


# Nationwide Public Safety Broadband Network Draft Programmatic Environmental Impact Statement for the Non-Contiguous United States



**FirstNet**<sup>®</sup>

## First Responder Network Authority



Volume 8 - Chapters 10-17  
& Appendices



- Alaska
- Hawaii
- American Samoa
- Guam
- Northern Mariana Islands
- Puerto Rico
- U.S. Virgin Islands



March 2016



-Page Intentionally Left Blank-

# First Responder Network Authority



## Nationwide Public Safety Broadband Network Draft Programmatic Environmental Impact Statement for the Non-Contiguous United States

### Volume 8

Amanda Goebel Pereira, AICP  
NEPA Coordinator  
First Responder Network Authority  
U.S. Department of Commerce  
12201 Sunrise Valley Dr. M/S 243  
Reston, VA 20192

#### **Cooperating Agencies**

Federal Communications Commission  
General Services Administration  
U.S. Department of Agriculture—Rural Utilities Service  
U.S. Department of Agriculture—U.S. Forest Service  
U.S. Department of Agriculture—Natural Resource Conservation Service  
U.S. Department of Defense—Department of the Air Force  
U.S. Department of Energy  
U.S. Department of Homeland Security

March 2016

Cover Art Sources:

- Map Service. 2015. *OpenStreetMap*. ArcGIS Map Image Layer by Esri. Sourced from: Esri, HERE, DeLorme, TomTom, Intermap, increment P Corp., GEBCO, USGS, FAO, NPS, NRCAN, GeoBase, IGN, Kadaster NL, Ordnance Survey, Esri Japan, METI, Esri China (Hong Kong), swisstopo, MapmyIndia, © OpenStreetMap contributors, and the GIS User Community.
- NOAA (National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration). 2016. *Polar bear (Ursus maritimus)*. Uncredited Marine Mammal Commission Photograph. Accessed: January 2016. Retrieved from: <http://search.noaa.gov/search/images?utf8=%E2%9C%93&sc=0&query=Polar+bear+%28Ursus+maritimus%29&m=&affiliate=noaa.gov&commit=Search>
- NPS (National Park Service). 2016. *Fruit Bat [White-necked Flying Fox (Pteropus tonganus)]*. Uncredited NPS Photograph. Accessed: January 2016. Retrieved from: <http://www.nps.gov/npsa/learn/education/fruit-bats-are-our-friends.htm>
- USFWS (U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service). 2013a. *Mariana Fruit Bat Pteropus mariannus / Fanihi*. Uncredited USFWS Photograph. Accessed: January 2016. Retrieved from: [http://www.fws.gov/refuge/guam/wildlife\\_and\\_habitat/mariana\\_fruit\\_bat.html](http://www.fws.gov/refuge/guam/wildlife_and_habitat/mariana_fruit_bat.html)
- \_\_\_\_\_. 2013b. *Nēnē Branta sandvicensis / Hawaiian Goose*. Photograph by Laura Beauregard, USFWS. Accessed: January 2016. Retrieved from: [http://www.fws.gov/refuge/Hakalau\\_Forest/wildlife\\_and\\_habitat/nene.html](http://www.fws.gov/refuge/Hakalau_Forest/wildlife_and_habitat/nene.html)
- \_\_\_\_\_. 2015. *Rota blue damselfly (Ischura luta)*. Photograph by A. Asquith, USFWS. Accessed: January 2016. Retrieved from: <https://www.fws.gov/news/ShowNews.cfm?ID=4DA36523-E516-A820-414BB2B0165E7461>
- \_\_\_\_\_. 2016. *West Indian Manatee*. Photograph by Keith Ramos, USFWS. Accessed: January 2016. Retrieved from: <http://www.fws.gov/southeast/wildlife/mammal/manatee/>
- USFWS Alaska (Alaska Region U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service). 2004. *Walrus Cows and Yearlings on Ice*. Photograph by Joel Garlich-Miller, USFWS. Accessed: January 2016. Retrieved from: [https://www.flickr.com/photos/usfws\\_alaska/5390772958/](https://www.flickr.com/photos/usfws_alaska/5390772958/)

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

<b>1. Introduction.....</b>	<b>1-1</b>
1.1. Overview and Background .....	1-1
1.2. Programmatic Approach and Tiering.....	1-3
1.3. Project Regions and Description of the Proposed Action Area .....	1-4
1.4. Purpose and Need for the Proposed Action .....	1-5
1.5. Federal Agency Participation.....	1-7
1.5.1. Lead Agency .....	1-7
1.5.2. Cooperating Agencies .....	1-7
1.5.3. Consulting Parties .....	1-8
1.6. Cultural Resources Consultation.....	1-8
1.7. The NEPA Process and Public Involvement .....	1-8
1.7.1. Public Involvement .....	1-9
1.7.2. Scoping .....	1-9
1.8. Overview of Relevant Federal Laws and Executive Orders .....	1-10
1.8.1. National Environmental Policy Act.....	1-10
1.8.2. National Historic Preservation Act .....	1-11
1.8.3. Endangered Species Act .....	1-11
1.8.4. Magnuson-Stevens Fishery Conservation and Management Act .....	1-12
1.8.5. Marine Mammal Protection Act .....	1-12
1.8.6. Migratory Bird Treaty Act .....	1-12
1.8.7. Clean Water Act.....	1-13
1.8.8. Coastal Zone Management Act.....	1-14
1.8.9. Occupational Safety and Health Act.....	1-14
1.8.10. Executive Order 11988 – Floodplain Management .....	1-14
1.8.11. Executive Order 11990 – Protection of Wetlands .....	1-15
1.8.12. Executive Order 12898 – Federal Actions to Address Environmental Justice in Minority Populations and Low-Income Populations .....	1-15
1.8.13. Executive Order 13186 – Responsibilities of Federal Agencies to Protect Migratory Birds .....	1-15
1.8.14. Executive Order 13690 – Establishing a Federal Flood Risk Management Standard and a Process for Further Soliciting and Considering Stakeholder Input .....	1-16
1.9. PEIS Organization .....	1-16
1.10. References.....	1-17

<b>2. Description of the Proposed Action and Alternatives .....</b>	<b>2-1</b>
2.1. Proposed Action.....	2-1
2.1.1. Characteristics of the NPSBN.....	2-2
2.1.2. Proposed Action Infrastructure .....	2-2
2.1.2.1. Wired Projects.....	2-2
2.1.2.2. Wireless Projects.....	2-4
2.1.2.3. Deployable Technologies.....	2-4
2.1.2.4. Satellites and Other Technologies .....	2-5
2.2. Description of Alternatives.....	2-5
2.2.1. Preferred Alternative.....	2-6
2.2.2. Deployable Technologies Alternative.....	2-6
2.2.3. No Action Alternative.....	2-6
2.3. Alternatives Considered but not Carried Forward .....	2-6
2.3.1. New Construction Only Alternative .....	2-7
2.3.2. New Satellite Alternative.....	2-7
2.3.3. Collocation-Only Alternative.....	2-7
2.4. Radio Frequency Emissions.....	2-7
2.4.1. Introduction.....	2-7
2.4.2. RF Emissions and Humans .....	2-10
2.4.2.1. Regulatory Framework for RF Emissions .....	2-12
2.4.2.2. Overview of Research for Potential Non-Thermal Effects to Humans .....	2-13
2.4.2.3. Conclusions on RF Emissions and Humans .....	2-18
2.4.3. RF Emissions and Non-Human Species .....	2-18
2.4.3.1. Research on the Potential Effects to Animal and Plant Species .....	2-19
2.4.3.2. Conclusions on RF Emissions and Species .....	2-21
2.4.4. Summary .....	2-21
2.5. References.....	2-22
<b>3. Alaska.....</b>	<b>3-1</b>
3.1. Affected Environment.....	3.1-1
3.1.1. Infrastructure.....	3.1.1-1
3.1.2. Soils.....	3.1.2-1
3.1.3. Geology.....	3.1.3-1
3.1.4. Water Resources .....	3.1.4-1
3.1.5. Wetlands .....	3.1.5-1
3.1.6. Biological Resources .....	3.1.6-1
3.1.6.1. Introduction.....	3.1.6-1
3.1.6.2. Specific Regulatory Considerations.....	3.1.6-1
3.1.6.3. Terrestrial Vegetation .....	3.1.6-2
3.1.6.4. Wildlife .....	3.1.6-11

3.1.6.5.	Fisheries and Aquatic Habitats .....	3.1.6-33
3.1.6.6.	Threatened and Endangered Species and Species of Conservation Concern.....	3.1.6-43
3.1.7.	Land Use, Airspace, and Recreation.....	3.1.7-1
3.1.8.	Visual Resources.....	3.1.8-1
3.1.9.	Socioeconomics .....	3.1.9-1
3.1.10.	Environmental Justice.....	3.1.10-1
3.1.11.	Cultural Resources.....	3.1.11-1
3.1.12.	Air Quality .....	3.1.12-1
3.1.13.	Noise .....	3.1.13-1
3.1.14.	Climate Change.....	3.1.14-1
3.1.15.	Human Health and Safety .....	3.1.15-1
3.2.	Environmental Consequences.....	3.2-1
3.2.1.	Infrastructure.....	3.2.1-1
3.2.2.	Soils.....	3.2.2-1
3.2.3.	Geology.....	3.2.3-1
3.2.4.	Water Resources .....	3.2.4-1
3.2.5.	Wetlands .....	3.2.5-1
3.2.6.	Biological Resources .....	3.2.6-1
3.2.6.1.	Introduction.....	3.2.6-1
3.2.6.2.	Impact Assessment Methodology and Significance Criteria .....	3.2.6-1
3.2.6.3.	Terrestrial Vegetation .....	3.2.6-8
3.2.6.4.	Wildlife.....	3.2.6-16
3.2.6.5.	Fisheries and Aquatic Habitats .....	3.2.6-38
3.2.6.6.	Threatened and Endangered Species and Species of Conservation Concern.....	3.2.6-52
3.2.7.	Land Use, Airspace, and Recreation.....	3.2.7-1
3.2.8.	Visual Resources.....	3.2.8-1
3.2.9.	Socioeconomics .....	3.2.9-1
3.2.10.	Environmental Justice.....	3.2.10-1
3.2.11.	Cultural Resources.....	3.2.11-1
3.2.12.	Air Quality .....	3.2.12-1
3.2.13.	Noise .....	3.2.13-1
3.2.14.	Climate Change.....	3.2.14-1
3.2.15.	Human Health and Safety .....	3.2.15-1
3.3.	References.....	3.3-1
3.3.1.	Introduction.....	3.3-1
3.3.2.	Affected Environment.....	3.3-2
3.3.3.	Environmental Consequences.....	3.3-33

<b>4. Hawaii</b>	<b>4-1</b>
4.1. Affected Environment	4.1-1
4.1.1. Infrastructure	4.1.1-1
4.1.2. Soils	4.1.2-1
4.1.3. Geology	4.1.3-1
4.1.4. Water Resources	4.1.4-1
4.1.5. Wetlands	4.1.5-1
4.1.6. Biological Resources	4.1.6-1
4.1.6.1. Introduction	4.1.6-1
4.1.6.2. Specific Regulatory Considerations	4.1.6-1
4.1.6.3. Terrestrial Vegetation	4.1.6-2
4.1.6.4. Wildlife	4.1.6-8
4.1.6.5. Fisheries and Aquatic Habitats	4.1.6-18
4.1.6.6. Threatened and Endangered Species and Species of Conservation Concern	4.1.6-26
4.1.7. Land Use, Airspace, and Recreation	4.1.7-1
4.1.8. Visual Resources	4.1.8-1
4.1.9. Socioeconomics	4.1.9-1
4.1.10. Environmental Justice	4.1.10-1
4.1.11. Cultural Resources	4.1.11-1
4.1.12. Air Quality	4.1.12-1
4.1.13. Noise	4.1.13-1
4.1.14. Climate Change	4.1.14-1
4.1.15. Human Health and Safety	4.1.15-1
4.2. Environmental Consequences	4.2-1
4.2.1. Infrastructure	4.2.1-1
4.2.2. Soils	4.2.2-1
4.2.3. Geology	4.2.3-1
4.2.4. Water Resources	4.2.4-1
4.2.5. Wetlands	4.2.5-1
4.2.6. Biological Resources	4.2.6-1
4.2.6.1. Introduction	4.2.6-1
4.2.6.2. Impact Assessment Methodology and Significance Criteria	4.2.6-1
4.2.6.3. Terrestrial Vegetation	4.2.6-8
4.2.6.4. Wildlife	4.2.6-16
4.2.6.5. Fisheries and Aquatic Habitats	4.2.6-36
4.2.6.6. Threatened and Endangered Species and Species of Conservation Concern	4.2.6-50
4.2.7. Land Use, Airspace, and Recreation	4.2.7-1
4.2.8. Visual Resources	4.2.8-1
4.2.9. Socioeconomics	4.2.9-1
4.2.10. Environmental Justice	4.2.10-1

4.2.11.	Cultural Resources .....	4.2.11-1
4.2.12.	Air Quality .....	4.2.12-1
4.2.13.	Noise .....	4.2.13-1
4.2.14.	Climate Change.....	4.2.14-1
4.2.15.	Human Health and Safety .....	4.2.15-1
4.3.	References.....	4.3-1
4.3.1.	Introduction.....	4.3-1
4.3.2.	Affected Environment.....	4.3-3
4.3.3.	Environmental Consequences .....	4.3-28
<b>5.</b>	<b>American Samoa .....</b>	<b>5-1</b>
5.1.	Affected Environment.....	5.1-1
5.1.1.	Infrastructure.....	5.1.1-1
5.1.2.	Soils.....	5.1.2-1
5.1.3.	Geology.....	5.1.3-1
5.1.4.	Water Resources .....	5.1.4-1
5.1.5.	Wetlands .....	5.1.5-1
5.1.6.	Biological Resources .....	5.1.6-1
5.1.6.1.	Introduction.....	5.1.6-1
5.1.6.2.	Specific Regulatory Considerations.....	5.1.6-1
5.1.6.3.	Terrestrial Vegetation .....	5.1.6-2
5.1.6.4.	Wildlife .....	5.1.6-10
5.1.6.5.	Fisheries and Aquatic Habitats .....	5.1.6-19
5.1.6.6.	Threatened and Endangered Species and Species of Conservation Concern.....	5.1.6-29
5.1.7.	Land Use, Airspace, and Recreation.....	5.1.7-1
5.1.8.	Visual Resources.....	5.1.8-1
5.1.9.	Socioeconomics .....	5.1.9-1
5.1.10.	Environmental Justice.....	5.1.10-1
5.1.11.	Cultural Resources .....	5.1.11-1
5.1.12.	Air Quality .....	5.1.12-1
5.1.13.	Noise .....	5.1.13-1
5.1.14.	Climate Change.....	5.1.14-1
5.1.15.	Human Health and Safety .....	5.1.15-1
5.2.	Environmental Consequences.....	5.2-1
5.2.1.	Infrastructure.....	5.2.1-1
5.2.2.	Soils.....	5.2.2-1
5.2.3.	Geology.....	5.2.3-1
5.2.4.	Water Resources .....	5.2.4-1
5.2.5.	Wetlands .....	5.2.5-1

5.2.6.	Biological Resources .....	5.2.6-1
5.2.6.1.	Introduction.....	5.2.6-1
5.2.6.2.	Impact Assessment Methodology and Significance Criteria .....	5.2.6-1
5.2.6.3.	Terrestrial Vegetation .....	5.2.6-8
5.2.6.4.	Wildlife .....	5.2.6-16
5.2.6.5.	Fisheries and Aquatic Habitats .....	5.2.6-36
5.2.6.6.	Threatened and Endangered Species and Species of Conservation Concern.....	5.2.6-50
5.2.7.	Land Use, Airspace, and Recreation.....	5.2.7-1
5.2.8.	Visual Resources.....	5.2.8-1
5.2.9.	Socioeconomics .....	5.2.9-1
5.2.10.	Environmental Justice.....	5.2.10-1
5.2.11.	Cultural Resources.....	5.2.11-1
5.2.12.	Air Quality .....	5.2.12-1
5.2.13.	Noise .....	5.2.13-1
5.2.14.	Climate Change.....	5.2.14-1
5.2.15.	Human Health and Safety .....	5.2.15-1
5.3.	References.....	5.3-1
5.3.1.	Introduction.....	5.3-1
5.3.2.	Affected Environment.....	5.3-2
5.3.3.	Environmental Consequences.....	5.3-27
<b>6.</b>	<b>Guam.....</b>	<b>6-1</b>
6.1.	Affected Environment.....	6.1-1
6.1.1.	Infrastructure.....	6.1.1-1
6.1.2.	Soils.....	6.1.2-1
6.1.3.	Geology.....	6.1.3-1
6.1.4.	Water Resources .....	6.1.4-1
6.1.5.	Wetlands .....	6.1.5-1
6.1.6.	Biological Resources .....	6.1.6-1
6.1.6.1.	Introduction.....	6.1.6-1
6.1.6.2.	Specific Regulatory Considerations.....	6.1.6-1
6.1.6.3.	Terrestrial Vegetation .....	6.1.6-2
6.1.6.4.	Wildlife .....	6.1.6-7
6.1.6.5.	Fisheries and Aquatic Habitats .....	6.1.6-18
6.1.6.6.	Threatened and Endangered Species and Species of Conservation Concern.....	6.1.6-26
6.1.7.	Land Use, Airspace, and Recreation.....	6.1.7-1
6.1.8.	Visual Resources.....	6.1.8-1
6.1.9.	Socioeconomics .....	6.1.9-1
6.1.10.	Environmental Justice.....	6.1.10-1
6.1.11.	Cultural Resources.....	6.1.11-1

6.1.12.	Air Quality .....	6.1.12-1
6.1.13.	Noise .....	6.1.13-1
6.1.14.	Climate Change.....	6.1.14-1
6.1.15.	Human Health and Safety .....	6.1.15-1
6.2.	Environmental Consequences .....	6.2-1
6.2.1.	Infrastructure.....	6.2.1-1
6.2.2.	Soils.....	6.2.2-1
6.2.3.	Geology.....	6.2.3-1
6.2.4.	Water Resources .....	6.2.4-1
6.2.5.	Wetlands .....	6.2.5-1
6.2.6.	Biological Resources .....	6.2.6-1
6.2.6.1.	Introduction.....	6.2.6-1
6.2.6.2.	Impact Assessment Methodology and Significance Criteria .....	6.2.6-1
6.2.6.3.	Terrestrial Vegetation .....	6.2.6-8
6.2.6.4.	Wildlife.....	6.2.6-16
6.2.6.5.	Fisheries and Aquatic Habitats .....	6.2.6-36
6.2.6.6.	Threatened and Endangered Species and Species of Conservation Concern.....	6.2.6-50
6.2.7.	Land Use, Airspace, and Recreation.....	6.2.7-1
6.2.8.	Visual Resources.....	6.2.8-1
6.2.9.	Socioeconomics .....	6.2.9-1
6.2.10.	Environmental Justice.....	6.2.10-1
6.2.11.	Cultural Resources.....	6.2.11-1
6.2.12.	Air Quality .....	6.2.12-1
6.2.13.	Noise .....	6.2.13-1
6.2.14.	Climate Change.....	6.2.14-1
6.2.15.	Human Health and Safety .....	6.2.15-1
6.3.	References.....	6.3-1
6.3.1.	Introduction.....	6.3-1
6.3.2.	Affected Environment.....	6.3-2
6.3.3.	Environmental Consequences.....	6.3-24
<b>7.</b>	<b>Northern Mariana Islands .....</b>	<b>7-1</b>
7.1.	Affected Environment.....	7.1-1
7.1.1.	Infrastructure.....	7.1.1-1
7.1.2.	Soils.....	7.1.2-1
7.1.3.	Geology.....	7.1.3-1
7.1.4.	Water Resources .....	7.1.4-1
7.1.5.	Wetlands .....	7.1.5-1
7.1.6.	Biological Resources .....	7.1.6-1
7.1.6.1.	Introduction.....	7.1.6-1
7.1.6.2.	Specific Regulatory Considerations.....	7.1.6-1

7.1.6.3.	Terrestrial Vegetation .....	7.1.6-2
7.1.6.4.	Wildlife .....	7.1.6-8
7.1.6.5.	Fisheries and Aquatic Habitats .....	7.1.6-17
7.1.6.6.	Threatened and Endangered Species and Species of Conservation Concern.....	7.1.6-25
7.1.7.	Land Use, Airspace, and Recreation.....	7.1.7-1
7.1.8.	Visual Resources.....	7.1.8-1
7.1.9.	Socioeconomics .....	7.1.9-1
7.1.10.	Environmental Justice.....	7.1.10-1
7.1.11.	Cultural Resources.....	7.1.11-1
7.1.12.	Air Quality .....	7.1.12-1
7.1.13.	Noise .....	7.1.13-1
7.1.14.	Climate Change.....	7.1.14-1
7.1.15.	Human Health and Safety .....	7.1.15-1
7.2.	Environmental Consequences.....	7.2-1
7.2.1.	Infrastructure.....	7.2.1-1
7.2.2.	Soils.....	7.2.2-1
7.2.3.	Geology.....	7.2.3-1
7.2.4.	Water Resources .....	7.2.4-1
7.2.5.	Wetlands .....	7.2.5-1
7.2.6.	Biological Resources .....	7.2.6-1
7.2.6.1.	Introduction.....	7.2.6-1
7.2.6.2.	Impact Assessment Methodology and Significance Criteria .....	7.2.6-1
7.2.6.3.	Terrestrial Vegetation .....	7.2.6-8
7.2.6.4.	Wildlife .....	7.2.6-16
7.2.6.5.	Fisheries and Aquatic Habitats .....	7.2.6-37
7.2.6.6.	Threatened and Endangered Species and Species of Conservation Concern.....	7.2.6-51
7.2.7.	Land Use, Airspace, and Recreation.....	7.2.7-1
7.2.8.	Visual Resources.....	7.2.8-1
7.2.9.	Socioeconomics .....	7.2.9-1
7.2.10.	Environmental Justice.....	7.2.10-1
7.2.11.	Cultural Resources.....	7.2.11-1
7.2.12.	Air Quality .....	7.2.12-1
7.2.13.	Noise .....	7.2.13-1
7.2.14.	Climate Change.....	7.2.14-1
7.2.15.	Human Health and Safety .....	7.2.15-1
7.3.	References.....	7.3-1
7.3.1.	Introduction.....	7.3-1
7.3.2.	Affected Environment.....	7.3-2
7.3.3.	Environmental Consequences.....	7.3-23

<b>8. Puerto Rico .....</b>	<b>8-1</b>
8.1. Affected Environment.....	8.1-1
8.1.1. Infrastructure.....	8.1.1-1
8.1.2. Soils.....	8.1.2-1
8.1.3. Geology.....	8.1.3-1
8.1.4. Water Resources .....	8.1.4-1
8.1.5. Wetlands .....	8.1.5-1
8.1.6. Biological Resources .....	8.1.6-1
8.1.6.1. Introduction.....	8.1.6-1
8.1.6.2. Specific Regulatory Considerations.....	8.1.6-1
8.1.6.3. Terrestrial Vegetation .....	8.1.6-2
8.1.6.4. Wildlife.....	8.1.6-6
8.1.6.5. Fisheries and Aquatic Habitats .....	8.1.6-19
8.1.6.6. Threatened and Endangered Species and Species of Conservation Concern.....	8.1.6-26
8.1.7. Land Use, Airspace, and Recreation.....	8.1.7-1
8.1.8. Visual Resources.....	8.1.8-1
8.1.9. Socioeconomics .....	8.1.9-1
8.1.10. Environmental Justice.....	8.1.10-1
8.1.11. Cultural Resources.....	8.1.11-1
8.1.12. Air Quality .....	8.1.12-1
8.1.13. Noise .....	8.1.13-1
8.1.14. Climate Change.....	8.1.14-1
8.1.15. Human Health and Safety .....	8.1.15-1
8.2. Environmental Consequences.....	8.2-1
8.2.1. Infrastructure.....	8.2.1-1
8.2.2. Soils.....	8.2.2-1
8.2.3. Geology.....	8.2.3-1
8.2.4. Water Resources .....	8.2.4-1
8.2.5. Wetlands .....	8.2.5-1
8.2.6. Biological Resources .....	8.2.6-1
8.2.6.1. Introduction.....	8.2.6-1
8.2.6.2. Impact Assessment Methodology and Significance Criteria .....	8.2.6-1
8.2.6.3. Terrestrial Vegetation .....	8.2.6-8
8.2.6.4. Wildlife.....	8.2.6-16
8.2.6.5. Fisheries and Aquatic Habitats .....	8.2.6-36
8.2.6.6. Threatened and Endangered Species and Species of Conservation Concern.....	8.2.6-50
8.2.7. Land Use, Airspace, and Recreation.....	8.2.7-1
8.2.8. Visual Resources.....	8.2.8-1
8.2.9. Socioeconomics .....	8.2.9-1
8.2.10. Environmental Justice.....	8.2.10-1

8.2.11.	Cultural Resources .....	8.2.11-1
8.2.12.	Air Quality .....	8.2.12-1
8.2.13.	Noise .....	8.2.13-1
8.2.14.	Climate Change.....	8.2.14-1
8.2.15.	Human Health and Safety .....	8.2.15-1
8.3.	References.....	8.3-1
8.3.1.	Introduction.....	8.3-1
8.3.2.	Affected Environment.....	8.3-2
8.3.3.	Environmental Consequences .....	8.3-27
<b>9.</b>	<b>U.S. Virgin Islands .....</b>	<b>9-1</b>
9.1.	Affected Environment.....	9.1-1
9.1.1.	Infrastructure.....	9.1.1-1
9.1.2.	Soils.....	9.1.2-1
9.1.3.	Geology.....	9.1.3-1
9.1.4.	Water Resources .....	9.1.4-1
9.1.5.	Wetlands .....	9.1.5-1
9.1.6.	Biological Resources .....	9.1.6-1
9.1.6.1.	Introduction.....	9.1.6-1
9.1.6.2.	Specific Regulatory Considerations.....	9.1.6-1
9.1.6.3.	Terrestrial Vegetation .....	9.1.6-2
9.1.6.4.	Wildlife .....	9.1.6-7
9.1.6.5.	Fisheries and Aquatic Habitats .....	9.1.6-19
9.1.6.6.	Threatened and Endangered Species and Species of Conservation Concern.....	9.1.6-27
9.1.7.	Land Use, Airspace, and Recreation.....	9.1.7-1
9.1.8.	Visual Resources.....	9.1.8-1
9.1.9.	Socioeconomics .....	9.1.9-1
9.1.10.	Environmental Justice.....	9.1.10-1
9.1.11.	Cultural Resources .....	9.1.11-1
9.1.12.	Air Quality .....	9.1.12-1
9.1.13.	Noise .....	9.1.13-1
9.1.14.	Climate Change.....	9.1.14-1
9.1.15.	Human Health and Safety .....	9.1.15-1
9.2.	Environmental Consequences.....	9.2-1
9.2.1.	Infrastructure.....	9.2.1-1
9.2.2.	Soils.....	9.2.2-1
9.2.3.	Geology.....	9.2.3-1
9.2.4.	Water Resources .....	9.2.4-1
9.2.5.	Wetlands .....	9.2.5-1

9.2.6.	Biological Resources .....	9.2.6-1
9.2.6.1.	Introduction.....	9.2.6-1
9.2.6.2.	Impact Assessment Methodology and Significance Criteria .....	9.2.6-1
9.2.6.3.	Terrestrial Vegetation .....	9.2.6-8
9.2.6.4.	Wildlife .....	9.2.6-16
9.2.6.5.	Fisheries and Aquatic Habitats .....	9.2.6-36
9.2.6.6.	Threatened and Endangered Species and Species of Conservation Concern.....	9.2.6-50
9.2.7.	Land Use, Airspace, and Recreation.....	9.2.7-1
9.2.8.	Visual Resources.....	9.2.8-1
9.2.9.	Socioeconomics .....	9.2.9-1
9.2.10.	Environmental Justice.....	9.2.10-1
9.2.11.	Cultural Resources.....	9.2.11-1
9.2.12.	Air Quality .....	9.2.12-1
9.2.13.	Noise .....	9.2.13-1
9.2.14.	Climate Change.....	9.2.14-1
9.2.15.	Human Health and Safety .....	9.2.15-1
9.3.	References.....	9.3-1
9.3.1.	Introduction.....	9.3-1
9.3.2.	Affected Environment.....	9.3-2
9.3.3.	Environmental Consequences.....	9.3-27
<b>10.</b>	<b>Cumulative Effects.....</b>	<b>10-1</b>
<b>11.</b>	<b>BMPs and Mitigation Measures .....</b>	<b>11-1</b>
11.1.	Infrastructure.....	11-2
11.1.1.	BMPs and Mitigation Measures for All Project Types.....	11-2
11.1.2.	Project-Type Specific BMPs and Mitigation Measures.....	11-3
11.2.	Soils.....	11-3
11.2.1.	BMPs and Mitigation Measures for All Project Types.....	11-3
11.2.2.	Project-Type Specific BMPs and Mitigation Measures.....	11-4
11.3.	Geology.....	11-4
11.3.1.	BMPs and Mitigation Measures for All Project Types.....	11-4
11.3.2.	Project-Type Specific BMPs and Mitigation Measures.....	11-5
11.4.	Water Resources .....	11-6
11.4.1.	BMPs and Mitigation Measures for All Project Types.....	11-6
11.4.2.	Project-Type Specific BMPs and Mitigation Measures.....	11-8
11.5.	Wetlands .....	11-9
11.5.1.	BMPs and Mitigation Measures for All Project Types.....	11-9
11.5.2.	Project-Type Specific BMPs and Mitigation Measures.....	11-11

11.6.	Biological Resources .....	11-12
11.6.1.	Terrestrial Vegetation .....	11-12
11.6.2.	Wildlife .....	11-13
11.6.3.	Fisheries and Aquatic Habitats .....	11-19
11.6.4.	Threatened and Endangered Species and Species of Conservation Concern .....	11-21
11.7.	Land use, Airspace, and Recreation.....	11-24
11.7.1.	BMPs and Mitigation Measures for All Project Types.....	11-24
11.7.2.	Project-Type Specific BMPs and Mitigation Measures.....	11-24
11.8.	Visual Resources.....	11-25
11.8.1.	BMPs and Mitigation Measures for All Project Types.....	11-25
11.8.2.	Project-Type Specific BMPs and Mitigation Measures.....	11-26
11.9.	Socioeconomics .....	11-26
11.9.1.	BMPs and Mitigation Measures for All Project Types.....	11-26
11.9.2.	Project-Type Specific BMPs and Mitigation Measures.....	11-27
11.10.	Environmental Justice.....	11-27
11.10.1.	BMPs and Mitigation Measures for All Project Types.....	11-27
11.10.2.	Project-Type Specific BMPs and Mitigation Measures.....	11-28
11.11.	Cultural Resources .....	11-29
11.11.1.	BMPs and Mitigation Measures for All Project Types.....	11-29
11.11.2.	Project-Type Specific BMPs and Mitigation Measures.....	11-29
11.12.	Air Quality .....	11-30
11.12.1.	BMPs and Mitigation Measures for All Project Types.....	11-30
11.12.2.	Project-Type Specific BMPs and Mitigation Measures.....	11-31
11.13.	Noise .....	11-31
11.13.1.	BMPs and Mitigation Measures for All Project Types.....	11-31
11.13.2.	Project-Type Specific BMPs and Mitigation Measures.....	11-32
11.14.	Climate Change.....	11-32
11.14.1.	BMPs and Mitigation Measures for All Project Types.....	11-32
11.14.2.	Project-Type Specific BMPs and Mitigation Measures.....	11-33
11.15.	Human Health and Safety .....	11-33
11.15.1.	BMPs and Mitigation Measures for All Project Types.....	11-33
11.15.2.	Project-Type Specific BMPs and Mitigation Measures.....	11-36
11.16.	References.....	11-36
11.16.1.	Wildlife .....	11-36
11.16.2.	Fisheries and Aquatic Habitats .....	11-37
11.16.3.	Threatened and Endangered Species and Species of Conservation Concern .....	11-38
11.16.4.	Land Use, Airspace, and Recreation.....	11-38
11.16.5.	Socioeconomics .....	11-38
11.16.6.	Environmental Justice.....	11-38
11.16.7.	Human Health and Safety .....	11-38

---

<b>12. Comparison of Alternatives .....</b>	<b>12-1</b>
12.1. Introduction.....	12-1
12.2. Comparison of Alternatives .....	12-3
12.3. References.....	12-9
<b>13. Other Required Analyses .....</b>	<b>13-1</b>
13.1. Unavoidable Adverse Impacts .....	13-1
13.2. Irreversible or Irrecoverable Commitment of Resources .....	13-1
13.3. Relationship Between Short-term and Long-term Productivity .....	13-3
<b>14. List of Preparers and Contributors.....</b>	<b>14-1</b>
<b>15. Distribution List.....</b>	<b>15-1</b>
<b>16. Index.....</b>	<b>16-1</b>
<b>17. Glossary .....</b>	<b>17-1</b>

## **APPENDICES**

- A Cooperating Agencies
- B First Responder Network Authority Nationwide Public Safety Broadband Network  
Programmatic Environmental Impact Statement Scoping Summary Report
- C Environmental Laws and Regulations
- D Threatened and Endangered Species
- E Environmental Justice Demographic Data
- F Climate Change Sources and Models
- G Hawaii Register of Historic Places

## LIST OF TABLES

Table 2.4.1-1:	FCC Regulatory Levels .....	2-10
Table 3.1.1-1:	Public Safety Communications System.....	3.1.1-7
Table 3.1.1-2:	Other Communication Systems .....	3.1.1-8
Table 3.1.2-1:	Major Land Resource Areas in Alaska .....	3.1.2-4
Table 3.1.2-2:	General Characteristics of Soil Suborders Found in Alaska.....	3.1.2-7
Table 3.1.4-1:	Total Surface Waters for Alaska.....	3.1.4-2
Table 3.1.4-2:	Water Quality Summary for Alaska Waterbodies .....	3.1.4-6
Table 3.1.4-3:	Alaska Groundwater Withdrawals in 2005.....	3.1.4-9
Table 3.1.5-1:	Acres, Types, and Descriptions of Wetlands in Alaska .....	3.1.5-5
Table 3.1.6.3-1:	Vegetation Types/ Land Cover Classes in Alaska.....	3.1.6-4
Table 3.1.6.3-2:	Biophysical Settings and Plant Associations of Concern in Alaska .....	3.1.6-5
Table 3.1.6.6-1:	Federal- and State-listed Threatened and Endangered and Candidate Species Known to Occur in Alaska .....	3.1.6-46
Table 3.1.7-1:	Land Use/Land Cover in Alaska.....	3.1.7-4
Table 3.1.7-2:	Major Land Owners in Alaska.....	3.1.7-5
Table 3.1.7-3:	Acreage of Recreational Lands in Alaska, by Type .....	3.1.7-9
Table 3.1.9-1:	National, State, and Borough Population, Population Density, and Growth Rates .....	3.1.9-5
Table 3.1.9-2:	Population Projections .....	3.1.9-7
Table 3.1.9-3:	Select Economic Indicators .....	3.1.9-8
Table 3.1.9-4:	Housing Units, Occupancy, and Tenure .....	3.1.9-12
Table 3.1.9-5:	Housing Costs.....	3.1.9-13
Table 3.1.9-6:	Median Value of Owner Occupied Single Family Homes, 2009 to 2013 American Community Survey .....	3.1.9-14
Table 3.1.9-7:	Real Estate Taxes, Owner-Occupied Units with a Mortgage, 2013 .....	3.1.9-16
Table 3.1.10-1:	Race and Ethnicity, Alaska.....	3.1.10-3
Table 3.1.11-1:	Cultural Resources Listed on the NRHP .....	3.1.11-8
Table 3.1.12-1:	Ambient Air Quality Standards in Alaska.....	3.1.12-2
Table 3.1.12-2:	Nonattainment and Maintenance Areas in Alaska.....	3.1.12-4
Table 3.1.12-3:	PSD Allowable Increase Increments .....	3.1.12-6
Table 3.1.12-4:	Alaska Class I Areas.....	3.1.12-6
Table 3.1.12-5:	General Conformity Emissions Thresholds in Alaska.....	3.1.12-8
Table 3.1.13-1:	Allowable Noise Levels by Receiving Land Use in Anchorage, Alaska .....	3.1.13-1
Table 3.1.13-2:	Typical Noise Levels and Possible Human Effects .....	3.1.13-2
Table 3.1.13-3:	Typical Outdoor Sound Levels by Land Use Category .....	3.1.13-3
Table 3.1.14-1:	Climatic Regions of Alaska .....	3.1.14-7
Table 3.1.14-2:	Annual Average Temperature, Humidity, Precipitation, and Wind Speed Data for Anchorage, Barrow, Fairbanks, Juneau, and Kodiak, Alaska .....	3.1.14-8
Table 3.1.14-3:	Recorded Severe Weather Occurrences for Alaskan Boroughs/Census Zones (1996-2014) .....	3.1.14-8

