,
MASSACHUSETTS,
NEW JERSEY,
NEW YORK,
PENNSYLVANIA, AND
RHODE ISLAND**

REGARDING

**COMPLIANCE WITH SECTION 106 OF THE NATIONAL HISTORIC
PRESERVATION ACT
AS IT PERTAINS TO
THE NEC FUTURE INVESTMENT PROGRAM**

WHEREAS, the Northeast Corridor (“NEC”) is the rail spine that runs from Washington, D.C., through New York City to Boston, MA, passing through the District of Columbia and the states of Maryland, Delaware, New Jersey, Pennsylvania, New York, Connecticut, Rhode Island and Massachusetts;

WHEREAS, the Federal Railroad Administration (“FRA”) is carrying out a planning process, known as the “NEC FUTURE process”, in which FRA is developing and adopting a program of improvements to passenger rail service and infrastructure in the NEC through 2040 and beyond (hereinafter referred to as the “NEC FUTURE Investment Program”); and

WHEREAS, FRA has determined that the development and adoption of the NEC FUTURE Investment Program is an undertaking subject to Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act (“Section 106”) (16 U.S.C. § 470f, as amended) and its implementing regulations “Protection of Historic Properties” (36 C.F.R. Part 800);

WHEREAS, FRA is preparing a Tier 1 Environmental Impact Statement (“EIS”) for the NEC FUTURE Investment Program in compliance with the National Environmental Policy Act, 42 U.S.C. § 4321 et seq., (“NEPA”);

WHEREAS, the Federal Transit Administration (“FTA”) is serving as a cooperating agency in the preparation of the Tier 1 EIS for the NEC FUTURE Investment Program;

WHEREAS, the Tier 1 EIS will evaluate a No Action Alternative and a range of action alternatives for improving passenger rail service on the NEC, consisting of various combinations of improvements to the existing NEC rail spine and/or construction on new right of way;

WHEREAS, in addition to preparing the Tier 1 EIS, FRA is currently conducting project-specific environmental review processes for certain projects with independent utility on the NEC (hereinafter referred to as “related projects”), and the environmental review processes for those projects are separate from the NEC FUTURE E process;

WHEREAS, if the Tier 1 Record of Decision (ROD) approves a program of improvements to the NEC, the FRA, FTA, and potentially other federal agencies will carry out subsequent environmental reviews, using a tiered approach in accordance with 40 C.F.R. § 1502.20, for one or more individual projects that implement the NEC FUTURE Investment Program and that rely upon the Tier 1 ROD for their justification (hereinafter referred to as “NEC Tier 2 Projects”);

WHEREAS, FRA, FTA and other federal agencies may in the future conduct environmental review processes for additional projects on the NEC that are separate from the NEC FUTURE process, without relying upon any decisions that may be made in the Tier 1 ROD for the NEC FUTURE Investment Program (hereinafter referred to as “independent projects on the NEC”);

WHEREAS, FRA has determined that the NEC FUTURE Investment Program has the potential to affect properties that are listed in or eligible for the National Register of Historic Places (NRHP) (hereafter referred to as “historic properties”); and

WHEREAS, FRA and FTA have determined that the development of a Programmatic Agreement (“PA”) in accordance with 36 C.F.R. § 800.14 is appropriate for the NEC FUTURE Investment Program because the Program’s potential effects on historic properties are multi-state in scope;

WHEREAS, the purpose of this PA is to establish the framework for compliance with Section 106 during future environmental review processes for NEC Tier 2 Projects;

WHEREAS, for purposes of this PA, the term “Tier 1 undertaking” refers to the NEC FUTURE Investment Program, and the term “Tier 2 undertaking” refers to an NEC Tier 2 Project;

WHEREAS, the term “NEC Tier 2 Project” does not include any “related projects” or “independent projects on the NEC” as those terms are used in this PA;

WHEREAS, FRA is carrying out the Section 106 process for the NEC FUTURE Investment Program using a phased approach in accordance with 36 C.F.R. §§ 800.4(b)(2) and 800.5(a)(3),;

WHEREAS, in accordance with the phased approach to Section 106 consultation, FRA has taken steps during the Tier 1 process to identify historic properties and assess potential effects on historic properties, as further described in the Tier 1 EIS, including (1) determining a Preliminary Area of Potential Effects (“Preliminary APE”) for the alternatives considered in the Tier 1 EIS (see Appendix B (Map of Preliminary APE), (2) identifying known historic properties within the

Preliminary APE, based on existing records, (3) identifying known historic properties located within the Representative Route of each Tier 1 alternative, as a basis for assessing potential effects of the alternatives on historic properties;

WHEREAS, in accordance with 36 C.F.R. § 800.2 and 800.3, FRA has invited State Historic Preservation Officers, Indian tribes, representatives of local governments, potential applicants for federal assistance and other approvals, and others to participate as consulting parties in in the development of this PA as further described herein, and a complete list of those invited to serve as consulting parties is included in Appendix E;

WHEREAS, FRA has consulted to develop this PA pursuant to 36 C.F.R. § 800.14(b)(3) with the Advisory Council on Historic Preservation (“ACHP”) and with the Connecticut State Historic Preservation Officer (“SHPO”), Delaware SHPO, District of Columbia SHPO, Maryland SHPO, Massachusetts SHPO, New Jersey SHPO, New York SHPO, Pennsylvania SHPO, and Rhode Island SHPO (collectively, “SHPOs”), who are signatories to this PA in accordance with 36 C.F.R. § 800.6(c)(1); and

WHEREAS, because the FTA may be responsible for NEPA and Section 106 compliance for one or more NEC Tier 2 Projects, such as actions related to commuter rail, FRA has invited FTA to be a signatory to this PA pursuant to 36 C.F.R. § 800.6(c)(2); and

WHEREAS, because the National Conference of State Historic Preservation Officers (NCSHPO) has expertise in consultations involving undertakings that affect multiple states, including experience in resolving issues in dispute among SHPOs, FRA has invited NCSHPO to be a consulting party in consultation regarding the Tier 1 undertaking, and

WHEREAS, while NEC Tier 2 Projects are not expected to occur on or affect historic properties on tribal lands as defined in Section 301(14) of the NHPA, FRA has consulted with Indian tribes that attach religious and cultural significance to historic properties that may be affected by the Tier 1 undertaking, and of those tribes, FRA has invited the Mashantucket (Western) Pequot of Connecticut), the Mohegan Indian Tribe of Connecticut, the Narragansett Indian Tribe of Rhode Island, the Shinnecock Indian Nation, the Wampanoag Tribe of Gay Head (Aquinnah) of Massachusetts, the Mashpee Wampanoag Tribe, the Stockbridge-Munsee Mohican Tribe, and the Delaware Tribe to sign this PA as concurring parties, based on their interest in the undertaking, given the proximity of the Tier 1 EIS alternatives to areas in which the tribes have expressed an interest in further consultation under Section 106;; and

WHEREAS, based on consultation with the SHPOs, NCSHPO, and the ACHP, the FRA has also invited local historic preservation commissions in metropolitan areas to participate as consulting parties in the Section 106 process for the Tier 1 undertaking, and has invited the following consulting parties to concur in this PA in accordance with 36 C.F.R. § 800.6(c)(3): New York City Landmarks Preservation Commission, and *[others may be added]*.

