# FINAL ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT STATEMENT / TRIBAL PROJECT ENVIRONMENTAL DOCUMENT

## WILTON RANCHERIA FEE-TO-TRUST AND CASINO PROJECT

## VOLUME II - FINAL EIS

**DECEMBER 2016**

LEAD AGENCY:

U.S. Department of the Interior
Bureau of Indian Affairs
Pacific Region Office
2800 Cottage Way # W2820
Sacramento, CA 95825

# FINAL ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT STATEMENT / TRIBAL PROJECT ENVIRONMENTAL DOCUMENT

WILTON RANCHERIA
FEE-TO-TRUST AND CASINO PROJECT

## VOLUME II - FINAL EIS

**DECEMBER 2016**

LEAD AGENCY:

U.S. Department of the Interior
Bureau of Indian Affairs
Pacific Region Office
2800 Cottage Way # W2820
Sacramento, CA 95825

PREPARED BY:

Analytical Environmental Services
1801 7th Street, Suite 100
Sacramento, CA 95811
(916) 447-3479
www.analyticalcorp.com

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

## FINAL ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT STATEMENT/TRIBAL PROJECT ENVIRONMENTAL DOCUMENT

## VOLUME II


**ES EXECUTIVE SUMMARY ..... ES-1**
ES.1 Introduction ..... ES-1
ES.2 Purpose and Need ..... ES-2
ES.3 Alternatives ..... ES-3
ES.4 Areas of Controversy ..... ES-5
ES.5 Summary Matrix ..... ES-6

**1.0 INTRODUCTION ..... 1-1**
1.1 Introduction ..... 1-1
1.2 Alternative Site Locations ..... 1-2
1.2.1 Twin Cities Site ..... 1-2
1.2.2 Historic Rancheria Site ..... 1-6
1.2.3 Elk Grove Mall Site ..... 1-6
1.3 Purpose and Need ..... 1-9
1.4 Overview of the Environmental Review Process ..... 1-10
1.5 Scoping ..... 1-12
1.6 Agreements with State and Local Governments ..... 1-12
1.7 Tribal-State Gaming Compact ..... 1-13
1.8 Regulatory Requirements, Permits and Approvals ..... 1-13

**2.0 ALTERNATIVES ..... 2-1**
2.1 Introduction ..... 2-1
2.2 Alternative A – Twin Cities Casino Resort ..... 2-1
2.2.1 Project Location and Access ..... 2-1
2.2.2 Fee-To-Trust Transfer ..... 2-2
2.2.3 Management Contract ..... 2-2
2.2.4 Tribal-State Gaming Compact ..... 2-3
2.2.5 Alternative A Project Components ..... 2-3
2.3 Alternative B – Reduced Intensity Twin Cities Casino ..... 2-13
2.3.1 Alternative B Project Components ..... 2-13
2.4 Alternative C – Retail on the Twin Cities Site ..... 2-17
2.4.1 Alternative C Project Components ..... 2-17
2.5 Alternative D – Casino Resort at Historic Rancheria Site ..... 2-20
2.5.1 Project Location and Access ..... 2-20
2.5.2 Project Components ..... 2-20
2.6 Alternative E – Reduced Intensity Casino at Historic Rancheria Site ..... 2-23

2.6.1 Project Components ....2-23
2.7 Alternative F – Casino Resort at Mall Site ....2-26
2.7.1 Project Location and Access ....2-26
2.7.2 Project Components ....2-30
2.8 Alternative G – No Action ....2-32
2.9 Alternatives Eliminated From Consideration ....2-33
2.9.1 Seven Mile Site ....2-33
2.9.2 Diocese Site ....2-34
2.9.3 Mingo Site ....2-34
2.9.4 Dry Creek Site ....2-34
2.9.5 Non-Gaming on the Historic Rancheria Site ....2-34
2.9.6 Reduced Intensity and Retail on the Mall Site ....2-34
2.10 Comparison of Alternatives ....2-35
2.10.1 Summary of Alternatives ....2-35
2.10.2 Comparison of Environmental and Economic Consequences ....2-36
2.11 Preferred Alternative ....2-38

**3.0 AFFECTED ENVIRONMENT ....3-1**
3.1 Introduction ....3.1-1
3.2 Geology and Soils ....3.2-1
3.2.1 Twin Cities Site – Alternatives A, B, and C ....3.2-1
3.2.2 Historic Rancheria Site – Alternatives D and E ....3.2-10
3.2.3 Elk Grove Mall Site – Alternative F ....3.2-13
3.3 Water Resources ....3.3-1
3.3.1 Twin Cities Site – Alternatives A, B, and C ....3.3-1
3.3.2 Historic Rancheria Site – Alternatives D and E ....3.3-10
3.3.3 Elk Grove Mall Site – Alternative F ....3.3-14
3.4 Air Quality ....3.4-1
3.4.1 Regulatory Context ....3.4-1
3.4.2 Existing Air Quality ....3.4-9
3.5 Biological Resources ....3.5-1
3.5.1 Regulatory Setting ....3.5-1
3.5.2 Twin Cities Site Environmental Setting ....3.5-3
3.5.3 Historic Rancheria Site Environmental Setting ....3.5-19
3.5.4 Elk Grove Mall Site Environmental Setting ....3.5-33
3.6 Cultural and Paleontological Resources ....3.6-1
3.6.1 Regulatory Setting ....3.6-1
3.6.2 Site Specific Cultural Studies ....3.6-3
3.6.3 Native American Consultation ....3.6-9
3.6.4 Paleontological Resources ....3.6-9
3.7 Socioeconomic Conditions ....3.7-1
3.7.1 Socioeconomic Characteristics of the Wilton Rancheria ....3.7-1
3.7.2 Socioeconomic Characteristics of Sacramento County, California ....3.7-1
3.7.3 Environmental Justice ....3.7-6
3.8 Transportation/Circulation ....3.8-1
3.8.1 Existing Circulation Network ....3.8-1
3.8.2 Level of Service Standards ....3.8-4