Table 3.1.15-1:	Key Health Indicators for Alaska .....	3.1.15-2
Table 3.1.15-2:	Health Conditions Affected by Air Pollution .....	3.1.15-4
Table 3.1.15-3:	Alaska Active Superfund Sites .....	3.1.15-5
Table 3.2.1-1:	Impact Significance Rating Criteria for Infrastructure .....	3.2.1-2
Table 3.2.2-1:	Impact Significance Rating Criteria for Soils .....	3.2.2-2
Table 3.2.3-1:	Impact Significance Rating Criteria for Geology .....	3.2.3-2
Table 3.2.4-1:	Impact Significance Rating Criteria for Water Resources.....	3.2.4-2
Table 3.2.5-1:	Impact Significance Rating Criteria for Wetlands.....	3.2.5-2
Table 3.2.6.2-1:	Impact Significance Rating Criteria for Terrestrial Vegetation, Wildlife, and Fisheries and Aquatic Habitats .....	3.2.6-2
Table 3.2.6.6-1:	Impact Significance Rating Criteria for Listed Species and Critical Habitats....	3.2.6-53
Table 3.2.6.6-2:	Summary of Information on Federally and State-Listed Species and Critical Habitats.....	3.2.6-56
Table 3.2.6.6-3:	Determination of Impact Significance for Listed Species as a Result of the Preferred Alternative .....	3.2.6-69
Table 3.2.6.6-4:	Determination of Impact Significance for Listed Species and Critical Habitats as a Result of the Deployable Technologies Alternative.....	3.2.6-71
Table 3.2.7-1:	Impact Significance Rating Criteria for Land Use, Airspace, and Recreation .....	3.2.7-2
Table 3.2.8-1:	Impact Significance Rating Criteria for Visual Resources .....	3.2.8-2
Table 3.2.9-1:	Impact Significance Rating Criteria for Socioeconomics.....	3.2.9-2
Table 3.2.10-1:	Impact Significance Rating Criteria for Environmental Justice .....	3.2.10-2
Table 3.2.11-1:	Impact Significance Rating Criteria for Cultural Resources .....	3.2.11-2
Table 3.2.12-1:	Impact Significance Rating Criteria for Air Quality .....	3.2.12-2
Table 3.2.12-2:	Combustion Emission Estimates (Monthly) from New Buried Wired Project Deployment .....	3.2.12-7
Table 3.2.12-3:	Combustion Emission Estimates (Monthly) from New Aerial Wired Project Deployment .....	3.2.12-8
Table 3.2.12-4:	Combustion Emission Estimates (Monthly) from Tower, Structure, and Transmission Equipment Delivery and Installation.....	3.2.12-8
Table 3.2.12-5:	Dust Emission Estimates (Monthly) from Excavation/Filling and Grading/ Earth Moving Activities .....	3.2.12-9
Table 3.2.12-6:	Combustion Emission Estimates from Heavy-Duty Vehicles .....	3.2.12-12
Table 3.2.12-7:	Combustion Emission Estimates from Light-Duty Trucks.....	3.2.12-12
Table 3.2.12-8:	Combustion Emission Estimates from Diesel Backup Power Generators at Wireless Communication Towers.....	3.2.12-16
Table 3.2.12-9:	Combustion Emission Estimates from Diesel Generators on On-Road Vehicles Stationed On-Site.....	3.2.12-17
Table 3.2.13-1:	Impact Significance Rating Criteria for Noise .....	3.2.13-2
Table 3.2.13-2:	Limiting Distances for Maximum Noise Levels Associated with New Buried Wired Activities such as Plowing, Wire Installation, and Construction of Points of Presence and Fiber Huts .....	3.2.13-6

Table 3.2.13-3:	Limiting Distances for Maximum Noise Levels Associated with New Aerial Wired Activities such as Excavation, Grading, and Pole Delivery and Installation .....	3.2.13-7
Table 3.2.13-4:	Limiting Distances for Maximum Noise Levels Associated with Tower, Structure, and Transmission Equipment Delivery and Installation .....	3.2.13-7
Table 3.2.13-5:	Limiting Distances for Maximum Noise Levels Associated with Collocation on Existing Wireless Tower, Structure, or Building.....	3.2.13-10
Table 3.2.13-6:	Limiting Distances for Maximum Noise Levels Associated with Deployable Technologies Implementation—Short-Term.....	3.2.13-11
Table 3.2.13-7:	Limiting Distances for Maximum Noise Levels Associated with Power Generators and Ventilation Fans at Fiber Huts or Central Offices.....	3.2.13-16
Table 3.2.13-8:	Limiting Distances for Maximum Noise Levels Associated with Deployable Technologies Implementation – Long-Term .....	3.2.13-18
Table 3.2.14-1:	Impact Significance Rating Criteria for Climate Change.....	3.2.14-2
Table 3.2.14-2:	Projected Temperature and Precipitation Changes.....	3.2.14-4
Table 3.2.14-3:	Projected Global Sea Level Rise Relative to 1992.....	3.2.14-6
Table 3.2.14-4:	GHG Emission Estimates from Buried Wired Project Deployment.....	3.2.14-10
Table 3.2.14-5:	GHG Emission Estimates from New Aerial Wired Project Deployment.....	3.2.14-12
Table 3.2.14-6:	GHG Emissions Estimates from Tower, Structure, and Transmission Equipment Delivery and Installation .....	3.2.14-13
Table 3.2.14-7:	GHG Emissions Estimates from Heavy and Light Duty Vehicles .....	3.2.14-14
Table 3.2.14-8:	GHG Emissions from Back-up Diesel Power Generators for Wireless Projects .....	3.2.14-17
Table 3.2.14-9:	GHG Emissions from Power Generators for Deployable Technologies .....	3.2.14-17
Table 3.2.15-1:	Impact Significance Rating Criteria for Human Health and Safety .....	3.2.15-2
Table 4.1.1-1:	Airport Distribution by Island in the State of Hawaii.....	4.1.1-6
Table 4.1.1-2:	Hospitals by County in the State of Hawaii.....	4.1.1-10
Table 4.1.1-3:	Public Safety Communications System.....	4.1.1-13
Table 4.1.1-4:	Other Communication Systems .....	4.1.1-13
Table 4.1.2-1:	Major Land Resource Areas in Hawaii .....	4.1.2-4
Table 4.1.2-2:	General Characteristics of Soil Suborders Found in Hawaii .....	4.1.2-6
Table 4.1.3-1:	Volcanoes in Hawaii.....	4.1.3-7
Table 4.1.4-1:	Total Surface Waters for Hawaii .....	4.1.4-2
Table 4.1.4-2:	Summary of Impaired and Attaining Marine Waterbodies in Hawaii.....	4.1.4-10
Table 4.1.5-1:	Acreages, Types, and Descriptions of Wetlands in Hawaii.....	4.1.5-5
Table 4.1.6.3-1:	Vegetation Types/ Land Cover Classes in Hawaii .....	4.1.6-4
Table 4.1.6.6-1:	Federal- and State-listed Threatened and Endangered and Candidate Species Known to Occur in Hawaii .....	4.1.6-28
Table 4.1.7-1:	Land Use/Land Cover in Hawaii .....	4.1.7-7
Table 4.1.7-2:	State Land Use Districts .....	4.1.7-9
Table 4.1.7-3:	Major Land Owners in Hawaii .....	4.1.7-10
Table 4.1.7-4:	Acreage of Recreational Lands in Hawaii, by Type.....	4.1.7-15

Table 4.1.9-1:	National, State, and County Population, Population Density, and Growth Rates .....	4.1.9-3
Table 4.1.9-2:	Population Projections .....	4.1.9-3
Table 4.1.9-3:	Select Economic Indicators .....	4.1.9-4
Table 4.1.9-4:	Housing Units, Occupancy, and Tenure .....	4.1.9-6
Table 4.1.9-5:	Housing Costs .....	4.1.9-7
Table 4.1.9-6:	Median Value of Owner Occupied Single Family Homes, 2009 to 2013 American Community Survey .....	4.1.9-7
Table 4.1.9-7:	Real Estate Taxes, Owner-Occupied Units with a Mortgage .....	4.1.9-8
Table 4.1.10-1:	Race and Ethnicity .....	4.1.10-4
Table 4.1.11-1:	Cultural Resources Listed on the NRHP .....	4.1.11-6
Table 4.1.12-1:	Ambient Air Quality Standards in Hawaii .....	4.1.12-2
Table 4.1.12-2:	PSD Allowable Increase Increments .....	4.1.12-5
Table 4.1.12-3:	Hawaii Class I Areas .....	4.1.12-5
Table 4.1.13-1:	Maximum Permissible Sound Levels (dBA) in Hawaii .....	4.1.13-2
Table 4.1.13-2:	Maximum Permissible Sound Levels per Octave Band Center Frequency for Intensive Industrial and Waterfront Districts in Honolulu .....	4.1.13-2
Table 4.1.13-3:	Typical Noise Levels and Possible Human Effects .....	4.1.13-3
Table 4.1.13-4:	Typical Outdoor Sound Levels by Land Use Category .....	4.1.13-4
Table 4.1.14-1:	Annual Average Temperature, Humidity, Precipitation, and Wind Speed Data for Hawaii .....	4.1.14-6
Table 4.1.14-2:	Hawaii Severe Weather System Characteristics .....	4.1.14-6
Table 4.1.14-3:	Severe Weather Data for Hawaii (1996-2014) .....	4.1.14-7
Table 4.1.15-1:	Key Health Indicators for Hawaii .....	4.1.15-2
Table 4.1.15-2:	Health Conditions Affected by Air Pollution .....	4.1.15-4
Table 4.1.15-3:	Hawaii Active Superfund Sites .....	4.1.15-5
Table 4.2.1-1:	Impact Significance Rating Criteria for Infrastructure .....	4.2.1-2
Table 4.2.2-1:	Impact Significance Rating Criteria for Soils .....	4.2.2-2
Table 4.2.3-1:	Impact Significance Rating Criteria for Geology .....	4.2.3-2
Table 4.2.4-1:	Impact Significance Rating Criteria for Water Resources .....	4.2.4-2
Table 4.2.5-1:	Impact Significance Rating Criteria for Wetlands .....	4.2.5-2
Table 4.2.6.2-1:	Impact Significance Rating Criteria for Terrestrial Vegetation, Wildlife, and Fisheries and Aquatic Habitats .....	4.2.6-2
Table 4.2.6.6-1:	Impact Significance Rating Criteria for Listed Species and Critical Habitats .....	4.2.6-51
Table 4.2.6.6-2:	Summary of Information on Federally and State-Listed Species in Hawaii .....	4.2.6-54
Table 4.2.6.6-3:	Determination of Impact Significance for Listed Species as a Result of the Preferred Alternative .....	4.2.6-67
Table 4.2.6.6-4:	Determination of Impact Significance for Listed Species as a Result of the Deployable Technologies Alternative .....	4.2.6-69
Table 4.2.7-1:	Impact Significance Rating Criteria for Land Use, Airspace, and Recreation .....	4.2.7-2
Table 4.2.8-1:	Impact Significance Rating Criteria for Visual Resources .....	4.2.8-2
Table 4.2.9-1:	Impact Significance Rating Criteria for Socioeconomics .....	4.2.9-2
Table 4.2.10-1:	Impact Significance Rating Criteria for Environmental Justice .....	4.2.10-2

Table 4.2.11-1:	Impact Significance Rating Criteria for Cultural Resources .....	4.2.11-2
Table 4.2.12-1:	Impact Significance Rating Criteria for Air Quality .....	4.2.12-2
Table 4.2.12-2:	Combustion Emission Estimates (Monthly) from New Buried Wired Project Deployment .....	4.2.12-7
Table 4.2.12-3:	Combustion Emission Estimates (Monthly) from New Aerial Wired Project Deployment .....	4.2.12-8
Table 4.2.12-4:	Combustion Emission Estimates (Monthly) from Tower, Structure, and Transmission Equipment Delivery and Installation.....	4.2.12-8
Table 4.2.12-5:	Dust Emission Estimates (Monthly) from Excavation/Filling and Grading/ Earth Moving Activities .....	4.2.12-9
Table 4.2.12-6:	Combustion Emission Estimates from Heavy-Duty Vehicles.....	4.2.12-11
Table 4.2.12-7:	Combustion Emission Estimates from Light-Duty Trucks.....	4.2.12-12
Table 4.2.12-8:	Combustion Emission Estimates from Diesel Backup Power Generators at Wireless Communication Towers.....	4.2.12-15
Table 4.2.12-9:	Combustion Emission Estimates from Diesel Generators on On-Road Vehicles Stationed On-site .....	4.2.12-16
Table 4.2.13-1:	Impact Significance Rating Criteria for Noise .....	4.2.13-2
Table 4.2.13-2:	Limiting Distances for Maximum Noise Levels Associated with New Buried Wired Activities such as Plowing, Wire Installation, and Construction of Points of Presence and Fiber Huts.....	4.2.13-6
Table 4.2.13-3:	Limiting Distances for Maximum Noise Levels Associated with New Aerial Wired Activities such as Excavation, Grading, and Pole Delivery and Installation .....	4.2.13-7
Table 4.2.13-4:	Limiting Distances for Maximum Noise Levels Associated with Tower, Structure, and Transmission Equipment Delivery and Installation .....	4.2.13-7
Table 4.2.13-5:	Limiting Distances for Maximum Noise Levels Associated with Collocation on Existing Wireless Tower, Structure, or Building.....	4.2.13-10
Table 4.2.13-6:	Limiting Distances for Maximum Noise Levels Associated with Deployable Technologies Implementation – Short-Term.....	4.2.13-11
Table 4.2.13-7:	Limiting Distances for Maximum Noise Levels Associated with Power Generators and Ventilation Fans at Fiber Huts or Central Offices.....	4.2.13-16
Table 4.2.13-8:	Limiting Distances for Maximum Noise Levels Associated with Deployable Technologies Implementation – Long-Term .....	4.2.13-18
Table 4.2.14-1:	Impact Significance Rating Criteria for Climate Change.....	4.2.14-2
Table 4.2.14-2:	Projected Temperature Changes.....	4.2.14-4
Table 4.2.14-3:	Projected Global Sea Level Rise Relative to 1992.....	4.2.14-6
Table 4.2.14-4:	GHG Emission Estimates from Buried Wired Project Deployment.....	4.2.14-9
Table 4.2.14-5:	GHG Emission Estimates from New Aerial Wired Project Deployment.....	4.2.14-11
Table 4.2.14-6:	GHG Emissions Estimates from Tower, Structure, and Transmission Equipment Delivery and Installation .....	4.2.14-12
Table 4.2.14-7:	GHG Emissions Estimates from Heavy and Light Duty Vehicles.....	4.2.14-13
Table 4.2.14-8:	GHG Emissions from Back-up Diesel Power Generators for Wireless Projects .....	4.2.14-15

Table 4.2.14-9:	GHG Emissions from Power Generators for Deployable Technologies .....	4.2.14-16
Table 4.2.15-1:	Impact Significance Rating Criteria for Human Health and Safety .....	4.2.15-2
Table 5.1.2-1:	Major Characteristics of MUIDs and Soil Types Found in American Samoa .....	5.1.2-3
Table 5.1.4-1:	Total Surface Waters for American Samoa .....	5.1.4-2
Table 5.1.5-1:	Acreages, Types, and Descriptions of Wetlands in the islands of Tutuila and Aunu'u, American Samoa .....	5.1.5-5
Table 5.1.6.3-1:	Vegetation Types/ Land Cover Classes in American Samoa .....	5.1.6-4
Table 5.1.6.3-2:	Endemic Plant Species in American Samoa .....	5.1.6-7
Table 5.1.6.6-1:	Federal- and Territory-listed Threatened and Endangered and Candidate Species Known to Occur in American Samoa .....	5.1.6-31
Table 5.1.7-1:	Land Use/Land Cover in American Samoa .....	5.1.7-5
Table 5.1.7-2:	Major Land Owners in American Samoa .....	5.1.7-6
Table 5.1.7-3:	Acreage of Recreational Lands in American Samoa, by Type .....	5.1.7-8
Table 5.1.9-1:	National, Territory, and District Population, Population Density, and Growth Rates .....	5.1.9-2
Table 5.1.9-2:	Population Projections .....	5.1.9-3
Table 5.1.9-3:	Select Economic Indicators, 2010 .....	5.1.9-4
Table 5.1.9-4:	Housing Units, Occupancy, and Tenure, 2010 .....	5.1.9-4
Table 5.1.9-5:	Housing Costs .....	5.1.9-4
Table 5.1.9-6:	Median Value of Owner Occupied Single Family Homes .....	5.1.9-5
Table 5.1.10-1:	Race and Ethnicity, American Samoa, 2010 .....	5.1.10-3
Table 5.1.11-1:	Cultural Resources Listed on the NRHP .....	5.1.11-5
Table 5.1.12-1:	Ambient Air Quality Standards in American Samoa .....	5.1.12-2
Table 5.1.12-2:	PSD Allowable Increase Increments .....	5.1.12-4
Table 5.1.13-1:	Typical Noise Levels and Possible Human Effects .....	5.1.13-2
Table 5.1.13-2:	Typical Outdoor Sound Levels by Land Use Category .....	5.1.13-3
Table 5.1.14-1:	Annual Average Temperature, Humidity, Precipitation, and Wind Speed Data for American Samoa .....	5.1.14-6
Table 5.1.14-2:	Severe Weather Data for Inhabited Islands in American Samoa (1996-2014) .....	5.1.14-6
Table 5.1.15-1:	Key Health Indicators for American Samoa .....	5.1.15-2
Table 5.1.15-2:	Health Conditions Affected by Air Pollution .....	5.1.15-4
Table 5.2.1-1:	Impact Significance Rating Criteria for Infrastructure .....	5.2.1-2
Table 5.2.2-1:	Impact Significance Rating Criteria for Soils .....	5.2.2-2
Table 5.2.3-1:	Impact Significance Rating Criteria for Geology .....	5.2.3-2
Table 5.2.4-1:	Impact Significance Rating Criteria for Water Resources .....	5.2.4-2
Table 5.2.5-1:	Impact Significance Rating Criteria for Wetlands .....	5.2.5-2
Table 5.2.6.2-1:	Impact Significance Rating Criteria for Terrestrial Vegetation, Wildlife, and Fisheries and Aquatic Habitats .....	5.2.6-2
Table 5.2.6.6-1:	Impact Significance Rating Criteria for Listed Species and Critical Habitats .....	5.2.6-51
Table 5.2.6.6-2:	Summary of Information on Federally and Territory-Listed Species in American Samoa .....	5.2.6-54
Table 5.2.6.6-3:	Determination of Impact Significance for Listed Species as a Result of the Preferred Alternative .....	5.2.6-66

Table 5.2.6.6-4:	Determination of Impact Significance for Listed Species as a Result of the Deployable Technologies Alternative .....	5.2.6-68
Table 5.2.7-1:	Impact Significance Rating Criteria for Land Use, Airspace, and Recreation .....	5.2.7-2
Table 5.2.8-1:	Impact Significance Rating Criteria for Visual Resources .....	5.2.8-2
Table 5.2.9-1:	Impact Significance Rating Criteria for Socioeconomics.....	5.2.9-2
Table 5.2.10-1:	Impact Significance Rating Criteria for Environmental Justice .....	5.2.10-2
Table 5.2.11-1:	Impact Significance Rating Criteria for Cultural Resources .....	5.2.11-2
Table 5.2.12-1:	Impact Significance Rating Criteria for Air Quality .....	5.2.12-2
Table 5.2.12-2:	Combustion Emission Estimates (Monthly) from New Buried Wired Project Deployment .....	5.2.12-7
Table 5.2.12-3:	Combustion Emission Estimates (Monthly) from New Aerial Wired Project Deployment .....	5.2.12-8
Table 5.2.12-4:	Combustion Emission Estimates (Monthly) from Tower, Structure, and Transmission Equipment Delivery and Installation.....	5.2.12-8
Table 5.2.12-5:	Dust Emission Estimates (Monthly) from Excavation/Filling and Grading/Earth Moving Activities .....	5.2.12-9
Table 5.2.12-6:	Combustion Emission Estimates from Heavy-Duty Vehicles.....	5.2.12-11
Table 5.2.12-7:	Combustion Emission Estimates from Light-Duty Trucks.....	5.2.12-12
Table 5.2.12-8:	Combustion Emission Estimates from Diesel Backup Power Generators at Wireless Communication Towers.....	5.2.12-15
Table 5.2.12-9:	Combustion Emission Estimates from Diesel Power Generators on On-Road Vehicles Stationed On-Site.....	5.2.12-16
Table 5.2.13-1:	Impact Significance Rating Criteria for Noise .....	5.2.13-2
Table 5.2.13-2:	Limiting Distances for Maximum Noise Levels Associated with New Buried Wired Activities such as Plowing, Wire Installation, and Construction of Points of Presence and Fiber Huts .....	5.2.13-6
Table 5.2.13-3:	Limiting Distances for Maximum Noise Levels Associated with New Aerial Wired Activities such as Excavation, Grading, and Pole Delivery and Installation .....	5.2.13-7
Table 5.2.13-4:	Limiting Distances for Maximum Noise Levels Associated with Tower, Structure, and Transmission Equipment Delivery and Installation .....	5.2.13-7
Table 5.2.13-5:	Limiting Distances for Maximum Noise Levels Associated with Collocation on Existing Wireless Tower, Structure, or Building.....	5.2.13-10
Table 5.2.13-6:	Limiting Distances for Maximum Noise Levels Associated with Deployable Technologies Implementation – Short-term .....	5.2.13-11
Table 5.2.13-7:	Limiting Distances for Maximum Noise Levels Associated with Power Generators and Ventilation Fans at Fiber Huts or Central Offices.....	5.2.13-16
Table 5.2.13-8:	Limiting Distances for Maximum Noise Levels Associated with Deployable Technologies Implementation – Long-term .....	5.2.13-18
Table 5.2.14-1:	Impact Significance Rating Criteria for Climate Change.....	5.2.14-2
Table 5.2.14-2:	Projected Average Annual Temperature Changes.....	5.2.14-4
Table 5.2.14-3:	Projected Global Sea Level Rise Relative to 1992.....	5.2.14-5
Table 5.2.14-4:	GHG Emission Estimates from Buried Wired Project Deployment.....	5.2.14-9

Table 5.2.14-5:	GHG Emission Estimates from New Aerial Wired Project Deployment .....	5.2.14-10
Table 5.2.14-6:	GHG Emissions Estimates from Tower, Structure, and Transmission Equipment Delivery and Installation .....	5.2.14-12
Table 5.2.14-7:	GHG Emissions Estimates from Heavy and Light Duty Vehicles .....	5.2.14-13
Table 5.2.14-8:	GHG Emissions from Back-up Diesel Power Generators for Wireless Projects .....	5.2.14-15
Table 5.2.14-9:	GHG Emissions from Power Generators for Deployable Technologies .....	5.2.14-16
Table 5.2.15-1:	Impact Significance Rating Criteria for Human Health and Safety .....	5.2.15-2
Table 6.1.2-1:	Major Characteristics of MUIDs and Soil Types Found in Guam .....	6.1.2-5
Table 6.1.3-1:	Geologic Rock Unit Ages in Guam .....	6.1.3-3
Table 6.1.4-1:	Total Surface Waters for Guam.....	6.1.4-2
Table 6.1.5-1:	Acreages, Types, and Descriptions of Wetlands in Guam.....	6.1.5-5
Table 6.1.6.3-1:	Vegetation Types/ Land Cover Classes in Guam .....	6.1.6-4
Table 6.1.6.6-1:	Federal- and Territory-listed Threatened and Endangered and Candidate Species Known to Occur in Guam.....	6.1.6-28
Table 6.1.7-1:	Land Use/Land Cover in Guam.....	6.1.7-6
Table 6.1.7-2:	Major Land Owners in Guam .....	6.1.7-6
Table 6.1.7-3:	Acreage of Recreational Lands in Guam, by Type.....	6.1.7-9
Table 6.1.9-1:	National and Territory Population, Population Density, and Growth Rates.....	6.1.9-3
Table 6.1.9-2:	Population Projections .....	6.1.9-3
Table 6.1.9-3:	Select Economic Indicators, 2010 .....	6.1.9-4
Table 6.1.9-4:	Housing Units, Occupancy, and Tenure, 2010 .....	6.1.9-4
Table 6.1.9-5:	Housing Costs.....	6.1.9-4
Table 6.1.9-6:	Median Value of Owner-Occupied Single Family Homes, 2010.....	6.1.9-5
Table 6.1.10-1:	Race and Ethnicity, Guam, 2010 .....	6.1.10-3
Table 6.1.11-1:	Cultural Resources Listed on the GRHP and NRHP .....	6.1.11-7
Table 6.1.12-1:	Ambient Air Quality Standards in Guam .....	6.1.12-2
Table 6.1.12-2:	Nonattainment Areas in Guam .....	6.1.12-4
Table 6.1.12-3:	PSD Allowable Increase Increments .....	6.1.12-5
Table 6.1.12-4:	General Conformity Emissions Threshold in Guam.....	6.1.12-6
Table 6.1.13-1:	Typical Noise Levels and Possible Human Effects .....	6.1.13-2
Table 6.1.13-2:	Typical Outdoor Sound Levels by Land Use Category.....	6.1.13-3
Table 6.1.14-1:	Annual Average Temperature, Humidity, Precipitation, and Wind Speed Data for Guam .....	6.1.14-5
Table 6.1.14-2:	Severe Weather Data for Guam (1996-2014).....	6.1.14-6
Table 6.1.15-1:	Key Health Indicators for Guam.....	6.1.15-2
Table 6.1.15-2:	Health Conditions Affected by Air Pollution .....	6.1.15-5
Table 6.2.1-1:	Impact Significance Rating Criteria for Infrastructure .....	6.2.1-2
Table 6.2.2-1:	Impact Significance Rating Criteria for Soils.....	6.2.2-2
Table 6.2.3-1:	Impact Significance Rating Criteria for Geology .....	6.2.3-2
Table 6.2.4-1:	Impact Significance Rating Criteria for Water Resources.....	6.2.4-2
Table 6.2.5-1:	Impact Significance Rating Criteria for Wetlands.....	6.2.5-2

Table 6.2.6.2-1:	Impact Significance Rating Criteria for Terrestrial Vegetation, Wildlife, and Fisheries and Aquatic Habitats .....	6.2.6-2
Table 6.2.6.6-1:	Impact Significance Rating Criteria for Listed Species and Critical Habitats....	6.2.6-51
Table 6.2.6.6-2:	Summary of Information on Federally and Territory-Listed Species in Guam .....	6.2.6-54
Table 6.2.6.6-3:	Determination of Impact Significance for Listed Species as a Result of the Preferred Alternative .....	6.2.6-68
Table 6.2.6.6-4:	Determination of Impact Significance for Listed Species as a Result of the Deployable Technologies Alternative .....	6.2.6-71
Table 6.2.7-1:	Impact Significance Rating Criteria for Land Use, Airspace, and Recreation .....	6.2.7-2
Table 6.2.8-1:	Impact Significance Rating Criteria for Visual Resources .....	6.2.8-2
Table 6.2.9-1:	Impact Significance Rating Criteria for Socioeconomics.....	6.2.9-2
Table 6.2.10-1:	Impact Significance Rating Criteria for Environmental Justice .....	6.2.10-2
Table 6.2.11-1:	Impact Significance Rating Criteria for Cultural Resources .....	6.2.11-2
Table 6.2.12-1:	Impact Significance Rating Criteria for Air Quality .....	6.2.12-2
Table 6.2.12-2:	Combustion Emission Estimates (Monthly) from New Buried Wired Project Deployment .....	6.2.12-7
Table 6.2.12-3:	Combustion Emission Estimates (Monthly) from New Aerial Wired Project Deployment .....	6.2.12-8
Table 6.2.12-4:	Combustion Emission Estimates (Monthly) from Tower, Structure, and Transmission Equipment Delivery and Installation.....	6.2.12-8
Table 6.2.12-5:	Dust Emission Estimates (Monthly) from Excavation/Filling and Grading/Earth Moving Activities .....	6.2.12-9
Table 6.2.12-6:	Combustion Emission Estimates from Heavy-Duty Vehicles .....	6.2.12-12
Table 6.2.12-7:	Combustion Emission Estimates from Light-Duty Trucks.....	6.2.12-12
Table 6.2.12-8:	Combustion Emission Estimates from Diesel Backup Power Generators at Wireless Communication Towers.....	6.2.12-15
Table 6.2.12-9:	Combustion Emission Estimates from Diesel Generators on On-Road Vehicles Stationed On-Site.....	6.2.12-16
Table 6.2.13-1:	Impact Significance Rating Criteria for Noise .....	6.2.13-2
Table 6.2.13-2:	Limiting Distances for Maximum Noise Levels Associated with New Buried Wired Activities such as Plowing, Wire Installation, and Construction of Points of Presence and Fiber Huts .....	6.2.13-6
Table 6.2.13-3:	Limiting Distances for Maximum Noise Levels Associated with New Aerial Wired Activities such as Excavation, Grading, and Pole Delivery and Installation .....	6.2.13-7
Table 6.2.13-4:	Limiting Distances for Maximum Noise Levels Associated with Tower, Structure, and Transmission Equipment Delivery and Installation .....	6.2.13-7
Table 6.2.13-5:	Limiting Distances for Maximum Noise Levels Associated with Collocation on Existing Wireless Tower, Structure, or Building.....	6.2.13-10
Table 6.2.13-6:	Limiting Distances for Maximum Noise Levels Associated with Deployable Technologies Implementation – Short-term .....	6.2.13-11

Table 6.2.13-7:	Limiting Distances for Maximum Noise Levels Associated with Power Generators and Ventilation Fans at Fiber Huts or Central Offices.....	6.2.13-16
Table 6.2.13-8:	Limiting Distances for Maximum Noise Levels Associated with Deployable Technologies Implementation – Long-term .....	6.2.13-18
Table 6.2.14-1:	Impact Significance Rating Criteria for Climate Change.....	6.2.14-2
Table 6.2.14-2:	Projected Average Annual Temperature Changes.....	6.2.14-4
Table 6.2.14-3:	Projected Global Sea Level Rise Relative to 1992.....	6.2.14-5
Table 6.2.14-4:	GHG Emission Estimates from Buried Wired Project Deployment.....	6.2.14-9
Table 6.2.14-5:	GHG Emission Estimates from New Aerial Wired Project Deployment.....	6.2.14-10
Table 6.2.14-6:	GHG Emissions Estimates from Tower, Structure, and Transmission Equipment Delivery and Installation.....	6.2.14-12
Table 6.2.14-7:	GHG Emissions Estimates from Heavy and Light Duty Vehicles.....	6.2.14-13
Table 6.2.14-8:	GHG Emissions from Back-up Diesel Power Generators for Wireless Projects .....	6.2.14-15
Table 6.2.14-9:	GHG Emissions from Power Generators for Deployable Technologies .....	6.2.14-16
Table 6.2.15-1:	Impact Significance Rating Criteria for Human Health and Safety .....	6.2.15-2
Table 7.1.2-1:	Major Characteristics of MUIDs and Soil Types Found in the Northern Mariana Islands.....	7.1.2-5
Table 7.1.4-1:	Total Surface Waters for the Northern Mariana Islands.....	7.1.4-2
Table 7.1.5-1:	Acreages, Types, and Descriptions of Wetlands on the Northern Mariana Islands.....	7.1.5-5
Table 7.1.6.3-1:	Vegetation Types/ Land Cover Classes in the Northern Mariana Islands.....	7.1.6-4
Table 7.1.6.6-1:	Federal- and Territory-listed Threatened and Endangered and Candidate Species Known to Occur in the Northern Mariana Islands .....	7.1.6-27
Table 7.1.7-1:	Land Use/Land Cover in the Northern Mariana Islands.....	7.1.7-6
Table 7.1.7-2:	Major Land Owners in the Northern Mariana Islands.....	7.1.7-7
Table 7.1.7-3:	Acreage of Recreational Lands in the Northern Mariana Islands, by Type.....	7.1.7-9
Table 7.1.9-1:	National, Territory, and Municipality Population, Population Density, and Growth Rates .....	7.1.9-3
Table 7.1.9-2:	Population Projections.....	7.1.9-3
Table 7.1.9-3:	Select Economic Indicators, 2010 .....	7.1.9-4
Table 7.1.9-4:	Housing Units, Occupancy, and Tenure, 2010 .....	7.1.9-4
Table 7.1.9-5:	Housing Costs.....	7.1.9-5
Table 7.1.9-6:	Median Value of Owner Occupied Single Family Homes .....	7.1.9-5
Table 7.1.10-1:	Race and Ethnicity, North Mariana Islands, 2010.....	7.1.10-3
Table 7.1.11-1:	Cultural Resources Listed on the NRHP .....	7.1.11-7
Table 7.1.12-1:	Ambient Air Quality Standards in the Northern Mariana Islands .....	7.1.12-2
Table 7.1.12-2:	PSD Allowable Increase Increments .....	7.1.12-5
Table 7.1.13-1:	Typical Noise Levels and Possible Human Effects .....	7.1.13-2
Table 7.1.13-2:	Typical Outdoor Sound Levels by Land Use Category.....	7.1.13-3
Table 7.1.14-1:	Annual Average Temperature, Humidity, Precipitation, and Wind Speed Data for Guam (representative of the Northern Mariana Islands).....	7.1.14-5
Table 7.1.14-2:	Severe Weather Data for the Northern Mariana Islands (1996-2014).....	7.1.14-6

Table 7.1.15-1:	Key Health Indicators for Northern Mariana Islands .....	7.1.15-2
Table 7.1.15-2:	Health Conditions Affected by Air Pollution .....	7.1.15-4
Table 7.2.1-1:	Impact Significance Rating Criteria for Infrastructure .....	7.2.1-2
Table 7.2.2-1:	Impact Significance Rating Criteria for Soils.....	7.2.2-2
Table 7.2.3-1:	Impact Significance Rating Criteria for Geology.....	7.2.3-2
Table 7.2.4-1:	Impact Significance Rating Criteria for Water Resources.....	7.2.4-2
Table 7.2.5-1:	Impact Significance Rating Criteria for Wetlands.....	7.2.5-2
Table 7.2.6.2-1:	Impact Significance Rating Criteria for Terrestrial Vegetation, Wildlife, and Fisheries and Aquatic Habitats .....	7.2.6-2
Table 7.2.6.6-1:	Impact Significance Rating Criteria for Listed Species and Critical Habitats....	7.2.6-52
Table 7.2.6.6-2:	Summary of Information on Federally and Territory-Listed Species in the Northern Mariana Islands .....	7.2.6-54
Table 7.2.6.6-3:	Determination of Impact Significance for Listed Species as a Result of the Preferred Alternative .....	7.2.6-68
Table 7.2.6.6-4:	Determination of Impact Significance for Listed Species as a Result of the Deployable Technologies Alternative .....	7.2.6-71
Table 7.2.7-1:	Impact Significance Rating Criteria for Land Use, Airspace, and Recreation .....	7.2.7-2
Table 7.2.8-1:	Impact Significance Rating Criteria for Visual Resources.....	7.2.8-2
Table 7.2.9-1:	Impact Significance Rating Criteria for Socioeconomics.....	7.2.9-2
Table 7.2.10-1:	Impact Significance Rating Criteria for Environmental Justice .....	7.2.10-2
Table 7.2.11-1:	Impact Significance Rating Criteria for Cultural Resources .....	7.2.11-2
Table 7.2.12-1:	Impact Significance Rating Criteria for Air Quality .....	7.2.12-2
Table 7.2.12-2:	Combustion Emission Estimates (Monthly) from New Buried Wired Project Deployment .....	7.2.12-7
Table 7.2.12-3:	Combustion Emission Estimates (Monthly) from New Aerial Wired Project Deployment .....	7.2.12-8
Table 7.2.12-4:	Combustion Emission Estimates (Monthly) from Tower, Structure, and Transmission Equipment Delivery and Installation.....	7.2.12-8
Table 7.2.12-5:	Dust Emission Estimates (Monthly) from Excavation/Filling and Grading/ Earth Moving Activities .....	7.2.12-9
Table 7.2.12-6:	Combustion Emission Estimates from Heavy-Duty Vehicles.....	7.2.12-11
Table 7.2.12-7:	Combustion Emission Estimates from Light-Duty Trucks.....	7.2.12-12
Table 7.2.12-8:	Combustion Emission Estimates from Diesel Backup Generators at Wireless Communication Towers .....	7.2.12-15
Table 7.2.12-9:	Combustion Emission Estimates from Diesel Generators on On-Road Vehicles Stationed On-Site.....	7.2.12-16
Table 7.2.13-1:	Impact Significance Rating Criteria for Noise .....	7.2.13-2
Table 7.2.13-2:	Limiting Distances for Maximum Noise Levels Associated with New Buried Wired Activities such as Plowing, Wire Installation, and Construction of Points of Presence and Fiber Huts.....	7.2.13-6
Table 7.2.13-3:	Limiting Distances for Maximum Noise Levels Associated with New Aerial Wired Activities such as Excavation, Grading, and Pole Delivery and Installation .....	7.2.13-7

Table 7.2.13-4:	Limiting Distances for Maximum Noise Levels Associated with Tower, Structure, and Transmission Equipment Delivery and Installation .....	7.2.13-7
Table 7.2.13-5:	Limiting Distances for Maximum Noise Levels Associated with Collocation on Existing Wireless Tower, Structure, or Building.....	7.2.13-10
Table 7.2.13-6:	Limiting Distances for Maximum Noise Levels Associated with Deployable Technologies Implementation – Short-term .....	7.2.13-11
Table 7.2.13-7:	Limiting Distances for Maximum Noise Levels Associated with Power Generators and Ventilation Fans at Fiber Huts or Central Offices.....	7.2.13-16
Table 7.2.13-8:	Limiting Distances for Maximum Noise Levels Associated with Deployable Technologies Implementation – Long-term .....	7.2.13-18
Table 7.2.14-1:	Impact Significance Rating Criteria for Climate Change.....	7.2.14-2
Table 7.2.14-2:	Projected Average Annual Temperature Changes.....	7.2.14-4
Table 7.2.14-3:	Projected Global Sea Level Rise Relative to 1992.....	7.2.14-5
Table 7.2.14-4:	GHG Emission Estimates from Buried Wired Project Deployment.....	7.2.14-9
Table 7.2.14-5:	GHG Emission Estimates from New Aerial Wired Project Deployment.....	7.2.14-10
Table 7.2.14-6:	GHG Emissions Estimates from Tower, Structure, and Transmission Equipment Delivery and Installation .....	7.2.14-12
Table 7.2.14-7:	GHG Emissions Estimates from Heavy and Light Duty Vehicles.....	7.2.14-13
Table 7.2.14-8:	GHG Emissions from Back-up Diesel Power Generators for Wireless Projects .....	7.2.14-15
Table 7.2.14-9:	GHG Emissions from Power Generators for Deployable Technologies .....	7.2.14-16
Table 7.2.15-1:	Impact Significance Rating Criteria for Human Health and Safety .....	7.2.15-2
Table 8.1.2-1:	General Characteristics of Soil Suborders Found in Puerto Rico.....	8.1.2-6
Table 8.1.3-1:	Large Earthquakes Recorded Near Puerto Rico and the U.S. Virgin Islands.....	8.1.3-5
Table 8.1.4-1:	Total Surface Waters for Puerto Rico.....	8.1.4-2
Table 8.1.5-1:	Acreages, Types, and Descriptions of Wetlands in Puerto Rico .....	8.1.5-5
Table 8.1.6.3-1:	Vegetation Types/ Land Cover Classes in Puerto Rico.....	8.1.6-4
Table 8.1.6.6-1:	Federal- and Territory-listed Threatened and Endangered and Candidate Species Known to Occur in Puerto Rico .....	8.1.6-28
Table 8.1.7-1:	Land Use/Land Cover in Puerto Rico.....	8.1.7-5
Table 8.1.7-2:	Major Land Owners in Puerto Rico.....	8.1.7-7
Table 8.1.7-3:	Acreage of Recreational Lands in Puerto Rico, by Type .....	8.1.7-12
Table 8.1.9-1:	National and Territory Population, Population Density, and Growth Rates.....	8.1.9-2
Table 8.1.9-2:	Population Projections.....	8.1.9-4
Table 8.1.9-3:	Select Economic Indicators, 2013 .....	8.1.9-4
Table 8.1.9-4:	Housing Units, Occupancy, and Tenure .....	8.1.9-8
Table 8.1.9-5:	Housing Costs.....	8.1.9-9
Table 8.1.9-6:	Median Value of Owner-Occupied Single Family Homes, 2013 .....	8.1.9-9
Table 8.1.9-7:	Real Estate Taxes, Owner-Occupied Units with a Mortgage, 2013 .....	8.1.9-9
Table 8.1.10-1:	Race and Ethnicity, Puerto Rico.....	8.1.10-3
Table 8.1.11-1:	Cultural Resources Listed on the NRHP .....	8.1.11-5
Table 8.1.12-1:	Ambient Air Quality Standards in Puerto Rico .....	8.1.12-2
Table 8.1.12-2:	Nonattainment and Maintenance Areas in Puerto Rico.....	8.1.12-4