WHEREAS, FRA has invited potential future applicants for federal assistance for Tier 2 undertakings to participate as consulting parties in Section 106 consultation for the Tier 1

undertaking, including the operator of intercity passenger rail service on the NEC (Amtrak) as well as the operators of commuter rail services on the NEC in each State;

WHEREAS, based on consultation with the SHPOs, NCSHPO, and the ACHP, the FRA has also invited statewide historic preservation commissions to participate as consulting parties in Section 106 consultation for the Tier 1 undertaking;

WHEREAS, FRA utilized a variety of outreach efforts to inform and engage the public, elected officials, government agencies, private businesses and individuals during the NEC FUTURE process via the project website (www.necfuture.com), and via numerous public meetings and workshops, stakeholder meetings, and agency meetings in each of the corridor's eight states and the District of Columbia (District), and has included information about the Section 106 process as part of these outreach efforts, which were carried out in compliance with NEPA; and

WHEREAS, FRA included a draft of this PA in Appendix G of the Tier 1 DEIS for NEC FUTURE, in order to provide the public with an opportunity to comment on the draft PA as part of the public comment period on the Tier 1 DEIS, in accordance with 36 C.F.R. § 800.14(b)(2);

WHEREAS, the purpose of this PA is to provide an overall framework and common terminology to be used in Section 106 consultation for any Tier 2 undertakings, while allowing the Section 106 consultation process for Tier 2 undertakings to be adapted as necessary based on each State or District's requirements; and

WHEREAS, the signatories intend that the Section 106 regulations (36 C.F.R. Part 800) apply to the Tier 2 undertakings, except as specifically provided in this PA;

NOW, THEREFORE, the signatories agree that, upon execution of this PA, FRA has satisfied its obligations under Section 106 with regard to the Tier 1 undertaking and further agree that Tier 2 undertakings shall be implemented in accordance with the following stipulations in order to consider the effect of those undertakings on historic properties in accordance with Section 106 of the NHPA.

STIPULATIONS

The FRA, FTA, or, as applicable, any other federal agency responsible for Section 106 compliance for an NEC Tier 2 Project, shall ensure the following:

I. APPLICABILITY

A. Applicability to Tier 2 Undertakings

1. This PA shall apply to FRA’s compliance with Section 106 with regard to any Tier 2 undertaking for which FRA has a Section 106 responsibility, except as provided in paragraphs B.3 and E of this Stipulation.
2. If FTA signs this PA, this PA shall apply to FTA’s compliance with Section 106 with regard to any Tier 2 undertaking for which FTA has a Section 106 responsibility, except as provided in paragraphs B.3 and E of this Stipulation.
3. For any Tier 2 undertaking, the FRA and FTA (individually or jointly) may elect to satisfy their Section 106 responsibilities by following the process set forth in the Section 106 regulations (36 C.F.R. Part 800), in lieu of following this PA. When making such an election, FRA and FTA, as applicable, shall notify the ACHP, applicable SHPO(s), applicable Indian tribe(s) and THPO(s), and consulting parties for that Tier 2 undertaking (if any), in writing that this PA does not apply to the undertaking. Such notification shall be provided as early as practicable in the environmental review process for the undertaking.

B. If any federal agency other than FRA or FTA (hereafter, “other federal agency”) has a Section 106 responsibility for a Tier 2 undertaking, the other federal agency may satisfy its Section 106 responsibilities by accepting and complying with the terms of this PA, in accordance with this paragraph:

1. The federal agency shall notify FRA, FTA, ACHP, applicable SHPO(s), and applicable Indian tribe(s) and THPO(s), in writing of its intent to use this PA to achieve compliance with Section 106 requirements for one or more Tier 2 undertakings.
2. If none of the notified agencies objects within 30 days of receipt of notification, the federal agency may then carry out its Section 106 obligations in accordance with this agreement.
3. If any of the notified agencies objects in writing within 30 days, the federal agency shall meet with the objecting agency or agencies and seek their consent to proceed under this PA. If the objecting agency or agencies grant their consent, the federal agency may then carry out its Section 106 responsibilities in accordance with this PA. If the objection is not resolved, the dispute resolution procedures in Stipulation XIV may be invoked by any signatory that is a party to the dispute.

4. Upon determining that the requirements of this paragraph have been met, the federal agency shall provide written notice to the FRA, FTA, ACHP, applicable SHPO(s), applicable Indian tribe(s) and THPO(s), and consulting parties for that Tier 2 undertaking (if any), confirming that it will be following this PA for purposes of the specified undertaking or undertakings.
 5. A federal agency's decision to follow this PA, in accordance with the procedures outlined in this section, does not give that agency the status of a signatory to this PA. A federal agency may become a signatory to this PA only by execution of an amendment to the PA in accordance with Stipulation XIV.C.
- C. Nothing in this PA precludes FRA, FTA, or any other Federal agency from delegating its responsibilities under this PA to a State, local, or tribal government in accordance with Federal law, as permitted under 36 CFR 800.2(a).
- D. If one federal agency is designated by one or more other federal agencies to serve as the responsible federal agency for a Tier 2 undertaking, that agency (for example, FRA or FTA) will act on the collective behalf of those agencies to fulfill all Section 106 responsibilities by complying with the terms of this PA. When one federal agency is not designated the responsible federal agency for Section 106, all federal agencies remain individually responsible for their compliance with Section 106 and 36 C.F.R. Part 800.
- E. This PA shall not apply to undertakings that occur on or affect tribal lands as defined in Section 301(14) of the NHPA. If such undertakings are proposed, the federal agency or agencies with Section 106 responsibilities for the Tier 2 undertaking shall follow the applicable procedures in 36 C.F.R. Part 800 for projects on or affecting tribal lands.

II. ROLES AND RESPONSIBILITIES

A. Federal Agency

The FRA, FTA, or another federal agency, as applicable, shall be responsible for carrying out the responsibilities of the federal agency under this PA with regard to a Tier 2 undertaking, unless the agency determines that the PA does not apply to the undertaking as provided in Stipulation I.B.3.

B. ACHP

For Tier 2 undertakings, the ACHP will be responsible for providing technical guidance, participating in dispute resolutions if needed, and notifying the federal agency if ACHP will participate in consultation for an MOA prepared for the Tier 2 undertaking.

C. SHPOs

1. For Tier 2 undertakings, each SHPO will participate in the Section 106 consultation process for projects located in the SHPO's State. In consultation with that SHPO, the

federal agency will determine whether to invite the SHPO in adjacent State or States to participate in consultation for the Tier 2 undertaking.

2. For any Tier 2 undertakings in which the SHPO is participating in Section 106 consultation, the SHPO shall be responsible for reviewing project documentation in a timely manner (generally, within 30 days after receipt, unless another time period has been agreed upon and participating in consultation as set forth in this PA, including State-specific appendices.