3.8.3 Transit Services ....3.8-11
3.8.4 Bike and Pedestrian Facilities ....3.8-12
3.8.5 Pavement Condition Index ....3.8-13
3.9 Land Use ....3.9-1
3.9.1 Twin Cities Site – Alternatives A, B, and C ....3.9-1
3.9.2 Historic Rancheria Site – Alternatives D and E ....3.9-14
3.9.3 Elk Grove Mall Site – Alternative F ....3.9-16
3.10 Public Services ....3.10-1
3.10.1 Water Supply ....3.10-1
3.10.2 Wastewater Services ....3.10-4
3.10.3 Solid Waste Services ....3.10-6
3.10.4 Law Enforcement Services ....3.10-7
3.10.5 Fire Protection and Emergency Medical Services ....3.10-9
3.10.6 Energy ....3.10-11
3.10.7 Schools ....3.10-12
3.10.8 Libraries and Parks ....3.10-12
3.11 Noise ....3.11-1
3.11.1 Acoustical Background and Terminology ....3.11-1
3.11.2 Regulatory Setting ....3.11-4
3.11.3 Existing Noise and Vibration Levels ....3.11-7
3.12 Hazardous Materials ....3.12-1
3.12.1 Introduction ....3.12-1
3.12.2 Database Searches ....3.12-2
3.12.3 Existing Conditions ....3.12-3
3.13 Aesthetics ....3.13-1
3.13.1 Environmental Setting ....3.13-1
3.13.2 Twin Cities Site – Alternatives A, B, and C ....3.13-2
3.13.3 Historic Rancheria Site – Alternatives D and E ....3.13-9
3.13.4 Elk Grove Mall Site – Alternative F ....3.13-10

**4.0 ENVIRONMENTAL CONSEQUENCES ....4-1**
4.1 Introduction ....4.1-1
4.2 Geology and Soils ....4.2-1
4.2.1 Alternative A – Twin Cities Casino Resort ....4.2-1
4.2.2 Alternative B – Reduced Intensity Twin Cities Casino ....4.2-2
4.2.3 Alternative C – Retail on Twin Cities Site ....4.2-3
4.2.4 Alternative D – Casino Resort at Historic Rancheria Site ....4.2-4
4.2.5 Alternative E – Reduced Intensity Casino at Historic Rancheria Site ....4.2-6
4.2.6 Alternative F – Casino Resort at Mall Site ....4.2-7
4.2.7 Alternative G – No Action ....4.2-8
4.3 Water Resources ....4.3-1
4.3.1 Alternative A – Twin Cities Casino Resort ....4.3-1
4.3.2 Alternative B – Reduced Intensity Twin Cities Casino ....4.3-6
4.3.3 Alternative C – Retail on Twin Cities Site ....4.3-9
4.3.4 Alternative D – Casino Resort at Historic Rancheria Site ....4.3-11
4.3.5 Alternative E – Reduced Intensity Casino at Historic Rancheria Site ....4.3-15
4.3.6 Alternative F – Casino Resort at Mall Site ....4.3-18