Table 8.1.12-3:	PSD Allowable Increase Increments .....	8.1.12-5
Table 8.1.12-4:	General Conformity Emissions Thresholds in Puerto Rico.....	8.1.12-6
Table 8.1.13-1:	Noise Level Limits .....	8.1.13-2
Table 8.1.13-2:	Typical Noise Levels and Possible Human Effects.....	8.1.13-2
Table 8.1.13-3:	Typical Outdoor Sound Levels by Land Use Category.....	8.1.13-3
Table 8.1.14-1:	Annual Average Temperature, Humidity, Precipitation, and Wind Speed Data for Puerto Rico .....	8.1.14-5
Table 8.1.14-2:	Severe Weather Data for Puerto Rico (1996-2014).....	8.1.14-5
Table 8.1.15-1:	Key Health Indicators for Puerto Rico .....	8.1.15-2
Table 8.1.15-2:	Health Conditions Affected by Air Pollution .....	8.1.15-4
Table 8.2.1-1:	Impact Significance Rating Criteria for Infrastructure .....	8.2.1-2
Table 8.2.2-1:	Impact Significance Rating Criteria for Soils.....	8.2.2-2
Table 8.2.3-1:	Impact Significance Rating Criteria for Geology.....	8.2.3-2
Table 8.2.4-1:	Impact Significance Rating Criteria for Water Resources.....	8.2.4-2
Table 8.2.5-1:	Impact Significance Rating Criteria for Wetlands.....	8.2.5-2
Table 8.2.6.2-1:	Impact Significance Rating Criteria for Terrestrial Vegetation, Wildlife, and Fisheries and Aquatic Habitats .....	8.2.6-2
Table 8.2.6.6-1:	Impact Significance Rating Criteria for Listed Species and Critical Habitats....	8.2.6-51
Table 8.2.6.6-2:	Summary of Information on Federally and Territory-Listed Species in Puerto Rico .....	8.2.6-53
Table 8.2.6.6-3:	Determination of Impact Significance for Listed Species as a Result of the Preferred Alternative .....	8.2.6-68
Table 8.2.6.6-4:	Determination of Impact Significance for Listed Species as a Result of the Deployable Technologies Alternative .....	8.2.6-71
Table 8.2.7-1:	Impact Significance Rating Criteria for Land Use, Airspace, and Recreation .....	8.2.7-2
Table 8.2.8-1:	Impact Significance Rating Criteria for Visual Resources.....	8.2.8-2
Table 8.2.9-1:	Impact Significance Rating Criteria for Socioeconomics.....	8.2.9-2
Table 8.2.10-1:	Impact Significance Rating Criteria for Environmental Justice .....	8.2.10-2
Table 8.2.11-1:	Impact Significance Rating Criteria for Cultural Resources .....	8.2.11-2
Table 8.2.12-1:	Impact Significance Rating Criteria for Air Quality .....	8.2.12-2
Table 8.2.12-2:	Combustion Emission Estimates (Monthly) from New Buried Wired Project Deployment .....	8.2.12-7
Table 8.2.12-3:	Combustion Emission Estimates (Monthly) from New Aerial Wired Project Deployment .....	8.2.12-8
Table 8.2.12-4:	Combustion Emission Estimates (Monthly) from Tower, Structure, and Transmission Equipment Delivery and Installation.....	8.2.12-8
Table 8.2.12-5:	Dust Emission Estimates (Monthly) from Excavation/Filling and Grading/ Earth Moving Activities .....	8.2.12-9
Table 8.2.12-6:	Combustion Emission Estimates from Heavy-Duty Vehicles.....	8.2.12-11
Table 8.2.12-7:	Combustion Emission Estimates from Light-Duty Trucks.....	8.2.12-12
Table 8.2.12-8:	Combustion Emission Estimates from Diesel Backup Power Generators at Wireless Communication Towers.....	8.2.12-15

Table 8.2.12-9:	Combustion Emission Estimates from Diesel Generators on On-Road Vehicles .....	8.2.12-16
Table 8.2.13-1:	Impact Significance Rating Criteria for Noise .....	8.2.13-2
Table 8.2.13-2:	Limiting Distances for Maximum Noise Levels Associated with New Buried Wired Activities such as Plowing, Wire Installation, and Construction of Points of Presence and Fiber Huts .....	8.2.13-6
Table 8.2.13-3:	Limiting Distances for Maximum Noise Levels Associated with New Aerial Wired Activities such as Excavation, Grading, and Pole Delivery and Installation .....	8.2.13-7
Table 8.2.13-4:	Limiting Distances for Maximum Noise Levels Associated with Tower, Structure, and Transmission Equipment Delivery and Installation .....	8.2.13-7
Table 8.2.13-5:	Limiting Distances for Maximum Noise Levels Associated with Collocation on Existing Wireless Tower, Structure, or Building.....	8.2.13-10
Table 8.2.13-6:	Limiting Distances for Maximum Noise Levels Associated with Deployable Technologies Implementation – Short-term .....	8.2.13-11
Table 8.2.13-7:	Limiting Distances for Maximum Noise Levels Associated with Power Generators and Ventilation Fans at Fiber Huts or Central Offices.....	8.2.13-16
Table 8.2.13-8:	Limiting Distances for Maximum Noise Levels Associated with Deployable Technologies Implementation – Long-term .....	8.2.13-18
Table 8.2.14-1:	Impact Significance Rating Criteria for Climate Change.....	8.2.14-2
Table 8.2.14-2:	Projected Global Sea Level Rise Relative to 1992.....	8.2.14-5
Table 8.2.14-3:	GHG Emission Estimates from Buried Wired Project Deployment.....	8.2.14-8
Table 8.2.14-4:	GHG Emission Estimates from New Aerial Wired Project Deployment.....	8.2.14-9
Table 8.2.14-5:	GHG Emissions Estimates from Tower, Structure, and Transmission Equipment Delivery and Installation .....	8.2.14-11
Table 8.2.14-6:	GHG Emissions Estimates from Heavy and Light Duty Vehicles .....	8.2.14-12
Table 8.2.14-7:	GHG Emissions from Back-up Diesel Power Generators for Wireless Projects .....	8.2.14-14
Table 8.2.14-8:	GHG Emissions from Power Generators for Deployable Technologies .....	8.2.14-15
Table 8.2.15-1:	Impact Significance Rating Criteria for Human Health and Safety .....	8.2.15-2
Table 9.1.2-1:	Major Characteristics of MUIDs and Soil Types Found in U.S. Virgin Islands ..	9.1.2-5
Table 9.1.3-1:	Large Earthquakes Recorded Near U.S. Virgin Islands and Puerto Rico.....	9.1.3-4
Table 9.1.5-1:	Acreages, Types, and Descriptions of Wetlands in the U.S. Virgin Islands.....	9.1.5-5
Table 9.1.6.3-1:	Vegetation Types/ Land Cover Classes in the U.S. Virgin Islands .....	9.1.6-4
Table 9.1.6.6-1:	Federal- and Territory-listed Threatened and Endangered and Candidate Species Known to Occur in the U.S. Virgin Islands.....	9.1.6-29
Table 9.1.7-1:	Land Use/Land Cover in U.S. Virgin Islands.....	9.1.7-4
Table 9.1.7-2:	Major Land Owners in U.S. Virgin Islands.....	9.1.7-7
Table 9.1.7-3:	Acreage of Recreational Lands in U.S. Virgin Islands, by Type.....	9.1.7-10
Table 9.1.9-1:	National and Territory Population, Population Density, and Growth Rates.....	9.1.9-2
Table 9.1.9-2:	Population Projections.....	9.1.9-3
Table 9.1.9-3:	Select Economic Indicators, 2010 .....	9.1.9-4
Table 9.1.9-4:	Housing Units, Occupancy, and Tenure, 2010 .....	9.1.9-5

Table 9.1.9-5:	Housing Costs, 2010.....	9.1.9-6
Table 9.1.9-6:	Value of Owner Occupied Single Family Homes, 2010 U.S. Census.....	9.1.9-6
Table 9.1.10-1:	Race and Ethnicity, U.S. Virgin Islands, 2010.....	9.1.10-3
Table 9.1.11-1:	Cultural Resources Listed on the NRHP.....	9.1.11-5
Table 9.1.12-1:	Ambient Air Quality Standards in the U.S. Virgin Islands.....	9.1.12-2
Table 9.1.12-2:	PSD Allowable Increase Increments.....	9.1.12-5
Table 9.1.12-3:	U.S. Virgin Islands Class I Area.....	9.1.12-5
Table 9.1.13-1:	Typical Noise Levels and Possible Human Response.....	9.1.13-2
Table 9.1.13-2:	Typical Outdoor Sound Levels by Land Use Category.....	9.1.13-3
Table 9.1.14-1:	Annual Average Temperature, Humidity, Precipitation, and Wind Speed Data for the U.S. Virgin Islands.....	9.1.14-5
Table 9.1.14-2:	Severe Weather Data for the U.S. Virgin Islands (1996-2014).....	9.1.14-6
Table 9.1.15-1:	Key Health Indicators for U.S. Virgin Islands.....	9.1.15-2
Table 9.1.15-2:	Health Conditions Affected by Air Pollution.....	9.1.15-4
Table 9.1.15-3:	U.S. Virgin Islands Active Superfund Sites.....	9.1.15-5
Table 9.2.1-1:	Impact Significance Rating Criteria for Infrastructure.....	9.2.1-2
Table 9.2.2-1:	Impact Significance Rating Criteria for Soils.....	9.2.2-2
Table 9.2.3-1:	Impact Significance Rating Criteria for Geology.....	9.2.3-2
Table 9.2.4-1:	Impact Significance Rating Criteria for Water Resources.....	9.2.4-2
Table 9.2.5-1:	Impact Significance Rating Criteria for Wetlands.....	9.2.5-2
Table 9.2.6.2-1:	Impact Significance Rating Criteria for Terrestrial Vegetation, Wildlife, and Fisheries and Aquatic Habitats.....	9.2.6-2
Table 9.2.6.6-1:	Impact Significance Rating Criteria for Listed Species and Critical Habitats....	9.2.6-51
Table 9.2.6.6-2:	Summary of Information on Federally and Territory-Listed Species in the U.S. Virgin Islands.....	9.2.6-53
Table 9.2.6.6-3:	Determination of Impact Significance for Listed Species as a Result of the Preferred Alternative.....	9.2.6-66
Table 9.2.6.6-4:	Determination of Impact Significance for Listed Species as a Result of the Deployable Technologies Alternative.....	9.2.6-69
Table 9.2.7-1:	Impact Significance Rating Criteria for Land Use, Airspace, and Recreation.....	9.2.7-2
Table 9.2.8-1:	Impact Significance Rating Criteria for Visual Resources.....	9.2.8-2
Table 9.2.9-1:	Impact Significance Rating Criteria for Socioeconomics.....	9.2.9-2
Table 9.2.10-1:	Impact Significance Rating Criteria for Environmental Justice.....	9.2.10-2
Table 9.2.11-1:	Impact Significance Rating Criteria for Cultural Resources.....	9.2.11-2
Table 9.2.12-1:	Impact Significance Rating Criteria for Air Quality.....	9.2.12-2
Table 9.2.12-2:	Combustion Emission Estimates (Monthly) from New Buried Wired Project Deployment.....	9.2.12-7
Table 9.2.12-3:	Combustion Emission Estimates (Monthly) from New Aerial Wired Project Deployment.....	9.2.12-8
Table 9.2.12-4:	Combustion Emission Estimates (Monthly) from Tower, Structure, and Transmission Equipment Delivery and Installation.....	9.2.12-8
Table 9.2.12-5:	Dust Emission Estimates (Monthly) from Excavation/Filling and Grading/ Earth Moving Activities.....	9.2.12-9

Table 9.2.12-6:	Combustion Emission Estimates from Heavy-Duty Vehicles.....	9.2.12-11
Table 9.2.12-7:	Combustion Emission Estimates from Light-Duty Trucks.....	9.2.12-12
Table 9.2.12-8:	Combustion Emission Estimates from Diesel Backup Power Generators at Wireless Communication Towers.....	9.2.12-15
Table 9.2.12-9:	Combustion Emission Estimates from Diesel Power Generators on On-Road Vehicles Stationed On-Site.....	9.2.12-16
Table 9.2.13-1:	Impact Significance Rating Criteria for Noise .....	9.2.13-2
Table 9.2.13-2:	Limiting Distances for Maximum Noise Levels Associated with New Buried Wired Activities such as Plowing, Wire Installation, and Construction of Points of Presence and Fiber Huts .....	9.2.13-6
Table 9.2.13-3:	Limiting Distances for Maximum Noise Levels Associated with New Aerial Wired Activities such as Excavation, Grading, and Pole Delivery and Installation .....	9.2.13-7
Table 9.2.13-4:	Limiting Distances for Maximum Noise Levels Associated with Tower, Structure, and Transmission Equipment Delivery and Installation .....	9.2.13-7
Table 9.2.13-5:	Limiting Distances for Maximum Noise Levels Associated with Collocation on Existing Wireless Tower, Structure, or Building.....	9.2.13-10
Table 9.2.13-6:	Limiting Distances for Maximum Noise Levels Associated with Deployable Technologies Implementation – Short-term .....	9.2.13-11
Table 9.2.13-7:	Limiting Distances for Maximum Noise Levels Associated with Power Generators and Ventilation Fans at Fiber Huts or Central Offices.....	9.2.13-16
Table 9.2.13-8:	Limiting Distances for Maximum Noise Levels Associated with Deployable Technologies Implementation – Long-term .....	9.2.13-17
Table 9.2.14-1:	Impact Significance Rating Criteria for Climate Change.....	9.2.14-2
Table 9.2.14-2:	Projected Global Sea Level Rise Relative to 1992.....	9.2.14-5
Table 9.2.14-3:	GHG Emission Estimates from Buried Wired Project Deployment.....	9.2.14-8
Table 9.2.14-4:	GHG Emission Estimates from New Aerial Wired Project Deployment.....	9.2.14-9
Table 9.2.14-5:	GHG Emissions Estimates from Tower, Structure, and Transmission Equipment Delivery and Installation .....	9.2.14-11
Table 9.2.14-6:	GHG Emissions Estimates from Heavy and Light Duty Vehicles .....	9.2.14-12
Table 9.2.14-7:	GHG Emissions from Back-up Diesel Power Generators for Wireless Projects .....	9.2.14-14
Table 9.2.14-8:	GHG Emissions from Power Generators for Deployable Technologies .....	9.2.14-15
Table 9.2.15-1:	Impact Significance Rating Criteria for Human Health and Safety .....	9.2.15-2
Table 10-1:	Additional Broadband Infrastructure Projects .....	10-2
Table 12.2-1:	Comparison of Alternatives by Resource Area and Type of Effect .....	12-4
Table 13.2-1:	Summary of Irreversible and Irretrievable Commitment of Resources by Resource Area.....	13-2

## LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1.3-1:	FirstNet Programmatic Environmental Impact Statement Regions of Analysis .....	1-5
Figure 2.4.1-1:	The Electromagnetic Spectrum.....	2-9
Figure 2.4.2-1:	Lattice Cell Tower with Multiple Panel Antennas .....	2-14
Figure 2.4.2-2:	Depiction of Primary Radiation Lobe of a Panel Antenna Attached to a 200-foot (61 meter) Cell Tower .....	2-14
Figure 2.4.2-3:	60 W Antenna (780 MHz) - Power Intensity vs Distance with Respect to FCC Guidelines for Limiting Thermal Radiation .....	2-15
Figure 3-1:	Alaska Geography .....	3-4
Figure 3.1.1-1:	Ports, Railroads, and Roads in Alaska by Location.....	3.1.1-3
Figure 3.1.1-2:	Alaska State Trooper Detachment Boundaries and Post Locations.....	3.1.1-5
Figure 3.1.1-3:	Rural Alaska Water and Sewer System Types .....	3.1.1-9
Figure 3.1.2-1:	Major Land Resources Areas of Alaska .....	3.1.2-3
Figure 3.1.2-2:	Soil Suborder Map of Alaska .....	3.1.2-15
Figure 3.1.3-1:	Primary Mineral Production Areas in Alaska.....	3.1.3-3
Figure 3.1.3-2:	General Seismic Hazard Map of Alaska.....	3.1.3-6
Figure 3.1.3-3:	Active and Dormant Volcanoes in Alaska.....	3.1.3-7
Figure 3.1.4-1:	Spatial Distribution of Alaska Surface Waters.....	3.1.4-3
Figure 3.1.4-2:	Major Watersheds in Alaska.....	3.1.4-5
Figure 3.1.4-3:	Example Floodplain Map for Alaska Floodplains.....	3.1.4-8
Figure 3.1.5-1:	Alaska Wetland Types.....	3.1.5-4
Figure 3.1.5-2:	Palustrine Vegetated Wetland in Alaska .....	3.1.5-7
Figure 3.1.5-3:	Estuarine Vegetated Wetland in Alaska .....	3.1.5-7
Figure 3.1.5-4:	Marine Intertidal Wetland in Alaska .....	3.1.5-8
Figure 3.1.6.3-1:	Vegetation Types and Land Cover Classes in Alaska .....	3.1.6-3
Figure 3.1.6.3-2:	Canada Thistle .....	3.1.6-6
Figure 3.1.6.3-3:	European Bird Cherry.....	3.1.6-7
Figure 3.1.6.3-4:	Giant Hogweed.....	3.1.6-7
Figure 3.1.6.3-5:	Leafy Spurge.....	3.1.6-8
Figure 3.1.6.3-6:	Purple Loosestrife.....	3.1.6-8
Figure 3.1.6.3-7:	Smooth Cordgrass.....	3.1.6-9
Figure 3.1.6.3-8:	Spotted Knapweed.....	3.1.6-9
Figure 3.1.6.4-1:	ADFG Game Management Regions .....	3.1.6-14
Figure 3.1.6.4-2:	Distribution of Alaska Caribou Herds .....	3.1.6-19
Figure 3.1.6.4-3:	Dall Sheep, Bison, and Muskox Distributions in Alaska .....	3.1.6-20
Figure 3.1.6.4-4:	Distribution of Pacific Walrus in Alaska.....	3.1.6-24
Figure 3.1.6.4-5:	Distribution of Beluga Whales in Alaska .....	3.1.6-25
Figure 3.1.6.4-6:	Bird Conservation Regions in Alaska.....	3.1.6-26
Figure 3.1.6.4-7:	Protected Areas in Alaska.....	3.1.6-30
Figure 3.1.6.4-8:	Important Bird Areas in Alaska.....	3.1.6-31
Figure 3.1.6.5-1:	Spatial Distribution of Alaska Fisheries Regions.....	3.1.6-34

Figure 3.1.6.5-2:	Fisheries Regions of Alaska .....	3.1.6-35
Figure 3.1.6.6-1:	Designated Critical Habitats in Alaska.....	3.1.6-59
Figure 3.1.7-1:	Land Use/Land Cover in Alaska.....	3.1.7-6
Figure 3.1.7-2:	Alaska Airspace.....	3.1.7-8
Figure 3.1.7-3:	Recreational Areas.....	3.1.7-10
Figure 3.1.8-1:	Areas in Alaska Managed for Visual Resources .....	3.1.8-2
Figure 3.1.9-1:	Nonsubsistence Use Areas in Alaska .....	3.1.9-3
Figure 3.1.9-2:	Population Distribution and Density .....	3.1.9-6
Figure 3.1.9-3:	Median Household Income.....	3.1.9-9
Figure 3.1.9-4:	Unemployment .....	3.1.9-10
Figure 3.1.9-5:	Property Values .....	3.1.9-15
Figure 3.1.9-6:	Alaska Subsistence Harvest.....	3.1.9-17
Figure 3.1.10-1:	Potential for Environmental Justice Populations.....	3.1.10-7
Figure 3.1.11-1:	Cultural Resources Listed on the NRHP .....	3.1.11-21
Figure 3.1.12-1:	Alaska Class I Areas.....	3.1.12-7
Figure 3.1.12-2:	Nonattainment and Maintenance Areas in Alaska.....	3.1.12-9
Figure 3.1.12-3:	Alaska Fuel Distribution and Rural/Urban Transportation Areas .....	3.1.12-11
Figure 3.1.14-1:	The Greenhouse Gas Effect.....	3.1.14-2
Figure 3.1.14-2:	Map of Climatic Regions in Alaska.....	3.1.14-6
Figure 3.2.14-1:	Projected Temperature and Precipitation Changes for Alaska .....	3.2.14-5
Figure 3.2.14-2:	Projected Annual Mean Ground Temperatures at 1-Meter Depth for High (A2) and Low (B1) Scenarios.....	3.2.14-7
Figure 4-1:	Hawaii Geography.....	4-4
Figure 4.1.1-1:	Hawaii Road Transportation Systems .....	4.1.1-3
Figure 4.1.1-2:	Honolulu Rail Transit Route Map .....	4.1.1-4
Figure 4.1.1-3:	Hawaii Port and Airport Transportation Systems.....	4.1.1-5
Figure 4.1.1-4:	Hawaii Hospitals.....	4.1.1-11
Figure 4.1.2-1:	Major Land Resource Areas of Hawaii .....	4.1.2-3
Figure 4.1.2-2:	Soil Suborder Map Hawaii .....	4.1.2-12
Figure 4.1.3-1:	Simplified Geologic Map of Hawaii.....	4.1.3-3
Figure 4.1.3-2:	Primary Mineral Production Areas in Hawaii .....	4.1.3-4
Figure 4.1.3-3:	General Seismic Hazard Map of Hawaii .....	4.1.3-6
Figure 4.1.3-4:	Active and Dormant Volcanoes in Hawaii .....	4.1.3-8
Figure 4.1.4-1:	Spatial Distribution of Hawaii Watersheds .....	4.1.4-3
Figure 4.1.4-2:	Perennial Streams in Hawaii by Island.....	4.1.4-4
Figure 4.1.4-3:	Spatial Distribution of Hawaii Surface Waters.....	4.1.4-5
Figure 4.1.4-4:	Number of Impaired Inland Waterbodies in Hawaii .....	4.1.4-7
Figure 4.1.4-5:	Example Floodplain Map for Hawaii .....	4.1.4-9
Figure 4.1.4-6:	Spatial Distribution of Hawaii Basal and High-Level Aquifers.....	4.1.4-12
Figure 4.1.5-1:	Hawaii Wetland Types .....	4.1.5-4
Figure 4.1.5-2:	Marine Intertidal Wetland on Hawaii .....	4.1.5-4
Figure 4.1.5-3:	Anchialine Pool on Hawaii.....	4.1.5-7
Figure 4.1.5-4:	Estuarine Wetland Along Stream, With Invasive Mangroves on Hawaii .....	4.1.5-7

Figure 4.1.5-5:	Spatial Distribution of Hawaii Wetland Types.....	4.1.5-8
Figure 4.1.6.3-1:	Vegetation Types and Land Cover Classes in Hawaii.....	4.1.6-3
Figure 4.1.6.3-2:	Miconia Invasive Plant.....	4.1.6-5
Figure 4.1.6.3-3:	Cattail.....	4.1.6-6
Figure 4.1.6.3-4:	Ivy Gourd.....	4.1.6-7
Figure 4.1.6.4-1:	Protected Areas of the Hawaiian Islands.....	4.1.6-16
Figure 4.1.6.5-1:	Protected Marine Areas on Hawaii.....	4.1.6-19
Figure 4.1.6.5-2:	Expanded View of Protected Marine Areas on Hawaii.....	4.1.6-20
Figure 4.1.7-1:	Land Use/Land Cover in Hawaii.....	4.1.7-6
Figure 4.1.7-2:	State Land Use Districts.....	4.1.7-8
Figure 4.1.7-3:	Hawaii Airspace.....	4.1.7-12
Figure 4.1.7-4:	Recreational Areas.....	4.1.7-14
Figure 4.1.8-1:	Areas in Hawaii Managed for Visual Resources.....	4.1.8-2
Figure 4.1.10-1:	Potential for Environmental Justice Populations.....	4.1.10-8
Figure 4.1.11-1:	Cultural Resources Listed on the NRHP.....	4.1.11-16
Figure 4.1.12-1:	Hawaii Class I Areas.....	4.1.12-6
Figure 4.1.14-1:	The Greenhouse Gas Effect.....	4.1.14-2
Figure 4.2.14-1:	Projected Temperature and Precipitation Changes for Hawaii.....	4.2.14-5
Figure 5-1:	American Samoa Geography.....	5-4
Figure 5.1.1-1:	American Samoa Transportation and Hospital Locations.....	5.1.1-3
Figure 5.1.2-1:	Soil Associations Map of American Samoa.....	5.1.2-5
Figure 5.1.3-1:	Topographic Characteristics of Tutuila Island, American Samoa.....	5.1.3-2
Figure 5.1.3-2:	General Seismic Hazard Map of American Samoa.....	5.1.3-4
Figure 5.1.3-3:	Volcanoes in American Samoa.....	5.1.3-5
Figure 5.1.4-1:	Spatial Distribution of American Samoa Watersheds.....	5.1.4-3
Figure 5.1.4-2:	Spatial Distribution of American Samoa Surface Waters.....	5.1.4-4
Figure 5.1.4-3:	Example Floodplain Map for American Samoa Floodplains.....	5.1.4-6
Figure 5.1.5-1:	American Samoa Wetland Types.....	5.1.5-6
Figure 5.1.5-2:	Marine Intertidal Wetland on American Samoa.....	5.1.5-6
Figure 5.1.6.3-1:	Vegetation Types and Land Cover Classes in American Samoa.....	5.1.6-3
Figure 5.1.6.3-2:	Rhus Secondary Forest Vegetation on American Samoa.....	5.1.6-5
Figure 5.1.6.3-3:	Rain Forest Vegetation on American Samoa.....	5.1.6-6
Figure 5.1.6.3-4:	Secondary Scrub Vegetation on American Samoa.....	5.1.6-6
Figure 5.1.6.4-1:	Protected Areas on American Samoa.....	5.1.6-17
Figure 5.1.6.5-1:	Coral Reefs and National Park Areas in American Samoa.....	5.1.6-23
Figure 5.1.6.5-2:	American Samoa Marine Protected Areas.....	5.1.6-24
Figure 5.1.7-1:	Land Use/Land Cover in American Samoa.....	5.1.7-4
Figure 5.1.7-2:	Recreational Areas.....	5.1.7-7
Figure 5.1.11-1:	Cultural Resources Listed on the NRHP.....	5.1.11-6
Figure 5.1.14-1:	The Greenhouse Gas Effect.....	5.1.14-2
Figure 6-1:	Guam Geography.....	6-4
Figure 6.1.1-1:	Guam Ports and Major Roads.....	6.1.1-3
Figure 6.1.1-2:	Guam Airports.....	6.1.1-4

Figure 6.1.2-1:	Major Land Resource Areas of Guam .....	6.1.2-3
Figure 6.1.2-2:	Soil Associations Map of Guam .....	6.1.2-7
Figure 6.1.3-1:	Geologic Rock Unit Ages in Guam .....	6.1.3-2
Figure 6.1.4-1:	Spatial Distribution of Guam Watersheds .....	6.1.4-4
Figure 6.1.4-2:	Spatial Distribution of Guam Surface Waters .....	6.1.4-5
Figure 6.1.4-3:	Example Floodplain Map for Guam Floodplains .....	6.1.4-7
Figure 6.1.4-4:	Spatial Distribution of Guam Aquifers.....	6.1.4-10
Figure 6.1.5-1:	Guam Wetland Types .....	6.1.5-7
Figure 6.1.5-2:	Marine Intertidal Wetland on Guam.....	6.1.5-7
Figure 6.1.5-3:	Spatial Distribution of Guam Wetland Types .....	6.1.5-8
Figure 6.1.6.3-1:	Vegetation Types and Land Cover Classes in Guam .....	6.1.6-3
Figure 6.1.6.4-1:	Location of Conservation Lands and Marine Preserves on Gaum .....	6.1.6-16
Figure 6.1.6.5-1:	Marine Protected Areas and Coral around Guam.....	6.1.6-19
Figure 6.1.7-1:	Land Use/Land Cover in Guam.....	6.1.7-5
Figure 6.1.7-2:	Recreational Areas.....	6.1.7-8
Figure 6.1.10-1:	Potential for Environmental Justice Populations.....	6.1.10-7
Figure 6.1.11-1:	Cultural Resources Listed on the GRHP and NRHP .....	6.1.11-12
Figure 6.1.14-1:	The Greenhouse Gas Effect.....	6.1.14-2
Figure 6.1.15-1:	Location of TRI Facilities in Guam.....	6.1.15-6
Figure 6.2.6.6-1:	Location of Designated Critical Habitat for Mariana Fruit Bat.....	6.2.6-57
Figure 7-1:	Northern Mariana Islands Geography .....	7-4
Figure 7.1.1-1:	Northern Mariana Islands Transportation and Hospital Locations.....	7.1.1-3
Figure 7.1.2-1:	Major Land Resource Areas of the Northern Mariana Islands .....	7.1.2-3
Figure 7.1.2-2:	Soil Associations Map of the Northern Mariana Islands .....	7.1.2-7
Figure 7.1.3-1:	General Seismic Hazard Map of the Northern Mariana Islands .....	7.1.3-4
Figure 7.1.3-2:	Volcanoes in the Northern Mariana Islands .....	7.1.3-5
Figure 7.1.4-1:	Spatial Distribution of the Northern Mariana Islands Surface Waters .....	7.1.4-4
Figure 7.1.4-2:	Spatial Distribution of the Northern Mariana Islands Watersheds .....	7.1.4-5
Figure 7.1.4-3:	Example Floodplain Map for the Northern Mariana Islands Floodplains .....	7.1.4-7
Figure 7.1.5-1:	Northern Mariana Islands Wetland Types.....	7.1.5-4
Figure 7.1.5-2:	Marine Intertidal Wetland (Submerged) on the Northern Mariana Islands.....	7.1.5-7
Figure 7.1.5-3:	Estuarine Mangrove Wetland on the Northern Mariana Islands .....	7.1.5-7
Figure 7.1.5-4:	Wetland Types of the Southern, Inhabited Northern Mariana Islands where NWI Mapping was Available .....	7.1.5-8
Figure 7.1.6.3-1:	Vegetation Types and Land Cover Classes in the Northern Mariana Islands .....	7.1.6-3
Figure 7.1.6.3-2:	Smothering Invasive Vines in the Northern Mariana Islands.....	7.1.6-7
Figure 7.1.6.4-1:	Protected Areas in the Northern Mariana Islands.....	7.1.6-15
Figure 7.1.6.5-1:	Northern Mariana Islands Coral and Marine Protected Areas.....	7.1.6-20
Figure 7.1.6.6-1:	Designated Critical Habitat in Northern Mariana Islands .....	7.1.6-39
Figure 7.1.7-1:	Land Use/Land Cover in the Northern Mariana Islands.....	7.1.7-5
Figure 7.1.7-2:	Recreational Areas.....	7.1.7-8
Figure 7.1.11-1:	Cultural Resources Listed on the NRHP .....	7.1.11-8
Figure 7.1.14-1:	The Greenhouse Gas Effect.....	7.1.14-2

Figure 8-1:	Puerto Rico Geography .....	8-4
Figure 8.1.1-1:	Major Roads, Ports, and Railroad Transportation in Puerto Rico .....	8.1.1-3
Figure 8.1.1-2:	Airports in Puerto Rico.....	8.1.1-5
Figure 8.1.2-1:	Major Land Resource Areas of Puerto Rico.....	8.1.2-3
Figure 8.1.2-2:	Soil Suborder Map of Puerto Rico.....	8.1.2-11
Figure 8.1.3-1:	Geologic Periods and General Rock Types of Puerto Rico.....	8.1.3-2
Figure 8.1.3-2:	Primary Mineral Production Areas in Puerto Rico.....	8.1.3-4
Figure 8.1.3-3:	General Seismic Hazard Map of Puerto Rico.....	8.1.3-6
Figure 8.1.4-1:	Spatial Distribution of Puerto Rico Watersheds.....	8.1.4-4
Figure 8.1.4-2:	Spatial Distribution of Puerto Rico Surface Waters.....	8.1.4-5
Figure 8.1.4-3:	Example Floodplain Map for Puerto Rico Floodplains.....	8.1.4-8
Figure 8.1.4-4:	Spatial Distribution of Principal Aquifers in Puerto Rico.....	8.1.4-10
Figure 8.1.5-1:	Puerto Rico Wetland Types.....	8.1.5-4
Figure 8.1.5-2:	Marine Intertidal Wetland on Puerto Rico.....	8.1.5-7
Figure 8.1.5-3:	Estuarine Intertidal Wetland on Puerto Rico.....	8.1.5-7
Figure 8.1.5-4:	Palustrine Wetland on Puerto Rico.....	8.1.5-8
Figure 8.1.5-5:	Spatial Distribution of Puerto Rico Wetland Types.....	8.1.5-9
Figure 8.1.6.3-1:	Vegetation Types and Land Cover Classes in Puerto Rico.....	8.1.6-3
Figure 8.1.6.4-1:	General Habitat Types in Puerto Rico.....	8.1.6-9
Figure 8.1.6.4-2:	Protected Areas in Puerto Rico.....	8.1.6-17
Figure 8.1.6.5-1:	Puerto Rico Marine Protected Areas and Habitats of Particular Concern.....	8.1.6-23
Figure 8.1.6.6-1:	Critical Habitat Designations in Puerto Rico.....	8.1.6-49
Figure 8.1.7-1:	Land Use/Land Cover in Puerto Rico.....	8.1.7-6
Figure 8.1.7-2:	Puerto Rico Airspace.....	8.1.7-9
Figure 8.1.7-3:	Recreational Areas.....	8.1.7-11
Figure 8.1.8-1:	Areas in Puerto Rico Managed for Visual Resources.....	8.1.8-2
Figure 8.1.9-1:	Population Distribution and Density.....	8.1.9-3
Figure 8.1.9-2:	Median Household Income.....	8.1.9-5
Figure 8.1.9-3:	Unemployment.....	8.1.9-6
Figure 8.1.9-4:	Property Values.....	8.1.9-10
Figure 8.1.10-1:	Potential for Environmental Justice Populations.....	8.1.10-7
Figure 8.1.11-1:	Cultural Resources Listed on the NRHP.....	8.1.11-15
Figure 8.1.12-1:	Nonattainment and Maintenance Areas in Puerto Rico.....	8.1.12-7
Figure 8.1.14-1:	The Greenhouse Gas Effect.....	8.1.14-2
Figure 8.1.15-1:	Location of TRI Facilities in Puerto Rico.....	8.1.15-5
Figure 9-1:	U.S. Virgin Islands Geography.....	9-4
Figure 9.1.1-1:	Major Roads and Ports in the U.S. Virgin Islands.....	9.1.1-3
Figure 9.1.1-2:	Airports in the U.S. Virgin Islands.....	9.1.1-5
Figure 9.1.2-1:	Major Land Resources Areas of the U.S. Virgin Islands.....	9.1.2-3
Figure 9.1.2-2:	Soil Associations Map of the U.S. Virgin Islands.....	9.1.2-7
Figure 9.1.3-1:	Geologic Periods and General Rock Types of the U.S. Virgin Islands.....	9.1.3-2
Figure 9.1.3-2:	General Seismic Hazard Map of the U.S. Virgin Islands.....	9.1.3-5
Figure 9.1.4-1:	Spatial Distribution of the U.S. Virgin Islands Watersheds.....	9.1.4-3

Figure 9.1.4-2:	U.S. Virgin Islands Surface Waters .....	9.1.4-4
Figure 9.1.4-3:	Example Floodplain Map for U.S. Virgin Islands Floodplains .....	9.1.4-6
Figure 9.1.4-4:	Spatial Distribution of Principal Aquifers in the U.S. Virgin Islands .....	9.1.4-9
Figure 9.1.5-1:	U.S. Virgin Islands Wetland Types .....	9.1.5-7
Figure 9.1.5-2:	Marine Wetland (Coast) and Estuarine Wetland (Lagoon) U.S. Virgin Islands...	9.1.5-7
Figure 9.1.5-3:	Estuarine Wetland (Red Mangroves) in the U.S. Virgin Islands .....	9.1.5-8
Figure 9.1.5-4:	Overview of Spatial Distribution of U.S. Virgin Islands Wetland Types .....	9.1.5-9
Figure 9.1.5-5:	Spatial Distribution of Wetland Types on St. Thomas and St. John.....	9.1.5-10
Figure 9.1.5-6:	Spatial Distribution of Wetland Types on St. Croix .....	9.1.5-11
Figure 9.1.6.3-1:	Vegetation Types and Land Cover Classes in the U.S. Virgin Islands.....	9.1.6-3
Figure 9.1.6.4-1:	General Habitat Types on the U.S. Virgin Islands .....	9.1.6-10
Figure 9.1.6.4-2:	Protected Areas on the U.S. Virgin Islands .....	9.1.6-18
Figure 9.1.6.5-1:	U.S. Virgin Islands Coastal Protected Areas .....	9.1.6-24
Figure 9.1.6.6-1:	Designated Critical Habitat in the U.S. Virgin Islands.....	9.1.6-52
Figure 9.1.7-1:	Land Use/Land Cover in U.S. Virgin Islands .....	9.1.7-5
Figure 9.1.7-2:	Recreational Areas.....	9.1.7-9
Figure 9.1.10-1:	Potential for Environmental Justice Populations .....	9.1.10-7
Figure 9.1.11-1:	Cultural Resources Listed on the NRHP .....	9.1.11-8
Figure 9.1.12-1:	U.S. Virgin Islands Class I Area.....	9.1.12-6
Figure 9.1.14-1:	The Greenhouse Gas Effect.....	9.1.14-2