III. PROFESSIONAL STANDARDS

A. Qualifications

1. All actions prescribed by this PA that involve the identification, evaluation, analysis, recording, treatment, or monitoring, or disposition of historic properties, or that involve reporting or documentation of such actions in the form of reports, forms, or other records, shall be carried out by or under the direct supervision of a person or persons who meet, at a minimum, the Secretary of the Interior's Historic Preservation Professional Qualification Standards, consistent with the proposal at 62 FR 33708 – 33723 (June 20, 1997).
2. The qualification standards described in III.A.1 do not apply to individuals recognized by relevant Indian tribes to have expertise in identification, evaluation, assessment of effect, and treatment of effects to historic properties of religious and cultural significance to their tribes. The signatories to this PA acknowledge that tribal representatives possess special expertise in assessing the eligibility of historic properties that may possess religious and cultural significance to their tribe.

B. Standards & Guidelines

All evaluation methods and criteria shall be consistent with the Secretary of the Interior's Standards and Guidelines for Evaluation (48 Fed. Reg. 190: 44729-44738) (36 C.F.R. Part 63) and with the ACHP's Section 106 Archaeology Guidance found at www.achp.gov.archguide.

IV. GENERAL PROVISIONS

A. Applicability of Section 106 Regulations.

The Section 106 consultation for the Tier 2 undertakings shall follow the procedures set forth in 36 C.F.R. Part 800, except as specifically provided in this PA.

B. Definitions.

The terms used in this PA shall have the meanings given in Appendix E.

C. Government-to-Government Consultation with Indian Tribes

For each Tier 2 undertaking, the federal agency shall consult on a government to government basis, in accordance with 36 C.F.R. § 800.2(c)(2), with any Federally-recognized Indian tribes that attach religious and cultural significance to historic properties that may be affected by the undertaking. The federal agency shall comply with any additional requirements specified in a State-specific appendix to this PA with regard to consultation with Indian tribes.

D. State-Specific Appendices.

This PA includes State-specific appendices, which include additional requirements that apply to NEC Tier 2 Projects within an individual State. State-specific appendices (and any amendments thereto) require signature by the FRA, ACHP, and the SHPO from the applicable State, and by FTA if FTA is a signatory to this PA.

E. Applicability of Program Alternatives

This PA does not preclude the application to NEC FUTURE of any program alternatives that may come into effect during the term of this PA pursuant to 36 C.F.R. 800.14, including but not limited to programmatic agreements or program comments that apply to Section 106 consultation for railroad infrastructure projects.

V. INITIATION OF CONSULTATION PROCESS

A. Invitation of Consulting Parties

1. For each Tier 2 undertaking, the federal agency shall invite consulting parties (including the applicable SHPO(s), applicable Indian tribe(s) and THPO(s), and local governments, as well as other organizations and individuals as appropriate) to participate in consultation for that undertaking, in accordance with 36 C.F.R. § 800.3 and this PA, including any additional requirements specified in a State-specific appendix to this PA.
2. The invitation to participate in Section 106 consultation shall specifically identify the undertaking as an NEC Tier 2 Project, for which consultation will be carried out in accordance with this PA. The invitation also shall include the federal agency's proposed schedule for completing the environmental review process, including dates for key milestones such as publication of NEPA documents for public comment.
3. The applicant for federal funding or approval (and, if different, the operator(s) of intercity passenger rail or commuter rail service on the railroad on which the improvement is proposed to be made) shall be invited to participate as a consulting party for any Tier 2 undertaking.

VI. IDENTIFICATION AND EVALUATION OF HISTORIC PROPERTIES

A. Process for Identification and Evaluation of Historic Properties in Tier 2

1. As part of Section 106 consultation for each Tier 2 undertaking, the federal agency will define an APE specifically for that undertaking (a “project-specific APE”).
2. The federal agency will make determinations of eligibility for historic properties within the project-specific APE as part of the Section 106 process for each Tier 2 undertaking. The passage of time, changing perceptions of significance, or incomplete prior evaluations may require the federal agency official (during Tier 2) to reevaluate properties previously determined eligible or ineligible.
3. Where appropriate, the identification and evaluation of historic properties may be phased for an individual Tier 2 undertaking.

B. Additional Provisions

The following special provisions will apply to the identification and evaluation of historic properties during the Tier 2 studies, as provided below.

1. Requests for Determination of Eligibility.

During Section 106 consultation for a Tier 2 undertaking, the federal agency shall forward a request for a Determination of Eligibility to the Keeper of the National Register (“Keeper”), in accordance with 36 C.F.R. § 800.4(c)(2), if requested by the Advisory Council on Historic Preservation or the Secretary of the Interior, or if:

- a. the applicable SHPO(s), applicable Indian tribe(s) and THPO(s), a federal agency with jurisdiction over the property, or an Indian tribe that ascribes traditional religious and cultural significance to the property, objects to a finding of eligibility or ineligibility by the federal agency; and
 - b. the federal agency determines that it is not able to resolve that objection through consultation with the applicable SHPO(s), applicable Indian tribe(s) and THPO(s), the objecting party, and other consulting parties as appropriate.
- #### 2. Tribal Involvement in Archeological Investigations in Tier 2.

Except as otherwise provided in a State-specific appendix to this PA, the federal agency shall ensure that tribes participating in Section 106 consultation for a Tier 2 undertaking have the opportunity to:

- a. be notified of the schedule for any archaeological fieldwork that involves resources of religious and cultural significance to the tribe;

- b. review the study protocol for any archaeological fieldwork that involve resources of religious and cultural significance to the tribe, prior to the commencement of that fieldwork;
- c. make arrangements for a tribal monitor to attend any archaeological fieldwork that involve resources of religious and cultural significance to the tribe;
- d. retain any artifacts that are of religious and cultural significance to the tribe, in accordance with applicable Federal and State laws; and
- e. be notified immediately of the discovery of any burial sites of Native American origin encountered during construction on lands associated with the tribe. (See also, Stipulation X, Human Remains).

VII. ASSESSMENT OF EFFECTS

A. Process for Assessment of Effects in Tier 2

1. The federal agency will apply the criteria of adverse effect (under 36 C.F.R. § 800.5) to each NRHP-eligible or NRHP-listed property as part of the Tier 2 process for each Tier 2 undertaking.
2. Where appropriate, the application of the criteria of adverse effects to historic properties may be phased for an individual Tier 2 undertaking.

B. Additional Provisions

1. Conditional Findings of No Adverse Effect

In accordance with 36 C.F.R. § 800.5(b) and (d)(1), the federal agency may determine that there is no adverse effect on a historic property when the federal agency agrees to conditions required by the applicable SHPO(s) and/or applicable THPO(s) as the basis for its concurrence in a finding of no adverse effects.

2. Cumulative Effects

In accordance with 36 C.F.R. 800.5(a)(1), the application of the criteria of adverse effect shall take into account cumulative effects. The cumulative effects analysis for an NEC Tier 2 Project will include, but is not necessarily limited to, the effects of other actions carried out as part of the NEC FUTURE Investment Program.