4.3.7 Alternative G – No Action ....4.3-21
4.4 Air Quality ....4.4-1
4.4.1 Methodology ....4.4-1
4.4.2 Alternative A – Twin Cities Casino Resort ....4.4-5
4.4.3 Alternative B – Reduced Intensity Twin Cities Casino ....4.4-9
4.4.4 Alternative C – Retail on Twin Cities Site ....4.4-11
4.4.5 Alternative D – Casino Resort at Historic Rancheria Site ....4.4-14
4.4.6 Alternative E – Reduced Intensity Casino at Historic Rancheria Site ....4.4-17
4.4.7 Alternative F – Casino Resort at Mall Site ....4.4-20
4.4.8 Alternative G – No Action ....4.4-23
4.5 Biological Resources ....4.5-1
4.5.1 Alternative A – Twin Cities Casino Resort ....4.5-1
4.5.2 Alternative B – Reduced Intensity Twin Cities Casino ....4.5-6
4.5.3 Alternative C – Retail on Twin Cities Site ....4.5-8
4.5.4 Alternative D – Casino Resort at Historic Rancheria Site ....4.5-9
4.5.5 Alternative E – Reduced Intensity Casino at Historic Rancheria Site ....4.5-14
4.5.6 Alternative F – Casino Resort at Mall Site ....4.5-15
4.5.7 Alternative G – No Action ....4.5-16
4.6 Cultural and Paleontological Resources ....4.6-1
4.6.1 Alternative A – Twin Cities Casino Resort ....4.6 -1
4.6.2 Alternative B – Reduced Intensity Twin Cities Casino ....4.6-2
4.6.3 Alternative C – Retail on Twin Cities Site ....4.6-2
4.6.4 Alternative D – Casino Resort at Historic Rancheria Site ....4.6-3
4.6.5 Alternative E – Reduced Intensity Casino at Historic Rancheria Site ....4.6-3
4.6.6 Alternative F – Casino Resort at Mall Site ....4.6-4
4.6.7 Alternative G – No Action ....4.6-4
4.7 Socioeconomic Conditions ....4.7-1
4.7.1 Alternative A – Twin Cities Casino Resort ....4.7-3
4.7.2 Alternative B – Reduced Intensity Twin Cities Casino ....4.7-31
4.7.3 Alternative C – Retail on Twin Cities Site ....4.7-37
4.7.4 Alternative D – Casino Resort at Historic Rancheria Site ....4.7-45
4.7.5 Alternative E – Reduced Intensity Casino at Historic Rancheria Site ....4.7-50
4.7.6 Alternative F – Casino Resort at Mall Site ....4.7-55
4.7.7 Alternative G – No Action ....4.7-60
4.8 Transportation/Circulation ....4.8-1
4.8.1 Analysis Methodology ....4.8-1
4.8.2 Alternative A – Twin Cities Casino Resort ....4.8-10
4.8.3 Alternative B – Reduced Intensity Twin Cities Casino ....4.8-16
4.8.4 Alternative C – Retail on Twin Cities Site ....4.8-22
4.8.5 Alternative D – Casino Resort at Historic Rancheria Site ....4.8-27
4.8.6 Alternative E – Reduced Intensity Casino at Historic Rancheria Site ....4.8-33
4.8.7 Alternative F – Casino Resort at Mall Site ....4.8-38
4.8.8 Alternative G – No Action ....4.8-44
4.9 Land Use ....4.9-1
4.9.1 Alternative A – Twin Cities Casino Resort ....4.9-1
4.9.2 Alternative B – Reduced Intensity Twin Cities Casino ....4.9-16
4.9.3 Alternative C – Retail on Twin Cities Site ....4.9-17

4.9.4 Alternative D – Casino Resort at Historic Rancheria Site ....4.9-18
4.9.5 Alternative E – Reduced Intensity Casino at Historic Rancheria Site ....4.9-20
4.9.6 Alternative F – Casino Resort at Mall Site ....4.9-21
4.9.7 Alternative G – No Action ....4.9-22
4.10 Public Services ....4.10-1
4.10.1 Alternative A – Twin Cities Casino Resort ....4.10-1
4.10.2 Alternative B – Reduced Intensity Twin Cities Casino ....4.10-7
4.10.3 Alternative C – Retail on Twin Cities Site ....4.10-12
4.10.4 Alternative D – Casino Resort at Historic Rancheria Site ....4.10-16
4.10.5 Alternative E – Reduced Intensity Casino at Historic Rancheria Site ....4.10-21
4.10.6 Alternative F – Casino Resort at Mall Site ....4.10-25
4.10.7 Alternative G – No Action ....4.10-29
4.11 Noise ....4.11-1
4.11.1 Alternative A – Twin Cities Casino Resort ....4.11-1
4.11.2 Alternative B – Reduced Intensity Twin Cities Casino ....4.11-5
4.11.3 Alternative C – Retail on Twin Cities Site ....4.11-6
4.11.4 Alternative D – Casino Resort at Historic Rancheria Site ....4.11-8
4.11.5 Alternative E – Reduced Intensity Casino at Historic Rancheria Site ....4.11-10
4.11.6 Alternative F – Casino Resort at Mall Site ....4.11-12
4.11.7 Alternative G – No Action ....4.11-15
4.12 Hazardous Materials ....4.12-1
4.12.1 Alternative A – Twin Cities Casino Resort ....4.12-1
4.12.2 Alternative B – Reduced Intensity Twin Cities Casino ....4.12-3
4.12.3 Alternative C – Retail on Twin Cities Site ....4.12-4
4.12.4 Alternative D – Casino Resort at Historic Rancheria Site ....4.12-4
4.12.5 Alternative E – Reduced Intensity Casino at Historic Rancheria Site ....4.12-5
4.12.6 Alternative F – Casino Resort at Mall Site ....4.12-6
4.12.7 Alternative G – No Action ....4.12-6
4.13 Aesthetics ....4.13-1
4.13.1 Alternative A – Twin Cities Casino Resort ....4.13-1
4.13.2 Alternative B – Reduced Intensity Twin Cities Casino ....4.13-4
4.13.3 Alternative C – Retail on Twin Cities Site ....4.13-5
4.13.4 Alternative D – Casino Resort at Historic Rancheria Site ....4.13-7
4.13.5 Alternative E – Reduced Intensity Casino at Historic Rancheria Site ....4.13-9
4.13.6 Alternative F – Casino Resort at Mall Site ....4.13-10
4.13.7 Alternative G – No Action ....4.13-14
4.14 Indirect and Growth-Inducing Effects ....4.14-1
4.14.1 Indirect Effects From Off-Site Traffic Mitigation Improvements ....4.14-1
4.14.2 Indirect Effects From Utility/Infrastructure Improvements ....4.14-14
4.14.3 Growth-Inducing Effects ....4.14-17
4.15 Cumulative Effects ....4.15-1
4.15.1 Introduction ....4.15-1
4.15.2 Cumulative Setting ....4.15-2
4.15.3 Alternative A – Twin Cities Casino Resort ....4.15-6
4.15.4 Alternative B – Reduced Intensity Twin Cities Casino ....4.15-24
4.15.5 Alternative C – Retail on Twin Cities Site ....4.15-28
4.15.6 Alternative D – Casino Resort at Historic Rancheria Site ....4.15-34