## ACRONYMS AND ABBREVIATIONS

°F	degree Fahrenheit	ATWC	Alaska Tsunami Warning Center
°N	degrees north	AURORA	Alaska Uniform Response Online Reporting Access
µg/m <sup>3</sup>	microgram(s) per cubic meter	BACT	best available control technology
µPa	micro Pascal	BCE	before Common Era
%	percent	BCR	Bird Conservation Regions
A	attained	BGEPA	Bald and Golden Eagle Protection Act
AAC	Alaska Administrative Code	BLM	Bureau of Land Management
AAFIS	Alaska Public Safety Identification System	BLS	U.S. Bureau of Labor Statistics
AAQS	Ambient Air Quality Standards	BMP	best management practice
ACHP	Advisory Council on Historic Preservation	BRFSS	Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System
ACS	American Community Survey (U.S. Census Bureau)	BSAI	Bering Sea/Aleutian Island
ADEC	Alaska Department of Environmental Conservation	BWG	BioInitiative Working Group
ADFG	Alaska Department of Fish and Game	CAA	Clean Air Act
AGL	above ground level	CAB	Clean Air Branch
AIRFA	American Indian Religious Freedom Act	CARB	California Air Resources Board
AJRCCM	American Journal of Respiratory and Critical Care Medicine	CBIA	Coastal Barrier Improvement Act of 1990
AKNHP	Alaska National Heritage Program	CBRA	Coastal Barrier Resources Act of 1982
AKOSH	Alaska Occupational Safety and Health	CCP	Comprehensive Conservation Plan
AKWAS	Alaska Warning System	CDC	Center for Disease Control
ALMR	Alaska Land Mobile Radio	CDLNR	Commonwealth Department of Lands and Natural Resources
ANFIRS	Alaska Fire Incident Reporting System	CE	Common Era
ANSCA	Alaska Native Claims Settlement Act	CELCP	Coastal and Estuarine Land Conservation Program
ANSI	American National Standards Institute	CEPD	Caribbean Environmental Protection Division
APE	Area of Potential Effect	CEQ	Council on Environmental Quality
APLIC	Avian Power Line Interaction Committee	CERCLA	Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act
APSIN	Alaska Public Safety Information Network	CFMC	Caribbean Fisheries Management Council
AQCR	air quality control region	CFR	Code of Federal Regulations
ARFF	Aircraft Rescue and Firefighting	cfs	cubic feet per second
ARMS	Alaska Records Management System	CH <sub>4</sub>	methane
ARPA	Archaeological Resources Protection Act of 1979	CHC	Commonwealth Health Center
AS	Alaska Statute	CIA	Central Intelligence Agency
A.S.A.C.	American Samoa Administrative Code	CMIP3	Coupled Model Intercomparison Project phase 3
ASCA	American Samoa Code Annotated	CNMI	Commonwealth of Northern Mariana Islands
ASCMP	American Samoa Coastal Management Program	CNMIAC	Commonwealth of Northern Mariana Islands Administrative Code
ASDMWR	American Samoa Department of Marine and Wildlife Resources	CO	carbon monoxide
ASEPA	American Samoa Environmental Protection Agency	CO <sub>2</sub>	carbon dioxide
ASHPO	American Samoa Historic Preservation Office	CO <sub>2e</sub>	carbon dioxide equivalents
ASPA	American Samoa Power Authority	COMAR	Committee on Man and Radiation
ATO	Air Traffic Organization	CPA	Commonwealth Ports Authority

CRMP	Coastal Resources Management Program	FMP	Fishery Management Plan
CSP	Central South Pacific	FPPA	Farmland Protection Policy Act of 1981
CUC	Commonwealth Utilities Corporation	FR	Federal Register
CWA	Clean Water Act	ft	feet
CZMA	Coastal Zone Management Act	g/hp-hr	grams per horsepower-hour
CZMP	Coastal Zone Management Program	g/mi	grams per mile
DACA	Deployable Airborne Communications Architecture	GAP	Gap Analysis Program
DAR	Division of Aquatic Resources (Hawaii)	GCA	Guam Code Annotated
DAWR	Division of Aquatic and Wildlife Resources (Guam)	GDA	Guam Department of Agriculture
dB	decibel(s)	GEPA	Guam Environmental Protection Agency
dba	A-weighted decibel(s)	GHG	greenhouse gas
DBCP	1,2-dibromo-3-chloropropane	GIS	geographic information system
dBZ	Z-weighted decibel(s)	GMP	General Management Plan
DCP	1,2-dichloropropane	GOA	Gulf of Alaska
DEC	Department of Environmental Conservation	GRHP	Guam Register of Historic Places
DHHL	Department of Hawaiian Homelands	GWP	global warming potential
DLNR	Department of Land and Natural Resources (Hawaii)	H <sub>2</sub> S	hydrogen sulfide
DMA	Disaster Mitigation Act of 2000	HDOH	Hawaii Department of Health
DNER	Department of Natural and Environmental Resources of Puerto Rico	HEI	Health Effects Institute
DOA	Department of Agriculture	HHCA	Hawaiian Homes Commission Act of 1920
DOD	Department of Defense	HIANG	Hawaii Air National Guard
DOE	U.S. Department of Energy	HIARNG	Hawaii Army National Guard
DOH	Department of Health	HIHWNMS	Hawaiian Islands Humpback Whale National Marine Sanctuary
DOH-CAB	Hawaii Department of Health, Clean Air Branch	HIOSH	Hawaii Occupational Safety and Health Division
DOT	U.S. Department of Transportation	hp	horsepower
DPNR	Department of Planning and Natural Resources (U.S. Virgin Islands)	HRD	(Guam) Historic Resources Division
DPS	Department of Public Safety	HRHP	Hawaii Register of Historic Places
EA	Environmental Assessment	HRS	Hawaii Administrative Rules, Revised Statute
EAS	Emergency Alert System	HTA	Hawai'i Tourism Authority
EBS	Emergency Broadcast System	HUC	hydrologic unit code
EDB	ethylene dibromide	I/M	Inspection/Maintenance
EFH	essential fish habitat	IARC	International Agency for Research on Cancer
EMS	emergency medical services	IBA	Important Bird Area
ENSO	El Niño/Southern Oscillation	IEEE	Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers
EO	Executive Order	IFC	International Finance Corporation
EPCRA	Emergency Planning and Community Right-to-Know Act	in	inches
ERP	effective radiated power	IPCC	Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change
ESA	Endangered Species Act	IR	ionizing radiation
ESI	Environmental Sensitivity Index	ITCZ	Intertropical Convergence Zone
FAA	Federal Aviation Administration	IUCN	International Union for Conservation of Nature
FAD	Fish Aggregating Device	kg/gal	kilograms per gallon
FCC	Federal Communications Commission	KIRC	Kaho'olawe Island Reserve Commission
FEMA	Federal Emergency Management Agency	LAER	lowest achievable emission rate
FirstNet	First Responder Network Authority	lb/day	pounds per day
		lb/hp-hr	pounds per horsepower-hour

LBJ	Lyndon B. Johnson	NP	National Park
Ldn	day-night average sound level	NPDES	National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System
Leq	equivalent noise levels	NPL	National Priorities List
LNG	liquefied natural gas	NPS	National Park Service
LTE	Long Term Evolution	NPSBN	nationwide public safety broadband network
$\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$	microgram(s) per cubic meter	NRCS	Natural Resources Conservation Service
$\mu\text{Pa}$	micro Pascal	NRHP	National Register of Historic Places
m/s	meter per second	NSPS	New Source Performance Standards
MBTA	Migratory Bird Treaty Act	NTIA	National Telecommunications and Information Administration
$\text{mg}/\text{m}^3$	Milligram(s) per cubic meter	NVSR	National Vital Statistics Report
mgd	million gallons per day	NWI	National Wetland Inventory
MHz	megahertz	NWR	National Wildlife Refuge
MLRA	Major Land Resource Area	NWWS	National Weather Wire Satellite System
mm/s	millimeters per second	OHA	Office of History and Archaeology
MMPA	Marine Mammal Protection Act	OIA	Office of Insular Affairs (USDI)
MOA	Memorandum of Agreement	OSHA	Occupational Safety and Health Administration
MPA	Marine Protected Area	PA	Programmatic Agreement
mph	miles per hour	PAG	Port Authority of Guam
MSA	Magnuson-Stevens Fishery Conservation and Management Act	PAHO	Pan American Health Organization
MTR	Military Training Route	PCB	polychlorinated biphenyl
MUID	Map Unit Identification Data	PCP	pentachlorophenol
MW	megawatt	PDO	Pacific Decadal Oscillation
$\text{mW}/\text{cm}^2$	milliwatts per centimeter squared	PEIS	Programmatic Environmental Impact Statement
N	north; not attained	PL	Public Law
$\text{N}_2\text{O}$	nitrous oxide	PM	particulate matter
NA	not applicable; not assessed	$\text{PM}_{10}$	particulate matter up to 10 micrometers in diameter
NAAQS	National Ambient Air Quality Standards	$\text{PM}_{2.5}$	particulate matter up to 2.5 micrometers in diameter
NAGPRA	Native American Graves Protection and Repatriation Act	POPs	points of presence
NANSR	Nonattainment New Source Review	ppm	parts per million
NAWAS	National Warning System	PRDNER	Puerto Rico Department of Natural and Environmental Resources
NCA	National Climate Assessment	PREQB	Puerto Rico Environmental Quality Board
NCD	non-communicable disease	PR OSHA	The Puerto Rico Occupational Safety and Health Administration
NCDC	National Climatic Data Center	PRASA	Puerto Rico Aqueduct and Sewer Authority
NCN	no common name	PREPA	Puerto Rico Electric Power Authority
NCRP	National Council on Radiation Protection and Measurements	PRSHPO	Puerto Rico State Historic Preservation Office
ND	no data	PSD	Prevention of Significant Deterioration
NE	northeast	PUAG	Public Utility Agency of Guam
NEPA	National Environmental Policy Act	PV	photovoltaic
NESHAP	National Emission Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants	RAN	radio access network
NFIP	National Flood Insurance Program	RCP	Representative Concentration Pathway
NFIRS	National Fire Incident Reporting System	RCRA	Resource Conservation and Recovery Act
NHPA	National Historic Preservation Act		
NIR	non-ionizing radiation		
NMFS	National Marine Fisheries Service		
NMHC	non-methane hydrocarbon compounds		
NMOG	non-methane organic compounds		
NNE	north-northeast		
NOAA	National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration		
NOx	nitrogen oxides		

RF	radio frequency	vog	volcanic smog
RIN	Regulation Identification Number	VRM	Visual Resource Management
rms	root mean square	W	watt(s)
ROW	right-of-way	W/m <sup>2</sup>	watts per meters squared
SAAQS	State Air Quality Standards	WAPA	Water and Power Authority
SAFETEA-LU	Safe, Accountable, Flexible, Efficient Transportation Equity Act: A Legacy for Users	WHO	World Health Organization
SARA	Superfund Amendments and Reauthorization Act of 1986	WIMARCS	West Indies Marine Animal Research and Conservation Science
SCD	State Civil Defense	WNP	Western North Pacific
SE	Standard of Error	WNW	west-northwest
SHPO	State Historic Preservation Office	WPC	watts per channel
SIP	State Implementation Plan	WPRFMC	Western Pacific Regional Fishery Management Council
SLR	sea level rise		
SMA	Special Management Area		
SMS	Scenery Management System		
SO <sub>2</sub>	sulfur dioxide		
SO <sub>x</sub>	sulfur oxides		
SPCZ	South Pacific Convergence Zone		
SPOC	Single Point of Contact		
SRES	Special Report on Emission Scenarios		
SSA	sole source aquifer		
STATSGO2	State Soil Geographic [Database]		
SW	southwest		
TAAQS	Territory Ambient Air Quality Standards		
TCP	traditional cultural property		
TEMCO	Territorial Emergency Management Coordinating Office		
TMDL	Total Maximum Daily Load		
TOC	total organic compound		
tpy	tons per year		
TRI	Toxic Release Inventory		
TSCA	Toxic Substances Control Act		
U.S.	United States		
UAMES	University of Alaska Museum Earth Sciences		
USACE	U.S. Army Corps of Engineers		
USC	United States Code		
USDA	U.S. Department of Agriculture		
USDI	U.S. Department of the Interior		
USEPA	U.S. Environmental Protection Agency		
USFWS	U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service		
USGCRP	U.S. Global Climate Change Research Program		
USGS	U.S. Geological Survey		
USVIDOH	U.S. Virgin Islands Department of Health		
USVIPD	U.S. Virgin Islands Police Department		
UVA	University of Virginia		
VIC	Virgin Islands Code		
VIPA	Virgin Islands Port Authority		
VISHPO	Virgin Islands State Historic Preservation Office		
VOC	volatile organic compound		

## **APPENDIX A**

### **Cooperating Agencies**

Page Intentionally Left Blank

## COOPERATING AGENCIES

The following is a list of agencies invited to become cooperating agencies:

- Advisory Council on Historic Preservation
- Environmental Protection Agency
- Executive Office of the President—Council on Environmental Quality
- Federal Communications Commission
- General Services Administration
- U.S. Army Corps of Engineers
- U.S. Department of Agriculture
- U.S. Department of Agriculture—Rural Utilities Service
- U.S. Department of Agriculture—U.S. Forest Service
- U.S. Department of Agriculture—Natural Resources Conservation Service
- U.S. Department of Agriculture—Farm Service Agency
- U.S. Department of Commerce—National Marine Fisheries Service
- U.S. Department of Commerce—National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration
- U.S. Department of Commerce—National Weather Service
- U.S. Department of Commerce—National Telecommunications and Information Administration
- U.S. Department of Defense—Operational Environmental Planning and Readiness
- U.S. Department of Defense—Department of the Air Force
- U.S. Department of Defense—National Guard Bureau
- U.S. Department of Energy
- U.S. Department of Health and Human Services—Centers for Disease Control and Prevention
- U.S. Department of Health and Human Services
- U.S. Department of Homeland Security
- U.S. Department of Homeland Security—Federal Emergency Management Agency
- U.S. Department of Homeland Security—U.S. Coast Guard
- U.S. Department of Homeland Security—U.S. Customs and Border Protection
- U.S. Department of Justice—Natural Resources Section
- U.S. Department of Justice—Federal Bureau of Investigation

- U.S. Department of the Interior—Bureau of Indian Affairs
- U.S. Department of the Interior—Bureau of Land Management
- U.S. Department of the Interior—Bureau of Reclamation
- U.S. Department of the Interior—National Park Service
- U.S. Department of the Interior—Office of Environmental Affairs
- U.S. Department of the Interior—U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
- U.S. Department of the Interior—U.S. Geological Survey
- U.S. Department of Transportation—Federal Aviation Administration
- U.S. Department of Transportation—Federal Highway Administration
- U.S. Department of Transportation—Federal Railroad Administration

## **APPENDIX B**

**First Responder Network Authority Nationwide Public Safety Broadband Network  
Programmatic Environmental Impact Statement Scoping Summary Report**

Page Intentionally Left Blank

## First Responder Network Authority Nationwide Public Safety Broadband Network Programmatic Environmental Impact Statement

### Scoping Summary Report

#### *Overview*

The First Responder Network Authority (FirstNet), an independent authority within the Department of Commerce (DOC), is preparing five regional Programmatic Environmental Impact Statements (PEIS) to evaluate the potential impacts of establishing of a nationwide, interoperable, public safety broadband network (NPSBN) based on a single national network architecture. Title VI of the Middle Class Tax Relief and Job Creation Act of 2012 (Public Law No. 112-96, 126 Stat. 156 (2012)) (codified at 47 U.S.C. §1401 et seq.) charges FirstNet with taking all actions necessary to ensure the building, deployment, and operation of NPSBN, by, at a minimum:

- Ensuring nationwide standards for use and access to the network;
- Issuing open, transparent, and competitive requests for proposals to the private sector;
- Encouraging use of existing commercial wireless infrastructure to speed deployment; and
- Managing and overseeing the implementation and execution of contracts or agreements with non-Federal entities to build, operate, and maintain the network.

FirstNet has determined that a PEIS is the appropriate level of environmental review under the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 (NEPA). FirstNet will use the NEPA planning process to encourage agency and public involvement in the review of the proposed projects. Public involvement allows for full and fair discussion of the project scope and potential environmental impacts. By providing a means for open communication between FirstNet and the public, the procedural aspects of NEPA promote better decision-making.

The Council of Environmental Quality (CEQ) regulations (40 Code of Federal Regulation [CFR] Parts 1500-1508) provide guidance on opportunities for public participation. This report provides an overview of the FirstNet PEIS scoping activities, including the public scoping meetings and comments received during the comment period.

#### *Public Notification*

On November 12, 2014, FirstNet published a Notice of Intent (NOI) in the *Federal Register* to initiate a 45-day scoping comment period (79 *Federal Register* [FR] 67156). The NOI, provided in **Appendix A**, identified that FirstNet would be developing regional PEISs and solicited input from the public on potential concerns associated with the Proposed Action and purpose and need, and provided background information on the project. The NOI also included an announcement of PEIS scoping meetings. Issuance of the NOI commenced a 45-day public scoping period that ended on December 29, 2014.

FirstNet placed advertisements in local newspapers to invite the public to the scoping meetings identifying the dates and locations. Publication of the notices occurred in the following papers:

- Washington Post and Washington Post Express (November 23, 2014)
- Honolulu Star-Advertiser (November 30, 2014)
- San Francisco Chronicle (November 30, 2014)
- Arizona Republic and Arizona Daily Star (November 30, 2014)
- Kansas City Star (December 7, 2014)
- The Times-Picayune (December 7, 2014)
- New York Times (December 14, 2014)

Copies of the newspaper notices are included in **Appendix B**.

### *Scoping Meetings*

FirstNet held seven in-person scoping meetings throughout the nation. These meetings provided the general public and interested stakeholders opportunities to learn about the proposed action, talk directly with FirstNet environmental staff, and provide input regarding the scope of the analysis and alternatives. Organized as informal gatherings, the scoping meetings provided the public with an opportunity to learn about FirstNet, alternative ways to implement the NPSBN that will be analyzed in the PEISs, and the overall NEPA process, as well as provide comments and input to the FirstNet team. FirstNet held scoping meetings at the following locations:

- *Washington, D.C.* - Tuesday, November 25, 2014; 4-8 p.m.  
Department of Commerce lobby, 1401 Constitution Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20230
- *Honolulu, HI* - Tuesday, December 2, 2014; 4-8 p.m.  
Neal Blaisdell Center, 777 Ward Avenue, Honolulu, HI 96814
- *San Francisco, CA* - Thursday, December 4, 2014; 4-8 p.m.  
Holiday Inn Civic Center, 50 Eighth Street, San Francisco, CA 94103
- *Tucson, AZ* - Thursday, December 4, 2014; 4-8 p.m.  
Embassy Suites – Williams Center, 5335 E. Broadway Boulevard, Tucson, AZ 85711
- *Kansas City, MO* - Tuesday, December 9, 2014; 4-8 p.m.  
Kansas City University of Medicine and Biosciences, Classroom Annex Building,  
Classroom A, 1750 East Independence Avenue, Kansas City, MO 64106
- *New Orleans, LA* - Thursday, December 11, 2014; 5-9 p.m.  
Loyola University, Thomas Hall, 6363 St. Charles Avenue, New Orleans, LA 70118
- *New York, NY* - Monday, December 15, 2014; 4-8 p.m.  
New York University, Kimmel Center Grand Hall, 60 Washington Square South, New  
York, NY 10012

Each scoping meeting included a poster session that allowed individuals to review posters describing the proposed action, purpose and need, alternatives considered, geographic scope, and the NEPA process. The posters and handouts provided at the meetings are included in **Appendix C**. At each meeting, attendees could fill out a comment card and sign up for the distribution list.

Attendance lists from the meetings are included in **Appendix D**. A total of 19 people attended the seven scoping meetings. FirstNet received written comments from 48 individuals and organizations (one commenter submitted two comments). Table 1 provides the breakdown of comments received for each meeting and during the scoping comment period. Comments received both via U.S. Postal Service mail and electronically (email) were counted once as U.S. Postal Service.

**Table 1. Summary of Scoping Period Comments Received**

<b>Comment Format</b>	<b>Number</b>
<b>Scoping Meetings</b>	
<b>November 25, 2014 (Washington, DC)</b>	
Attendees	6
Written Comments	0
<b>December 2, 2014 (Honolulu, HI)</b>	
Attendees	0
Written Comments	0
<b>December 4, 2014 (San Francisco, CA)</b>	
Attendees	0
Written Comments	0
<b>December 4, 2014 (Tucson, AZ)</b>	
Attendees	2
Written Comments	0
<b>December 9, 2014 (Kansas City, MO)</b>	
Attendees	3
Written Comments	0
<b>December 11, 2014 (New Orleans, LA)</b>	
Attendees	4
Written Comments	1
<b>December 15, 2014 (New York, NY)</b>	
Attendees	4
Written Comments	0
<b>Email</b>	41
<b>U.S. Postal Service Mail</b>	7
<b>Total Attendees</b>	19
<b>Total Comments</b>	49

*Summary of Comments*

The public and local agencies raised several concerns during the scoping comment period. FirstNet reviewed the comments received and grouped them by resource area or PEIS topic. Table 2 summarizes the general concerns raised during scoping.

**Table 2. Summary of Comments Received during Scoping**

Issues/Concerns
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Agencies to provide FirstNet with State-specific environmental compliance information and points of contact</li> </ul>
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Agencies to provide FirstNet with contacts within their local organizations and trade organizations</li> </ul>
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Concern that placement of towers would impact historic/recreational/ecological study use of a specific area (i.e., new tower in Tucson, AZ at/on Tumamoc Hill or in/near the historic district)</li> </ul>

Table 3 provides a summary of the comments received from federal agencies, state agencies, and local government organizations; comments are paraphrased and condensed from the actual comments. The environmental analysis included in the PEIS will rely on the full text of the comments as submitted. Copies of the comments received are included in **Appendix E**. **Appendix F** provides FirstNet responses to the comments received.

**Table 3. Summary of Comments Received from Federal, State, and Local Government**

Agency / Interest Group	Comment Summary
<b>Federal Government</b>	
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 9 (Ann McPherson)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Notification of areas of particular concern, including impacts to water, air, biological resources, invasive species, and habitat protection.</li> <li>• Included information regarding suggested content for particular topics and resource areas.</li> </ul>
<b>State Government</b>	
Virginia Department of Environmental Quality (Ellie Irons)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Request for Federal Consistency Determination under the Coastal Zone Management Act</li> </ul>
Virginia Department of Environmental Quality (Mark Alling)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Water: ensure that construction best management practices will be used to avoid erosion and sedimentation; provide point of contact for wetland permits and for construction and stormwater permits</li> <li>• Waste: ensure that hazardous and solid waste be disposed of according to VA regulations; provide point of contact for hazardous and solid waste concerns</li> </ul>
<b>Local Government Organizations</b>	
Orleans Parish Communications District (Catherine Cargo)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Provide outreach to Neighborhood Empowerment Network Association (NENA), Association of Public-Safety Communications Officials (APCO), and their local chapters</li> </ul>
Pima County, Arizona, District 5 Supervisor (Richard Elias)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Concern that FirstNet activities may affect cultural resources in Tucson, AZ (i.e., Tumamoc Hill)</li> </ul>

**Appendix A: Notice of Intent**

Page Intentionally Left Blank

# Notices

Federal Register

Vol. 79, No. 218

Wednesday, November 12, 2014

This section of the FEDERAL REGISTER contains documents other than rules or proposed rules that are applicable to the public. Notices of hearings and investigations, committee meetings, agency decisions and rulings, delegations of authority, filing of petitions and applications and agency statements of organization and functions are examples of documents appearing in this section.

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### Census Bureau

#### Proposed Information Collection; Comment Request; Survey of Housing Starts, Sales, and Completions

**AGENCY:** U.S. Census Bureau, Commerce.

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** The Department of Commerce, as part of its continuing effort to reduce paperwork and respondent burden, invites the general public and other Federal agencies to take this opportunity to comment on proposed and/or continuing information collections, as required by the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995.

**DATES:** To ensure consideration, written comments must be submitted on or before January 12, 2015.

**ADDRESSES:** Direct all written comments to Jennifer Jessup, Departmental Paperwork Clearance Officer, Department of Commerce, Room 6616, 14th and Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20230 (or via the Internet at [jjessup@doc.gov](mailto:jjessup@doc.gov)).

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Requests for additional information or copies of the information collection instrument(s) and instructions should be directed to Erica Filipek, U.S. Census Bureau, MCD, CENHQ Room 7K057, 4600 Silver Hill Road, Washington, DC 20233, telephone (301) 763-5161 (or via the Internet at [Erica.Mary.Filipek@census.gov](mailto:Erica.Mary.Filipek@census.gov)).

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

##### I. Abstract

The U.S. Census Bureau plans to request a three-year extension of the current Office of Management and Budget (OMB) clearance of the Survey of Housing Starts, Sales and Completions, also known as the Survey of Construction (SOC). The SOC collects

monthly data on new residential construction from a sample of owners or builders. The Census Bureau uses the Computer-Assisted Personal Interviewing (CAPI) electronic questionnaires SOC-QI/SF.1 and SOC-QI/MF.1 to collect data on start and completion dates of construction, physical characteristics of the structure (floor area, number of bathrooms, type of heating system, etc.), and if applicable, date of sale, sales price, and type of financing. The SOC provides widely used measures of construction activity, including the economic indicators Housing Starts and Housing Completions, which are from the New Residential Construction series, and New Residential Sales.

We sample about 1,700 new buildings each month (20,400 per year). We inquire about the progress of each building multiple times until it is completed (and a sales contract is signed, if it is a single-family house that is built for sale). For single-family buildings, we conduct an average of 8.17 interviews and for multifamily buildings, we conduct an average of 7.0 interviews. The total number of interviews conducted each year for single-family buildings is about 107,844 and for multifamily buildings is about 50,400. Each interview takes 5 minutes on average. Therefore, the total annual burden is 13,187 hours.

##### II. Method of Collection

The Census Bureau uses its field representatives to collect the data. The field representatives conduct interviews to obtain data.

##### III. Data

*OMB Control Number:* 0607-0110.  
*Form Number(s):* SOC-QI/SF.1 and SOC-QI/MF.1.

*Type of Review:* Regular submission.  
*Affected Public:* Individuals or households, business, or other for-profit institutions.

*Estimated Number of Respondents:* 20,400.

*Estimated Time per Response:* 5 minutes.

*Estimated Total Annual Burden Hours:* 13,187.

*Estimated Total Annual Cost to Public:* The estimated cost to the respondent is \$404,841 based on an average hourly pay for the respondent of \$30.70. This estimate was taken from the Department of Labor, Bureau of

Labor Statistics, Occupational Employment Statistics Survey for 2013.  
*Respondent's Obligation:* Voluntary.  
*Legal Authority:* Title 13 U.S.C. 182.

##### IV. Request for Comments

Comments are invited on: (a) Whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information shall have practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden (including hours and cost) of the proposed collection of information; (c) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and (d) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on respondents, including through the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology.

Comments submitted in response to this notice will be summarized and/or included in the request for OMB approval of this information collection; they also will become a matter of public record.

Dated: November 6, 2014.

**Glenna Mickelson,**

*Management Analyst, Office of the Chief Information Officer.*

[FR Doc. 2014-26734 Filed 11-10-14; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3510-07-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### National Telecommunications and Information Administration

#### First Responder Network Authority

[Docket Number: 141104926-4926-01]

RIN 0660-XC014

#### Notice of Intent To Prepare Programmatic Environmental Impact Statements and Conduct Scoping for the Nationwide Public Safety Broadband Network

**AGENCY:** First Responder Network Authority, National Telecommunications and Information Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce.

**ACTION:** Notice of Intent.

**SUMMARY:** The First Responder Network Authority ("FirstNet") announces its intent to prepare five regional

Programmatic Environmental Impact Statements (“PEISs”) and conduct public scoping meetings to evaluate the potential environmental impacts of the proposed nationwide public safety broadband network. The specific locations, dates, and times for the scoping meetings will be announced on the FirstNet Web site, no later than one week prior to each meeting.

**DATES:** The scoping period for this notice will begin on the date of publication of this notice and will end December 29, 2014. Comments to this notice must be submitted on or before December 29, 2014.

**ADDRESSES:** The public is invited to submit written comments to this Notice. Written comments may be submitted electronically via email to [PEIScomments@firstnet.gov](mailto:PEIScomments@firstnet.gov) or by mail (to the address listed in **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT**). Comments received will be made a part of the public record and may be posted to FirstNet’s Web site ([www.firstnet.gov](http://www.firstnet.gov)) without change. Comments should be machine readable and should not be copy-protected. All personally identifiable information (*e.g.*, name, address) voluntarily submitted by the commenter may be publicly accessible. Do not submit confidential business

information or otherwise sensitive or protected information.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Amanda Pereira, NEPA Coordinator, First Responder Network Authority, National Telecommunications and Information Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 12201 Sunrise Valley Drive, M/S 243, Reston, VA 20192.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The Middle Class Tax Relief and Job Creation Act of 2012 (Pub. L. 112–96, Title VI, 126 Stat. 256 (codified at 47 U.S.C. 1401 *et seq.*)) (the “Act”) created and authorized FirstNet to take all actions necessary to ensure the building, deployment, and operation of an interoperable, nationwide public safety broadband network (“NPSBN”) based on a single, national network architecture. The Act meets a long-standing and critical national infrastructure need, to create a single, nationwide network that will, for the first time, allow police officers, fire fighters, emergency medical service professionals, and other public safety entities to effectively communicate with each other across agencies and jurisdictions.

The National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 (42 U.S.C. 4321–4347) (“NEPA”) requires federal agencies to

undertake an assessment of environmental effects of their proposed actions prior to making a final decision and implementing the action. NEPA requirements apply to any federal project, decision, or action that may have a significant impact on the quality of the human environment. NEPA also establishes the Council on Environmental Quality (“CEQ”), which issued regulations implementing the procedural provisions of NEPA (see 40 CFR parts 1500–1508). Among other considerations, CEQ regulations at 40 CFR 1508.28 recommend the use of *tiering* from a “broader environmental impact statement (such as a national program or policy statements) with subsequent narrower statements or environmental analysis (such as regional or basin wide statements or ultimately site-specific statements) incorporating by reference the general discussions and concentrating solely on the issues specific to the statement subsequently prepared.”

Due to the geographic scope of FirstNet (all 50 states, the District of Columbia, and five territories) and the diversity of ecosystems potentially traversed by the project, FirstNet has elected to prepare five regional PEISs. The five PEISs will be divided as follows:

East	Central	West	South	Non-contiguous
Delaware District of Columbia Connecticut Maine Maryland Massachusetts New Hampshire New Jersey New York Pennsylvania Rhode Island Vermont Virginia West Virginia	Colorado Illinois Indiana Iowa Kansas Michigan Minnesota Missouri Montana Nebraska North Dakota Ohio South Dakota Utah Wisconsin Wyoming	Arizona California Idaho Nevada Oregon Washington	Alabama Arkansas Florida Georgia Kentucky Louisiana Mississippi New Mexico North Carolina Oklahoma South Carolina Tennessee Texas	Alaska American Samoa CNMI Guam Hawaii Puerto Rico U.S. Virgin Islands

Once a PEIS is completed and a Record of Decision (ROD) is signed, the proposed FirstNet projects can begin to submit the site-specific environmental documentation to determine if the proposed project has been adequately evaluated in the PEIS or warrants a Categorical Exclusion, an Environmental Assessment, or an Environmental Impact Statement.

Dated: November 6, 2014.

**Genevieve Walker,**

*Director of Environmental Compliance, First Responder Network Authority.*

[FR Doc. 2014–26772 Filed 11–10–14; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3510–TL–P**

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### National Telecommunications and Information Administration

#### First Responder Network Authority

#### Special Meeting of the First Responder Network Authority Board Finance Committee

**AGENCY:** First Responder Network Authority, National Telecommunications and Information

**Appendix B: Newspaper Notice**

Page Intentionally Left Blank

# Made of mushrooms and wasp spit, the drone goes green

Students' plan could solve the mess made by crashing robots

BY RACHEL FELTMAN

A group of college students has created an environmentally friendly drone — think veggie leather.

Led by one of NASA's synthetic biology experts, the students made an unmanned aerial vehicle almost entirely out of biodegradable materials. After a crash, these little fliers would basically disappear.

Drones can be a great help in dealing with environmental issues, flying into protected wooded areas to count the surviving population of an endangered animal, or over remote coral reefs to assess their condition.

But sometimes they can turn into litter: If a drone goes down in a protected area, it might not be possible for anyone to retrieve the hunk of metal and plastic.

"I have colleagues who do remote sensing in sensitive areas, and there was a UAV lost for a couple months in an area you really wouldn't want to lose one in," said Lynn Rothschild of NASA's Ames Research Center.

Rothschild serves as an adviser for a team competing in the International Genetically Engineered Machine competition, and the issue of downed-drone litter seemed like a good one for her group to tackle.

"Normally I just give them free reign, but then there are 15 very bright students who all want to do 15 or 30 different things," she said. "So this year, I suggested an overall project. But they really just ran with it from there."

One of her students found a company called Ecovative Design that was growing the team's dream material: Blocks of fungal foam.

Mushrooms are made up of a

structure called mycelium. It grows looking almost like a spider web when it's spread out, but it can grow to fit the confines it's placed in, eventually forming a tough chunk of foamy material. By putting mycelium into a mold filled with a tasty growing medium — like dead leaves or straw — you can create a custom-shaped mushroom block. Or in this case, a custom-shaped mushroom drone frame. A blast of heat kills the mycelium to stop its growth.

"You end up with this great material that just leftover fungal bits," Rothschild said. To make the frame more durable, the students created a bioplastic to coat it.

You can make a kind of veggie leather using bacteria that create cellulose — the tough stuff that creates cell walls in plants. The bacterial cellulose is grown in a sheet and harvested, then wrapped around the mycelium frame. When it dries, it's tough

and hard.

But the team's biohacking didn't stop there: They also harnessed the power of the insect world to keep their drone from dissolving in midair. The drone is covered in proteins cloned from paper wasp saliva, which the insects use to waterproof their nests.

For now, that's as far as the drone's biodegradability goes: It still uses a traditional rotor, battery and controls. But other

researchers around the world are working on creating biodegradable versions of these components, Rothschild said. And her team is investigating the use of biological sensors, which would allow them to replace some of the sensors on the drone with bacteria.

"Eventually, I'd say that most, if not all, of the drone could be made from biological materials," she said.

Rothschild is excited about the ways the drone could be used in research on Earth, but she has bigger plans for them, too: She has already submitted a proposal to NASA to push this technology forward for Mars missions. The lightweight, unobtrusive, home-grown nature of the robots would make them perfect for use on the red planet.

More at [washingtonpost.com/blogs/speaking-of-science/](http://washingtonpost.com/blogs/speaking-of-science/)

## Islamic State kills tribesmen in Iraq

REUTERS

BAGHDAD — Islamic State militants have killed at least 25 members of a Sunni Muslim tribe in a village on the eastern edge of the provincial capital Ramadi, local officials said Saturday in apparent revenge for tribal opposition to the radical Islamists.

They said the bodies of the men from the Abu Fahd tribe were discovered by the Iraqi army when it launched a counteroffensive against the Islamic State forces near the capital of Anbar province. Last month, Islamic State fighters killed hundreds of members of the Abu Nimr tribe in Anbar in an attempt to break local resistance to their advances in the Sunni Muslim province they have largely controlled for nearly a year.

Islamic State, which has seized control of large parts of Syria and Iraq, continues to gain territory in Anbar despite three months of U.S.-led airstrikes.

## PIANO STORE CLOSING

300 PIANOS MUST GO!

[WWW.PIANOFLUIDCENTER.NET](http://WWW.PIANOFLUIDCENTER.NET)

OPEN 10am to 7pm  
Friday, Saturday, Sunday & Monday

877-635-1099  
APPOINTMENTS PREFERRED

Interested in the Nationwide Public Safety Broadband Network?

You're invited to attend a public scoping meeting to start the environmental review of the First Responder Network Authority (FirstNet) Nationwide Public Safety Broadband Network (NPSBN).  
November 25, 2014 from 4-8 PM  
Department of Commerce lobby  
1401 Constitution Ave NW  
Washington, DC 20230

Drop by any time during meeting hours to get information and give input on the scope of this programmatic environmental study. Comments accepted via mail to Ms. Amanda Pereira, NEPA Coordinator, FirstNet, 12201 Sunrise Valley Drive, M/S 243, Reston, VA 20192, or via e-mail to [PEISComments@firstnet.gov](mailto:PEISComments@firstnet.gov) through close of business December 23, 2014. For more information, please visit [www.firstnet.gov](http://www.firstnet.gov).

WHY WAIT UNTIL NOVEMBER 28TH?

# BLACK FRIDAY STARTS NOW!

ALL PRICES GUARANTEED TO MEET OR BEAT BLACK FRIDAY PRICING OR WE'LL CREDIT YOU THE DIFFERENCE!\*

**THE BIG SCREEN STORE**

**SAMSUNG 64" HDTV**

## \$899

PLUS OUR PRICES BEAT BEST BUY, AMAZON, & WAREHOUSE CLUBS\*\*

PLUS WE DOUBLE THE LENGTH OF YOUR TV WARRANTY

## FREE

We take Samsung's standard one year warranty, and double it to two years FREE!\*\*\*

**BOSE**

CINIMATE 15

## 10% OFF

with TV Purchase

**AMERICA'S #1 SELLING SOUND BAR**

**SAMSUNG**

4K UHD TV's

## \$599

STARTING AT

UN40HU6950

**SAMSUNG**

75" HDTV

## \$1999

UN75H6350

SAVE UP TO \$600 ON SAMSUNG SOUND BARS

with purchase of select Samsung curved UHD TV's

**SAMSUNG**

75" HDTV

## \$1999

UN75H6350

**SAMSUNG**

75" HDTV

## \$1999

UN75H6350

SAVE UP TO \$1200 ON SAMSUNG'S BEST UHD TV'S

Monday - Friday 11-9 | Saturday 10-7 | Sunday 12-5

**FAIRFAX**  
11053 Lee Highway  
Fairfax, VA, 22030  
703-218-2400

**1-97 AT BWI**  
851 Cromwell Park Dr.  
Glen Burnie, MD 21061  
(Inside The Sofa Store)

**WINCHESTER**  
173 Kerntown Commons Blvd  
Winchester, VA, 22602  
540-868-7656

**FREDERICKSBURG**  
1485 Carl D. Silver Parkway  
Fredericksburg, VA, 22401  
540-785-6161

**ROCKVILLE**  
11134 Rockville Pike  
Rockville, MD, 20852  
301-981-1190

**TYSONS/VIENNA**  
8344 Leesburg Pike  
Vienna, VA, 22182  
703-506-0171

**ELLCOTT CITY**  
8540 Baltimore  
National Pike  
410-203-9700

**ANNAPOLIS New Location**  
1125 West St.  
(Next to Toyota) 1/2 mi. East of  
Chiniquapin Round Rd  
Annapolis, MD, 21401  
410-571-0100

**WALDORF**  
2443 Crain Highway  
Waldorf, MD, 20601  
301-638-7344

**STERLING**  
45591 Dulles Eastern Plaza  
Sterling, VA, 20166  
703-421-5311

**THE BIG SCREEN STORE**


TheBigScreenStore.com

Thanksgiving Day CLOSED (our employees have families too!)