VIII. RESOLUTION OF ADVERSE EFFECTS ON HISTORIC PROPERTIES

A. Process for Resolution of Adverse Effects in Tier 2

1. The resolution of adverse effects will occur through Section 106 consultation for individual Tier 2 undertakings.
2. The federal agency will be responsible for determining, in consultation with the applicable SHPO(s), applicable Indian tribe(s) and THPOs(s), and other consulting parties, how to resolve any adverse effects for an NEC Tier 2 Project.

B. Additional Provisions

1. Use of Standard Treatment Measures to Resolve Adverse Effects.
 - a. The federal agency may resolve adverse effects on historic properties in Tier 2 by adopting Standard Treatment Measures listed in Appendix C or listed in a State-Specific appendix.
 - b. If the federal agency proposes to use Standard Treatment Measures to resolve adverse effects of a Tier 2 undertaking on a historic property, it shall provide notice to the applicable SHPO(s), applicable Indian tribe(s) and THPO(s), and other consulting parties involved in the Section 106 process for that Tier 2 undertaking.
 - c. If a SHPO or other consulting party objects to the use of a Standard Treatment Measure within 30 days after receiving such notice, the federal agency shall consult with the consulting party regarding the objection.
 - d. If consensus cannot be reached within 30 days, the dispute resolution procedures in Stipulation XIV may be invoked by any signatory that is a party to the dispute. The federal agency will be responsible for resolving any objections to the use of Standard Treatment Measures through the dispute resolution process.
1. Other Methods for Resolving Adverse Effects
 - a. If adverse effects on one or more historic properties are not resolved by adoption of standard treatments, the federal agency shall consult to resolve adverse effects on those historic properties in accordance with 36 C.F.R. § 800.6.
 - b. A template MOA for Tier 2 undertakings is provided in Appendix D. This template may be modified as appropriate by agreement among the signatories to the MOA for a Tier 2 undertaking, or a PA may be used to resolve the adverse effects of the Tier 2 undertaking.
 - c. The federal agency shall consider the views of the public, pursuant to 36 C.F.R. § 800.6(a)(4), when developing an MOA or PA for a Tier 2 undertaking. Considering the views of the public shall include consideration of any comments submitted on Tier 2 NEPA documents, in addition to any comments submitted specifically as part of the Section 106 process for the Tier 2 undertaking.

- d. Upon execution of an MOA or PA for a Tier 2 undertaking and filing of the MOA or PA with the ACHP, Section 106 consultation will be considered concluded for that Tier 2 undertaking.
- e. Nothing in this PA shall be construed to preclude any procedural option that is available under the Section 106 regulations, including the option of termination under 36 C.F.R. § 800.7 for Tier 2 undertakings.

2. Resolution of Effects on National Historic Landmarks

- a. If a National Historic Landmark may be affected, the federal agency shall comply with applicable requirements in Section 110(f) of the National Historic Preservation Act and 36 C.F.R. § 800.10, including but not limited to: notifying the Secretary of the Interior represented by the NPS regional office's program coordinator, involving NPS in the consultation process, and notifying the ACHP and invite them to consult on the Tier 2 undertaking, and planning to avoid adverse effects.

IX. CONFIDENTIALITY

All parties to this PA shall ensure that shared data, including data concerning the precise location and nature of historic properties and properties of religious and cultural significance, shall be protected from public disclosure to the greatest extent permitted by law, including conformance to Section 304 of the NHPA, as amended, Section 9 of the Archaeological Resource Protection Act, and Executive Order on Sacred Sites 13007 FR 61-104 dated May 24, 1996. State-specific data sharing agreements are referenced and summarized in the State-Specific Appendices.

X. HUMAN REMAINS

Except as otherwise provided in State-specific appendices to this PA or in an MOA or PA for a Tier 2 undertaking, the following stipulations apply to the discovery of human remains:

A. Notification and Treatment

1. If human remains are discovered during construction activities, all construction will cease within 100 feet in all directions of the human remains and the federal agency (or its designee, such as the project sponsor) will immediately notify the appropriate parties in accordance with the applicable State laws. Human remains and grave goods will be treated in accordance with applicable State laws.
2. The federal agency will be responsible for curation of all records and other items resulting from identification and data recovery efforts on federal lands within the agency's jurisdiction.
3. The federal agency will be responsible for ensuring that the disposition of any human remains and associated funerary objects of Native American origin encountered on federal land during any action subject to this PA complies with § 3(c)-(d) of the National

American Graves Protection and Repatriation Act (NAGPRA), and its implementing regulations codified at 43 C.F.R. § 10.

B. Final Disposition of Human Remains

All human remains shall be treated in a manner consistent with the ACHP "Policy Statement regarding Treatment of Human Burial Sites, Human Remains and Funerary Objects" (February 23, 2007; <http://www.achp.gov/docslhrpolicy0207.pdt>).

XI. CURATION

A. Collections from Federal Lands

The federal agency will be responsible for curation of all records and other archeological items resulting from identification and data recovery efforts on federal lands and that curation is completed in accordance with 36 C.F.R. Part 79. This includes private lands that have been acquired by a federal agency for the purposes of implementing NEC FUTURE. If the items are determined to be of Native American origin and are located on federal land, the agencies will follow NAGPRA regulations and procedures set forth in 43 C.F.R. Part 10.

B. Collections from Private Lands

In cases where archaeological survey, testing, and data recovery are conducted on private land, any recovered collections remain the property of the land owner. In such instances, the federal agency, in coordination with the applicable SHPO(s) and applicable Indian tribe(s) and THPO(s), shall encourage the land owner to donate the collection to an appropriate public or Tribal entity. In cases where the land owner wishes to transfer ownership of the collection to a public or Tribal entity, the federal agency shall ensure that recovered artifacts and related documentation are curated in a suitable repository as agreed to by the federal agency, applicable SHPO(s), and applicable Indian tribe(s) and THPO(s), and following applicable State or Tribal guidelines.

C. State Requirements

Refer to Appendices CT-RI for specific state requirements.

XII. EMERGENCIES

Except as otherwise provided in State-specific appendices to this PA, or in an MOA or PA for a Tier Two undertaking, the following provisions shall apply during implementation of a Tier 2 undertaking:

A. Emergency actions are those actions deemed necessary by the federal agency as an immediate and direct response to an emergency situation, which is a disaster or emergency declared by the President, tribal government, or the governor of the state, or other immediate threats to life or property. Emergency actions under this PA are only those implemented within thirty (30) calendar days after the disaster or emergency has been formally declared by the appropriate authority.