4.15.7 Alternative E – Reduced Intensity Casino at Historic Rancheria Site ....4.15-41
4.15.8 Alternative F – Casino Resort at Mall Site ....4.15-44
4.15.9 Alternative G – No Action ....4.15-54

**5.0 MITIGATION MEASURES ....5-1**
5.1 Introduction ....5-1
5.2 Geology and Soils ....5-1
5.3 Water Resources ....5-3
5.3.1 Wastewater ....5-3
5.3.2 Groundwater ....5-4
5.3.3 Surface Water ....5-4
5.4 Air Quality ....5-5
5.4.1 Construction ....5-5
5.4.2 Operational Vehicle and Area Emissions ....5-6
5.4.3 Cumulative and Greenhouse Gas Emissions ....5-9
5.4.4 Odor ....5-9
5.5 Biological Resources ....5-10
5.5.1 Federally Listed and Other Sensitive Species ....5-10
5.5.2 Wetlands and Waters of the U.S. ....5-14
5.5.3 Mitigation for Off-Site Road Improvements ....5-15
5.6 Cultural and Paleontological Resources ....5-15
5.7 Socioeconomics ....5-16
5.8 Transportation ....5-17
5.8.1 Construction ....5-18
5.8.2 Operation ....5-19
5.8.3 Cumulative ....5-26
5.8.4 Multi-Rider Transportation ....5-27
5.9 Land Use ....5-28
5.10 Public Services ....5-28
5.10.1 Off-Site Water and Wastewater Services ....5-28
5.10.2 Solid Waste ....5-28
5.10.3 Law Enforcement ....5-29
5.10.4 Fire Protection and Emergency Services ....5-30
5.10.5 Electricity, Natural Gas, and Telecommunications ....5-31
5.11 Noise ....5-31
5.11.1 Construction ....5-31
5.11.2 Operation ....5-32
5.12 Hazardous Materials ....5-32
5.13 Aesthetics ....5-34

**6.0 CONSULTATION AND COORDINATION/LIST OF PREPARERS ....6-1**
6.1 Lead Agency ....6-1
6.2 Cooperating Agencies ....6-1
6.3 Federal Agencies ....6-2
6.4 State and Local Agencies and Utilities ....6-2
6.5 Environmental Consultants ....6-3