Friday 11/28 9AM to 9PM  
Saturday 11/29 10AM to 8PM  
Sunday 11/30 11AM to 6PM

\* Prices advertised lower than or equal to any authorized dealer plus The Big Screen Store's free double warranty. Sales tax excluded.  
\*\* Our 2nd year labor & parts coverage acts the same as Samsung's first year warranty coverage. Full details at [thebigscreenstore.com](http://thebigscreenstore.com).  
\*\*\* Value of bundled items must be accounted for.

og



Something to be  
it that excuses  
it of the way by  
e did it because  
-rather than first  
ers the idea that  
hority figures."

**PIN.COM** is incredulous  
ate quarterback Jameis  
O-17 win over Boston  
ter, Winston physically  
Seminoles could run a  
ring the Eagles' defense  
ejected from the game,  
could have been.

**"The video is a low-  
budget, no frills look  
at the super-silly side  
of the hottest woman  
in entertainment."**

**NEHA PRAKASH AT MASHABLE.COM** analyzes  
the music video dropped late Friday by  
Beyonce. For "7/11," a surprise single from the  
singer's four-disc Platinum Edition Box Set,  
due out today, the DIY-esque video features  
Beyonce twerking, doing the Harlem Shake  
and wearing a "kale" sweatshirt.

**"That was one of the most  
disrespectful headlines  
I have ever read."**

**COMMENTER DERRICFROMDC AT  
TMZ.COM** is outraged by celebrity  
news website TMZ's headline  
announcing the death of former D.C.  
Mayor Marion Barry. When TMZ posted  
the article Sunday morning, headlined  
"CRACK MAYOR DEAD AT 78," it  
prompted outrage on social media. A  
petition asking TMZ to apologize for  
and remove the distasteful headline  
garnered more than 10,000 signatures  
by Sunday evening.

**"Can I just say how much  
I love that every single  
comment here is pointing  
out the superiority of the  
single blade razor?"**

**COMMENTER NATHAN LOFTIES  
AT FACEBOOK.COM** finds the main  
takeaway of a photo posted last week  
by Gillette to its Facebook page. In  
honor of its 110th anniversary, the  
men's razor maker posted an image  
of its 1904 patent alongside the  
2014 swiveled version. Instead of  
commending its innovation and how  
far the technology has come, most  
users spoke of their disappointment in  
the product's evolution.

**Volunteers Needed to Help  
Prevent the Spread of  
Dengue Fever Worldwide**

To be eligible you must be...

- 18-49 years of age
- Healthy
- Live in DC/MD/VA metro region

Participate in a Dengue  
Study!

Clinical Trials Center,  
Spring, MD

**WRAR Clinical  
Trials  
Center**

For more information  
call 1-866-856-3259 or  
visit us on the web at  
[www.clinicaltrials.army.mil](http://www.clinicaltrials.army.mil)

**Interested in the  
Nationwide Public  
Safety Broadband  
Network?**

You're invited to attend a public  
scoping meeting to start the  
environmental review of the First  
Responder Network Authority  
(FirstNet) Nationwide Public Safety  
Broadband Network (NPSBN).

November 25, 2014 from 4-8 PM  
Department of Commerce lobby  
1401 Constitution Ave NW  
Washington, DC 20230

Drop by any time during meeting  
hours to get information and  
give input on the scope of this  
programmatic environmental study.  
Comments accepted via mail to  
Ms. Amanda Pereira, NEPA  
Coordinator, FirstNet,  
12201 Sunrise Valley Drive,  
M/S 243, Reston, VA 20192, or via  
e-mail to [PEISComments@firstnet.gov](mailto:PEISComments@firstnet.gov)  
through close of business  
December 29, 2014.  
For more information  
please visit [www.firstnet.gov](http://www.firstnet.gov).

**Us + the kids  
having  
the best  
time  
together**

**It's your  
WeekendPass**

Every Thursday  
in Express

XX0165 1x3 75



**DECLARATION OF PUBLICATION OF  
SAN FRANCISCO CHRONICLE**

Lori Gomez

Declares that:

The annexed advertisement has been regularly published  
In the

**SAN FRANCISCO CHRONICLE**

Which is an was at all times herein mentioned  
established as newspaper of general circulation in the  
City and County of San Francisco, State of California, as  
the term is defined by Section 6000 of the Government  
Code

**SAN FRANCISCO CHRONICLE**

(Name of Newspaper)

901 Mission Street

San Francisco, CA 94103

From

11/30/14

To

11/30/14

Namely on

11/30/14

(Dates of Publication)


I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is  
true and correct.

Executed on

12/4/14

At San Francisco, California

Interested in the Nationwide Public  
Safety Broadband Network?  
You're invited to attend a public scop-  
ing meeting to start the environmental  
review of the First Responder Network  
Authority (FirstNet) Nationwide Public  
Safety Broadband Network (NPSBN).  
  
December 4, 2014 from 4-8 PM  
Holiday Inn Civic Center  
50 Eighth Street  
San Francisco, CA 94103  
  
Drop by any time during meeting hours  
to get information and give input on  
the scope of this programmatic envi-  
ronmental study. Comments ac-  
cepted via mail to Ms. Amanda  
Pereira, NEPA Coordinator, FirstNet,  
12201 Sunrise Valley Drive, M/S 243,  
Reston, VA 20192, or via e-mail to  
PEISComments@firstnet.gov through  
close of business December 29, 2014.  
For more information, please visit  
www.firstnet.gov.



ARIZONA DAILY STAR

Tucson, Arizona

STATE OF ARIZONA)  
COUNTY OF PIMA)

Debbie Capanear, being first duly sworn deposes and says: that she is the Advertising Representative of **TNI PARTNERS**, a General Partnership organized and existing under the laws of the State of Arizona, and that it prints and publishes the Arizona Daily Star, a daily newspaper printed and published in the City of Tucson Pima County, State of Arizona, and having a general circulation in said City, County, State and elsewhere and that the attached ad was printed and

Legal Notice


published correctly in the entire issue of the said Arizona Daily Star on each of the following dates, to-wit:

NOVEMBER 30, 2014

Debbie Capanear

Subscribed and sworn to before me this 3 day of December, 2014

Lydia Fimbres  
Notary Public



My commission expires

AD NO. 8316430

**Interested in the Nationwide Public Safety Broadband Network?**

You're invited to attend a public scoping meeting to start the environmental review of the First Responder Network Authority (FirstNet) Nationwide Public Safety Broadband Network (NPSBN).

December 4, 2014 from 4-8 PM  
Embassy Suites - Williams Center  
5335 E. Broadway Blvd  
Tucson, AZ 85711

Drop by any time during meeting hours to get information and give input on the scope of this programmatic environmental study. Comments accepted via mail to Ms. Amanda Pereira, NEPA Coordinator, FirstNet, 12201 Sunrise Valley Drive, M/S 243, Reston, VA 20192, or via e-mail to [FEIScomments@firstnet.gov](mailto:FEIScomments@firstnet.gov) through close of business December 29, 2014. For more information, please visit [www.firstnet.gov](http://www.firstnet.gov).

Publish November 30, 2014 • Arizona Daily Star

## **Interested in the Nationwide Public Safety Broadband Network?**

You're invited to attend a public scoping meeting to start the environmental review of the First Responder Network Authority (FirstNet) Nationwide Public Safety Broadband Network (NPSBN).

**Kansas City University  
of Medicine and Biosciences  
Classroom Annex Building, Classroom A  
1750 East Independence Avenue  
Kansas City, MO 64106**

Drop by any time during meeting hours to get information and give input on the scope of this programmatic environmental study. Comments accepted via mail to Ms. Amanda Pereira, NEPA Coordinator, FirstNet, 12201 Sunrise Valley Drive, M/S 243, Reston, VA 20192, or via e-mail to [PEIScomments@firstnet.gov](mailto:PEIScomments@firstnet.gov) through close of business December 29, 2014.  
For more information please visit [www.firstnet.gov](http://www.firstnet.gov).





# The New York Times

620 8TH AVENUE • NEW YORK, NY 10018

NET

**Interested in the Nationwide Public Safety Broadband Network?**

You're invited to attend a public scoping meeting to start the environmental review of the First Responder Network Authority (FirstNet) Nationwide Public Safety Broadband Network (NPSBN).

December 15, 2014 from 4-8 PM  
New York University  
Kimmel Center, Grand Hall  
60 Washington Square South  
New York, NY 10012

Drop by any time during meeting hours to get information and give input on the scope of this programmatic environmental study. Comments accepted via mail to Ms. Amanda Penick, NEPA Coordinator, FirstNet, 12201 Sunrise Valley Drive, MS 243 Reston, VA 20192, or via e-mail to [FirstNetComments@firstnet.gov](mailto:FirstNetComments@firstnet.gov) through close of business December 29, 2014. For more information, please visit [www.firstnet.gov](http://www.firstnet.gov)

## CERTIFICATION OF PUBLICATION

DEC 16 2014 20

I, Alice Weber, in my capacity as a Principal Clerk of the Publisher of The New York Times a daily newspaper of general circulation printed and published in the City, County and State of New York, hereby certify that the advertisement annexed hereto was published in the editions of The New York Times on the following date or dates, to wit on

DEC 14 2014 20

*Alice Weber*

Approved: Maria Lannullo

THIS CERTIFICATION NOT VALID WITHOUT NYT RAISED SEAL

## **Appendix C: Scoping Meeting Posters and Handouts**

Page Intentionally Left Blank



**FirstNet™**  
U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

# Programmatic Environmental Impact Statement

## What are the Project Alternatives?

### Mixed Technologies Alternative:

FirstNet intends to construct a long-term evolution (LTE) nationwide public safety broadband network (NPSBN) using a combination of the following methods:

- Collocation of the network equipment on existing towers, poles and structures, some of which would require structural hardening or reinforcement to improve disaster resistance and resiliency;
- Construction of new communication towers, poles and associated structures to include generators, equipment sheds, fencing, and concrete pads;
- Collocation on existing fiber facilities, including lighting dark fiber and installation of new fiber on existing poles and in existing conduit;
- Installation of new conduit and fiber using trenching (including vibratory plowing) or directional boring (including horizontal directional drilling);
- Deployment of satellite phones and other portable satellite technology;
- Installation of microwave facilities for cell-site backhaul communication; and
- Utilization of deployable technologies to reach rural and remote areas. Deployable technologies encompass a range of items, generally characterized as the following:
  - Cell on Wheels (COW): a cellular base station on a trailer with an expandable antenna mast and usually a microwave or satellite link back to the main controller;
  - Cell on Light Truck (COLT): a cellular base station on a light truck platform with an expandable antenna mast and usually a microwave or satellite link back to the main controller;
  - System on Wheels (SOW): a full base station and controller on a trailer/truck/big rig/etc. A SOW is a fully self-contained cellular system that can provide an island system with no need for satellite/microwave link back; applicability of this type of deployable technology may be limited if there is no internet connectivity; and,
  - Deployable Aerial Communications Architecture: Aerial vehicles, including, but not limited to, drones, weather balloons, and blimps, which would be deployed at high altitudes and are capable of providing wide-area coverage, although with relatively low capacity/throughput.

### Deployable Technologies Only Alternative:

Procure, deploy, and maintain a nationwide fleet of mobile communications systems to provide temporary coverage in areas not covered by existing, usable infrastructure, as there would be no collocation of equipment or new construction. Generally, these units would be deployed at times of an incident to the affected area. These mobile communication units would be temporarily installed and may use existing satellite, microwave, or radio systems for backhaul.

### No Action Alternative:

Under the No Action Alternative, the Nationwide Public Safety Broadband Network (NPSBN) would not be constructed; there would be no nationwide, coordinated system dedicated to public safety interoperable communications. The existing multiplicity of communications networks would remain in place, as would the current, known limitations and problems of existing communication networks during times of emergency or disaster. This alternative would require an act of Congress to revise the Act, which currently requires the NPSBN.





**FirstNet**<sup>™</sup>  
U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

# Programmatic Environmental Impact Statement

## Description of the Project Area


The FirstNet Programmatic Environmental Impact Statement project area would cover the geography of 50 states, 5 territories, the District of Columbia, and 566 tribal nations. Over the past 30 years, wireless operators have invested tens of billions of dollars in terrestrial networks covering over 60% of the U.S. land mass. The Nationwide Public Safety Broadband Network (NPSBN) is intended to provide nationwide service, including substantial rural milestones as part of each phase of the construction and deployment of the network.

FirstNet has determined that the design, construction, and operation of the NPSBN is a broad action with nationwide implications. This approach provides for the broadest and most extensive analysis in order to support the balancing of different considerations, including social, economic, and environmental issues. The programmatic approach creates a comprehensive analytical framework that assesses impacts expected from the NPSBN as a whole. It also supports any subsequent site-specific environmental analyses that may be required for individual actions at specific locations, once they are identified.

The programmatic approach allows FirstNet to identify and define three categories of actions: those types of actions that would not have a significant impact on the environment; those actions that would not have a significant impact if certain mitigation measures or best management practices are implemented; and those actions that will require site-specific analysis to determine the nature and extent of impacts.

The project area is divided into five regions:

-  **East** – comprised of FEMA regions 1, 2, and 3 (with the exception of PR and USVI)
-  **Central** – comprised of FEMA regions 5, 7, and 8
-  **South** – comprised of FEMA regions 4 and 6
-  **West** – comprised of FEMA regions 9 and 10 (except for AK and the Pacific Islands)
-  **Non-Contiguous** – comprised of AK, HI, PR, USVI, CNMI, AS, and Guam





**FirstNet™**  
U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

# Programmatic Environmental Impact Statement

## NEPA Process


The National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 (NEPA) (42 U.S.C. 4321 et seq.) provides a framework to evaluate the impact of major federal actions on the environment and allows the public the opportunity to provide input on implementation alternatives. NEPA requires federal agencies to integrate environmental values into their decision-making processes by considering the environmental impacts of their proposed actions and reasonable alternatives to those actions. NEPA also established the Council on Environmental Quality (CEQ). As part of the Executive Office of the President, CEQ coordinates federal environmental efforts and is responsible for advising the President on environmental policy matters. CEQ has also promulgated regulations implementing NEPA which are binding for all federal agencies. These regulations address the procedural provisions of NEPA and the administration of the NEPA process, including preparation of Environmental Impact Statements (EIS).

NEPA is applicable to all “major” federal actions affecting the quality of the human environment. A major federal action is an action with effects that may be major and which are potentially subject to federal control and responsibility. These actions may include new and continuing activities, including projects and programs entirely or partly financed, assisted, conducted, regulated,

or approved by federal agencies; new or revised agency rules, regulations, plans, policies, or procedures; and legislative proposals. FirstNet has determined the construction, operation, and maintenance of the Nationwide Public Safety Broadband Network (NPSBN) qualifies as a major federal activity under these criteria and triggers a NEPA review.

Because of this, FirstNet is required to comply with NEPA, which requires that the government examine the environmental, social, historic, and cultural impacts of its proposed actions before it irretrievably commits resources to undertake them. Furthermore, FirstNet must comply with its own NEPA implementing procedures, which were finalized and published in the Federal Register on April 29, 2014. On November 12, 2014, FirstNet published a Notice of Intent (NOI) to prepare five coordinated Programmatic Environmental Impact Statements (PEIS) in the Federal Register. The PEISs will analyze the direct, indirect, and cumulative impacts of the alternative approaches to the construction, operation, and maintenance of the NPSBN on natural, cultural, and social resources.

The NEPA process is depicted in the diagram below. The light blue coloring indicates those opportunities for the public to comment on the project.



The PEIS process started with publication of the Notice of Intent in the Federal Register on November 12, 2014. The scoping/public comment period for this PEIS will end on December 29, 2014.

Currently, the PEIS is at the scoping phase. During the scoping phase, a wide range of partners including the public, interest groups, and agencies at all levels of government are encouraged to provide input about the project. The PEIS will incorporate and build upon the prior planning efforts, environmental studies, and public input.

All of the collected information will form the basis for a range of alternatives to implement the project and eventually the selection of a preferred alternative.

The preferred alternative will be identified in the Draft PEIS when it is made available to the public for review and comment. A 45-day public comment period with public hearings similar to the scoping meetings will be held. The Final PEIS will incorporate comments received on the Draft PEIS. After publication of the Final PEIS, FirstNet will make the decision regarding the selection of an alternative within a Record of Decision.



**FirstNet™**  
U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

# Programmatic Environmental Impact Statement

## Public Involvement

The National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) regulations require that a lead agency preparing an Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) is to involve the public, along with government agencies, American Indian tribes, private-sector organizations, and other interested parties in scoping (40 CFR 1501.7).

The public scoping process for the FirstNet Programmatic EIS (PEIS) began with publication of the Notice of Intent in the Federal Register on November 12, 2014. Scoping is the first phase of the NEPA analysis process and gives interested parties the chance to comment on the proposed action and to offer suggestions about the issues to be considered in the EIS analyses. Interested government agencies, American Indian tribes, private-sector organizations, and the general public are encouraged to participate in this scoping process. The scoping period will last for 45 days, ending on December 29, 2014. Written comments can be submitted either electronically or by paper copy. Information and public comments received during the Scoping Period will be reviewed for consideration in the development of each regional Draft PEIS.

To receive updates and announcements regarding the project and public involvement opportunities on this project, email [PEISComments@firstnet.gov](mailto:PEISComments@firstnet.gov).

**Public Scoping Comment Period: November 12 to December 29, 2014**

## Scoping Meetings

FirstNet is holding scoping meetings in the following locations to obtain comments from the public:

- Tuesday, November 25: Washington DC, 4 – 8 p.m., EST
- Tuesday, December 2: Honolulu, HI, 4 – 8 p.m., HST
- Thursday, December 4: San Francisco, CA, 4 – 8 p.m., PST
- Thursday, December 4: Tucson, AZ, 4 – 8 p.m., MST
- Tuesday, December 9: Kansas City, MO, 4 – 8 p.m., CST
- Thursday, December 11: New Orleans, LA, 5 – 9 p.m., CST
- Monday, December 15: New York, NY, 4 – 8 p.m., EST

Each scoping meeting will provide an opportunity for the public to speak with subject matter experts and FirstNet staff. The scoping meetings are an open format, allowing the public to drop in at their convenience throughout the evening. Comments can be provided to FirstNet staff with a note taker present to transcribe their comments. In addition, attendees can provide their comments in writing at the meeting.

## Submitting Comments

The public is invited to submit written comments for consideration during scoping. Written comments may be submitted electronically via email to [PEISComments@firstnet.gov](mailto:PEISComments@firstnet.gov), in person using the comment forms provided at this scoping meeting, or by mail to:

Amanda Pereira, NEPA Coordinator  
FirstNet  
12201 Sunrise Valley Drive, M/S 243  
Reston, VA 20192

*Comments received will be made a part of the public record and may be posted to the FirstNet website without change. Comments should be machine readable and should not be copy-protected. All personally identifiable information (e.g., name, address) voluntarily submitted by the commenter may be publicly accessible. Do not submit confidential business information or otherwise sensitive or protected information.*

## How Are Scoping Comments Used?

Scoping for the Draft PEIS will provide several key elements to assist in the preparation of the document:

1. Gathering information and ideas from the public and key stakeholder groups, such as the public safety community, about the analytical issues related to the Nationwide Public Safety Broadband Network;
2. Making determinations about which issues should be analyzed; and
3. Identifying alternatives to the proposed action that should be considered for analysis.

The scoping process is ongoing and critical to informing federal agency actions, in that it begins before the PEIS analyses are initiated and continues throughout document development of the PEIS.



**FirstNet™**  
U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

# Programmatic Environmental Impact Statement

## What is the Proposed Action?

The purpose of the proposed action is to develop a nationwide, interoperable, public safety broadband network (NPSBN). The goal of FirstNet is to provide dedicated services that are comparable to or better than those services public safety has access to today through commercial broadband wireless carriers. These applications and services are intended to enhance the ability of the public safety community to perform more reliably, effectively and safely. FirstNet's goal is that the NPSBN would also provide a backbone to allow for improved communications by carrying high-speed data, location information, images, and, eventually, streaming video. This capability is intended to increase situational awareness during an emergency and improve the ability of the public safety community to effectively engage in those critical activities.

## Description of the Proposed Action

The Proposed Action would encompass the design, construction, and operation of the NPSBN by FirstNet or a partner organization(s). By statute, the network must have several characteristics, including security, resiliency, backwards compatibility with existing commercial networks, integration with public safety access point (PSAPs) or their equivalents, substantial rural coverage, it must be built to open, non-proprietary, commercially available standards, and it must use existing infrastructure to the maximum extent economically desirable.

FirstNet intends to construct a core network, comprised of all standard Evolved Packet Core elements under the 3rd Generation Partnership Project (3GPP) standards (including the Serving and Packet Data Network Gateways, Mobility Management Entity, and the Policy and Charging Rules Function), device services, location services, billing functions, and all other network elements and functions other than the Radio Access Network (RAN). FirstNet expects to construct RAN networks that would consist of all cell site equipment, antennas, and backhaul equipment and services required to enable wireless communications with devices using the public safety broadband spectrum. In addition, FirstNet must continue to maintain and improve the NPSBN to account for new and evolving technologies.





# FirstNet™



## The Promise of FirstNet

### WHAT IS THE FIRST RESPONDER NETWORK AUTHORITY (FIRSTNET)?

FirstNet is an independent authority within the U.S. Department of Commerce's National Telecommunications and Information Administration. FirstNet is governed by a 15-member Board consisting of the Attorney General of the United States, the Secretary of Homeland Security, the Director of the Office of Management and Budget, and 12 members appointed by the Secretary of Commerce. The FirstNet Board is composed of representatives from public safety; local, state and federal government; and the wireless industry.

Signed into law on February 22, 2012, the [Middle Class Tax Relief and Job Creation Act](#) created FirstNet. The law gives FirstNet the duty to build, operate and maintain the first high-speed, nationwide wireless broadband network dedicated to public safety entities. FirstNet will provide a single interoperable platform for public safety communications.

### WHAT WILL BE POSSIBLE WITH THE FIRSTNET NETWORK?

The FirstNet network will improve citizen and responder safety and increase the efficiency and effectiveness of emergency response through cutting edge broadband communications. Imagine a day when a single communications network can be used to dispatch EMS personnel, a medical helicopter, police officers, and fire personnel from different jurisdictions all at the same time, utilizing voice, video, and data at broadband speeds.

Public safety personnel using the FirstNet network will be able to share applications, access databases, and provide better informed responses to incidents through integrated communications.

FirstNet's goal is to provide public safety-grade reliability and nationwide coverage so all public safety personnel can count on the network when they are on the job. FirstNet is also aiming to provide coverage solutions that let public safety "take the network along" to the destination in certain geographies. FirstNet will create a nationwide standard of service while affording localized customization and control.

When the FirstNet network launches, it will provide mission-critical, high-speed data services to supplement the voice capabilities of today's Land Mobile Radio (LMR) networks. Initially, the FirstNet network will be used for sending data, video, images and text. The FirstNet network will also carry location information and eventually support streaming video. FirstNet plans to offer cellular voice communications such as Voice over Long Term Evolution (VoLTE) or other alternatives.

## **WHY WAS FIRSTNET CREATED?**

The public safety community fought hard to fulfill the 9/11 Commission's last standing recommendation and lobbied Congress to pass legislation establishing a dedicated, reliable network for advanced data communications nationwide. During emergencies, public safety personnel need priority access and preemption, which are not available on commercial networks.

## **HOW WILL THE FIRSTNET NETWORK BENEFIT PUBLIC SAFETY?**

Using the FirstNet network will improve situational awareness, decision-making and responder and citizen health and safety. Just as smartphones have changed personal lives, FirstNet devices and applications will ultimately change the way public safety operates. FirstNet devices will work anywhere on the network and will save time when seconds matter. A market of millions of public safety users will bring savings opportunities to state and local budgets. FirstNet will bring the benefits of a single, nationwide, interoperable network that is built to open standards to public safety agencies across the country. With millions of users on a single network, FirstNet can take advantage of increased vendor competition and economies of scale to drive down the final cost to the public safety user.

## **WHAT WILL USERS PAY FOR FIRSTNET'S SERVICES?**

FirstNet intends to offer services at a compelling and competitive cost to attract millions of public safety users and make FirstNet self-sustaining. The use of FirstNet services and applications will be voluntary. The costs for FirstNet services and devices have not yet been set.

## **HOW WILL STATES AND AGENCIES PARTICIPATE IN THE BUILDOUT OF FIRSTNET?**

The law that established FirstNet requires it to consult with regional, state, tribal and local jurisdictions to ensure that the FirstNet network is designed to meet the needs of public safety across the country. State consultation will be a collaborative process, involving key stakeholders and leadership from each state and territory, and will be iterative to allow for enhancements and improvements from the state and territory. FirstNet will work through the designated single officer or governmental body during consultation to gather requirements from key stakeholders for developing its deployment plan. Additional information on state consultation is available at <http://firstnet.gov/consultation>.



# PROGRAMMATIC ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT STATEMENT

## Public Involvement

The public scoping process began with publication of the Notice of Intent in the Federal Register on November 12, 2014. Scoping is the first phase of the NEPA analysis process and gives interested parties the chance to comment on the proposed action and to offer suggestions about the issues to be considered in the EIS analyses. Interested government agencies, American Indian tribes, private-sector organizations, and the general public are encouraged to participate in this scoping process.

The scoping period will last for 45 days, ending on December 29, 2014. Written comments can be submitted either electronically or by paper copy. Information and public comments received during the scoping period will be reviewed for consideration in the development of each regional Draft PEIS.

## Submitting Comments

The public is invited to submit written comments for consideration during scoping. Written comments may be submitted electronically via email to **PEIScomments@firstnet.gov** or by mail to:

Amanda Pereira, NEPA Coordinator  
FirstNet  
12201 Sunrise Valley Drive, M/S 243  
Reston, VA 20192

*Comments received will be made a part of the public record and may be posted to the FirstNet website without change. Comments should be machine readable and should not be copy-protected. All personally identifiable information (e.g., name, address) voluntarily submitted by the commenter may be publicly accessible. Do not submit confidential business information or otherwise sensitive or protected information.*




# PROGRAMMATIC ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT STATEMENT

## What is NEPA?

The National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 (NEPA) provides a framework to evaluate the impact of major Federal actions on the environment and through the PEIS process, allows the public the opportunity to provide input on implementation alternatives.

The NEPA process is depicted in the diagram below. The light blue coloring indicates those opportunities for the public to comment on the project.



The PEIS process began with publication of the Notice of Intent in the Federal Register on November 12, 2014. The scoping/public comment period for this PEIS will end on December 29, 2014.

# PROGRAMMATIC ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT STATEMENT

## Description of the Project Area

The FirstNet Programmatic Environmental Impact Statement project area would cover the geography of 50 states, 5 territories, the District of Columbia, and 566 Federally recognized tribes. Over the past 30 years, wireless operators have invested tens of billions of dollars in terrestrial networks covering over 60% of the U.S. land mass. The NPSBN is intended to provide nationwide service, and it is intended to include milestones that address wilderness and rural coverage gaps.

The project area is divided into five regions:

-  **East** – comprised of FEMA regions 1, 2, and 3 (with the exception of PR and USVI)
-  **Central** – comprised of FEMA regions 5, 7, and 8
-  **South** – comprised of FEMA regions 4 and 6
-  **West** – comprised of FEMA regions 9 and 10 (except for AK and the Pacific Islands)
-  **Non-Contiguous** – comprised of AK, HI, PR, USVI, CNMI, AS, and Guam



# PROGRAMMATIC ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT STATEMENT

## **What are the Project Alternatives?**

### **Mixed Technologies Alternative:**

Potential elements to be considered for the construction of a long-term evolution (LTE) nationwide public safety broadband network (NPSBN):

- Collocation of the network equipment on existing towers, poles and structures;
- Construction of new communication towers, poles and associated structures;
- Collocation on existing fiber facilities;
- Installation of new conduit and fiber using trenching or directional boring;
- Deployment of satellite phones and other portable satellite technology;
- Installation of microwave facilities for cell-site backhaul communication; and
- Utilization of deployable technologies to reach rural and remote areas, such as;
  - Cell on Wheels (COW)
  - Cell on Light Truck (COLT)
  - System on Wheels (SOW)
  - Deployable Aerial Communications Architecture: Aerial vehicles, including, but not limited to, drones, weather balloons, and blimps, which would be deployed at high altitudes and are capable of providing wide-area coverage, although with relatively low capacity/throughput.

### **Deployable Technologies Alternative:**

Procure, deploy, and maintain a nationwide fleet of mobile communications systems to provide temporary coverage in areas not covered by existing, usable infrastructure, for deployment at times of an incident to the affected area. These mobile communication units would be temporarily installed and may use existing satellite, microwave, or radio systems for backhaul.

### **No Action Alternative:**

Under the No Action, the NPSBN would not be constructed; there would be no nationwide, coordinated system dedicated to public safety interoperable communications. This alternative would require an act of Congress to revise the Act, which currently requires the NPSBN.

# PROGRAMMATIC ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT STATEMENT

## What is the Proposed Action?

The proposed action is to develop a nationwide, interoperable, public safety broadband network (NPSBN) with the goal of being comparable to or better than those services public safety has access to today through commercial broadband wireless carriers. These applications and services are intended to enhance the ability of the public safety community to perform more reliably, effectively and safely.

FirstNet's goal is that the NPSBN would also provide a backbone to allow for improved communications by carrying high-speed data, location information, images, and, eventually, streaming video. This capability is intended to increase situational awareness during an emergency and improve the ability of the public safety community to effectively engage in those critical activities.

## Description of the Proposed Action

The Proposed Action would encompass the design, construction, and operation of the nationwide NPSBN by FirstNet or a partner organization(s). By statute, the network must have several characteristics, including security, resiliency, backwards compatibility with existing commercial networks, integration with public safety answering points (PSAPs) or their equivalents, substantial rural coverage, it must be built to open, non-proprietary, commercially available standards, and it must use existing infrastructure to the maximum extent economically desirable.

FirstNet intends to construct a core network, comprised of all standard Evolved Packet Core elements under the 3rd Generation Partnership Project (3GPP) standards, device and location services, billing functions, and all other network elements other than the Radio Access Network (RAN). FirstNet expects to construct RAN networks that would consist of all cell site equipment, antennas, and backhaul equipment required to enable wireless communications with devices using the public safety broadband spectrum.

Finally, the Act states that FirstNet must continue to maintain and improve the NPSBN to account for new and evolving technologies.

**Appendix D: Attendance Lists  
REDACTED**

Page Intentionally Left Blank

**Appendix E: Comments  
REDACTED**

Page Intentionally Left Blank

## **Appendix F: Responses to Scoping Comments**

Page Intentionally Left Blank

FirstNet PEIS Scoping Comments

Date Rec'd	Format	Name	Organization	Topic	Comment	Response
11/18/2014	Mail	Ellie L. Irons	Commonwealth of VA - Department of Environmental Quality	RFI	<p>Dear Ms. Pereira:</p> <p>This letter responds to the above Notice of Intent, which appeared in the November 12 Federal Register (Volume 79, Number 218) at pages 67156-67157 (hereinafter cited as "the Notice").</p> <p>The Department of Environmental Quality ("DEQ") is responsible for coordinating Virginia's review of federal environmental documents prepared pursuant to the National Environmental Policy Act ("NEPA") and responding to appropriate federal officials on behalf of the Commonwealth. DEQ also coordinates Virginia's review of federal consistency determinations and certifications prepared pursuant to the Coastal Zone Management Act ("CZMA") and the Virginia Coastal Zone Management Program ("VCP").</p> <p>DESCRIPTION OF PROPOSED ACTION</p> <p>According to the Notice, the First Responder Network Authority ("FirstNet") is a unit of the Department of Congress, created by the Middle Class Tax Relief and Job Creation Act of 2012 (Public Law 112-96, codified at Title 47, United States Code sections 1401 et seq.) and authorized to "take all actions necessary to ensure the building, deployment, and operation of an interoperable, nationwide public safety broadband network." The network is intended to "allow police officers, fire fighters, emergency medical service professionals, and other public safety entities to effectively communicate with each other across agencies and jurisdictions." (Notice, page 67157, center column).</p> <p>According to the Notice, FirstNet will prepare five regional Programmatic Environmental Impact Statements (PEISs) and conduct scoping meetings, notice of which will be given in the FirstNet web site (<a href="http://www.firstnet.gov">http://www.firstnet.gov</a>). Following completion of the PEISs, proponents of proposed projects will submit site-specific environmental documentation to determine whether a proposed project warrants a Categorical Exclusion, an Environmental Assessment, or an Environmental Impact Statement. The concept of tiering (see National Environmental Policy Act regulations at Title 40, Code of Federal Regulations, part 1508, section 1508.28) will be employed as FirstNet moves from the five PEISs to regional, basin-wide, or site-specific project considerations (Notice, pages 67156-67157).</p>	Once specific projects are identified, FirstNet will work with the appropriate federal, state, and local agencies to comply with all requirements.
11/18/2014	Mail	Ellie L. Irons	Commonwealth of VA - Department of Environmental Quality	Scoping / Request for copies of DPEIS and FPEIS	<p>ENVIRONMENTAL REVIEW UNDER THE NATIONAL ENVIRONMENTAL POLICY ACT: PROJECT SCOPING AND AGENCY INVOLVEMENT</p> <p>While this Office does not participate in scoping efforts beyond the advice given herein, other agencies are free to provide scoping comments concerning the preparation of the NEPA document. Accordingly, we are sharing this response to the Notice, and copies of the Notice itself, with selected state and local Virginia agencies whose responsibilities may affect, or be affected by, the plans and/or projects considered in the PEIS covering the eastern states. These agencies are likely to include the following (note: starred (*) agencies administer one or more of the enforceable policies of the Virginia Coastal Zone Management Plan: see "Federal Consistency ..." heading, next):</p> <p>Department of Environmental Quality:          -Office of Environmental Impact Review          -Northern Regional Office*          -Piedmont Regional Office*          -Tidewater Regional Office          -Valley Regional Office          -Blue Ridge Regional Office          -Southwest Regional Office          -Division of Air Program Coordination*          -Division of Land Protection and Revitalization (formerly Waste Division)          Office of Stormwater Management*          Department of Conservation and Recreation Department of Health (Division of Water Programs*)          Department of Game and Inland Fisheries*          Virginia Marine Resources Commission* Department of Historic Resources Department of Forestry          Department of Transportation          Department of Mines, Minerals, and Energy          Virginia State Police          Department of Emergency Management.</p> <p>In keeping with our regular practice, we will solicit comments from regional planning district commissions and localities when EISs, EAs, or federal consistency documents (again, see next heading) are prepared for programs or projects, based on their nature and geographic impacts.</p> <p>In order to ensure an effective coordinated review of the PEIS, we will require at least 19 copies of it when it is published. This submission may include at least 3 printed copies and 16 CDs, or at least 3 printed copies and an electronic copy available for download at a web site or ftp site. If the PEIS addresses geographic reach or impacts of the program or projects, then it should include one or more U.S. Geological Survey topographic maps as part of its information. We recommend, as well, that project details unfamiliar to people outside FirstNet be adequately described in the PEIS.</p>	Due to the nationwide scope of our current programmatic analysis and the considerable size of the documents, it may not be possible for FirstNet to provide hard copies of the draft and final documents to all interested parties. However, the documents will be available for download on our website to all interested parties.
11/18/2014	Mail	Ellie L. Irons	Commonwealth of VA - Department of Environmental Quality	Coastal Zone Management Act	<p>FEDERAL CONSISTENCY UNDER THE COASTAL ZONE MANAGEMENT ACT</p> <p>Pursuant to the Coastal Zone Management Act of 1972, as amended, and the Federal Consistency Regulations (15 CFR Part 930), federal projects with reasonably foreseeable effects on Virginia's coastal uses or resources must be conducted in a manner which is consistent, to the maximum extent practicable, with the Virginia Coastal Zone Management Program (VCP). The VCP is comprised of a network of programs administered by several agencies.</p> <p>FirstNet must submit a federal consistency determination (FCD) which analyzes the coastal effects of the project in light of the enforceable policies of the VCP (first enclosure), and provides a commitment to comply with the enforceable policies. In addition, we invite FirstNet's attention to the advisory policies of the VCP (second enclosure). Requirements for the contents of FCDs are found in the Federal Consistency Regulations (15 CFR Part 930, Sub-part D, sections 930.39) and also in DEQ's Federal Consistency Information Package (available online at <a href="http://www.deq.virginia.gov/Portals/0/DEQ/EnvironmentalImpactReview/FederalConsistencyManual.7.27.11.pdf">http://www.deq.virginia.gov/Portals/0/DEQ/EnvironmentalImpactReview/FederalConsistencyManual.7.27.11.pdf</a>). The Federal Consistency Information Package defines Virginia's coastal zone, among other things.</p> <p>The Federal Consistency Regulations allow up to 60 days for our review of an FCD (15 CFR Part 930, Sub-part C, section 930.41(b)).</p> <p>The FCD may be submitted as a part of and EIS or separately, as you prefer. We recommend that the FCD for a particular project or plan be submitted with the Final EIS rather than the Draft EIS, in order that it reflect resolution of coastal issues that may arise during the comment period for the Draft EIS.</p> <p>In the event broadband network project proponents should seek FirstNet licensing or permitting for their projects, the Federal Consistency Regulations have slightly different requirements and time frames. Three examples of these differences will suffice here:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The federal consistency document is called a "federal consistency certification" rather than a "federal consistency determination."</li> <li>• Projects or plans subject to federal licensing or permitting must be consistent with the enforceable policies of the VCP; the qualifier "to the maximum extent practicable" applies only to direct federal actions.</li> <li>• The time frame for the state's response is 180 days, with a requirement that the state provide a progress report in 90 days and an explanation of the reason for further delay in the response.</li> </ul> <p>The Federal Consistency Regulations address federal licensing and permitting in Sub- part D (sections 930.50 through 930.66).</p>	Once specific projects are identified, FirstNet will work with the appropriate federal, state, and local agencies to comply with all requirements.