- B. If the emergency action has the potential to affect historic properties, the federal agency shall notify the applicable SHPO(s), applicable Indian tribe(s) and THPO(s) and other parties as appropriate (including any Indian tribes with an interest in the potentially affected historic properties) prior to undertaking the action, when feasible. As part of the notification, the federal agency shall provide a plan to address the emergency. The applicable SHPO(s) and THPO(s) and other parties shall have seven (7) calendar days to review and comment on the plan to address the emergency. If the applicable SHPO(s) and THPO(s) and other parties do not comment or object to the plan within the review period, the federal agency may implement the proposed plan. If they do comment, the federal agency shall take into account all timely comments received in reviewing and implementing the proposed plan. If an objection is not resolved, the dispute resolution procedures in Stipulation XIV may be invoked by any signatory that is a party to the dispute.
- C. If the federal agency is unable to consult with the applicable SHPO(s) and THPO(s) and other parties prior to carrying out emergency actions, the federal agency shall notify the applicable SHPO(s) and THPO(s) and other parties as appropriate within forty-eight (48) hours after the initiation of the emergency action. This notification shall include a description of the emergency action taken, the effects of the action(s) to historic properties, and, where appropriate, any further proposed measures to avoid, minimize, or mitigate potential adverse effects to historic properties. The applicable SHPO(s) and THPO(s) and other parties shall have seven (7) calendar days to review and comment on the proposal where further action is required to address the emergency. If the applicable SHPO(s) and THPO(s) and other parties do not object to the plan within the review period, the federal agency shall implement the proposed plan.
- D. Where possible, the federal agency shall ensure that such emergency actions shall be undertaken in a manner that does not foreclose future preservation or restoration of historic properties. Where such emergency actions may affect historic buildings, they shall be undertaken in a manner that is consistent with the Secretary of the Interior's Standards for the Treatment of Historic Properties. (<http://www.nps.gov/tps/standards.htm>). In addition, where possible, the federal agency shall ensure that such actions shall be done with on-site monitoring by the appropriate preservation professional who meets, at a minimum, the Professional Qualifications Standards in his or her field of expertise.
- E. Where the applicable SHPO(s) and THPO(s) and/or any other party has reason to believe that a historic property may be adversely affected by an emergency action, the party shall consult with the federal agency to determine how to expedite review and comment on the action.
- F. Immediate rescue and salvage operations conducted to preserve life or property are exempt from these and all other provisions of this PA.

XIII. UNANTICIPATED DISCOVERIES

- A. If a previously undiscovered historic property (including archeological property) is encountered during implementation of a Tier 2 undertaking, or an adverse effect that was not

anticipated is found to exist, the discovery shall be addressed in accordance with the applicable provisions in the MOA or PA for the Tier 2 undertaking.

- B. If there is no MOA or PA for the Tier 2 undertaking, or if that MOA or PA does not address unanticipated discoveries, the federal agency shall comply with 36 C.F.R. 800.13(b).

XIV. DOCUMENTATION STANDARDS

All documentation that supports the findings and determinations developed under this PA shall be in accordance with 36 C.F.R. 800.11.

XV. AUTHORITIES

Compliance with the provisions of this PA does not relieve the FRA or other federal agencies of their responsibilities to comply with other legal requirements, including those imposed by the NAGPRA (25 U.S.C. Section 3001 and 43 C.F.R. 10), the ARPA (16 U.S.C. Section 470 aa-47011), and NEPA, applicable Executive Orders, and applicable State laws.

XVI. ADMINISTRATIVE STIPULATIONS

A. Review Process

1. The FRA shall conduct a review process for this PA every 2 years after the execution of this PA. The FRA shall ensure that the review process is conducted under the supervision of FRA's Federal Preservation Officer and includes (1) a status report prepared by FRA, summarizing pending NEC Tier 2 Projects, including the status of Section 106 consultation for each of those projects, and (2) an opportunity for signatories to submit comments regarding experience to date with the PA, including any recommendations for improving the process.
2. Any amendments resulting from the review will be executed in accordance with Stipulation XIV.C.

B. Dispute Resolution

The following procedures shall be used to resolve disputes among signatories regarding Section 106 consultation for this PA's implementation, including Tier 2 undertakings under this PA:

- a. Should any signatory to this Agreement object within 30 days to any action proposed or any document provided for review pursuant to this Agreement, the federal agency shall consult with the objecting signatory to resolve the objection.
- b. If the federal agency determines that the objection cannot be resolved within 45 days, the federal agency shall forward all documentation relevant to the dispute, including the federal agency's proposed resolution, to the ACHP. The federal agency also shall provide a copy to all signatories and consulting parties for the undertaking.
- c. The ACHP shall provide the federal agency with its advice on the resolution of

the objection within 30 days of receiving adequate documentation.

- d. Prior to reaching a final decision on the dispute, the federal agency shall prepare a written response that takes into account any timely advice or comments regarding the dispute from the signatories and consulting parties, including Indian tribes, and provide them with a copy of this written response. The federal agency will then proceed according to its final decision.
- e. If the ACHP does not provide its advice regarding the dispute within 30 days, the federal agency may make a final decision on the dispute and proceed accordingly. Prior to reaching such a final decision, the federal agency shall prepare a written response that takes into account any timely comments regarding the dispute from the signatories and consulting parties for the undertaking, and provide them and the ACHP with a copy of such written response.

C. Amendment

1. The signatories anticipate that amendments to this PA are likely to be needed from time to time over the 20-year duration of this PA. Any signatory to this PA may make a request to the FRA and the other signatories to amend it, whereupon the signatories shall consult to consider the amendment(s). Concurring Parties may suggest proposed amendments to the signatories, who shall consult to consider them. This PA may be amended when such an amendment is agreed to in writing by all signatories. The amendment will be effective on the date a copy signed by all of the signatories is filed with the ACHP.
2. A State-specific appendix can be amended at any time through agreement between the FRA, ACHP, and the applicable SHPO, after consultation with any applicable Indian tribe(s) and THPO(s).

D. Termination

1. If any signatory to this PA determines that its terms will not or cannot be carried out, that party shall immediately consult with the other parties to attempt to develop an amendment per Stipulation XIV.C, above. If within thirty (30) days (or another time period agreed to by all signatories) an amendment cannot be reached, any signatory may terminate the PA upon written notification to the other signatories.
2. Once the PA is terminated, and prior to work continuing on the NEC Tier 2 Projects, FRA must either (a) execute a new PA pursuant to 36 C.F.R. § 800.14(b) or (b) comply with 36 C.F.R. Part 800 for remaining NEC FUTURE undertakings. FRA shall notify the signatories as to the course of action it will pursue.

E. Withdrawal

1. An individual SHPO may withdraw from the PA upon written notice to all signatories after having consulted with them for at least 30 days to attempt to find a way to avoid the withdrawal.
2. Upon withdrawal, and prior to continuing work on the undertaking in the relevant State, the federal agency will comply with Section 106 for any Tier 2 undertakings in

accordance with 36 C.F.R. § 800.3 through 800.7 or execute a new agreement in accordance with 36 C.F.R. § 800.14(b) for that State.

3. This PA will remain in effect with regard to any Tier 2 undertakings located in the jurisdiction of the SHPO(s) that have not withdrawn from the PA.
4. If all SHPOs withdraw from the PA, the PA will be considered to be terminated.