**7.0 ACRONYMS .....7-1**

**8.0 REFERENCES.....8-1**

# LIST OF TABLES

Table ES-1 Summary of Potential Environmental Effects, Mitigation Measures, and Significance ..... ES-7
Table 1-1 Potential Permits and Approvals Required .....1-14
Table 2-1 Alternative A – Twin Cities Casino Resort .....2-6
Table 2-2 Alternative B – Reduced Intensity Twin Cities Casino .....2-15
Table 2-3 Alternative C – Retail Development on the Twin Cities Site .....2-17
Table 2-4 Alternative F – Casino Resort at Mall Site .....2-29
Table 3.2-1 Soil Capability Classes .....3.2-2
Table 3.2-2 Twin Cities Soil Properties .....3.2-5
Table 3.2-3 Modified Mercalli Intensity Scale .....3.2-7
Table 3.2-4 Historic Rancheria Site Soil Properties.....3.2-12
Table 3.2-5 Elk Grove Mall Site Soil Properties.....3.2-15
Table 3.3-1 Water Quality Standards for California Surface Waters.....3.3-5
Table 3.3-2 The City of Galt Water Quality Monitoring 2012 Results (Systemwide Average)....3.3-10
Table 3.3-3 City of Elk Grove Water District Groundwater Water Quality Report 2013 .....3.3-16
Table 3.4-1 National Ambient Air Quality Standards.....3.4-2
Table 3.4-2 SMAQMD Criteria Air Pollutant Thresholds of Significance.....3.4-4
Table 3.4-3 Sacramento County 2015 Emissions Estimates .....3.4-9
Table 3.4-4 SVAB Federal Attainment Status .....3.4-10
Table 3.5-1 Summary of Terrestrial Habitats on the Twin Cities Site .....3.5-4
Table 3.5-2 Federal and Regionally Occurring Special-Status Species that Have the Potential to Occur within the Twin Cities Site and/or the Immediate Vicinity .....3.5-15
Table 3.5-3 Summary of Terrestrial Habitats on the Historic Rancheria Site.....3.5-20
Table 3.5-4 Federal and Regionally Occurring Special-Status Species that Have the Potential to Occur within the Historic Rancheria Site and/or the Immediate Vicinity .....3.5-30
Table 3.7-1 Wilton Rancheria Demographic Characteristics.....3.7-1
Table 3.7-2 Regional Population.....3.7-2
Table 3.7-3 Regional Housing .....3.7-2
Table 3.7-4 Unemployment Rates.....3.7-3
Table 3.7-5 Ten Largest Employers in Sacramento County .....3.7-3
Table 3.7-6 Annual Average Household Income .....3.7-4
Table 3.7-7 Twin Cities Site Property Tax Information – Fiscal Year 2013-2014 .....3.7-4
Table 3.7-8 Historic Rancheria Site Property Tax Information – Fiscal Year 2013-2014.....3.7-5
Table 3.7-9 Elk Grove Mall Site Property Tax Information – Fiscal Year 2013-2014.....3.7-5
Table 3.7-10 2013 Educational Attainment .....3.7-5
Table 3.7-11 Minority Population – Project Alternative Sites and Nearby Census Tracts .....3.7-9
Table 3.7-12 Household Income – Project Site Alternatives and Nearby Census Tracts .....3.7-10
Table 3.8-1 Intersection Level of Service Criteria .....3.8-4
Table 3.8-2 Roadway Level of Service Criteria.....3.8-5
Table 3.8-3 Highway Level of Service Criteria .....3.8-5
Table 3.8-4 Intersection PM and Saturday Peak Hour Conditions .....3.8-7

Table 3.8-5 Roadway Segment Conditions ....3.8-8
Table 3.8-6 Freeway Mainline Conditions ....3.8-10
Table 3.8-7 Freeway Ramp Conditions ....3.8-11
Table 3.9-1 Sacramento County Applicable General Plan Strategies and Policies ....3.9-4
Table 3.9-2 City of Galt Applicable General Plan Strategies and Policies ....3.9-5
Table 3.9-3 City of Elk Grove Applicable General Plan Strategies and Policies ....3.9-17
Table 3.11-1 Acoustical Terminology ....3.11-2
Table 3.11-2 Typical A-Weighted Sound Levels ....3.11-2
Table 3.11-3 Federal Construction Noise Thresholds ....3.11-4
Table 3.11-4 Federal Noise Abatement Criteria Hourly A-Weighted Sound Level Decibels ....3.11-5
Table 3.11-5 Noise Standard for New Uses Affected by Traffic and Railroad Noise – Sacramento County Noise Element ....3.11-5
Table 3.11-6 Non-Transportation Noise Standards – Sacramento County Noise Element ....3.11-6
Table 3.11-7 Noise Level Performance Standards for Residential Areas Affected by Non-Transportation Noise – Cities of Galt and Elk Grove Noise Elements ....3.11-6
Table 3.11-8 Summary of 15-Minute and 24-Hour Noise Level Measurement sat Twin Cities Site ....3.11-7
Table 3.11-9 Summary of 15-Minute and 24-Hour Noise Level Measurements at Historic Rancheria Site ....3.11-10
Table 3.11-10 Summary of 15-Minute Noise Level Measurement at Mall Site ....3.11-10
Table 3.12-1 Results of Hazardous Materials Database Searches ....3.12-2
Table 4.4-1 Tribal Minor New Source Review Thresholds ....4.4-3
Table 4.4-2 Alternative A Unmitigated Construction Emissions - *De Minimis* Thresholds ....4.4-6
Table 4.4-3 Alternative A Unmitigated Construction Emissions - SMAQMD Threshold ....4.4-6
Table 4.4-4 Alternative A Unmitigated Operational Emissions - *De Minimis* Thresholds ....4.4-7
Table 4.4-5 Alternative A Unmitigated Operational Emissions - SMAQMD Threshold ....4.4-8
Table 4.4-6 Alternative B Unmitigated Construction Emissions - *De Minimis* Thresholds ....4.4-9
Table 4.4-7 Alternative B Unmitigated Construction Emissions - SMAQMD Threshold ....4.4-10
Table 4.4-8 Alternative B Unmitigated Operational Emissions - *De Minimis* Thresholds ....4.4-10
Table 4.4-9 Alternative B Unmitigated Operational Emissions - SMAQMD Threshold ....4.4-11
Table 4.4-10 Alternative C Unmitigated Construction Emissions - *De Minimis* Thresholds ....4.4-12
Table 4.4-11 Alternative C Unmitigated Construction Emissions - SMAQMD Threshold ....4.4-12
Table 4.4-12 Alternative C Unmitigated Operational Emissions - *De Minimis* Thresholds ....4.4-13
Table 4.4-13 Alternative C Unmitigated Operational Emissions - SMAQMD Threshold ....4.4-14
Table 4.4-14 Alternative D Unmitigated Construction Emissions - *De Minimis* Thresholds ....4.4-15
Table 4.4-15 Alternative D Unmitigated Construction Emissions - SMAQMD Threshold ....4.4-15
Table 4.4-16 Alternative D Unmitigated Operational Emissions - *De Minimis* Thresholds ....4.4-16
Table 4.4-17 Alternative D Unmitigated Construction Emissions - SMAQMD Threshold ....4.4-17
Table 4.4-18 Alternative E Unmitigated Construction Emissions - *De Minimis* Thresholds ....4.4-17
Table 4.4-19 Alternative E Unmitigated Construction Emissions - SMAQMD Threshold ....4.4-18
Table 4.4-20 Alternative E Unmitigated Operational Emissions - *De Minimis* Thresholds ....4.4-19
Table 4.4-21 Alternative E Unmitigated Operational Emissions - SMAQMD Threshold ....4.4-20
Table 4.4-22 Alternative F Unmitigated Construction Emissions - *De Minimis* Thresholds ....4.4-20
Table 4.4-23 Alternative F Unmitigated Construction Emissions - SMAQMD Threshold ....4.4-21
Table 4.4-24 Alternative F Unmitigated Operational Emissions - *De Minimis* Thresholds ....4.4-22
Table 4.4-25 Alternative F Unmitigated Operational Emissions - SMAQMD Threshold ....4.4-23
Table 4.5-1 Anticipated Effects to Habitat Types – Alternative D ....4.5-9