FirstNet PEIS Scoping Comments

Date Rec'd	Format	Name	Organization	Topic	Comment	Response
11/18/2014	Mail	Ellie L. Irons	Commonwealth of VA - Department of Environmental Quality	Information on existing environment	<p>DATABASE LIST</p> <p>Below is a list of databases that may assist you in the preparation of the NEPA document:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• DEQ Online Database: Virginia Environmental Geographic Information Systems</li> <li>Information on Permitted Solid Waste Management Facilities, Impaired Waters, Petroleum Releases, Registered Petroleum Facilities, Permitted Discharge (Virginia Pollution Discharge Elimination System Permits) Facilities, Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA) Sites, Water Monitoring Stations, National Wetlands Inventory <a href="http://www.deq.virginia.gov/ConnectWithDEQ/NEGIS.aspx">www.deq.virginia.gov/ConnectWithDEQ/NEGIS.aspx</a></li> <li>• DEQ Virginia Coastal Geospatial and Educational Mapping System (GEMS)</li> <li>Virginia's coastal resource data and maps; coastal laws and policies; facts on coastal resource values; and direct links to collaborating agencies responsible for current data <a href="http://128.172.160.131/gems2/">http://128.172.160.131/gems2/</a></li> <li>• DEQ Permit Expert</li> <li>Helps determine if a DEQ permit is necessary <a href="http://www.deq.virginia.gov/permitexpert/">www.deq.virginia.gov/permitexpert/</a></li> <li>• OHR Data Sharing System</li> <li>Survey records in the OHR inventory <a href="http://www.dhr.virginia.gov/archives/dasharingsys.htm">www.dhr.virginia.gov/archives/dasharingsys.htm</a></li> <li>• OCR Natural Heritage Search</li> <li>Produces lists of resources that occur in specific counties, watersheds or physiographic regions <a href="http://www.dcr.virginia.gov/naturalheritage/dbsearchtool.shtml">www.dcr.virginia.gov/naturalheritage/dbsearchtool.shtml</a></li> <li>• DGI Fish and Wildlife Information Service Information about Virginia's Wildlife resources <a href="http://vafwits.org/fwits/">http://vafwits.org/fwits/</a></li> <li>• Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Information System (CERCLIS) Database: Superfund Information Systems</li> <li>Information on hazardous waste sites, potentially hazardous waste sites and remedial activities across the nation, including sites that are on the National Priorities List (NPL) or being considered for the NPL <a href="http://www.epa.gov/superfund/sites/crsites/index.htm">www.epa.gov/superfund/sites/crsites/index.htm</a></li> <li>• EPA RCRAInfo Search</li> <li>Information on hazardous waste facilities <a href="http://www.epa.gov/enviro/facts/rcrainfo/search.html">www.epa.gov/enviro/facts/rcrainfo/search.html</a></li> <li>• EPA Envirofacts Database</li> <li>EPA Environmental Information, including EPA-Regulated Facilities and Toxics Release Inventory Reports <a href="http://www.epa.gov/enviro/index.html">www.epa.gov/enviro/index.html</a></li> <li>• EPA NEPAassist Database</li> <li>Facilitates the environmental review process and project planning <a href="http://nepaassisttool.epa.gov/nepassist/entry.aspx">http://nepaassisttool.epa.gov/nepassist/entry.aspx</a></li> </ul> <p>If you have questions about the environmental review process and/or the federal consistency review process, please feel free to contact me (telephone (804) 698-4325 or e-mail <a href="mailto:ellie.iron@deq.virginia.gov">ellie.iron@deq.virginia.gov</a>) or John Fisher of this Office (telephone (804)698-4339 or e-mail <a href="mailto:john.fisher@deq.virginia.gov">john.fisher@deq.virginia.gov</a>).</p> <p>I hope this information is helpful to you.</p> <p>Ellie L. Irons, Program Manager Environmental Impact Review</p>	Thank you for your comment.

FirstNet PEIS Scoping Comments

Date Rec'd	Format	Name	Organization	Topic	Comment	Response
12/2/2014	Mail	Mark Alling	Commonwealth of VA - Department of Environmental Quality	Water Resources	<p>Dear Ms. Pereira:</p> <p>I have reviewed the Scoping for the above referenced project proposed by the National Telecommunications and Information Administration to prepare five regional Programmatic Environmental Impact Statements and conduct scoping meetings. FirstNet intends to build, deploy and operate an interoperable, nationwide public safety broadband network based on a single national network which will allow police, fire emergency medical and other professionals and entities to effectively communicate with each other across agencies and jurisdictions. PRO comments for this project are as follows:</p> <p>Water: Where building and deployment cross or impact surface and groundwater features, erosion and sediment controls should be properly implemented and maintained throughout all phases of construction. E &amp; S controls and Best Management Practices (BMPs) should be inspected/repared before and after rain events. Please follow all standards and specifications under the Virginia DCR Erosion &amp; Sediment Controls Handbook (1992, 3rd Edition). DEQ recommends maximizing pervious surface areas and green spaces in the construction design to reduce runoff and the environmental impact associated with urban runoff.</p> <p>Please contact Allison Dunaway at (804) 527-5086 for questions dealing with permitting of construction in and near wetlands. Please contact Emilee Adamson at (804) 527-5072 for questions dealing with construction or industrial stormwater permitting.</p>	Once specific projects are identified, FirstNet will work with the appropriate federal, state, and local agencies to comply with all requirements.
12/2/2014	Mail	Mark Alling	Commonwealth of VA - Department of Environmental Quality	Waste	<p>Waste: Hazardous or solid waste materials generated should be tested and removed in accordance with the Virginia Hazardous Waste Management Regulations (9 VAC 20-60) and/or the Virginia Solid Waste Management Regulations (9 VAC 20-80). Please understand that it is the generator's responsibility to determine if a solid waste meets the criteria of a hazardous waste and as a result be managed as such. In addition, asbestos waste, lead waste, or contaminated residues generated must be handled and disposed of in accordance with the VSWMR or VHWMR as applicable. DEQ recommends that pollution prevention principles be implemented to reduce the amount of wastes at the source, such as the re-use and recycling of waste materials. If you have any questions concerning hazardous/solid waste management, please contact Jason Miller at (804)527-5028.</p>	Once specific projects are identified, FirstNet will work with the appropriate federal, state, and local agencies to comply with all requirements.
12/2/2014	Mail	Mark Alling	Commonwealth of VA - Department of Environmental Quality	Air	<p>Air: DEQ recommends following all air quality standard and specifications to reduce or avoid the emissions of VOCs, especially during periods of high ozone. Fugitive dust should be kept to a minimum, (9 VAC 5-40-5630 et seq). Permits may be required for any boilers or fuel-burning equipment. For further questions, please contact James Kyle at (804) 527-5047.</p> <p>Sincerely, Mark S. Alling Water Monitoring and Planning Manager</p>	Once specific projects are identified, FirstNet will work with the appropriate federal, state, and local agencies to comply with all requirements.
12/11/2014	Meeting (New Orleans)	Catherine Cargo	Orleans Parish Communications District (OPCD)	FirstNet outreach	Work on outreach to NENA APCO and their local chapters.	FirstNet will continue to provide information regarding the NEPA process to our stakeholders and provide opportunities for all interested parties to provide input during the release of the draft and final PEISs.
12/11/2014	Mail and Email	Ronald P. Spark, M.D.	Public	Biological Resources	<p>Ms. Pereira:</p> <p>For over a decade I've been one of the hundreds of Tucsonans who dally walk Tucson's Tumamoc Hill. Sited in the midst of Downtown, this volcanic outcropping and Sonoran desert respite affords both the layman and the scientist the engagement and delight in a more than 100 years of reclaimed natural setting. In particular, I'm continually amazed when observing the broadly diverse and robust desert plants and animal species.</p>	Thank you for your comment.
12/11/2014	Mail and Email	Ronald P. Spark, M.D.	Public	Cultural / Historic resources	<p>Its built structures are of a recognized historic character and the trencheras and rock art recall the place as being sacred to the indigenous and extent peoples.</p>	Thank you for your comment.
12/11/2014	Mail and Email	Ronald P. Spark, M.D.	Public	Aesthetics / Recreational Use	<p>As a physician, I am touched by seeing some walkers using canes, braces and, even oxygen, to ascend and absorb the meaningfulness of the Hill. The place has an innate inspiring character.</p> <p>I trust the National Wifi Network will ensure the Public Safety but we must not allow any footprint to lessen the intrinsic public, scientific and cultural value of Tumamoc Hill.</p> <p>Sincerely yours, Ronald P. Spark, M.D. Past-President, Pima County Medical Society Clinical Associate Professor, University of Arizona College of Medicine</p>	Thank you for your comment.

FirstNet PEIS Scoping Comments

Date Rec'd	Format	Name	Organization	Topic	Comment	Response
12/15/2014	Email	Michael Rosenzweig	University of Arizona	NOI	<p>Dear Ms. Pereira, Please accept the attached pdf file as my comment on the proposed Firstnet system in Pima County, Arizona.</p> <p>BTW I met with four Firstnet people in Tucson at the scoping meeting. They brought professionalism and interest to it. I thought they included their contact information in the material they gave me, but I could not find it when I returned home. The first name of the leader was Genevieve and I would like very much to get in touch and thank her.</p> <p>Sincerely, Mike Michael I. Rosenzweig Director Tumamoc: People &amp; Habitats Professor University of Arizona</p>	Thank you for your comment.
12/15/2014	Email Attachment	Michael Rosenzweig	University of Arizona	Cultural / Historic resources	<p>Because of its location in the heart of Tucson, and its prominent elevation and many straight-line radio access paths to the city, this US National Historic Landmark was selected as one of the sites for a transmission tower in the Pima County system to insure interoperability among first responders. The tower is now working as legs for numerous antennae. But its construction was an historic mistake because it greatly erodes the integrity of the NHL.</p> <p>As it seems likely that FirstNet's new technology will collocate by default on the Tumamoc tower, I believe FirstNet needs to learn about the NHL so that its decisions will be fully informed and not directed to such a default position for lack of background data.</p> <p>I add that the County of Pima &amp; The University of Arizona agreed that as new technology was needed to replace the old on Tumamoc, the new would be deployed elsewhere and the old removed from Tumamoc Hill.</p>	Once specific projects are identified, FirstNet will work with the appropriate federal, state, and local agencies to comply with all requirements.
12/15/2014	Email Attachment	Michael Rosenzweig	University of Arizona	Cultural / Historic resources	<p>Ownership The Landmark has four major ownership divisions:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• 350 acres of fee simple land: owner, U of A</li> <li>• 200 acres from the original U of A land grant</li> <li>• 300 acres open space: owner, Pima County</li> <li>• 20 acres of former landfill: owner, City of Tucson (capped with an ecologically sound, evapotranspirative layer of soil that makes it available for experiments)</li> </ul>	Thank you for your comment.
12/15/2014	Email Attachment	Michael Rosenzweig	University of Arizona	Biological Resources	<p>Ecology</p> <p>Founded as The Carnegie Desert Botanical Laboratory in 1903, it instantly became a leader in ecological research. In 1975, the US Department of the Interior designated part of it and some of its structures a US National Historical Landmark. In 1981, the State of Arizona designated the Hill an "Environmental Research Natural Area."</p> <p>Most of what the world knows about the physiology and ecology of Arizona's iconic saguaro cactus comes from research that began on Tumamoc Hill in 1903 and continues to this very day. In 1985, University and USGS investigators were finally able to establish the nature of the sporadic reproduction of saguaros — it had taken us 80 years!</p> <p>More recently, the Hill hosted the discovery that the isotope ratios of saguaro cactus spines allow us to measure, for the first time, the climate of the Sonoran Desert during the past two centuries. And in 2014, one of its saguaros provided a tissue sample that resulted in the first genome description of any cactus species in the world.</p> <p>Tumamoc Hill is the site of nine plant ecology study quadrats that date from 1906 and are the world's oldest permanent ecology study plots. From 2010-2012, all quadrats were resurveyed with modern optical and digital tools, given GPS coordinates and recensused. All the data of the previous century-plus were digitized, filed with the National Park Service and made publicly available via the Ecological Society of America.</p> <p>Beginning in 1982, long transects were established to record and understand the ecology of more than 100 species of annuals (wildflowers). We now have an unbroken and growing record of 33 generations, capable of detecting subtle variations in environmental conditions such as water regime and weather.</p>	Thank you for your comment.
12/15/2014	Email Attachment	Michael Rosenzweig	University of Arizona	Cultural / Historic resources	<p>Conservation</p> <p>In 1987, the Interior Dept added the remainder of the 680-acre scientific reservation to the landmark in recognition of the Hill's importance to conservation. In 1906, it banished its active stone quarries and excluded domestic grazers and browsers with a 5(+) mile-long fence in order to allow the desert to return to a natural state. Thus was established the world's first restoration ecology project. It is the Hill's conservation status, one of national and international historical significance, whose integrity is severely damaged by the tower.</p>	Thank you for your comment.
12/15/2014	Email Attachment	Michael Rosenzweig	University of Arizona	Cultural / Historic resources	<p>Archaeology</p> <p>For nearly half a century, research on Tumamoc Hill has produced archaeological knowledge about the people who farmed in Tucson starting thousands of years ago. Archaeological remains on the Hill include massive, 2300-yr old trincheras (encircling walls and terraces), more than 150 structures, an array of almost 1000 petroglyphs, and an elaborate prehistoric trail system. The Hill was the site of three successive hilltop settlements with masonry architecture. Very recent work with the isotopes in potsherds shows that, for two millennia or more, Native Americans have been gathering together on the Hill from all around the Tucson basin. In 2010, the US Department of the Interior designated the land and its remains, The Tumamoc Hill Archaeological District of the United States of America.</p> <p>The present communications tower and its associated structures sit on the mesa top where much of the most charismatic ruins are located.</p> <p>Archaeologists must quickly rebury any new excavation to protect it. Any hope of creating an educational experience for visitors is thwarted.</p>	Thank you for your comment.
12/15/2014	Email Attachment	Michael Rosenzweig	University of Arizona	Cultural / Historic resources	<p>Significance to Native American Cultures</p> <p>Tumamoc Hill is a centerpiece of the history of the ancestors of Arizona's O'odham, including the Tohono O'odham Nation, the Ak Chin Indian Community, the Gila River Indian Community, and the Salt River (Pima-Maricopa) Indian Community. The Hill is sacred to all of them. The same is true of the Hopi Nation, and the Pasqua Yaqui, too. Both the University of Arizona and Pima County respect the sensitivity and traditions of native people regarding Tumamoc Hill. The university and the Nations agreed in writing that the footprint of western culture on the Hill would not be increased. When their permission was sought by the county to erect the current tower, they consented only because they were told it was necessary to save lives. Absent that consideration, they would surely prefer to see the tower removed.</p>	Once specific projects are identified, FirstNet will work with the appropriate federal, state, and local agencies, and federally-recognized Indian tribes, to comply with all requirements.

FirstNet PEIS Scoping Comments

Date Rec'd	Format	Name	Organization	Topic	Comment	Response
12/15/2014	Email Attachment	Michael Rosenzweig	University of Arizona	Aesthetics / Recreational Use	<p>Walking the Hill</p> <p>Each week, thousands of people wend their way along the Tumamoc Hill Road, ascending 800 feet to its mesa top. Without promotion or marketing, "Walking the Hill" has become a Tucson institution woven into the fabric of the community, uniting people from every socio-economic group within our region. The Hill hosts approximately 300,000 to 500,000 walking trips per year. For many, their Tumamoc walk has become a daily ritual. Recently the University of Arizona College of Medicine has begun work on a research project focused on the walkers. It studies the effects of the green desert environment in the midst of an urban heat island on the allostatic load of stress, well-being and spirituality. Meanwhile, despite the crowds, the Hill has no security apparatus or personnel. Instead it relies on the honor and sound judgment of walkers to stay off the mesa top itself. But the need for good security for the FirstNet system would seem to promise tension between the need for reliable interoperability and the demand for liberal public use. Put simply, if FirstNet's needs interfere with easy access to Tumamoc by walkers, the result will be a sustained gnashing of teeth.</p>	Thank you for your comment.
12/15/2014	Email Attachment	Michael Rosenzweig	University of Arizona	Cultural / Historic resources	<p>SUMMARY OF IMPACTS</p> <p>Use of the mesa top of Tumamoc Hill for a communication tower to support interoperability in Pima County will have the following negative impacts.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• It will establish, far into the future, a communication superstructure that amounts to a serious cultural, environmental and historical mistake.</li> <li>• It will erode the integrity of a National Historic Landmark.</li> <li>• It will prevent important archaeological resources from being made available to educate the public.</li> <li>• Either it will risk a clash between public use of the Hill for recreation, or else it will occupy an area without security.</li> <li>• It will frustrate the desire of six Native American nations to reduce the presence of unwelcome technical apparatus on a Hill invested with deep religious significance.</li> </ul> <p>Michael Rosenzweig 15 December 2014</p>	Once specific projects are identified, FirstNet will work with the appropriate federal, state, and local agencies, and federally-recognized Indian tribes, to comply with all requirements.

FirstNet PEIS Scoping Comments

Date Rec'd	Format	Name	Organization	Topic	Comment	Response
12/15/2014	Email	Paul Daylon	University of California San Diego	Cultural / Historic resources	Dear Friends, This note relates to the importance of including Tumamoc Hill, in Tucson, Arizona, in FirstNet. I write to support the inclusion of this facility. As you know it has several historic buildings but its most important ongoing legacy is the science.	Once specific projects are identified, FirstNet will work with the appropriate federal, state, and local agencies, and federally-recognized Indian tribes, to comply with all requirements.
12/15/2014	Email	Paul Daylon	University of California San Diego	Biological Resources	It very much is the home of the science of desert ecology starting over 100 years ago with Carnegie support. Over the century some of the best desert ecologists in the world spent their careers there developing a unique understanding of the evolution of a desert ecosystem over the last 100 thousand years. In recent time they established unique baseline data on desert plants that span most of the century. Their organization is unique and the facility priceless. I hope you can help protect it with FirstNet. Sincerely Paul Daylon	Thank you for your comment.
12/16/2014	Email	Rich Watson	Public	Cultural / Historic resources	I recently heard about the future involvement of First Net on Tumamoc Hill and am encouraged that the Federal Government is concerned about secure communications. However, on a more personal level, I am concerned about maintaining the integrity of this unique and irreplaceable historical and scientific resource. Unique, in part, because it has been guarded, researched and protected by the University of Arizona and many others for over a century. In ancient times, this was home to native people long before Europeans imagined our existence and a strong remnant of those people is still intact on the property. In addition, severe encroachment by recreational users (welcomed with sensitivity), the City of Tucson and high traffic on the perimeter causes substantial risk to this delicate property. Prior to construction of the new towers on Tumamoc, I was personally involved in discussions relating to use, impact and future maintenance. When bonds are passed, funding is available and agreement reached between multiple agencies and jurisdictions it is easy to make well intended promises. Such promises were made prior to the tower development with good intentions. History dictates that memories become short and promises are forgotten over time. In this particular case, it is my sincere hope that you take seriously your new responsibility as a joint caretaker of the history, management and protection of Tumamoc. Once damaged or destroyed, it can never be restored. Consequently, it is imperative that all who are caretakers never lose vigilance as we move into the future. Please respect the ancient people, the century of scientific study and Dr. Michael Rosenzweig, who is a highly qualified and deeply invested steward of this property. Rich Watson	Once specific projects are identified, FirstNet will work with the appropriate federal, state, and local agencies, and federally-recognized Indian tribes, to comply with all requirements.
12/16/2014	Email	Russell P. Long, CRB, CLHS	Long Realty Company	Cultural / Historic resources	To Whom It May Concern, Tumamoc Hill has been a fixture in our family since the very early 1900's when our great grandfather, Burton Bovee, began working there. Long before we every visited there and as children our mother told us tales of Burton working there, riding his horse and mule all over the Tucson basin collecting samples and specimens. As adults we became aware of the cultural and historical significance of the site as a result of the approximately 3,000 year old Hohokam Indian village atop the hill as well the historic volcanic stone buildings and their current uses. Certainly Tumamoc Hill is a local and national treasure worthy of preservation. Please feel free to contact me if you would like to discuss this or have questions. Thank you.  Russell P. Long, CRB, CLHS	Once specific projects are identified, FirstNet will work with the appropriate federal, state, and local agencies, and federally-recognized Indian tribes, to comply with all requirements.
12/19/2014	Email	R. Brooks Jeffery	University of Arizona	Cultural / Historic resources	Ms. Pereira: I'm writing at the request of Dr. Michael Rosenzweig to express my advocacy on behalf of Tumamoc Hill's preservation as a rich cultural landscape. Instead of a long essay defining cultural landscapes (if you don't already know) and recognizing Tumamoc Hill's significance as a multi-layered tell of natural and cultural features, I've attached a presentation I've given many times as a vehicle to educate the various constituencies for whom Tumamoc Hill holds value. I hope this assists to inform any future decisions that may impact Tumamoc Hill. Feel free to contact me directly with any specific questions. Sincerely, Brooks R. Brooks Jeffery	Once specific projects are identified, FirstNet will work with the appropriate federal, state, and local agencies, and federally-recognized Indian tribes, to comply with all requirements.
12/20/2014	Mail	Michael Kaiserman	Public	Cultural / Historic resources	Dear Ms. Pereira, I am writing to you to encourage your organization to join forces with many other organizations that are already supporting members for the preservation of the archaeology, cultural history and ecology of Tumamoc Hill. It is my perception that the FirstNet activity would provide a beneficial service to significantly broaden the exposure Tumamoc Hill would have nationally. As I have travelled to Egypt, Greece, Turkey, Israel, Great Britain, Norway, and Mexico were I have visited many of the historical and ancient wonders, not to mention many sites here in the U.S., I believe Tumamoc Hill ranks up there with all these sites in the same historical and ancient context. I trust your organization will come to the same conclusion and move forward with plans to include Tumamoc Hill in the FirstNet activity. Thank you very much for your consideration. Michael Kaiserman Engineering Fellow, Raytheon Missile Systems (Retired)	Thank you for your comment.
12/22/2014	Email	Bruce Hillpert	Public	Cultural / Historic resources	I urge you to protect the cultural resources on the top of Tumamoc Hill in Tucson. This historic/prehistoric site has unique constructions that give insight into the prehistory of the Southwest. Further constructions endangers these resources. I urge you to limit construction on this site to areas that have been previously disturbed and allow no further destruction of these resources. Thank you, Bruce Hillpert	Thank you for your comment.

FirstNet PEIS Scoping Comments

Date Rec'd	Format	Name	Organization	Topic	Comment	Response
12/22/2014	Email	Charles Broder	Public	Cultural / Historic resources	Allowing first responders to communicate with each other is a very important goal. I sincerely hope that this goal will not be allowed to compromise the important cultural remnants and significance of Tumamoc Hill. It is a treasure which must be preserved.	Thank you for your comment.
12/22/2014	Email	Gayle Harrison Hartman	Public	Cultural / Historic resources	FirstNet, I don't know exactly what you are planning for Tumamoc Hill but you need to know that it is a National Historic Landmark and, as an archaeological site, is listed on the National Register of Historic Places. The hill was used by prehistoric people at least as long ago as 500 B.C. The summit is surrounded by low basalt "walls" (linear rock piles extending for many yards), and the summit itself contains dozens of prehistoric pit structures. There are also over 700 examples of prehistoric rock art on the summit and slopes of the hill. These were recently recorded and published as "Tumamoc Rock Art Revisited: With a Focus on Temporal Affiliation and Management" by Gayle Harrison Hartmann and Peter C. Boyle. The monograph was part of Arizona State Museum Archaeological Series No. 208; the entire publication was entitled New Perspectives on the Rock Art and Prehistoric Settlement Organization of Tumamoc Hill, Tucson, Arizona, edited by Gayle Harrison Hartmann and Peter C. Boyle. It is extremely important that no damage be done to the basalt "walls," (trincheras in Spanish), pit house structures, rock art and other manifestations of prehistoric or historic activity on the hill. If you have not already done so, please contact Todd Pitezal at the Arizona State Museum as soon as possible. He is the archaeologist in charge of protecting the hill. pitezal@email.arizona.edu. Thank you, Gayle Harrison Hartmann	Thank you for your comment.
12/22/2014	Email	Georgia Erdmann	Arizona Site Steward	Cultural / Historic resources	Thank you for your consideration when you make decisions regarding placing a tower on Historic Tumamoc Hill. It is a great relief to know that you will use the pads that are already in existence and thus save some endangerment of this ancient site. It is such a great opportunity to work together to honor the ancient archaeology of the area. Thank you again. Respectfully, Georgia Erdmann	FirstNet does not yet have a network design, however we will work to avoid adverse impacts to sensitive resources wherever possible. Once specific projects are identified, FirstNet will work with the appropriate federal, state, and local agencies, and federally-recognized Indian tribes, to comply with all requirements.
12/22/2014	Email	Jane Levin	Public	Cultural / Historic resources	I am a volunteer archeological site steward in Pima County. I am writing to encourage you to restrict any construction on the antenna pads on Tumamoc Hill. The trincheras there are ancient and precious and need to be protected. Thank you for your consideration. Sincerely, Jane Levin	Thank you for your comment.
12/22/2014	Email	Jaye S. Smith	Public	Cultural / Historic resources	Dear Ms. Pereira: As a Pima County resident and an avid archaeological enthusiast, I am extremely concerned about the proposed impact to the most important site, both historically and archaeologically, in Pima County - Tumamoc Hill. This site is extremely important for ongoing research about Hohokam Cultures, as well as immense local historical value to many of Pima County's first pioneer families, the University of Arizona, the UA School of Anthropology and the Arizona State Museum.  Please help protect Tumamoc Hill by limiting the proposed construction to the existing antenna pads. I fully realize the importance of providing advanced communications for our first responders, but it is also important to protect the ancient trincheras sites and petroglyphs such as those found on Tumamoc Hill that we can never replace or restore once impacted. So many important archaeological sites in Pima County have been lost in recent years; we just can not afford to lose a treasure as important as Tumamoc Hill. As a proud member the Arizona State Museum, the Arizona Archaeological and Historical Society and Archaeology Southwest, I am committed to offer whatever help or assistance is needed to develop a plan that will provide the necessary communications structure and preserve this irreplaceable Hohokam site.  Thank you for your attention; Sincerely, Jaye S. Smith	FirstNet does not yet have a network design, however we will work to avoid adverse impacts to sensitive resources wherever possible. Once specific projects are identified, FirstNet will work with the appropriate federal, state, and local agencies, and federally-recognized Indian tribes, to comply with all requirements.
12/22/2014	Email	Judith Reisman	Site Steward	Cultural / Historic resources	As an archeological site steward, I help protect a very old Hohokam site from theft and vandalism. I am in complete support of creating a first responders wifi network, but respectfully ask that any new hardware installations on Tumamoc Hill be confined to existing hardware sites so that the rest of this precious archeological site remains. It is wonderful to think of using the internet to help our first responders in disasters and emergencies. It is also wonderful that you'all are so ready to be partners in preserving the rest of the aspects of this site. Thank you, Judith Reisman, site steward	Thank you for your comment.
12/22/2014	Email	Kaitlin Meadows & Albert Lannon	Wild Heart Ranch	Cultural / Historic resources	Please limit FirstNet construction on Tucson's Tumamoc Hill to existing antenna sites so that new footprints are not created. Any new work away from already-disturbed areas will impact negatively on ancient archeological sites, sites that contain habitation and farming areas, rock art with an amazing number of solstice and equinox markers, and artifacts that continue to help archaeologists understand the ancient history of this important area. Several years ago we helped document some of those solstice markers. To stand on the top of Tumamoc Hill as the sun rose in the east and the full moon set in the west on the Winter Solstice and see the sudden light -- "sun daggers" -- on petroglyphs mark the changing of the season was a magical and humbling experience. It speaks to the knowledge, skill, and ability of those ancient people as something well worth preserving. Thank you, Kaitlin Meadows & Albert Lannon	Thank you for your comment.

FirstNet PEIS Scoping Comments

Date Rec'd	Format	Name	Organization	Topic	Comment	Response
12/22/2014	Email	Katherine Cerino	Arizona Archaeological and Historical Society	Cultural / Historic resources	First, I am pleased with the Firstnet efforts - this seems like a very sensible idea. The purpose of this note is to bring to your attention the importance archaeologically of Tumamoc Hill in Tucson. The Hill has already been impacted by many towers some of which are no longer in use. I would like to ensure that the development you carry out on the Hill uses the existing disturbed footprint rather than adding to it. The Hill is archaeologically unique in the Tucson Basin in that it has some of the earliest habitation sites dating to 500 BC and some of the earliest pottery in the Basin. It was later used by the Hohokam people who pecked rock art over a great deal of the hill concentrating on the top where developmental impact is greatest. In addition, there are unique prehistoric walls around the hill. It was clearly an important and sacred place in the past and if you go up there today and simply look at the spectacular 360 degree view without even considering the importance of the past it is obviously a special place. Thank you, Katherine Cerino	Thank you for your comment.
12/22/2014	Email	Lance Trask	Public	Cultural / Historic resources	Dear Sir or Madam: I applaud the government for coming up with plans to have Wi-Fi available to first responders and an agency to oversee those plans. Communication at the beginning of an event is critical and can make the difference between life and death. It is likely that antennae(s) or repeaters will be considered at a location called Tumamoc Hill. It is ideal because it has a 360 degree view of a considerable portion of Southern Arizona. It is also on the National Register and holds valuable cultural resources. Some disturbances have already occurred on Tumamoc Hill and I urge you to consider placing any equipment in areas already impacted. Access to the top of the hill is via existing roads and these roads should be adequate for transporting and installing the equipment for the proposed Wi-Fi system. Currently the top of the hill is off limits and behind locked gates, so any installed equipment will be fairly well protected. I also urge you to work closely with the archaeological community within the Tucson area as they can provide expertise and work with the agency so it's needs are met and the cultural resources are preserved for the future. Thank you very much, Lance K. Trask	FirstNet does not yet have a network design, however we will work to avoid adverse impacts to sensitive resources wherever possible. Once specific projects are identified, FirstNet will work with the appropriate federal, state, and local agencies, and federally-recognized Indian tribes, to comply with all requirements.
12/22/2014	Email	Linda Steljes	Public	Cultural / Historic resources	As a historically and archaeologically sensitive area, I am asking that FirstNet help protect the ancient trincheras on Tumamoc Hill by restricting construction to the existing antenna pads, so our first responders can communicate while still allowing Pima County and the University of Arizona to protect this important place of the past. I am a member of Arizona State Parks Site Stewards, and we are all volunteers who devote our time and energy to preserving, monitoring and protecting historical Hohokam and other paleo-Indian sites in Arizona. Human history in the Southwest (and everywhere) is essential to understanding our ancestors and we should all be stewards of the sites that reveal clues to human civilization and how people lived in the past. What may not look important to the untrained eye can hold great significance to our understanding. Thank you for your attention on this matter. We can all work together to preserve and protect our history. Sincerely, Linda Steljes	FirstNet does not yet have a network design, however we will work to avoid adverse impacts to sensitive resources wherever possible. Once specific projects are identified, FirstNet will work with the appropriate federal, state, and local agencies, and federally-recognized Indian tribes, to comply with all requirements.
12/22/2014	Email	Peggy Wenrick	Arizona Site Steward	Cultural / Historic resources	I understand how important the project proposed for installation on Tumamoc Hill in Tucson, Arizona is for promoting quick response in emergencies. However, I want to stress the need for careful planning and execution of the project. I am an Arizona Site Steward who regularly monitors the condition of the archeological district elements on Tumamoc. Even after many visits, I am still awed to realize that early peoples created structures and lived in this special space. I request that every effort be made to minimize the footprint of the upcoming work and strongly urge the structure(s) be confined to the antennae pads already existing. Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Peggy Wenrick	FirstNet does not yet have a network design, however we will work to avoid adverse impacts to sensitive resources wherever possible. Once specific projects are identified, FirstNet will work with the appropriate federal, state, and local agencies, and federally-recognized Indian tribes, to comply with all requirements.
12/22/2014	Email	Peter J. Baum	Public	Cultural / Historic resources	Hello Ms Pereira: Please accept my fervent plea that any Firstnet access to, and construction on, Tumamoc Hill be done with the utmost sensitivity to the petroglyphs and ruins of Tucson's first public architecture, going back over two thousand years! I've called Tucson home for fifty 50 years and worked downtown for the last 38. I've had the privilege of spending time atop the hill with extraordinary experts Paul and Suzi Fish, as well as fascinating petroglyph experts. I've sadly watched Tumamoc being "loved too much" by looters, and "loved too little" by Pima County's and the University of Arizona's budgetary slinginess. Too much irreversible damage has been done already. Please encourage Firstnet to be extraordinarily sensitive to the unique culture treasures still left on Tumamoc, minimize work to existing pads and overall tread with the lightest footprint possible. Thank you Peter J. Baum	FirstNet does not yet have a network design, however we will work to avoid adverse impacts to sensitive resources wherever possible. Once specific projects are identified, FirstNet will work with the appropriate federal, state, and local agencies, and federally-recognized Indian tribes, to comply with all requirements.
12/22/2014	Email	Robert Wenrick	Arizona Site Steward	Cultural / Historic resources	I understand how important the project proposed for installation on Tumamoc Hill in Tucson, Arizona is for promoting quick response in emergencies. However, I want to stress the need for careful planning and execution of the project. I am an Arizona Site Steward who regularly monitors the condition of the archeological district elements on Tumamoc. Even after many visits, I am still awed to realize that early peoples created structures and lived in this special space. I request that every effort be made to minimize the footprint of the upcoming work and strongly urge the structure(s) be confined to the antennae pads already existing. Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Robert Wenrick	FirstNet does not yet have a network design, however we will work to avoid adverse impacts to sensitive resources wherever possible. Once specific projects are identified, FirstNet will work with the appropriate federal, state, and local agencies, and federally-recognized Indian tribes, to comply with all requirements.
12/23/2014	Email	Denise Waldo	Pima County Procurement	Cultural / Historic resources	Please help protect the ancient trincheras on Tumamoc Hill by restricting construction to the existing antenna pads, so our first responders can communicate while still allowing Pima County and the University of Arizona to protect this important place of the past. My husband & I have been involved in a volunteer program to help protect archaeology sites for years. We are lucky in Arizona to have many wonderful & important sites, Tumamoc Hill being one of them. We respectfully ask that you consider the adverse impact your project could have on this site & do all you can to help protect it. Thank you. Denise Waldo, CPPB	FirstNet does not yet have a network design, however we will work to avoid adverse impacts to sensitive resources wherever possible. Once specific projects are identified, FirstNet will work with the appropriate federal, state, and local agencies, and federally-recognized Indian tribes, to comply with all requirements.

FirstNet PEIS Scoping Comments

Date Rec'd	Format	Name	Organization	Topic	Comment	Response
12/23/2014	Email	Fran Maiuri	Public	Cultural / Historic resources	Dear Ms. Amanda Pereira: I am a resident of Tucson, Arizona and I am writing to ask you to minimize the impact on Tumamoc Hill in Tucson, AZ during the construction of the FirstNet communication system. This is an extremely rich Archaeological site, one of the most important in the Tucson area. There is much on the mountain that could still inform us about our early ancestors and those features and artifact should not be disturbed. I understand the value of the FirstNet communication being put in place and support the project as long as the land where current antenna pads exist is used for the work. Please do not disturb any of the rest of this site, the archaeological site and any of the natural features and environment. Let's do this work so that the area where our prehistoric ancestors lived, worked, worshipped and recreated is untouched	FirstNet does not yet have a network design, however we will work to avoid adverse impacts to sensitive resources wherever possible. Once specific projects are identified, FirstNet will work with the appropriate federal, state, and local agencies, and federally-recognized Indian tribes, to comply with all requirements.
12/23/2014	Email	Fran Maiuri	Public	Biological Resources	and where native plants, animals, insects and birds continue to enjoy this natural area within Tucson. What is disturbed cannot be brought back again and will no longer be available as natural habitat and for future research and better understanding of the past. Thank you. Sincerely, Fran Maiuri	
12/23/2014	Email	John A. Armstrong	Public	Cultural / Historic resources	Please help preserve areas of archaeological interest on Tumamoc Hill in Tucson, Arizona by limiting construction to existing antenna pads.	FirstNet does not yet have a network design, however we will work to avoid adverse impacts to sensitive resources wherever possible. Once specific projects are identified, FirstNet will work with the appropriate federal, state, and local agencies, and federally-recognized Indian tribes, to comply with all requirements.
12/23/2014	Email	Keith Bagwell	District Five Pima County Supervisor	NOI	Ms. Amanda Pereira, Please see the attached letter, submitted on behalf of District Five Pima County Supervisor Richard Elias as comments on activities FirstNet is considering with regard to Tumamoc Hill in Tucson, Arizona. The original letter will be sent to you via postal mail. Yours truly, Keith Bagwell	FirstNet does not yet have a network design, however we will work to avoid adverse impacts to sensitive resources wherever possible. Once specific projects are identified, FirstNet will work with the appropriate federal, state, and local agencies, and federally-recognized Indian tribes, to comply with all requirements.
12/23/2014	Email	M. Nichols	Public	Cultural / Historic resources	Tumamoc Hill is a critical site of an ancient inhabited area, 10,000 plus years ago, in North America. There is only one other site similar to this one, in Sonora, Mexico. It is imperative that old pads be used for the towers, protecting the areas that have not been disturbed. This site is not only a treasure for the residents of Tucson and the University of Arizona, it is a treasure on the North American Continent. Your help in protecting this site is invaluable and will become an excellent public relations tool as your company expands. Thank you for becoming partners in protecting such a unique and ancient example of early civilization in the Americas. M. Nichols	FirstNet does not yet have a network design, however we will work to avoid adverse impacts to sensitive resources wherever possible. Once specific projects are identified, FirstNet will work with the appropriate federal, state, and local agencies, and federally-recognized Indian tribes, to comply with all requirements.
12/23/2014	Mail	Richard Elias	Pima County Board of Supervisors	Cultural / Historic resources	Dear Ms. Pereira, It has come to my attention that FirstNet activities might have an impact on Tumamoc Hill, an iconic landmark that towers over the west side of the Tucson metropolitan area, in the Pima County District that I am elected to represent. Tumamoc Hill is a very special place. As a result the Pima County Board of Supervisors, upon which I serve, bought 320 acres of land on and around the hill in 2009 to protect it from development and unsuitable uses. There are now 860 acres of land on and around the hill protected in perpetuity. This hill was inhabited by Native Americans for thousands of years, ancestors of today's Tohono O'odham Nation members, and carries an O'odham name, Tumamoc, which is their word for horned lizard. Remains of their residency and farming on the hill are visible and subject of substantial study.	FirstNet does not yet have a network design, however we will work to avoid adverse impacts to sensitive resources wherever possible. Once specific projects are identified, FirstNet will work with the appropriate federal, state, and local agencies, and federally-recognized Indian tribes, to comply with all requirements.
12/23/2014	Mail	Richard Elias	Pima County Board of Supervisors	Biological Resources	The Carnegie Foundation established a Desert Botanical Laboratory on Tumamoc Hill in 1903 to study scientifically the unique flora of the Sonoran Desert, and the buildings associated with it are together a National Historic Landmark. A University of Arizona operation since 1960, the laboratory has studied desert flora continuously for longer than any other facility in the world. Its records are priceless.	Once specific projects are identified, FirstNet will work with the appropriate federal, state, and local agencies, and federally-recognized Indian tribes, to comply with all requirements.
12/23/2014	Mail	Richard Elias	Pima County Board of Supervisors	Aesthetics / Recreational Use	Tumamoc's unique shape and urban presence set it off as a unique and special sight for area residents and their visitors. The narrow, winding road up it leading to the laboratory has become a very popular exercise path for thousands of local residents. Tumamoc Hill is a special iconic feature that deserves protection and its many fragile features require careful treatment. Sincerely Richard Elias District Five Pima County Supervisor	Thank you for your comment.
12/23/2014	Email	Sherry Massie	Public	Cultural / Historic resources	Dear Ms. Pereira, I understand that FirstNet is a federal program which will allow first responders all over the U.S. to communicate with each other, as needed, by deploying a new national Wi-Fi network using a reserved public safety broadband range. I think this is a wonderful goal for our nation, but I realize this may also impact a very important historical/archaeological site - the ancient trincheras on Tumamoc Hill in Tucson, AZ. Would you please consider restricting construction to the existing antenna pads so that as little impact as possible occurs to this historic area? Although I have lived in Tucson for 13 years, I only recently visited this site through the auspices of the Arizona Archaeological and Historical Society. I had no idea that there were trincheras there dated to 300 B.C., and that there was evidence of Hohokam settlement dating to 800 A.D. I saw some amazing rock art, as well as evidence of solar markers and alignments. It's an impressive site so close to a major urban area, and one that needs to be preserved for everyone to be able to have to same opportunity as I had to learn and enjoy part of our southwestern legacy. I hope you will be able to complete your Wi-Fi goal as well as helping preserve this important landmark. Thank you for your consideration. Sincerely yours, Sherry Massie	FirstNet does not yet have a network design, however we will work to avoid adverse impacts to sensitive resources wherever possible. Once specific projects are identified, FirstNet will work with the appropriate federal, state, and local agencies, and federally-recognized Indian tribes, to comply with all requirements.