F. Duration

1. This PA shall become effective upon execution by the signatories and shall remain in effect for a period of 20 years.
2. In the event that the terms of this PA are not carried out within 20 years, this PA shall be assessed by the signatories to determine if it should be amended to extend the duration of the PA prior to its expiration.
3. If the signatories agree that the duration of the PA should be extended without any other changes, the signatories will execute an amendment extending the duration of the PA prior to its expiration.
4. If the signatories determine that the PA is effective, but needs revisions, then appropriate revisions based on evaluation of patterns and trends in the implementation of the PA will be made and the duration also will be extended prior to its expiration.
5. If the signatories do not amend the PA to extend its duration, the PA will become expire and the FRA will memorialize the expiration of the PA in a letter to the signatories and concurring parties. If the FRA or another federal agency party to this PA chooses to continue with the undertaking, it shall review of the undertaking in accordance with 36 C.F.R. Part 800.
6. Otherwise, the FRA and all other appropriate signatories shall comply with 36 C.F.R. Part 800 with regard to individual actions covered by this PA.

XVII. EXECUTION AND IMPLEMENTATION

Execution of this PA by the FRA, the Connecticut SHPO, the Delaware SHPO, the District of Columbia SHPO, the Maryland SHPO, the Massachusetts SHPO, the New Jersey SHPO, the New York SHPO, the Pennsylvania SHPO, the Rhode Island SHPO, and the ACHP, and implementation of its terms is evidence that the FRA has taken into account the effects of the Tier 1 undertaking on historic properties and afforded the ACHP an opportunity to comment, in accordance with Section 106 and the Section 106 regulations.

SIGNATORY PARTIES

Federal Railroad Administration

By: _____ Date: _____

Advisory Council on Historic Preservation

By: _____ Date: _____

Federal Transit Administration

By: _____ Date: _____

Connecticut State Historic Preservation Officer

By: _____ Date: _____

Delaware State Historic Preservation Officer

By: _____ Date: _____

District of Columbia State Historic Preservation Officer

By: _____ Date: _____

Maryland State Historic Preservation Officer

By: _____ Date: _____

Massachusetts State Historic Preservation Officer

By: _____ Date: _____

New Jersey State Historic Preservation Officer

By: _____ Date: _____

New York State Historic Preservation Officer

By: _____ Date: _____

Pennsylvania Historic Preservation Officer

By: _____ Date: _____

Rhode Island Historic Preservation Officer

By: _____ Date: _____

CONCURRING PARTIES

National Conference of State Historic Preservation Officers

By: _____ Date: _____

New York City Landmarks Preservation Commission

By: _____ Date: _____

Mashantucket Pequot Tribal Nation (Connecticut)

By: _____ Date: _____

Mashpee Wampanoag Tribe

By: _____ Date: _____

Mohegan Indian Tribe of Connecticut

By: _____ Date: _____

Narragansett Indian Tribe of Rhode Island

By: _____ Date: _____

Shinnecock Indian Nation

By: _____ Date: _____

Stockbridge Munsee Mohican Tribe

By: _____ Date: _____

Wampanoag Tribe of Gay Head (Aquinnah) of Massachusetts

By: _____ Date: _____

List of Appendices

Appendix A: Definitions

**Appendix B: Map of Tier 1 EIS Alternatives
Maps of Preliminary Area of Potential Effects**

Appendix C: Standard Treatment Measures

Appendix D: Tier 2 MOA Template

Appendix E: Tier 1 Consulting Parties List

Appendix F: Section 106 Consultation for Tier 2 Undertakings in Connecticut

Appendix G: Section 106 Consultation for Tier 2 Undertakings in District of Columbia

Appendix H: Section 106 Consultation for Tier 2 Undertakings in Delaware

Appendix I: Section 106 Consultation for Tier 2 Undertakings in Massachusetts

Appendix J: Section 106 Consultation for Tier 2 Undertakings in Maryland

Appendix K: Section 106 Consultation for Tier 2 Undertakings in New Jersey

Appendix L: Section 106 Consultation for Tier 2 Undertakings in New York

Appendix M: Section 106 Consultation for Tier 2 Undertakings in Pennsylvania

Appendix N: Section 106 Consultation for Tier 2 Undertakings in Rhode Island


APPENDIX A: DEFINITIONS

Terms defined in the Section 106 regulations (36 C.F.R. Part 800) shall have the meanings given in those regulations. The following additional terms shall have the meanings set forth below:


1. **“Applicable SHPO(s)”** means the State Historic Preservation Officer (SHPO) or SHPOs for the State or States in which a Tier 2 undertaking is located.
2. **“Applicable Indian tribe(s) and THPO(s)”** means the any Indian tribe or tribes that attach religious and cultural significance to historic properties that may be affected by a Tier 2 undertaking and any Tribal Historic Preservation Officer (THPO) or THPOs with jurisdiction over the undertaking.
3. **“Independent project on the NEC”** means a future project that is located on the NEC but is developed through a NEPA process that is independent from the Tier 1 ROD - that is, the NEPA process for the project is *not* tiered to the Tier 1 ROD.
4. **“Indian tribe”** means any federally recognized Indian tribe.
5. **“NEC FUTURE Process”** means the process through which FRA is developing the Tier 1 EIS for the NEC FUTURE Investment Program.
6. **“NEC FUTURE Investment Program”** means as a program of improvements to passenger rail service and infrastructure in the NEC through 2040 and beyond, which will be selected by FRA through the NEC FUTURE Process.
7. **“NEC Tier 2 Project”** means a future project that is located on the NEC and implements the NEC FUTURE Investment Program, and for which a Tier 2 NEPA document is prepared. This term does not include “related projects and “independent projects on the NEC” as those terms are used in this PA.
8. **“NEPA”** means the National Environmental Policy Act, 42 U.S.C. 4321 *et seq.*
9. **“Preliminary APE”** means the area of potential effects for the alternatives in the Tier 1 EIS, as shown in Appendix B to this PA.
10. **“Other federal agency”** means a federal agency other than FRA and FTA.
11. **“Related project”** means a project on the NEC that is being studied by FRA in a separate NEPA process concurrently with the NEC FUTURE Process - for example, the B&P Tunnel Project in Baltimore.
12. **“Representative Route”** is a term used in the Tier 1 EIS to refer the potential physical footprint of the Action Alternatives. The Representative Route was used in the Tier 1 EIS as the basis for estimating the potential impacts of the Action Alternatives.


13. **“State-Specific Appendix”** refers to an appendix to this PA that includes requirements that apply only within a specific State. State-specific appendices (and any amendments thereto) require signature by the FRA, ACHP, and the SHPO from the applicable State, and by FTA if FTA is a signatory to this PA.
14. **“Tier 1 process”** refers to the process for preparing the Tier 1 EIS for the NEC FUTURE Investment Program.
15. **“Tier 1 ROD”** means a Record of Decision issued at the conclusion of the Tier 1 process, approving an NEC FUTURE Investment Program.
16. **“Tier 1 undertaking”** means the NEC FUTURE Investment Program.
17. **“Tier 2 NEPA document”** means an environmental document (categorical exclusion, environmental assessment, or environmental impact statement) that is prepared under NEPA and that is explicitly tiered to - that is, based on - the Tier 1 Record of Decision issued in the NEC FUTURE Process, in accordance with the tiering regulations in 40 C.F.R. § 1502.20 (or successor regulations).
18. **“Tier 2 process”** refers to the process for preparing a Tier 2 NEPA document for an NEC Tier 2 Project.
19. **“Tier 2 undertaking”** means any NEC Tier 2 Project; this term does not include “related projects and “independent projects on the NEC” as those terms are used in this PA.
20. **“Tribal lands”** means, as defined in Section 301(14) of the NHPA, (1) all lands within the exterior boundaries of any Indian reservation; and, (2) all dependent Indian communities.