Table 4.7-1 One-Time Construction Economic Impact (Millions) – Sacramento and San Joaquin Counties ....4.7-3
Table 4.7-2 One-Time Construction Economic Impact (Millions) – City of Galt ....4.7-4
Table 4.7-3 Annual Operational Economic Impact (Millions) – Sacramento and San Joaquin Counties ....4.7-5
Table 4.7-4 Annual Operational Economic Impact (Millions) – City of Galt ....4.7-5
Table 4.7-5 Estimated Substitution Effects ....4.7-7
Table 4.7-6 Projected Substitution Effects Summary – Gaming (Millions) ....4.7-7
Table 4.7-7 Annual Uses of Property Tax Funds Per $100,000 of Assessed Value for Parcels That Comprise Twin Cities Site ....4.7-12
Table 4.7-8 Estimated New Tax Revenues (Millions) – Sacramento and San Joaquin Counties ..4.7-13
Table 4.7-9 Estimated New Tax Revenues (Millions) – State, County and Local Taxes Only That Would Occur within the City of Galt ....4.7-13
Table 4.7-10 Median Prices of Existing Detached Homes by County ....4.7-17
Table 4.7-11 One-Time Construction Employment and Wage Impacts – Sacramento and San Joaquin Counties ....4.7-18
Table 4.7-12 One-Time Construction Employment and Wage Impacts, City of Galt ....4.7-19
Table 4.7-13 Typical Tribal Casino Employment Opportunities ....4.7-20
Table 4.7-14 Annual Operational Employment and Wage Impacts – Sacramento and San Joaquin Counties ....4.7-21
Table 4.7-15 Annual Operational Employment and Wage Impacts for the City of Galt ....4.7-22
Table 4.7-16 Estimated Incremental Costs to Local Police Department – Direct Costs of Service Calls and Arrests for Alternative A ....4.7-27
Table 4.7-17 Estimated Incremental Costs to Local Police Department – Cost Per Arrest Method for Alternative A ....4.7-28
Table 4.8-1 2018 Intersections without Project LOS ....4.8-7
Table 4.8-2 2018 Roadways without Project LOS ....4.8-8
Table 4.8-3 2018 Freeway Mainlines without Project LOS ....4.8-9
Table 4.8-4 2018 Freeway Ramps without Project LOS ....4.8-10
Table 4.8-5 Alternative A Peak Hour Trip Generation ....4.8-11
Table 4.8-6 Alternative A Intersection Conditions ....4.8-12
Table 4.8-7 Alternative A Roadway Conditions ....4.8-13
Table 4.8-8 Alternative A Freeway Mainline Conditions ....4.8-14
Table 4.8-9 Alternative A Freeway Ramp Conditions ....4.8-15
Table 4.8-10 Alternative B Peak Hour Trip Generation ....4.8-17
Table 4.8-11 Alternative B Intersection Conditions ....4.8-18
Table 4.8-12 Alternative B Roadway Conditions ....4.8-19
Table 4.8-13 Alternative B Freeway Mainline Conditions ....4.8-20
Table 4.8-14 Alternative B Freeway Ramp Conditions ....4.8-21
Table 4.8-15 Alternative C Peak Hour Trip Generation ....4.8-22
Table 4.8-16 Alternative C Intersection Conditions ....4.8-23
Table 4.8-17 Alternative C Roadway Conditions ....4.8-24
Table 4.8-18 Alternative C Freeway Mainline Conditions ....4.8-25
Table 4.8-19 Alternative C Freeway Ramp Conditions ....4.8-26
Table 4.8-20 Alternative D Peak Hour Trip Generation ....4.8-28
Table 4.8-21 Alternative D Intersection Conditions ....4.8-29
Table 4.8-22 Alternative D Roadway Conditions ....4.8-30
Table 4.8-23 Alternative D Freeway Mainline Conditions ....4.8-31