FirstNet PEIS Scoping Comments

Date Rec'd	Format	Name	Organization	Topic	Comment	Response
12/24/2014	Email	Aaron D. Flesch, Ph.D.	University of Arizona	Cultural / Historic resources	Dear Ms. Amanda Pereira: It has come my attention that the activities of Firstnet may eventually affect the ecological, social, and cultural values of Tumamoc Hill. Thus, I wanted to write to you to express the value of Tumamoc so that this information can be applied when evaluating the potential impacts of any proposed Firstnet activities on or around Tumamoc. Tumamoc Hill is a National Historic Landmark, a U.S. Archaeological District, and its value to the local, regional, national, and global communities are immense.	FirstNet does not yet have a network design, however we will work to avoid adverse impacts to sensitive resources wherever possible. Once specific projects are identified, FirstNet will work with the appropriate federal, state, and local agencies, and federally-recognized Indian tribes, to comply with all requirements.
12/24/2014	Email	Aaron D. Flesch, Ph.D.	University of Arizona	Biological Resources	As an ecologist that works on the Hill, and as a member of the Tucson community that walks the Hill and helps interpret its natural history and ecology to the public, I can speak specifically about Tumamoc's ecological and social values. In the first decade of the 1900s, some of our nation's first ecologists were tasked with locating a site to study desert plants and placing what would become the US' first ecological research station. At that time when the landscape was largely undeveloped and options for placing the stations nearly unlimited, they considered sites in Arizona, New Mexico, California, and the neighboring Mexican states of Sonora and Chihuahua. In the end, they chose Tumamoc for the site because of its remarkable diversity, exceptional natural qualities, and the fact that the Hill and surroundings included a large number of plant communities for study. Those facts speak to the uniqueness and incredible value of the Hill and the natural vegetation that still covers it. For the next 100 years scientists working on the Hill have made immeasurable contributions to our understanding of how the natural world is structured and how it functions, and those activities continue to this day under the leadership of Director Rosenzweig.	Thank you for your comment.
12/24/2014	Email	Aaron D. Flesch, Ph.D.	University of Arizona	Aesthetics / Recreational Use	As the surroundings around the Hill have changed over the last 100 years, the values of Tumamoc have grown. Tumamoc sees tens or perhaps hundreds of thousands of visitors each year of all ages and backgrounds. Many of those visitors live in a suburban or urban environment where they have little opportunity to experience the Sonoran Desert in its natural state and to connect with nature on deeper spiritual and aesthetic levels. Those qualities and experiences are offered by Tumamoc because of its close proximity to those populations and the accessibility the University of Arizona and the station's Director have provided. Please consider the remarkable and multifaceted values of Tumamoc Hill and the Desert Laboratory in your plans and proposals related to the Firstnet project. Feel free to contact me at the address below if I can be of help. My regards Aaron D. Flesch, Ph.D.	FirstNet does not yet have a network design, however we will work to avoid adverse impacts to sensitive resources wherever possible. Once specific projects are identified, FirstNet will work with the appropriate federal, state, and local agencies, and federally-recognized Indian tribes, to comply with all requirements.
12/24/2014	Email	Brian Metcalf	Public	Cultural / Historic resources	Ms. Amanda: I am contacting you regarding the planned update of the communications infrastructure on Tumamoc Hill for first responders. Tumamoc is almost in the heart of Tucson. It is been a protected area of biological research for over a century, contains invaluable archaeological artifacts that are well over 2000 years old. I ask you to please protect those irreplaceable resources for future generations. Please restrict your construction activities to existing antenna pads. Thank you. Brian Metcalf	FirstNet does not yet have a network design, however we will work to avoid adverse impacts to sensitive resources wherever possible. Once specific projects are identified, FirstNet will work with the appropriate federal, state, and local agencies, and federally-recognized Indian tribes, to comply with all requirements.

FirstNet PEIS Scoping Comments

Date Rec'd	Format	Name	Organization	Topic	Comment	Response
12/24/2014	Mail	Courtney Rose, PhD.	Pima County Sustainability and Conservation	Cultural / Historic resources	<p>Dear Ms. Perera:</p> <p>This letter is a response to the request for comments on the proposed undertaking published in the Notice in the Federal Register (Vol. 79, No. 218). Tumamoc Hill has several important federal and state designations. Comprised of some 870 acres, it is an Archaeological District listed in the National Register of Historic Places and the Desert Laboratory was designated a National Historic Landmark in 1965. In 1976, the Desert Laboratory and Tumamoc Hill were together designated a National Environmental Study Area by the Department of Interior; and designated by the State of Arizona as a State Scientific and Educational Natural Area in 1981. Tumamoc Hill is also considered a traditional cultural property and ancestral site to local Tribes.</p> <p>Tumamoc Hill's peak rises to an elevation of 3,108 ft (947 m) above sea level. Located just west of downtown Tucson in T14S, R13E, Sections 9, 10, 16, and 15, the preservation of its cultural and scientific significance is of great importance to the local community and at a national level. Land ownership includes the University of Arizona on behalf of the Board of Regents, Pima County, Arizona State Land Department, and the City of Tucson.</p> <p>Archaeological surveys of Tumamoc Hill began in the 1970s followed by subsequent limited archaeological excavations. Known as Cemamagi Doag in O'odham, Tumamoc Hill, archaeological site designated AZ AA:16 :6(ASMJ), is known to have multiple prehistoric occupations that left behind remnants of large rock walls (trincheras), petroglyphs, agricultural fields, pit-houses, and O'odham cemeteries.</p> <p>A recent undertaking on Tumamoc Hill included the consolidation of wireless facilities and replacing several towers with a single communications tower (by the Pima County Wireless Integrative Network (PCWIN) project implemented in 2014). As the construction included consolidation and the dismantling unused buildings, the overall footprint was reduced. State, Federal, and Tribal consultation resulted in a determination of Adverse Effect to the Area of Potential Effect for Direct Effects and for Visual Effects to the Tumamoc Hill Archaeological District. The undertaking licensed by the Federal Communications Commission (FCC) required an Environmental Assessment to fulfill requirements under the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) and a Memorandum of Agreement was executed to fulfill Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act (NHPA). Mitigation strategies included archaeological data recovery and cultural sensitivity education program in accordance with the Arizona State Historic Preservation Office and the Advisory Council on Historic Preservation. The University of Arizona Tumamoc Hill Cultural Resources Policy and Management Plan (2008) specifies tribal interests in restoring Tumamoc Hill to its former natural condition.</p>	FirstNet does not yet have a network design, however we will work to avoid adverse impacts to sensitive resources wherever possible. Once specific projects are identified, FirstNet will work with the appropriate federal, state, and local agencies, and federally-recognized Indian tribes, to comply with all requirements.
12/24/2014	Mail	Courtney Rose, PhD.	Pima County Sustainability and Conservation	Cultural / Historic resources	<p>In summary, Tumamoc Hill official designations include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>-The Desert Laboratory (comprising 870 acres on Tumamoc Hill) was designated a National Historic Landmark in 1965, and in 1966 was listed in the National Register of Historic Places (National Register No.66000190). Active biological studies are ongoing on a portion of the hill, which was designated as a National Environmental Study area in 1976 by the U.S. Department of the Interior and designated as an Arizona State Scientific and Educational Natural Area in 1981 by the Arizona State Parks Board.</li> <li>-The same 870 acres comprises the Tumamoc Hill Archaeological District, which was listed in the National Register of Historic Places in 2010.</li> <li>-The Tohono O'odham Nation, the Hopi Tribe, the Pascua Yaqui Tribe, the Gila River Indian Community, the Ak-Chin Indian Community, and the Salt River Pima-Maricopa Indian Community consider Tumamoc Hill an ancestral site of cultural significance.</li> <li>-Should FirstNet propose to include Tumamoc Hill in its network planning, it is critical that the cultural, natural, and scientific significance of this site be considered and impacts to the site be avoided.</li> </ul> <p>Sincerely, Courtney Rose, Ph.D., Program Coordinator Pima County Office of Sustainability &amp; Conservation Cultural Resources and Historic Preservation Division</p>	FirstNet does not yet have a network design, however we will work to avoid adverse impacts to sensitive resources wherever possible. Once specific projects are identified, FirstNet will work with the appropriate federal, state, and local agencies, and federally-recognized Indian tribes, to comply with all requirements.
12/24/2014	Email	Courtney Rose, PhD.	Pima County Public Works Center, Office of Sustainability and Conservation	NOI	<p>Good afternoon:</p> <p>Please see attached document with comments regarding Tumamoc Hill, located in Tucson, Arizona. The letter is a response to a request for comments by the First Responder Network Authority NOI to Prepare Programmatic Environmental Impact Statements and Conduct Scoping for the Nationwide Public Safety Broadband Network [Federal Register/Vol 79/No. 218]. Thank you for your consideration.</p> <p>Courtney Rose</p>	Thank you for your comment.
12/26/2014	Email	Steve Long	Long Realty Company	Cultural / Historic resources	<p>Thank you Russell! Let me know how I can help.</p> <p>Steve</p> <p>On Tue, Dec 16, 2014 at 6:36 AM, Long, Russell &lt;long@longrealty.com&gt; wrote: To Whom It May Concern, Tumamoc Hill has been a fixture in our family since the very early 1900's when our great grandfather, Burton Bovee, began working there. Long before we every visited there and as children our mother told us tales of Burton working there, riding his horse and mule all over the Tucson basin collecting samples and specimens. As adults we became aware of the cultural and historical significance of the site as a result of the approximately 3,000 year old Hohokam Indian village atop the hill as well the historic volcanic stone buildings and their current uses. Certainly Tumamoc Hill is a local and national treasure worthy of preservation. Please feel free to contact me if you would like to discuss this or have questions. Thank you.</p> <p>Russell P. Long, CRB, CLHS</p>	Thank you for your comment.
12/27/2014	Email	Quincy M. Kennedy	Public	Cultural / Historic resources	<p>Thank you for offering to read our comments on the proposed communications towers on Tumamoc Hill. I study archaeology and am intimately aware of the hill's value as a cultural resource. Communication for first responders is very important, but please be careful with the cultural resources up there.</p>	Thank you for your comment.
12/28/2014	Email	Doug Little	Public	Cultural / Historic resources	<p>Please protect the ancient <i>trincheras</i> on Tumamoc Hill by restricting construction to the existing antenna pads, so our first responders can communicate while still allowing Pima County and the University of Arizona to protect this important place of the past.</p>	Thank you for your comment.
12/28/2014	Email	Larry Venable	University of Arizona	Cultural / Historic resources	<p>I am writing to explain to you the high cultural, historic and ecological value of Tumamoc, a research station of the University of Arizona in Tucson. This property is sacred to 5 southwestern native American tribes, with human constructions dating back at least 2,000 years.</p>	Thank you for your comment.
12/28/2014	Email	Larry Venable	University of Arizona	Biological Resources	<p>Since 1903 it has been an ecological research station, first of the Carnegie Institute of Washington, now of the University of Arizona. Important work in the history of ecology was and is conducted here. Some ongoing long-term ecological projects have been running for over 100 years and the data has been recently archived at Ecological Archives, Ecological Society of America. There are over 20 ongoing ecological projects, some funded by the National Science Foundation.</p> <p>I invite you to please join us in preserving and enhancing this wonderful long-standing resource.</p> <p>Larry Venable</p>	Thank you for your comment.

FirstNet PEIS Scoping Comments

Date Rec'd	Format	Name	Organization	Topic	Comment	Response
12/28/2014	Email	Marilyn Guida	Public	Cultural / Historic resources	<p>Dear Ms Amanda Pereira,</p> <p>I write to urge that the FirstNet need for access to Tumamoc Hill in Tucson, Arizona will contribute to preservation of the cultural, archaeological and biological resources of the area by making use of the existing pads for the antennas, transmitters, and other equipment needed by FirstNet.</p> <p>This is an area of cultural significance to the Tohono O'odham Tribe from the 15th Century to modern times. It also contains evidence of occupation from the Early Agricultural Period of the indigenous people as far back as 2,000 years ago as well as the Hohokam people circa A.D. 800 (1100 years ago). This length of human occupation is highly significant and an important reason why modern construction in this area should not be expanded. The University of Arizona currently manages many currently active research projects into the cultural and biological resources of this area. This is an additional reason why expansion of present areas impacted construction should not be allowed.</p> <p>Perhaps most important of all is the impact to the Tohono O'odham people who have used this area for at least five centuries and continue to use it today. As the first Americans, we should respect their longstanding rights to use of Tumamoc Hill as our first priority.</p> <p>Thank you for considering this plea, Marilyn Guida</p>	<p>FirstNet does not yet have a network design, however we will work to avoid adverse impacts to sensitive resources wherever possible. Once specific projects are identified, FirstNet will work with the appropriate federal, state, and local agencies, and federally-recognized Indian tribes, to comply with all requirements.</p>
12/28/2014	Email	Michael Rosenzweig	University of Arizona	Cultural / Historic resources	<p>Dear Ms Pereira,</p> <p>I have more to add to the FirstNet scoping process.</p> <p>As I promised, I have tracked down and am sending a number of documents relating to Tumamoc Hill. Eight pdf files are attached. (There could have been more if there had been more time.)</p> <p>The files include:</p> <p>** three from county documents of November 2007. One of these contains comments of US Rep Raul M. Grijalva, as well as the strong point made by Dr. Ned Norris Jr. (Chairman of the Tohono O'odham Nation), i.e., that Tumamoc has spiritual significance to the Nation and other tribes. (By the way, Pima County, in early 2009, did buy the land mentioned in the discussions. I have a video of the auction.)</p> <p>** three from The University of Arizona management plan for Tumamoc. These cover the 2007 plan of the City of Tucson, acknowledgment of the importance of the Hill to native tribes, and restrictions on lessees to prevent further degradation of the Hill.</p> <p>** an excerpt from an Island Press book about restoration ecology, acknowledging that Tumamoc Hill originated this crucial part of environmental conservation.</p> <p>** an excerpt from a recent newsletter of the University's Dept of Ecology &amp; Evolutionary Biology.</p> <p>Thank you again for the care you have taken to learn about our area in preparation for FirstNet planning.</p> <p>Sincerely, Michael Rosenzweig Director, Tumamoc: People &amp; Habitats University of Arizona Tucson</p>	<p>Thank you for your comment.</p>

FirstNet PEIS Scoping Comments

Date Rec'd	Format	Name	Organization	Topic	Comment	Response
12/29/2014	Email Attachment	Ann McPherson	U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Region IX	NOI	<p>Dear Ms. Pereira :</p> <p>The U.S. Environmental Protection Agency has reviewed the November 12, 2014 Notice of Intent to prepare Programmatic Environmental Impact Statements and Conduct Scoping for the Nationwide Public Safety Broadband Network. Our comments are provided pursuant to the National Environmental Policy Act, Council on Environmental Quality regulations (40 CFR Parts 1500-1508) and § 309 of the Clean Air Act.</p> <p>To assist in the scoping process for this project, we have identified several issues for your attention in the preparation of the Western regional EIS. We are most concerned about the following issues: impacts to water and air, impacts to biological resources, invasive species management, and habitat protection.</p> <p>We appreciate the opportunity to review this NOI and are available to discuss our comments. Please send one hard copy of the Draft PEIS and one CD ROM copy to this office at the same time it is officially filed with our Washington D.C. Office. If you have any questions, please contact me at (415) 972-3545, or contact Scott Sysum, the lead reviewer for this project. Scott can be reached at (415) 972- 3742 or sysum.scott@epa.gov.</p>	Thank you for your comment.
12/29/2014	Email Attachment	Ann McPherson	U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Region IX	Purpose and Need	<p>US EPA DETAILED COMMENTS ON THE NOTICE OF INTENT TO PREPARE PROGRAMMATIC ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT STATEMENTS AND CONDUCT SCOPING FOR THE NATIONWIDE PUBLIC SAFETY BROADBAND NETWORK, DECEMBER 29, 2014</p> <p>Statement of Purpose and Need</p> <p>The Draft Programmatic Environmental Impact Statement should clearly identify the underlying purpose and need to which the First Responder Network Authority is responding in proposing the alternatives (40 CFR 1502.13). The purpose of the proposed action is typically the specific objectives of the activity, while the need for the proposed action may be to eliminate a broader underlying problem or take advantage of an opportunity.</p> <p>Recommendation: The purpose and need should be a clear, objective statement of the rationale for the proposed project.</p>	The Programmatic Environmental Impact Statements will comply with all requirements under NEPA and other relevant laws, regulations, and Executive Orders.
12/29/2014	Email Attachment	Ann McPherson	U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Region IX	Alternatives	<p>Alternatives Analysis</p> <p>The National Environmental Policy Act requires evaluation of reasonable alternatives, including those that may not be within the jurisdiction of the lead agency (40 CFR Section 1502.14(c)). A robust range of alternatives will include options for avoiding significant environmental impacts. The DPEIS should provide a clear discussion of the reasons for the elimination of alternatives which are not evaluated in detail. Alternative network routes, including buried or aerial options, as well as environmentally preferable routes, should be evaluated. The DPEIS should also evaluate alternative configurations for access roads.</p> <p>The alternatives analysis should describe the approach used to identify the alternative routes and the criteria used to select the different routes.</p> <p>The environmental impacts of the proposed action and alternatives should be presented in comparative form, thus sharply defining the issues and providing a clear basis for choice among options by the decision maker and the public (40 CFR 1502.14). The potential environmental impacts of each alternative should be quantified to the greatest extent possible (e.g., acres of forest impacted, tons per year of emissions produced).</p> <p>Recommendations: The DPEIS should describe how each alternative was developed, how it addresses each project objective, and how it will be implemented. The DPEIS should describe the methodology and criteria used for determining the network route and alternative routes. The alternatives analysis should include a discussion of environmentally preferable options for the network, including the use of underground cables versus overhead wires; alternative configurations for access roads; and alternative methods of construction, such as using heavy lift helicopters to transport and set cell towers.</p> <p>The DPEIS should clearly describe the rationale used to determine whether impacts of an alternative are significant or not. Thresholds of significance should be determined by considering the context and intensity of an action and its effects (40 CFR 1508.27).</p>	The Programmatic Environmental Impact Statements will comply with all requirements under NEPA and other relevant laws, regulations, and Executive Orders.

FirstNet PEIS Scoping Comments

Date Rec'd	Format	Name	Organization	Topic	Comment	Response
12/29/2014	Email Attachment	Ann McPherson	U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Region IX	Water Resources	<p>Water Resources</p> <p>Geographic Extent of Waters of the United States The project applicant should coordinate with the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers to determine if the proposed project requires a Section 404 permit under the Clean Water Act. Section 404 regulates the discharge of dredged or fill material into waters of the United States (WUS), including wetlands and other special aquatic sites. The DPEIS should describe all WUS that could be affected by the project alternatives, and include maps that clearly identify all waters within the project area. A jurisdictional delineation will confirm the presence or absence of WUS in the project area and help determine whether or not the proposed project would require a Section 404 permit.</p> <p>Recommendation: The DPEIS should discuss the potential that WUS could be affected and that consultation with the USACE may be required to determine if there are jurisdictional WUS present at individual project sites.</p> <p>Drainages, Ephemeral Washes, and Floodplains Natural washes perform a diversity of hydrologic, biochemical, and geochemical functions that directly affect the integrity and functional condition of higher-order waters downstream. Healthy ephemeral waters with characteristic plant communities control rates of sediment deposition and dissipate the energy associated with flood flows. Ephemeral washes also provide habitat for breeding, shelter, foraging, and movement of wildlife. Many plant populations are dependent on these aquatic ecosystems and adapted to their unique conditions. The potential damage that could result from disturbance of flat-bottomed washes includes alterations to the hydrological functions that natural channels provide in arid ecosystems, such as adequate capacity for flood control, energy dissipation, and sediment movement; as well as impacts to valuable habitat for desert species.</p> <p>Recommendations: The DPEIS should discuss the potential that individual projects may impact aquatic features that are determined not to constitute WUS, and discuss potential mitigation. The DPEIS should address the potential effects of project discharges, if any, on surface water quality.</p>	The Programmatic Environmental Impact Statements will comply with all requirements under NEPA and other relevant laws, regulations, and Executive Orders.
12/29/2014	Email Attachment	Ann McPherson	U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Region IX	Biological Resources	<p>Biological Resources, Habitat and Wildlife</p> <p>The DPEIS should identify all petitioned and listed threatened and endangered species and critical habitat that might occur within individual project areas. The document should identify and quantify which species or critical habitat might be directly, indirectly, or cumulatively affected by each alternative and mitigate impacts to these species. Emphasis should be placed on the protection and recovery of species due to their status or potential status under the federal or state Endangered Species Act. Network line rights of way are anthropogenic disturbances which alter the spatial structure of habitat elements, creating linear patches or line corridors which in turn impact ecological integrity by modifying ecological processes (abiotic &amp; biotic) at various scales. Network line ROWs can result in habitat fragmentation and increased habitat edge effects, affecting individual species with different intensity.</p> <p>Recommendations: The DPEIS should discuss how the proposed action would comply with ESA requirements, including any necessary ESA Section 7 consultation efforts with the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service.</p> <p>EPA recommends that FirstNet coordinate with USFWS field offices and with applicable state biological resource management agencies to ensure that current and consistent surveying, monitoring, and reporting protocols will be applied in protection and mitigation efforts.</p> <p>The DPEIS should describe the potential for habitat fragmentation and obstructions for wildlife movement from the construction of individual projects and other projects in the area.</p> <p>Discuss the need for monitoring, mitigation, and if applicable, translocation management plans for the sensitive biological resources. This could include, but is not limited to, a Bird and Bat Conservation Strategy, a Raven Monitoring, Management, and Control Plan, and Special - Status Plant Impact Avoidance and Mitigation Plan.</p> <p>The DPEIS should include assurances that the design of the aerial lines would be in compliance with current standards and practices that reduce the potential for raptor fatalities and injuries.</p>	The Programmatic Environmental Impact Statements will comply with all requirements under NEPA and other relevant laws, regulations, and Executive Orders.

FirstNet PEIS Scoping Comments

Date Rec'd	Format	Name	Organization	Topic	Comment	Response
12/29/2014	Email Attachment	Ann McPherson	U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Region IX	Biological Resources	<p>The EPA is also concerned about the potential impacts of construction, installation, and maintenance activities (grading, filling) on habitat. We encourage the use of alternatives that avoid and protect high value habitat and create or preserve linkages between habitat areas. We are also concerned with management of the ROW, specifically vegetation control, in order to prevent natural forest succession. ROW management is usually practiced to protect the system from windfall, contact with trees and branches, and other potential hazards. Additionally access roads are maintained in order to ensure access for maintenance and upkeep of the system components.</p> <p>Recommendations: The DPEIS should describe potential impacts from construction, installation, and maintenance activities on habitat and threatened and endangered species.</p> <p>The DPEIS should describe the ROW vegetation management techniques to be used and potential associated environmental impacts, especially if mechanical methods or herbicides are to be used.</p> <p>The DPEIS should indicate the location of important wildlife habitat areas. The DPEIS should describe what measures will be taken to protect important wildlife habitat areas and to preserve linkages between them.</p> <p>Invasive Species</p> <p>Human actions are the primary means of invasive species introductions. The construction of network lines may cause disturbance of ROW soils and vegetation through the movement of people and vehicles along the ROW, access roads, and laydown areas. These activities can contribute to the spread of invasive species. Parts of plants, seeds, and root stocks can contaminate construction equipment and essentially "seed" invasive species wherever the vehicle travels. Invasive species infestations can also occur during periodic buried/aerial line ROW maintenance activities especially if these activities include mowing and clearing of vegetation. Once introduced, invasive species will likely spread and impact adjacent properties with the appropriate habitat.</p> <p>Executive Order 13112, Invasive Species (February 3, 1999), mandates that federal agencies take actions to prevent the introduction of invasive species, provide for their control, and minimize the economic, ecological, and human health impacts that invasive species cause. Executive Order 13112 also calls for the restoration of native plants and tree species. If the proposed project will entail new landscaping, the DPEIS should describe how the project will meet the requirements of Executive Order 13112.</p> <p>In addition, we encourage alternative management practices that limit herbicide use, focusing instead on other methods to limit invasive species vegetation and decrease fire risk.</p> <p>Recommendations: The DPEIS should describe the invasive plant management plan used to monitor and control noxious weeds. If herbicides or pesticides will be used to manage vegetation, the DPEIS should disclose the projected quantities and types of chemicals. The invasive plant management plan should identify methods that can be used to limit the introduction and spread of invasive species during and post-construction. These measures can include marking and avoidance of invasive species, timing construction activities during periods that would minimize their spread, proper cleaning of equipment, and proper disposal of woody material removed from the ROW.</p> <p>Because construction measures may not be completely effective in controlling the introduction and spread of invasives, the DPEIS should describe post-construction activities that will be required such as surveying for invasive species following restoration of the construction site and measures that will be taken if infestations are found.</p>	<p>The Programmatic Environmental Impact Statements will comply with all requirements under NEPA and other relevant laws, regulations, and Executive Orders.</p>
12/29/2014	Email Attachment	Ann McPherson	U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Region IX	Air Quality	<p>Air Quality</p> <p>The DPEIS should provide a discussion of ambient air conditions (baseline or existing conditions), National Ambient Air Quality Standards, criteria pollutant nonattainment areas, and potential air quality impacts.</p> <p>The DPEIS should describe and estimate air emissions from potential construction and maintenance activities, as well as proposed mitigation measures to minimize those emissions. The EPA recommends an evaluation of the following measures to reduce emissions of criteria air pollutants and hazardous air pollutants (air toxics).</p> <p>Recommendations:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Existing Conditions - The DPEIS should provide a detailed discussion of ambient air conditions, NAAQS, and criteria pollutant nonattainment areas in the vicinity of the project.</li> <li>• Quantify Emissions - The DPEIS should estimate emissions of criteria pollutants and green house gasses from the proposed individual projects and discuss the timeframe for release of these emissions over the lifespan of the projects. The DPEIS should describe and estimate emissions from potential construction activities, as well as proposed mitigation measures to minimize these emissions.</li> <li>• Specify Emission Sources - The DPEIS should specify the emission sources by pollutant from mobile sources, stationary sources, and ground disturbance. This source specific information should be used to identify appropriate mitigation measures and areas in need of the greatest attention.</li> <li>• Construction Emissions Mitigation Plan - The DPEIS should include a draft Construction Emissions Mitigation Plan and ultimately adopt this plan in the Record of Decision.</li> </ul> <p>In addition to all applicable local, state, or federal requirements, we recommend the following control measures (Fugitive Dust, Mobile and Stationary Source and Administrative) be included in the Construction Emissions Mitigation Plan in order to reduce impacts associated with emissions of particulate matter and other toxics from construction-related activities:</p>	<p>The Programmatic Environmental Impact Statements will comply with all requirements under NEPA and other relevant laws, regulations, and Executive Orders.</p>

FirstNet PEIS Scoping Comments

Date Rec'd	Format	Name	Organization	Topic	Comment	Response
					<p>o Fugitive Dust Source Controls: The DPEIS should identify the need for a Fugitive Dust Control Plan to reduce Particulate Matter 10 and Fine Particulate Matter 2.5 emissions during construction and operations. We recommend that the plan include these general commitments:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Stabilize heavily used unpaved construction roads with a non-toxic soil stabilizer or soil weighting agent that will not result in loss of vegetation, or increase other environmental impacts.</li> <li>• During grading, use water, as necessary, on disturbed areas in construction sites to control visible plumes.</li> <li>• Vehicle Speed</li> <li>• Limit speeds to 25 miles per hour on stabilized unpaved roads as long as such speeds do not create visible dust emissions.</li> <li>• Limit speeds to 10 miles per hour or less on unpaved areas within construction sites on un-stabilized (and unpaved) roads.</li> <li>• Post visible speed limit signs at construction site entrances.</li> <li>• Inspect and wash construction equipment vehicle tires, as necessary, so they are free of dirt before entering paved roadways, if applicable.</li> <li>• Provide gravel ramps of at least 20 feet in length at tire washing/cleaning stations, and ensure construction vehicles exit construction sites through treated entrance roadways, unless an alternative route has been approved by appropriate lead agencies, if applicable.</li> <li>• Use sandbags or equivalent effective measures to prevent run-off to roadways in construction areas adjacent to paved roadways. Ensure consistency with the project's Storm Water Pollution Prevention Plan, if such a plan is required for the project</li> <li>• Sweep the first 500 feet of paved roads exiting construction sites, other unpaved roads en route from the construction site, or construction staging areas whenever dirt or runoff from construction activity is visible on paved roads, or at least twice daily (less during periods of precipitation).</li> <li>• Stabilize disturbed soils (after active construction activities are completed) with a non-toxic soil stabilizer, soil weighting agent, or other approved soil stabilizing method.</li> <li>• Cover or treat soil storage piles with appropriate dust suppressant compounds and disturbed areas that remain inactive for longer than 10 days. Provide vehicles (used to transport solid bulk material on public roadways and that have potential to cause visible emissions) with covers. Alternatively, sufficiently wet and load materials onto the trucks in a manner to provide at least one foot of freeboard.</li> <li>• Use wind erosion control techniques (such as windbreaks, water, chemical dust suppressants, and/or vegetation) where soils are disturbed in construction, access and maintenance routes, and materials stock pile areas. Keep related windbreaks in place until the soil is stabilized or permanently covered with vegetation.</li> </ul>	<p>The Programmatic Environmental Impact Statements will comply with all requirements under NEPA and other relevant laws, regulations, and Executive Orders.</p>

FirstNet PEIS Scoping Comments

Date Rec'd	Format	Name	Organization	Topic	Comment	Response
					<p>o Mobile and Stationary Source Controls:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• If practicable, lease new, clean equipment meeting the most stringent of applicable Federal 1 or State Standards.2 In general, commit to the best available emissions control technology. Tier 4 engines should be used for project construction equipment to the maximum extent feasible.3</li> <li>• Where Tier 4 engines are not available, use construction diesel engines with a rating of 50 hp or higher that meet, at a minimum, the Tier 3 California Emission Standards for Off-Road Compression-Ignition Engines.4 unless such engines are not available.</li> <li>• Where Tier 3 engine is not available for off-road equipment larger than 100 hp, use a Tier 2 engine, or an engine equipped with retrofit controls to reduce exhaust emissions of nitrogen oxides and diesel particulate matter to no more than Tier 2 levels.</li> <li>• Consider using electric vehicles, natural gas, biodiesel, or other alternative fuels during construction and operation phases to reduce the project's criteria and greenhouse gas emissions.</li> <li>• Plan construction scheduling to minimize vehicle trips.</li> <li>• Limit idling of heavy equipment to less than 5 minutes and verify through unscheduled inspections.</li> <li>• Maintain and tune engines per manufacturer's specifications to perform at EPA certification levels, prevent tampering, and conduct unscheduled inspections to ensure these measures are followed.</li> </ul> <p>o Administrative controls:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Develop a construction traffic and parking management plan that maintains traffic flow and plan construction to minimize vehicle trips.</li> <li>• Identify any sensitive receptors in the project area, such as children, elderly, and the infirm, and specify the means by which impacts to these populations will be minimized (e.g. locate construction equipment and staging zones away from sensitive receptors and building air intakes).</li> <li>• Include provisions for monitoring fugitive dust in the fugitive dust control plan and initiate increased mitigation measures to abate any visible dust plumes.</li> </ul>	The Programmatic Environmental Impact Statements will comply with all requirements under NEPA and other relevant laws, regulations, and Executive Orders.
12/29/2014	Email Attachment	Ann McPherson	U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Region IX	Infrastructure	<p>Hardening of Infrastructure</p> <p>We understand that FirstNet will likely utilize existing commercial infrastructure to the maximum extent possible in its deployment of the Public Safety Broadband Network. Most likely, existing cellular towers, transport backhaul and data centers will need to be hardened to meet the stringent requirements of the PSBN. Hardening typically includes back up power supply, incorporating backhaul that is not easily disrupted (microwave or satellite), and stockpiling portable sites (Cell on Light Trucks or Cell on Wheels). Some of the larger cell phone companies have been hardening their infrastructure in disaster prone areas.</p> <p>Recommendation: The DPEIS should discuss the need for hardening sites, the use of portable equipment and the need for redundant or alternative backhaul equipment. FirstNet should commit to using as much commercially available equipment as possible and consider using as much renewable energy sources for backup power as is economically feasible.</p>	The Programmatic Environmental Impact Statements will comply with all requirements under NEPA and other relevant laws, regulations, and Executive Orders.
12/29/2014	Email Attachment	Ann McPherson	U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Region IX	Climate Change	<p>Climate Change</p> <p>Scientific evidence supports the concern that continued increases in greenhouse gas emissions resulting from human activities will contribute to climate change. Global warming is caused by emissions of carbon dioxide and other heat-trapping gases. On December 7, 2009, the EPA determined that emissions of GHGs contribute to air pollution that "endangers public health and welfare" within the meaning of the Clean Air Act. One report indicates that observed changes in temperature, sea level, precipitation regime, fire frequency, and agricultural and ecological systems reveal that parts of the western United States is already experiencing the measurable effects of climate change. 5 The report indicates that climate change could result in the following changes: poor air quality; more severe heat; increased wildfires; shifting vegetation; declining forest productivity; decreased spring snowpack; water shortages; a potential reduction in hydropower; a loss in winter recreation; agricultural damages from heat, pests, pathogens, and weeds; and rising sea levels resulting in shrinking beaches and increased coastal floods.</p> <p>Recommendation: The DPEIS should consider how climate change could potentially influence the proposed project, specifically within sensitive areas, and assess how the projected impacts could be exacerbated by climate change.</p>	The Programmatic Environmental Impact Statements will comply with all requirements under NEPA and other relevant laws, regulations, and Executive Orders.
12/29/2014	Email Attachment	Ann McPherson	U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Region IX	Waste	<p>Hazardous Materials/Hazardous Waste/Solid Waste</p> <p>The DPEIS should address potential direct, indirect and cumulative impacts of hazardous waste from construction and operation of the proposed individual projects and facilities. The document should identify projected hazardous waste types and volumes, and expected storage, disposal, and management plans. It should address the applicability of state and federal hazardous waste requirements. Appropriate mitigation should be evaluated, including measures to minimize the generation of hazardous waste (i.e., hazardous waste minimization). Alternate industrial processes using less toxic materials should be evaluated as mitigation since such processes could reduce the volume or toxicity of hazardous materials requiring management and disposal as hazardous waste.</p>	The Programmatic Environmental Impact Statements will comply with all requirements under NEPA and other relevant laws, regulations, and Executive Orders.