APPENDIX B: NEC FUTURE Tier 1 EIS Alternatives Map





APPENDIX B: Preliminary Area of Potential Effects























APPENDIX C: Standard Treatment Measures

In accordance with Stipulation VIII.B of this PA, the federal agency may use one or more of the Standard Treatment Measures below to resolve the adverse effect on historic properties and, if applicable, may also use additional Standard Treatment Measures in a State-Specific Appendix to resolve adverse effects for projects located in the applicable State.

A. Standard Treatment #1: Existing NEC Buildings and Structures

1. This standard treatment may be used for adverse effects to existing NEC railway, buildings, and structures (e.g., bridges and tunnels) that have been listed in or determined eligible for listing in the NRHP (hereafter called “existing NEC historic properties”).
2. The federal agency shall make a reasonable and good faith effort in consultation with the SHPO(s) and consulting parties to construct improvements to existing NEC historic properties in accordance with the Secretary of the Interior’s Standards (36 C.F.R. Part 68). This includes but is not limited to rehabilitation of existing buildings and structures and adjacent new constructions, such as fencing.
3. If the federal agency determines in consultation with the SHPO(s) and other consulting parties that demolition is required, the federal agency shall then consult with the SHPO, the consulting parties, and the National Park Service (NPS) (if applicable) regarding:
 - a. whether the affected NEC historic property should be recorded prior to its demolition;
 - b. what type of recordation will be performed, specifically including consideration of the Historic American Building Survey (HABS) standards for buildings, and the Historic American Engineering Record (HAER) standards for structures;
 - c. which agency or agencies shall be responsible for approving the adequacy of the documentation prior to filing;
 - d. where the original recordation documents will be deposited, specifically including consideration of the National Park Service as a repository for HABS/HAER documentation;
 - e. which additional repositories, if any, will receive copies of the original recordation documents;
4. If the federal agency elects to proceed with this standard treatment, the federal agency shall prepare a written recordation plan addressing each of the issues listed in paragraph (3) and shall submit the plan to the applicable SHPO(s), applicable Indian tribe(s) and THPO(s), and other consulting parties for review. If the applicable SHPO(s) and applicable Indian tribe(s) and THPO(s) concur in the recordation plan, the federal agency shall then carry out recordation in accordance with the plan.

B. Standard Treatment # 2: Buildings and Structures in Close Proximity to the Existing NEC

1. Many buildings and structures listed in or eligible for the NRHP have long been in close proximity to existing NEC facilities and operations, but may be affected by NEC FUTURE related improvements. The federal agency shall consult with SHPO, consulting parties, and appropriate corridor stakeholders to implement the NEC improvements in a contextually sensitive design, taking into account the character defining features of the nearby historic buildings and structures that would be affected.

2. If a building or structure that is a historic property must be physically altered by NEC FUTURE related improvements, the federal agency shall make a reasonable and good faith effort in consultation with the applicable SHPO(s), applicable Indian tribe(s) and THPO(s), and consulting parties to rehabilitate it in accordance with the Secretary of the Interior's Standards.
3. If the federal agency determines in consultation with the SHPO(s) and other consulting parties that demolition is required, the federal agency shall then consult with the SHPO, the consulting parties, and the National Park Service (NPS) (if applicable) regarding:
 - a. whether the affected NEC historic property should be recorded prior to its demolition;
 - b. what type of recordation will be performed, specifically including consideration of the Historic American Building Survey (HABS) standards for buildings, and the Historic American Engineering Record (HAER) standards for structures;
 - c. which agency or agencies shall be responsible for approving the adequacy of the documentation prior to filing;
 - d. where the original recordation documents will be deposited, specifically including consideration of the National Park Service as a repository for HABS/HAER documentation;
 - e. which additional repositories, if any, will receive copies of the original recordation documents;
4. If the federal agency elects to proceed with this standard treatment, the federal agency shall prepare a written recordation plan addressing each of the issues listed in paragraph (3) and shall submit the plan to the applicable SHPO(s), applicable Indian tribe(s) and THPO(s), and other consulting parties for review. If the applicable SHPO(s) and applicable Indian tribe(s) and THPO(s) concur in the recordation plan, the federal agency shall then carry out recordation in accordance with the plan.

C. Standard Treatment # 3: Relocation of Architectural Resources

The federal agency shall consider the relocation of architectural historic properties (buildings and structures) as a mitigation treatment on a case by case basis when requested by the SHPO or any consulting party. If relocation is feasible and agreed upon by SHPO as the preferred treatment, the federal agency in consultation with SHPO will develop a marketing plan and proposal.

D. Standard Treatment # 4: Archaeological Resources

The federal agency shall make a reasonable and good faith effort in consultation with the SHPO, tribes, and other consulting parties to avoid and minimize effects to archaeological resources that are historic properties. If adverse effects cannot be avoided, the federal agency, in consultation with the applicable SHPO(s), applicable Indian tribe(s) and THPO(s), and other consulting parties, shall consider data-recovery excavations as the standard treatment. The federal agency shall ensure that data-recovery excavations are completed prior to construction.

APPENDIX D - MOA Template

MEMORANDUM OF AGREEMENT AMONG

THE FEDERAL RAILROAD ADMINISTRATION

[or]

THE FEDERAL TRANSIT ADMINISTRATION,

[or]

[NAME OF OTHER FEDERAL AGENCY],

[NAME OF PROJECT SPONSOR],

THE ADVISORY COUNCIL ON HISTORIC PRESERVATION *[if participating],*

THE STATE HISTORIC PRESERVATION OFFICER[S] OF:

[affected states,]

AND THE *[affected tribes or consulting parties, if any]*

REGARDING

**COMPLIANCE WITH SECTION 106 OF THE NATIONAL HISTORIC
PRESERVATION ACT
AS IT PERTAINS TO**

THE *[name of the Tier 2 undertaking]*

[NEC FUTURE INVESTMENT PROGRAM]

WHEREAS, the *[name of the Tier 2 undertaking]* is a Tier 2 undertaking related to the Northeast Corridor (NEC) FUTURE Program, a rail investment program for the rail spine which runs from Washington, D.C., through New York, New York, to Boston, Massachusetts; and

WHEREAS, in order to comply with Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act, a Programmatic Agreement (PA) was executed for the NEC FUTURE Investment Program on *[date of execution]* among the Federal Railroad Administration (FRA), the Advisory Council on Historic Preservation (ACHP), and the State Historic Preservation Officers (SHPO) of Connecticut, Delaware, District of Columbia, Maryland, Massachusetts, New Jersey, New York, Pennsylvania, and Rhode Island; and

WHEREAS, the *[Agency responsible the Tier 2 undertaking]* has complied with the stipulations in the PA related to the delineation of the Area of Potential Effects, consultation with signatories to the PA and other consulting parties, identification of historic properties, and assessment of effects, and through consultation has determined that the reference undertaking would result in an adverse effect upon the following historic property(ies): *[list the historic properties with adverse effects that cannot be resolved with standard treatments];* and

WHEREAS, the [FTA, FRA or other federal agency] has consulted with [list the signatories to this MOA] on measures to avoid, minimize or mitigate the adverse effects on historic properties; and

NOW, THEREFORE, the signatories to this MOA agree that the [name of the Tier 2 undertaking] shall be implemented in accordance with the following stipulations in order to resolve the adverse effects on historic properties.