Table 4.8-24 Alternative D Freeway Ramp Conditions....4.8-32
Table 4.8-25 Alternative E Peak Hour Trip Generation....4.8-34
Table 4.8-26 Alternative E Intersection Conditions....4.8-34
Table 4.8-27 Alternative E Roadway Conditions....4.8-35
Table 4.8-28 Alternative E Freeway Mainline Conditions....4.8-36
Table 4.8-29 Alternative E Freeway Ramp Conditions....4.8-37
Table 4.8-30 Alternative F Peak Hour Trip Generation....4.8-39
Table 4.8-31 Alternative F Intersection Conditions....4.8-40
Table 4.8-32 Alternative F Roadway Conditions....4.8-41
Table 4.8-33 Alternative F Freeway Mainline Conditions....4.8-42
Table 4.8-34 Alternative F Freeway Ramp Conditions....4.8-43
Table 4.9-1 Sacramento County General Plan Consistency – Alternatives A, B, and C....4.9-2
Table 4.9-2 City of Galt General Plan Consistency – Alternatives A, B, and C....4.9-4
Table 4.9-3 Sacramento County General Plan Consistency – Alternatives D and E....4.9-19
Table 4.9-4 Elk Grove General Plan Consistency – Alternative F....4.9-22
Table 4.10-1 Estimated Solid Waste Disposal – Alternative A....4.10-4
Table 4.10-2 Estimated Solid Waste Disposal – Alternative B....4.10-10
Table 4.10-3 Estimated Solid Waste Disposal – Alternative C....4.10-14
Table 4.10-4 Estimated Solid Waste Disposal – Alternative D....4.10-18
Table 4.10-5 Estimated Solid Waste Disposal – Alternative E....4.10-22
Table 4.10-6 Estimated Solid Waste Disposal – Alternative F....4.10-26
Table 4.11-1 Typical Construction Noise Levels....4.11-2
Table 4.15-1 Cumulative Development in the City of Galt....4.15-4
Table 4.15-2 Cumulative Development in the City of Elk Grove and Southern Sacramento County....4.15-5
Table 4.15-3 Alternative A Unmitigated 2035 Operational Emissions – *De Minimis* Thresholds....4.15-9
Table 4.15-4 Greenhouse Gas $CO_2$ Equivalent....4.15-11
Table 4.15-5 Alternative A Construction and Operational Mitigated GHG Emissions....4.15-12
Table 4.15-6 Compliance with State Emissions Reduction Strategies....4.15-12
Table 4.15-7 Alternative B Unmitigated 2035 Operational Emissions – *De Minimis* Thresholds....4.15-25
Table 4.15-8 Alternative B Construction and Operational Mitigated GHG Emissions....4.15-25
Table 4.15-9 Alternative C Unmitigated 2035 Operational Emissions – *De Minimis* Thresholds....4.15-29
Table 4.15-10 Alternative C Construction and Operational Mitigated GHG Emissions....4.15-30
Table 4.15-11 Alternative F Unmitigated 2035 Operational Emissions – *De Minimis* Thresholds....4.15-46
Table 4.15-12 Alternative F Construction and Operational Mitigated GHG Emissions....4.15-47
Table 5-1 Mitigated Construction Emissions – *De Minimis* Thresholds....5-5
Table 5-2 Mitigated Operational Emissions – *De Minimis* Thresholds....5-6
Table 5-3 Cumulative 2035 Mitigated Operational Emissions – *De Minimis* Thresholds....5-9

# LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1-1 Regional Location....1-3
Figure 1-2 Project Alternative Sites....1-4

Figure 1-3 Twin Cities Site ....1-5
Figure 1-4 Historic Rancheria Site ....1-7
Figure 1-5 Mall Site....1-8
Figure 2-1 Alternative A – Twin Cities Casino Resort Site Plan ....2-4
Figure 2-2 Alternative A – Architectural Rendition....2-5
Figure 2-3 City of Galt Potential Water Supply and Wastewater Treatment Connections and Infrastructure Upgrades, Alternatives A, B, and C ....2-9
Figure 2-4 Alternative B –Reduced Intensity Twin Cities Casino Site Plan....2-14
Figure 2-5 Alternative C – Retail on the Twin Cities Site Plan ....2-18
Figure 2-6 Alternative D – Casino Resort at Historic Rancheria Site Plan....2-21
Figure 2-7 Alternative E – Reduced Intensity Casino at Historic Rancheria Site Plan....2-24
Figure 2-8 Alternative F – Casino Resort at Mall Site Plan ....2-27
Figure 2-9 Alternative F – Architectural Rendition ....2-28
Figure 3.2-1 NRCS Soil Survey of Twin Cities Site ....3.2-3
Figure 3.2-2 Regional Faults ....3.2-9
Figure 3.2-3 NRCS Soil Survey of Historic Rancheria Site....3.2-11
Figure 3.2-4 NRCS Soil Survey of Elk Grove Mall Site....3.2-14
Figure 3.3-1 FEMA Flood Zones – Twin Cities Site ....3.3-4
Figure 3.3-2 FEMA Flood Zones – Historic Rancheria ....3.3-12
Figure 3.5-1 Habitat Types within the Twin Cities Site....3.5-5
Figure 3.5-2a Photographs of the Twin Cities Site ....3.5-6
Figure 3.5-2b Photographs of the Twin Cities Site ....3.5-7
Figure 3.5-3 Habitat Types within the Historic Rancheria Site....3.5-21
Figure 3.5-4 Photographs of the Historic Rancheria Site ....3.5-22
Figure 3.5-5 Habitat Types within the Mall Site ....3.5-35
Figure 3.5-6 Photographs of the Mall Site....3.5-36
Figure 3.7-1 Census Tracts....3.7-8
Figure 3.9-1 City of Galt and Sacramento County Land Use Designations for the Twin Cities Site....3.9-11
Figure 3.9-2 Sacramento County Land Use Designations for the Historic Rancheria Site....3.9-15
Figure 3.9-3 Elk Grove Land Use Designations for Elk Grove Mall Site....3.9-19
Figure 3.11-1 Twin Cities Site – Noise Measurement Locations....3.11-8
Figure 3.11-2 Historic Rancheria – Site Noise Measurement Locations ....3.11-9
Figure 3.11-3 Mall Site – Noise Measurement Locations....3.11-11
Figure 3.13-1 Twin Cities Site Viewshed Photograph Locations ....3.13-7
Figure 3.13-2 Twin Cities Site Viewshed Photographs....3.13-8
Figure 3.13-3 Historic Rancheria Site Viewshed Photograph Locations ....3.13-11
Figure 3.13-4a Historic Rancheria Site Viewshed Photographs ....3.13-12
Figure 3.13-4b Historic Rancheria Site Viewshed Photographs ....3.13-13
Figure 3.13-5 Mall Site Viewshed Photograph Locations....3.13-15
Figure 3.13-6a Elk Grove Mall Site Viewshed Photographs ....3.13-16
Figure 3.13-6b Elk Grove Mall Site Viewshed Photographs ....3.13-17
Figure 3.13-6c Elk Grove Mall Site Viewshed Photograph....3.13-18
Figure 4.13-1 Twin Cities Site Viewshed with Alternative A....4.13-2
Figure 4.13-2 Elk Grove Mall Site Viewshed with Alternative F ....4.13-12
Figure 5-1 Near Term Traffic Mitigation Overview ....5-20
Figure 5-2 Twin Cities Vicinity Transportation Improvements ....5-22

Figure 5-3 Historic Rancheria Vicinity Transportation Improvements.....5-23
Figure 5-4 Mall Site Vicinity Transportation Improvements.....5-25

# APPENDICES

## Appendices included with Final EIS

Appendix A: *Supplemental* Cooperating Agency Correspondence (*Supplemental Agency*)
Appendix B: *Supplemental* Memorandums of Understanding (*Signed Memorandums*)
Appendix H: *Supplemental* Economic Impact Statement (*Supplemental Data and Analysis*)
Appendix L: *Supplemental* Biological Reports (*Supplemental Memorandum*)
Appendix M: *Supplemental* Cultural Resources Studies (*Supplemental Memorandum*)
Appendix O: *Supplemental* Traffic Impact Study (*Supplemental Data and Analysis*)
Appendix Q: *Supplemental* Phase I Environmental Site Assessments (*Supplemental Phase I)*
Appendix S: *Revised* Air Quality Modeling Output Files and Calculation Tables (*Revised*)
Appendix T: *Updated* Draft General Conformity Determination (*Updated*)
Appendix W Public Notices and Media

## Appendices available in Draft EIS (December 2015)

Appendix A Cooperating Agency Correspondence
Appendix B Memorandum of Understanding Among the County of Sacramento, City of Elk Grove, and the Wilton Rancheria
Appendix C The Unmet Needs of Wilton Rancheria
Appendix D Public Notices
Appendix E Consumes CSD Fire Department Letter of Intent for Fire and Emergency Services
Appendix F City of Galt Letter of Intent and Memorandum of Understanding
Appendix G Off-Reservation Environmental Impact Analysis Checklist
Appendix H Economic Impact Statement
Appendix I Water & Wastewater Feasibility Study
Appendix J Grading and Drainage Feasibility Study
Appendix K Evaluation of Groundwater Supply Feasibility and Potential Impacts to Water Quality and Resources
Appendix L Biological Assessment
Appendix M Cultural Resources Studies
Appendix N Socioeconomic Analysis
Appendix O Traffic Impact Study
Appendix P Noise Measurement Data
Appendix Q Phase I Environmental Site Assessments
Appendix R Limited Phase II Sampling Plan
Appendix S Air Quality Modeling Output Files and Calculation Tables
Appendix T Draft General Conformity Determination
Appendix U Economic Background and Competitive Effects Study
Appendix V NRCS Farmland Conversion Impact Rating