STIPULATIONS

[Federal agency] has a statutory obligation to fulfill the NHPA requirements of Section 106; therefore, [agency] shall ensure that the measures in the following parts are carried out.

I. Treatment of Historic Properties

(List agreed upon stipulations to resolve adverse effects on each historic property here.)

II. Resolving Objections.

(Provide provisions for resolving objections should they arise during the life of the document. Distinguish FRA's/FTA's/other agency's and SHPO's roles in resolving objections and specific timeframes for responses. Note that other aspects of the agreement not in dispute will remain in effect.)

III. Amendments.

(Provide provisions for amending the document, including consultation procedures with signatories and consulting parties)

IV. Termination.

(Provide provisions for terminating the document, including notification procedures to signatories and consulting parties.)

V. Duration of the MOA.

(Provide a duration period for the document (e.g., two years) within which all the provisions of the agreement will be completed.) If the duration of the MOA is expected to be longer than five years, a regular review process shall be included in the MOA in order for the signatories to evaluate its effectiveness and seek amendment if necessary.

VI. Effective Date of this MOA.

This MOA will take effect on the date that it is executed by the [Federal agency] and the SHPO.

EXECUTION of this MOA by the [Federal agency], [project sponsor], the SHPO(s), and any other signatories its transmittal to the ACHP in accordance with 36 C.F.R. § 800.6(b)(1)(iv), and subsequent implementation of its terms, shall evidence, pursuant to 36 C.F.R. § 800.6(c), that the [Federal agency] has afforded the ACHP an opportunity to comment on the [name of the Tier 2 undertaking] and its effects on historic properties, and that the [federal agency] has taken into account the effects of the [name of the Tier 2 undertaking] on historic properties.

SIGNATORY PARTIES:

[Federal agency]

By: _____ Date: _____

[State] State Historic Preservation Officer

By: _____ Date: _____

]Project Sponsor]

By: _____ Date: _____

Advisory Council on Historic Preservation *[if participating]*

By: _____ Date: _____

APPENDIX E - Tier 1 Consulting Parties List

The table in this appendix includes a list of all entities that have been invited to participate as consulting parties in Section 106 consultation for the NEC FUTURE program. The table indicates which entities have accepted the invitation to serve as consulting parties. The table also indicates which entities have accepted the invitation to be an Invited Signatory or Concurring Party to the PA.

	List of Tribes or Agencies	Status of invitation acceptance
Tribes: Initiated Consultation June 2012 Invited to be a Consulting Party October 2014 Invited to be a Concurring Party to the PA 2014-2015	Mashantucket (Western) Pequot of Connecticut	Concurring Party to the PA
	Mashpee Wampanoag Tribe	Consulting Party
	Mohegan Indian Tribe of Connecticut	Concurring Party to the PA
	Narragansett Indian Tribe of Rhode Island	Consulting Party
	Shinnecock Indian Nation	
	Stockbridge-Munsee Mohican Tribe	Concurring Party to the PA
	Wampanoag Tribe of Gay Head (Aquinnah) of Massachusetts	
Tribes: Initiated Consultation June 2012 Invited to be a Consulting Party October 2014	Delaware Tribe of Indians	Consulting Party
	Absentee-Shawnee Tribe of Oklahoma	
	Cayuga Nation of New York	
	Delaware Nation	
	Eastern Shawnee Tribe of Oklahoma	
	Oneida Nation of New York	
	Oneida Tribe of Indians of Wisconsin	
	Onodaga Nation of New York	
	Seneca Nation of New York	
	Seneca-Cayuga Tribe of Oklahoma	
	Shawnee Tribe	
	Saint Regis Mohawk Tribe	
	Towanda Band of Seneca Indians of New York	
Tuscarora Nation of New York		
SHPOs: Initiated Consultation June 2012 Invited to be a Consulting Party October 2014 Invited to be a Signatory to the PA December 2014	District of Columbia Historic Preservation Office	
	Maryland Historical Trust	
	Delaware Division of Historical & Cultural Affairs	
	Pennsylvania Historical and Museum Commission	Signatory to the PA
	New Jersey Department of Environmental Protection Historic Preservation	
	NYS Office of Parks, Recreation & Historic Preservation	Signatory to the PA
	Connecticut Department of Economic and Community Development - Historic Preservation and Museum Division	
	Rhode Island Historical Preservation and Heritage Commission	Consulting Party
Massachusetts Historical Commission	Signatory to the PA	
Other Corridor-Wide Agencies: Invited to be a Concurring Party to the PA March 2015	National Conference of State Historic Preservation Officers	Concurring Party

	List of Tribes or Agencies	Status of invitation acceptance
Other State and Local Agencies: Invited to be a Consulting Party October 2014 – July 2015	District Department of Transportation	Consulting Party
	District of Columbia Historic Preservation Review Board	
	Maryland Department of Transportation	
	Baltimore City Commission for Historical and Architectural Preservation	
	Delaware Department of Transportation (DELDOT)	Consulting Party
	New Castle County Historic Review Board	
	City of Wilmington Design Review and Preservation Commission	
	Pennsylvania Department of Transportation (PennDOT)	
	The Philadelphia Historical Commission	
	New Jersey Department of Transportation (NJDOT)	
	NJ TRANSIT	Consulting Party
	New York City Landmarks Preservation Commission	Concurring Party to the PA
	NYS Department of Transportation	
	Connecticut Department of Transportation	Consulting Party
	The Connecticut Trust For Historic Preservation	Consulting Party
	Rhode Island Department of Transportation	Consulting Party
	Providence Historic District Commission	
	Massachusetts Department of Environmental Protection	
Massachusetts Department of Transportation		
Boston Landmarks Commission	Consulting Party	
Intercity, Commuter, and Freight Rail Operators Invited to be a Consulting Party August 2015	AMTRAK	Consulting Party
	MDOT – Maryland Transit Administration (for MARC service)	Consulting Party
	Southeastern Pennsylvania Transportation Authority	
	Metropolitan Transportation Authority	
	Long Island Rail Road	
	Metro-North Railroad	Consulting Party
	Massachusetts Bay Transportation Authority	
	CSX Transportation	Consulting Party
	Norfolk Southern Corporation	Declined invitation
Consolidated Rail Corporation	Declined invitation	
Providence & Worcester Railroad Company		
Nonprofit Organizations Invited to be a Consulting Party September 2015	National Trust for Historic Preservation (and state partners)	

Source: NEC FUTURE team, 2015

Note: Blank cells indicate that no formal response has been received.