EHEC PEIS Scoping Comments

Date Rec'd	Format	Name	Organization	Topic	Comment	Response
12/29/2014	Email Attachment	Ann McPherson	U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Region IX	Cumulative and Indirect Impacts	<p>Cumulative and Indirect Impacts</p> <p>The cumulative impacts analysis should identify how resources, ecosystems, and communities in the vicinity of the project have already been, or will be, affected by past, present, or future activities in the project area. These resources should be characterized in terms of their response to change and capacity to withstand stresses. Trends data should be used to establish a baseline for the affected resources, to evaluate the significance of historical degradation, and to predict the environmental effects of the project components.</p> <p>For the cumulative impacts assessment, we recommend focusing on resources of concern or resources that are "at risk" and/or are significantly impacted by the proposed project, before mitigation. The EPA supports a regional assessment of the potential cumulative effects of other projects in the area to a range of resources, including aquatic, biological, and cultural resources. These findings should help inform current and future development proposed in the region.</p> <p>The EPA assisted in the preparation of a guidance document for assessing cumulative impacts in California that we find to be very useful. While this guidance was prepared for transportation projects in California, the principles and the 8-step process outlined therein can be applied to other types of projects and offers a systematic way to analyze cumulative impacts for a project. The guidance is available at: <a href="http://www.dot.ca.gov/ser/cumulative_guidance/purpose.htm">http://www.dot.ca.gov/ser/cumulative_guidance/purpose.htm</a>. In accordance with this guidance, the EPA recommends that the DPEIS identify which resources are analyzed, which ones are not, and why. For each resource analyzed, the DPEIS should:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Identify the current condition of the resource as a measure of past impacts. For example, the percentage of species habitat lost to date.</li> <li>• Identify the trend in the condition of the resource as a measure of present impacts. For example, the health of the resource is improving, declining, or in stasis.</li> <li>• Identify all on-going, planned, and reasonably foreseeable projects in the study area that may contribute to cumulative impacts.</li> <li>• Identify the future condition of the resource based on an analysis of impacts from reasonably foreseeable projects or actions added to existing conditions and current trends.</li> <li>• Assess the cumulative impacts contribution of the proposed alternatives to the long-term health of the resource, and provide a specific measure for the projected impact from the proposed alternatives.</li> <li>• When cumulative impacts are identified for a resource, mitigation should be proposed.</li> <li>• Disclose the parties that would be responsible for avoiding, minimizing, and mitigating those adverse impacts.</li> <li>• Identify opportunities to avoid and minimize impacts, including working with other entities.</li> </ul> <p>Recommendations: The DPEIS should consider the cumulative impacts associated with other development projects proposed in the individual project areas and the potential impacts on various resources including: water supply, endangered species, and habitat.</p>	The Programmatic Environmental Impact Statements will comply with all requirements under NEPA and other relevant laws, regulations, and Executive Orders.
12/29/2014	Email Attachment	Ann McPherson	U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Region IX	Cultural / Historic resources	<p>Coordination with Tribal Governments</p> <p>Executive Order 13175, Consultation and Coordination with Indian Tribal Governments (November 6, 2000), was issued in order to establish regular and meaningful consultation and collaboration with tribal officials in the development of federal policies that have tribal implications, and to strengthen the United States government-to-government relationships with Indian tribes.</p> <p>Recommendation: The DPEIS should describe the process and outcome of government-to-government consultation between FirstNet and each of the tribal governments within the individual project areas, issues that were raised (if any), and how those issues were addressed in the selection of the proposed alternative.</p> <p>National Historic Preservation Act and Executive Order 13007 Consultation for tribal cultural resources is required under Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act. Historic properties under the NHPA are properties that are included in the National Register of Historic Places or that meet the criteria for the National Register. Section 106 of the NHPA requires a federal agency, upon determining that activities under its control could affect historic properties, consult with the appropriate State Historic Preservation Officer/Tribal Historic Preservation Officer. Under NEPA, any impacts to tribal, cultural, or other treaty resources must be discussed and mitigated. Section 106 of the NHPA requires that Federal agencies consider the effects of their actions on cultural resources, following regulation in 36 CFR 800.</p> <p>Executive Order 13007, Indian Sacred Sites (May 24, 1996), requires federal land managing agencies to accommodate access to, and ceremonial use of, Indian sacred sites by Indian Religious practitioners, and to avoid adversely affecting the physical integrity, accessibility, or use of sacred sites. It is important to note that a sacred site may not meet the National Register criteria for a historic property and that, conversely, a historic property may not meet the criteria for a sacred site.</p> <p>Recommendation: The DPEIS should address the existence of Indian sacred sites in the individual project areas. It should address Executive Order 13007, distinguish it from Section 106 of the NHPA, and discuss how FirstNet will avoid adversely affecting the physical integrity, accessibility, or use of sacred sites, if they exist. The DPEIS should provide a summary of all coordination with Tribes and with the SHPO/HPO (if any), including identification of NRHP eligible sites, and development of a Cultural Resource Management Plan.</p>	<p>The Programmatic Environmental Impact Statements will comply with all requirements under NEPA and other relevant laws, regulations, and Executive Orders.</p> <p>The Programmatic Environmental Impact Statements will comply with all requirements under NEPA and other relevant laws, regulations, and Executive Orders.</p>
12/29/2014	Email Attachment	Ann McPherson	U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Region IX	Environmental Justice	<p>Environmental Justice and Impacted Communities</p> <p>Executive Order 12898, Federal Actions to Address Environmental Justice in Minority Populations and Low-Income Populations (February 11, 1994) and the Interagency Memorandum of Understanding on Environmental Justice (August 4, 2011) direct federal agencies to identify and address disproportionately high and adverse human health or environmental effects on minority and low-income populations, allowing those populations a meaningful opportunity to participate in the decision-making process.</p> <p>Guidance6 by CEQ clarifies the terms low-income and minority population (which includes Native Americans) and describes the factors to consider when evaluating disproportionately high and adverse human health effects.</p> <p>Recommendations: The DPEIS should discuss the potential need to evaluate environmental justice populations within the geographic scope of the individual projects. If such populations exist, the DPEIS should discuss the potential for disproportionate adverse impacts to minority and low-income populations, and the approaches used to foster public participation by these populations. Assessment of the projects impact on minority and low-income populations should reflect coordination with those affected populations.</p> <p>The DPEIS should discuss the potential need to provide outreach to all communities that could be affected by the individual projects.</p>	The Programmatic Environmental Impact Statements will comply with all requirements under NEPA and other relevant laws, regulations, and Executive Orders.

FirstNet PEIS Scoping Comments

Date Rec'd	Format	Name	Organization	Topic	Comment	Response
12/29/2014	Email Attachment	Ann McPherson	U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Region IX	Land Use	<p>Coordination with Land Use Planning Activities</p> <p>The DPEIS should discuss how the proposed action would support or conflict with the objectives of federal, state, tribal or local land use plans, policies and controls in the individual project areas. The term "land use plans" includes all types of formally adopted documents for land use planning, conservation, zoning and related regulatory requirements. Proposed plans not yet developed should also be addressed if they have been formally proposed by the appropriate government body in a written form (CEQ's Forty Questions, #23b).</p>	The Programmatic Environmental Impact Statements will comply with all requirements under NEPA and other relevant laws, regulations, and Executive Orders.
12/29/2014	Email Attachment	Ann McPherson	U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Region IX	Public Health and Safety	<p>Public Health and Safety - Valley Fever</p> <p>Coccidioidomycosis, (kok-sid-oy-doh-my-KOH-sis), or Valley Fever, is a fungal infection that is almost always acquired from the environment via the inhalation of fungal spores. It can affect humans, many species of mammals and some reptiles. 7 The fungus, Coccidioides, is endemic in the soil of the southwestern United States, Mexico, and parts of Central and South America. Coccidioides can live for long periods of time in soil under harsh environmental conditions including heat, cold, and drought. 8 Coccidioides can be released into the air when soil containing the fungus is disturbed, either by strong winds or activities such as farming or construction. Distribution of the fungus is typically patchy, but in some "hot spots," up to 70% of the human population has been infected. The number of reported Valley Fever cases in the U.S. has risen from less than 5,000 in 2001 to more than 20,000 cases in 2011.9 An estimated 150,000 more cases go undiagnosed every year. The majority of reported cases are located in Arizona and California. 10 The reason for the recent increase in cases, however, is unclear. Dust storms in endemic areas are often followed by outbreaks of coccidioidomycosis. If the dust storms are severe, the fungal spores can be carried outside the endemic area into neighboring counties, where outbreaks follow.</p> <p>According to the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, workers engaged in soil-disturbing activities in endemic areas should be considered at risk for the disease. 12 Occupational groups at risk include farmers, agricultural workers, construction workers and archaeologists. Some groups of people appear to be at increased risk for disseminated disease and can become seriously ill when infected. People at risk for severe disease include those with weakened immune systems, persons with cancer or who are on chemotherapy, or persons who are HIV-infected. Also at higher risk for serious illness are the elderly, persons of African or Filipino descent, and women in the third trimester of pregnancy.</p> <p>Recommendations:</p> <p>The EPA recommends that the DPEIS discuss potential exposures to the fungus, Coccidioides, and susceptibilities of workers and nearby residents to Valley Fever due to soil-disturbing activities of the project.</p> <p>The Environmental Awareness Program for the workers should include training on the health hazards of Valley Fever, how it is contracted, what symptoms to look for, proper work procedures, how to use personal protective equipment, the need to wash prior to eating, smoking or drinking and at the end of the shift, and the need to inform the supervisor of suspected symptoms of work-related Valley Fever. The training should identify those groups of individuals most at risk and urge individuals to seek prompt medical treatment if Valley Fever symptoms (flu-like illness with cough, fever, chest pain, headache, muscle aches, and tiredness) develop.</p>	The Programmatic Environmental Impact Statements will comply with all requirements under NEPA and other relevant laws, regulations, and Executive Orders.
12/29/2014	Mail	Diana Rhoades	Public	Cultural / Historic resources	<p>Dear Ms. Pereira,</p> <p>Tumamoc Hill is a sacred place. It is on the National Register of Historic Places. It is a landmark, it is a University research station, studying plants and the changes in climate since 1903. It is a national archeological district, a burial ground for Native American People. It was an early trading post for the First People. It is rich in natural and cultural history.</p> <p>It should not be a place where the government places large towers or builds huge power lines. I hope you will carefully consider all the implications of FirstNet.</p> <p>All my best</p> <p>Diana Rhoades</p>	Thank you for your comment.

FirstNet PEIS Scoping Comments

Date Rec'd	Format	Name	Organization	Topic	Comment	Response
12/29/2014	Email	Doug Gann, Ph.D.	Archaeology Southwest	Cultural / Historic resources	<p>Dear FirstNet</p> <p>I am writing in support of what I understand will be a new installation for our first responders on top of Tumamoc Hill in Tucson Arizona. I would like to offer the suggestion that FirstNet keep any new construction to areas of this hilltop that have already been disturbed by previous construction activities.</p> <p>We have known Tumamoc was an important archaeological site for 100 years, but it has only been in the past 10 years that the evidence has been understood in proper contexts. The ancient homes built on Tumamoc were constructed at the beginning of what we now know of as the ancient southwest culture area. The Cliff Dwellings in Mesa Verde, the stunning buildings of Chaco Canyon, the 5 story adobe Casa Grande, all of these places were built by a people who apparently got their start 4000 years ago, along the Santa Cruz River, where modern Tucson sits today.</p> <p>Though partially disturbed, the village on top of Tumamoc still contains evidence about how this pan-Southwestern culture began. What has not been destroyed should be preserved when ever possible.</p> <p>I think everyone in the archaeological community believes that your project needs to be supported, our community's safety has to come first. However, if new construction can be steered away from archaeologically critical areas, we also believe that we can achieve a win-win scenario here.</p> <p>Best Wishes, Doug Gann, Ph.D. Preservation Archaeologist</p>	FirstNet does not yet have a network design, however we will work to avoid adverse impacts to sensitive resources wherever possible. Once specific projects are identified, FirstNet will work with the appropriate federal, state, and local agencies, and federally-recognized Indian tribes, to comply with all requirements.
12/29/2014	Email	Scott Sysum	U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Region IX	NOI	<p>Dear Ms. Pereira</p> <p>I have been assigned as the lead reviewer for the U.S. EPA Region 9 for the FirstNet National Public Safety Broadband Network PEIS Project. I have attached a pdf file of our scoping comment letter regarding this project. The signed letter was mailed today to Ms. Amanda Pereira.</p> <p>Thank you for providing us the opportunity to review this interesting project. Please feel free to contact us if you have any questions, seek clarifications or if we can help in any other way.</p> <p>w/ Scott Sysum</p>	Thank you for your comment.
12/30/2014	Email Attachment	<a href="mailto:jph7890@aol.com">jph7890@aol.com</a>	Public	Alternatives	<p>The FirstNet Dilemma</p> <p>In order for FirstNet to succeed, it must provide broadband wireless service to public safety users for less than market rates. In addition, the FirstNet infrastructure must be more robust and more resilient than commercial wireless networks. The only way for FirstNet to achieve both of these goals is to leverage excess Nationwide Public Safety Broadband Network (NPSBN) capacity to create a revenue stream that subsidizes public safety user recurring monthly fees to the point that no commercial operator can undercut them.</p> <p>If the recurring monthly fees charged to public safety users by FirstNet is not significantly lower than commercial wireless rates, the commercial networks will likely simply lower their rates for public safety subscribers to undercut and undermine FirstNet. Financially strapped localities will likely choose the less expensive commercial network rather than subscribe to FirstNet, despite the fact that FirstNet will offer priority access to a more robust network. If such a scenario plays out, FirstNet will fail.</p> <p>Rather than becoming a customer of commercial wireless network operators, public safety should leverage the excess capacity in the NPSBN so that commercial operators and other secondary users become FirstNet customers, not vice versa. If Public Safety does not control the network, it will never achieve its goal of unrestricted priority access to broadband wireless, supported by a public safety grade (bulletproof) network infrastructure.</p> <p>One way to address the FirstNet Dilemma is for FirstNet to petition the FCC to issue an Order that would require all new 700MHz, broadband wireless subscriber devices be capable of accessing FirstNet spectrum (Band 14). This single regulatory action would create an immediate market for FirstNet spectrum, even in the absence of a deployed network. By creating an environment that ensures that band 14 capable devices become ubiquitous, the FCC Order would increase the value of FirstNet spectrum to potential lessees, enabling FirstNet to generate a revenue stream prior to the deployment of the NPSBN simply by leasing the spectrum until the NPSBN is ready to deploy in a given locale. In addition, the FCC Order would ensure the availability of band 14 devices and substantially lower their cost to public safety users when the NPSBN is deployed.</p> <p>Every day that FirstNet spectrum lays fallow is a lost opportunity to generate revenue that could help fund NPSBN construction, deployment and ongoing operating expenses. Once the NPSBN is deployed, FirstNet (or the designated local network operator) could continue to lease excess NPSBN capacity to secondary users through a public private partnership, thus reducing public safety user recurring user recurring monthly fees to a level far below commercial market rates, whilst encouraging public safety network participation and discouraging potential competitors.</p>	Thank you for your comment.
12/31/2014	Email	Patricia A. Gilman, Ph.D., RPA	University of Arizona	Cultural / Historic resources	<p>Ms. Pereira,</p> <p>I am writing in support of the idea that FirstNet use the existing antenna pads on Tumamoc Hill in Tucson. The entire top and sides of the hill are an archaeological site that is very important in the history of Tucson. It has hundreds of rock-ringed houses that are about 2000 years old along with petroglyphs and a very early community building. For an archaeologist like me, it is a very cool site because it has told us about the lives of people living at this time in the Tucson Basin. The site is unique, by the way. There are no others like it, which suggests its importance. Most of the site has not been excavated, and so there is much more we could learn here. But the most important thing is to preserve the site for the future so that others, both the public and archaeologists, can appreciate the lives of these people.</p> <p>Please do the right thing for the history of Tucson and use only the existing antenna pads. That way, everyone gets what they want and need.</p> <p>Thank you for you attention to this. Patricia A. Gilman, Ph.D., RPA</p>	FirstNet does not yet have a network design, however we will work to avoid adverse impacts to sensitive resources wherever possible. Once specific projects are identified, FirstNet will work with the appropriate federal, state, and local agencies, and federally-recognized Indian tribes, to comply with all requirements.
1/1/2015	Email	Paul Mirocha	Public	Aesthetics / Recreational Use	<p>Dear Amanda,</p> <p>Mike Rosenzweig, my boss at Tumamoc: People and Habitats, asked me to comment on my perspective on Tumamoc Hill. I have been artist-in-residence there since 2011. You can see a more of what I've done there on my blog: <a href="http://TumamocSketchbook.com">http://TumamocSketchbook.com</a>.</p>	Thank you for your comment.
1/1/2015	Email	Paul Mirocha	Public	Geology	<p>What is Tumamoc Hill?</p> <p>It's a highly protected natural wild-lands Sonoran Desert mountain, National Historic Landmark, ecological research preserve, U.S. Archaeological District, and community icon—all of two miles from downtown and surrounded by growing urban Tucson.</p> <p>But there is no single description of Tumamoc Hill that is complete. There are many layers to the place, with different meanings depending on who you are talking to. A geologist will tell you that Tumamoc is an inselberg of volcanic rock remaining from eruptions between 20 – 30 million years ago. And it originally was formed near what is now the Santa Catalina Mountains.</p>	Thank you for your comment.
1/1/2015	Email	Paul Mirocha	Public	Cultural / Historic resources	<p>A paleontologist will tell you that the current Sonoran Desert environment came about 8–15 million years ago during a drying trend, when the unique desert plants here evolved from tropical ancestors moving north from Mexico.</p> <p>The Tohono O'odham call it Cemamagi Doag, "Horned Lizard Mountain." The Hill is considered a sacred ancestral site for O'odham, Yaqui, and Hopi Indians.</p>	Thank you for your comment.
1/1/2015	Email	Paul Mirocha	Public	Infrastructure	<p>The summit is now a site for a number of communications and homeland security communications towers, yet this role has probably been played for thousands of years. We know that up until historic times, Sentinel Peak, Tumamoc's sister peak was used, for it's broad view of the valley, as a lookout post, especially for marauding Apaches.</p>	Thank you for your comment.

FirstNet PEIS Scoping Comments

Date Rec'd	Format	Name	Organization	Topic	Comment	Response
1/1/2015	Email	Paul Mirocha	Public	Cultural / Historic resources	It has been called many names. Lawrence Clark Powell, famed librarian and writer who lived in Tucson, called Tumamoc "Tucson's Acropolis." It's been called by various names, including "A Mecca for botanists," and "The Jerusalem of desert rats."	Thank you for your comment.
1/1/2015	Email	Paul Mirocha	Public	Biological Resources	The first thing a modern ecologist will say to you is "don't stray off the road." Beginning with the establishment of the Desert Botanical Laboratory in 1903 by the Carnegie Institution of Washington, Tumamoc is the oldest continually monitored ecological research preserve in the world, with data from over 100 years of study. This is the world's first restoration ecology project. The nature here is to look at, to study, to appreciate, but not to exploit—not even to use.	Thank you for your comment.
1/1/2015	Email	Paul Mirocha	Public	Cultural / Historic resources	To an archeologist, Tumamoc is a mystery that would challenge even Sherlock Holmes. Ruins of cultures living on Tumamoc go back 3,500 years, and at various times in prehistory, the Tumamoc hilltop was probably an important landmark, cultural focal point, and ceremonial ground.	Thank you for your comment.
1/1/2015	Email	Paul Mirocha	Public	Aesthetics / Recreational Use	To the thousands of people who walk the road daily (only authorized vehicles are allowed) Tumamoc is the best workout in town, a treadmill with a spectacular view. It's a source of healing and health. It's a place where one can stroll among grazing deer five minutes from downtown. Dig a little deeper and many walkers will confide that Tumamoc is a very personal emotional or spiritual sanctuary.	Thank you for your comment.
1/1/2015	Email	Paul Mirocha	Public	Cultural / Historic resources	Urban culture and ecological research can co-exist on Tumamoc Hill. It is a sanctuary for humans as well as other Sonoran Desert life forms, but the boundaries are clear: no one steps off the road without special permission. Scientists have protected the Hill for the last century. Now it's up to the community to take part in stewardship of the Hill as a special place and a cultural value for the next 100 years. At that time, we'll check in again and see how it's going. In the mean time I urge Firstnet to join the other institutions, groups, and governmental entities that are united as part of the stewardship of this valuable site. best regards, Paul Mirocha	Thank you for your comment.
1/3/2015	Email	Marc Severson	Public	Cultural / Historic resources	Dear Ms. Pereira, I am writing to urge you to protect the cultural resources on the top of Tumamoc Hill in Tucson. This historic/prehistoric site has unique constructions that give insight into the prehistory of the Southwest. Further constructions endangers these resources. There are archaeological resources on and around this site that are irreplaceable. Considerable damage has already occurred over the years. I urge you to limit construction on this site to areas that have been previously disturbed and allow no further destruction of these resources. Thank you, Marc Severson	Thank you for your comment.
1/6/2015	Email	Matt Goode	University of Arizona	Biological Resources	Dear Ms. Pereira, I am a Research Scientist at the University of Arizona and my lab is situated on Tumamoc Hill. I am writing to let you know how important Tumamoc Hill is to me and my students, as well as the community of Tucson. I have been conducting research on reptiles on Tumamoc for the past three years. Besides providing us with an incredible opportunity to better understand how reptiles persist in fragmented habitats, Tumamoc also enables us to provide unprecedented opportunities to educate the general public about scientific research and conservation of natural resources. Your help in keeping Tumamoc Hill healthy and productive is greatly appreciated by a lot of diverse stakeholders who care about Tucson's history and it's future! Thank you so much for your support! Matt	Thank you for your comment.

Page Intentionally Left Blank

## **APPENDIX C**

### **Environmental Laws and Regulations**

Page Intentionally Left Blank

## ENVIRONMENTAL LAWS AND REGULATIONS

The proposed implementation of the Proposed Action must meet the requirements of the National Environmental Policy Act and other applicable federal laws and regulations, Executive Orders, and implementing guidance for the resource areas evaluated in the Programmatic Environmental Impact Statement. Titles are listed alphabetically.

**Table 1: Applicable Laws and Regulations, Executive Orders, and Guidance**

Title	Description
<b>Laws and Regulations</b>	
American Indian Religious Freedom Act (AIRFA) (42 United States Code [USC] §1996)	Protects and preserves for American Indians their inherent right of freedom to believe, express, and exercise the traditional religions of the American Indian, Alaska Native, and Native Hawaiians, including access to culturally significant sites, use and possession of sacred objects, and the freedom to worship through ceremonial and traditional rites.
Archaeological Resources Protection Act of 1979 (ARPA) (16 USC §§470aa-470mm; Public Law [PL] 96-95)	Establishes requirements to protect archaeological resources and sites on public lands and Indian lands, including civil and criminal penalties for the destruction or alteration of cultural resources.
Bald and Golden Eagle Protection Act (16 USC §668 et seq.)	Prohibits the taking, possession, sale, purchase, barter, or offer to sell, purchase, or barter, export, or import of any part of a bald eagle or golden eagle.
Clean Air Act (CAA) (42 USC §§7401-7671g)	Protects air quality; authorizes the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA) to establish National Ambient Air Quality Standards for six criteria pollutants that threaten human health and welfare: carbon monoxide (CO), lead (Pb), nitrogen dioxide (NO <sub>2</sub> ), ozone (O <sub>3</sub> ), sulfur dioxide (SO <sub>2</sub> ), and particulate matter with a diameter equal to or less than 10 microns (PM <sub>10</sub> ) or less than 2.5 microns (fine particles) (PM <sub>2.5</sub> ). Includes provisions for reducing soil erosion to preserve air quality.
Coastal Barrier Improvement Act of 1990 (CBIA) (PL 101-591)	Adds additional areas to the Coastal Barrier Resources System and secondary barriers within large embayments (coastline indentations that form a bay), and establishes a process to transfer interests in land to public or non-profit conservation organizations.
Coastal Barrier Resources Act of 1982 (CBRA) (PL 97-348)	Established the John H Chafee Coastal Barrier Resource System to protect sensitive and vulnerable barrier islands found along the U.S. Atlantic, Gulf, and Great Lakes coastlines, as well as Puerto Rico and the U.S. Virgin Islands.
Coastal Zone Management Act (CZMA) (16 USC § 1451 et seq.)	Enacted to protect the coastal environment from growing demands associated with residential, recreational, commercial and industrial uses. Coastal states with an approved Coastal Zone Management Plan identifying permissible land and water use within the state's coastal zone can review federal actions for federal consistency to determine if the actions are consistent with the state program's enforceable policies.
Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act (CERCLA - Superfund Law) (42 USC §9601)	Authorizes the USEPA to respond to releases, or threatened releases, of hazardous substances that may endanger public health, welfare, or the environment. Requires the USEPA to establish criteria for determining priorities among releases (or threatened releases) of hazardous substances for the purpose of taking remedial action.

Title	Description
Construction, Marking, and Lighting of Antenna Structures of the Federal Communications Commission regulations, Part 17 <i>(47 Code of Federal Regulations [CFR] Chapter 1)</i>	Governs communications infrastructure under Part 17, which prescribes procedures for antenna structure registration and requires the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) to conduct an aeronautical study of the navigation airspace to determine appropriate tower marking and lighting requirements for safe airspace. Before the Federal Communications Commission authorizes the construction of new antennae or alteration of existing antennae structures, an FAA determination of “no hazard” may be required. FAA notification is required for new any construction greater than 200 feet above the ground, and near an airport runway (taller than 100:1 for a horizontal distance of 20,000 feet, 50:1 for a horizontal distance of 10,000 feet, and 25:1 for a horizontal distance of 5,000 feet of a heliport). The FAA can vary marking and lighting when requested if aviation safety is not compromised.
Council on Environmental Quality Regulations for Implementing the Procedural Provisions of the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) <i>(40 CFR Parts 1500-1508)</i>	Provides direction to ensure compliance with procedures to achieve the goals of NEPA. Public officials are able to make decisions based on understanding of environmental consequences and take actions to protect, restore, and enhance the environment.
Critical Infrastructure Protection Act of 2001 <i>(42 USC 5195)</i>	Defines critical infrastructure as the assets, systems, and networks (physical or virtual) vital to the U.S., which if incapacitated or destroyed, would have a debilitating effect on security, national economic security, public health or safety, or a combination of these.
Disaster Mitigation Act of 2000 (DMA 2000) <i>(PL 106-390)</i>	Establishes the basis for Federal Environmental Management Agency disaster mitigation planning requirements as a condition of mitigation grant assistance to states, tribes, and local governments. Mitigation planning may be incorporated into a comprehensive master plan identifying hazards, analyzing risks, establishing priorities, and describing specific actions to address those risks.
Emergency Planning and Community Right-to-Know Act of 1986 (EPCRA) <i>(42 USC §§11004-11049)</i>	Improves community access to information about chemical hazards and facilitates the development of chemical emergency response plans by states, tribes, and local governments. Establishes the Toxic Release Inventory to inform the public about potentially dangerous chemicals in their community.
Endangered Species Act (ESA) of 1973 <i>(16 USC §1531 et seq.)</i>	Ensures the protection and recovery of imperiled species and the habitats upon which they depend. Prohibits take, which is defined as harming, up to and including killing, or harassing a listed species. Section 7 of the ESA requires federal agencies to ensure that any action it authorizes, funds, or carries out is not likely to jeopardize the threatened or endangered species or result in destruction or adverse modification of critical habitat.
Energy Independence and Security Act of 2007 <i>(PL 110-140)</i>	Expands the production of renewable fuels and contains provisions for energy efficiency, smart grid, and carbon dioxide and incentives for plug-in hybrid electric vehicles to assist the electric power industry’s efforts to reduce greenhouse gas emissions.
Energy Policy Act of 2005 <i>(PL 109-58)</i>	Provides tax incentives and loan guarantees for energy production of various types.
Farmland Protection Policy Act of 1981 (FPPA) <i>(PL 97-98, 7 USC §4201)</i>	Requires federal agencies to examine the potentially adverse effects to “prime” and “unique” farmland resources before approving any action that would irreversibly convert farmlands to non-farm uses.

Title	Description
Federal Facility Compliance Act of 1992 ( <i>PL 102-386</i> )	Amends the Solid Waste Disposal Act and expands the enforcement authority of federal and state regulators with respect to solid and hazardous waste management at federal facilities. Requires federal facilities to pay any nondiscriminatory fees or service charges assessed in connection with a federal, state, interstate, or local solid or hazardous waste regulatory program. Waives immunity for federal facilities under solid and hazardous waste laws by allowing states to fine and penalize for violations.
Federal Insecticide, Fungicide, and Rodenticide Act ( <i>7 USC §136 et seq.</i> )	Provides for regulation of the distribution, sale, and use of pesticides. Pesticides are generally defined as substances for preventing, destroying, repelling, or mitigating pests; substances for use as a plant regulator, defoliant, or desiccant; and any nitrogen stabilizer.
Federal Land Policy and Management Act of 1976 ( <i>43 USC §1701 et seq.</i> )	Directs management of public lands, administered by the Bureau of Land Management, to protect the quality of the land and preserve certain public lands in their natural conditions.
Federal Telecommunications Act of 1996	Establishes general criteria for the siting of telecommunication facilities.
Federal Water Pollution Control Act (Clean Water Act [CWA]) ( <i>33 USC §1251 et seq.</i> )	Protects water quality and aims to restore and maintain the chemical, physical, and biological integrity of “waters of the United States.” Section 303(d) requires states and USEPA to identify waters not meeting state water quality standards and to develop total maximum daily loads, defined as the maximum amount of a pollutant a waterbody can receive and still meet water quality standards. After determining total maximum daily loads for impaired waters, states are required to identify all point and nonpoint sources (runoff) of pollution in a watershed that are contributing to the impairment and to develop an implementation plan that will allocate reductions to each source in order to meet the state standards. Section 320 establishes the National Estuary Program, which identifies nationally significant estuaries threatened by pollution, and requires federal grants to states, interstate, and regional water pollution control agencies to prepare and implement conservation and management plans. Section 404 addresses prohibition and permitting for dredged materials and fill material into waters of the United States.
Fish and Wildlife Conservation Act of 1980 ( <i>16 USC §§2901-2911</i> )	Declares that fish and wildlife are of ecological, educational, aesthetic, cultural, recreational, economic, and scientific value to the nation, and encourages all federal agencies to conserve and promote conservation of non-game fish and wildlife and their habitats.
Fish and Wildlife Coordination Act of 1934 ( <i>16 USC §§661-667e</i> )	Mandates that fish and wildlife resources receive adequate and equal consideration in conjunction with other values during the planning of water resources development projects that may conflict with the goal of conserving fish and wildlife resources.
Flood Plain Management Criteria for Flood-prone Areas ( <i>44 CFR 60.3</i> )	Provides guidance on Federal Emergency Management Agency floodplain management criteria for land management and use.
Intermodal Surface Transportation Efficiency Act of 1991 ( <i>23 USC §101 [note]</i> )	Establishes new U.S. transportation planning and policy for highway construction, highway safety, and mass transit funding. Provides funds for the Bridge Replacement and Rehabilitation Program, Scenic Byways Program, pedestrian and bicycle facilities (such as pedestrian bridges), and designation of high-speed rail corridors.

<b>Title</b>	<b>Description</b>
Landownership Adjustments (36 CFR 254)	Sets procedures for conducting exchanges of National Forest System lands and requires consideration of the public interest, including protection of fish and wildlife habitats, cultural resources, watersheds, and wilderness and aesthetic values, as well as enhancement of recreation opportunities and public access.
Magnuson-Stevens Fishery Conservation and Management Act of 1976 (16 USC §§1801-1882)	Requires conservation and management of U.S. fishery resources through implementation of fishery management plans and Regional Fishery Management Councils. Fishery management plans enable stakeholders to participate in the administration of fisheries, consider social and economic needs of states, develop underutilized fisheries, and protect essential fish habitats.
Marine Mammal Protection Act of 1972 (MMPA)	Prohibits the taking of marine mammals and enacts moratoriums on imports, exports, and sales of marine mammals and marine mammal parts or products within the United States. Defines “take” as “the act of hunting, killing, capture, and/or harassment of any marine mammal; or, the attempt at such.” Defines “harassment” as “any act of pursuit, torment or annoyance” that has potential to injure or disturb a marine mammal.
Marine Protection, Research, and Sanctuaries Act of 1972 (33 USC §§1401-1445)	Establishes the marine sanctuaries program and provides a permitting process for the dumping of materials, including dredged materials, into U.S. ocean water.
Migratory Bird Treaty Act (MBTA) (16 USC §§703-712)	Regulates the taking, possession, import, export, transport, sale, purchase, barter, or offer for sale, purchase, or barter, any migratory bird, or the parts, nests, or eggs of such a bird except under the terms of a valid permit.
National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) (42 USC 4321 et seq.)	Requires federal agencies to integrate environmental values into their decision-making processes by considering the environmental impacts of their Proposed Actions and reasonable alternatives to those actions. Established CEQ; CEQ promulgated regulations implementing NEPA, which are binding on all federal agencies, to address the procedural provisions of NEPA and the administration of the NEPA process, including preparation of Environmental Impact Statements.
National Forest Management Act of 1976 (PL 94-588) National Forest System Land and Resource Management Planning (36 CFR 219)	Governs the administration of national forests and removal of trees. Includes requirements for consideration, treatment, and protection of intangible resources such as scenery and aesthetics.
National Historic Preservation Act (NHPA) (formerly 16 USC § 470 et seq., now 54 USC § 100101 et seq.)	Ensures protection of cultural resources and historic properties. Established the Advisory Council on Historic Preservation (ACHP) to promote the preservation, enhancement, and productive use of our nation’s historic resources. Authorizes the Secretary of the Interior to maintain a National Register of Historic Places composed of districts, sites, buildings, structures, and objects significant in American history and culture. Section 106 of the NHPA requires federal agencies to identify the effects of proposed actions on any district, site, building, structure, or object that is included in or eligible for inclusion in the National Register. Under Section 106, the NHPA requires that federal agencies consult with federally-recognized Indian tribes and Native Hawaiian Organizations that attach traditional religious and cultural significance to eligible or listed historic properties that may be affected by the agency’s actions.

<b>Title</b>	<b>Description</b>
National Marine Sanctuaries Act (16 USC §1431 et seq.)	Authorizes the Secretary of Commerce to designate national marine sanctuaries based on statutory criteria and specifies consultation requirements.
National Trails System Act of 1968 (16 USC §1241)	Authorizes the Secretary of Agriculture to administer and manage national scenic trails for conservation and enjoyment.
Native American Graves Protection and Repatriation Act (NAGPRA) (PL 101-601, 104 Stat. 3048)	Establishes a process for museums and federal agencies to manage certain Native American cultural items in their possession or inadvertently discovered during a project; establishes the rights of Native American lineal descendants, American Indian tribes, and Native Hawaiian organizations with respect to the treatment, repatriation, and disposition of Native American human remains, funerary objects, sacred objects, and objects of cultural patrimony (referred to collectively in the statute as cultural items), with which they can show a relationship of lineal descent or cultural affiliation.
North American Wetlands Conservation Act of 1989 (PL 101-233)	Recognizes the aesthetic values of fish, shellfish, and other wildlife, and recognizes that wetlands provide aquatic areas important for recreational and aesthetic purposes. Federal agencies (to the extent possible) should cooperate to restore, protect, and enhance wetlands and other habitats for migratory birds, fish, and wildlife.
Occupational Safety and Health Act (OSHA) of 1970 (PL 91-596)	Mandates that employers provide a safe place of employment, free from hazards to safety and health.
Plant Protection Act (7 USC §7701 et seq.)	Establishes a program to control the spread of noxious weeds.
Procedures for Abatement of Highway Traffic Noise and Construction Noise (23 CFR 772)	Establishes procedures for conducting noise studies and implementing noise abatement measures, and provides guidelines to plan and design highway projects.
Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA) of 1976 (40 CFR Parts 239-282)	Amends the Solid Waste Disposal Act of 1965 to address how to safely manage and dispose of municipal and industrial waste generated nationwide. Identifies more stringent hazardous waste management standards, and a comprehensive regulatory program for underground storage tanks that store petroleum or certain hazardous materials.
Rivers and Harbors Act of 1899 (33 USC §403)	Addresses projects and activities in navigable waters and harbor and river improvements and prohibits the unauthorized obstruction or alteration of any navigable water of the United States, including altering any port, harbor, or channel.
Safe Drinking Water Act (42 USC §§300d-300j-9, as amended by PL 93-523)	Protects public health by regulating the nation's public drinking water and its sources, including protection of surface water and groundwater. Section 1424(e) of the Safe Drinking Water Act authorizes the Sole Source Aquifer Protection Program. Sole source aquifers are the sole or principal source of drinking water for an area, defined as providing 50 percent or more an area's drinking water supply. Any federally funded proposed project with the potential to contaminate a designated sole source aquifer is subject to USEPA review.
Safe, Accountable, Flexible, Efficient Transportation Equity Act: A Legacy for Users (SAFETEA-LU) (PL 109-59)	Addresses maintenance and growth challenges of the U.S. transportation system (e.g., improving safety, reducing traffic congestion, improving efficiency in freight movement, increasing intermodal connectivity, and protecting the environment). Regulates efforts to address national transportation problems and provides state and local decision makers the flexibility to solve transportation problems at the regional and local levels.

<b>Title</b>	<b>Description</b>
Superfund Amendments and Reauthorization Act of 1986 (SARA) <i>(PL 99-499)</i>	Amends the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act as a result of lessons learned from managing the Superfund program. Stresses the importance of permanent remedies and innovative treatment technologies in cleaning up hazardous waste sites, encourages greater citizen participation in cleanup decisions, and increases the size of the trust fund.
Toxic Substances Control Act of 1976 (TSCA) <i>(15 USC Chapter 53)</i>	Gives the USEPA the authority to require reporting, record-keeping, and testing relating to toxic chemical substances or mixtures.
Wild and Scenic Rivers Act <i>(16 USC §§1271–1287)</i> Wild and Scenic Rivers <i>(36 CFR 297)</i>	Provides for a Wild and Scenic River System by recognizing the remarkable values (scenic, recreational, geologic, fish and wildlife, historic, cultural, or other values) of specific rivers of the United States. The Wild and Scenic Rivers designation includes requirements for the protection of scenic and natural values from the effects of any water resources project.
Wilderness Act of 1964 <i>(16 USC §1131)</i>	Provides for the preservation of wilderness character and protects and manages the natural conditions of wilderness areas to negate the impact of humankind.
<b>Executive Orders</b>	
Executive Order 11988 Floodplain Management	Requires federal agencies to avoid, to the extent possible, the long and short-term adverse impacts associated with the occupancy and modification of floodplains and to avoid direct and indirect support of floodplain development wherever there is a practicable alternative.
Executive Order 12898 Federal Actions to Address Environmental Justice in Minority Populations and Low-Income Populations	Ensures that federal agencies avoid taking actions that have a disproportionately high and adverse impact on low-income populations or minority populations. Emphasizes the importance of NEPA’s public participation process, directing that each federal agency shall provide opportunities for community input in the NEPA process.
Executive Order 13007 Indian Sacred Sites	Directs federal agencies to accommodate access to and avoid adversely affecting American Indian sacred sites.
Executive Order 13089 Coral Reef Protection	Directs federal agencies to avoid degradation of coral reef ecosystems and implement measures to restore affected ecosystems.
Executive Order 13112 Invasive Species	Directs federal agencies to prevent the introduction of plant, animal, and microorganism invasive species, and control and minimize the economic, ecologic, and human health impacts that invasive species may cause.
Executive Order 13690 Establishing a Federal Flood Risk Management Standard and a Process for Further Soliciting and Considering Stakeholder Input	Implements the Federal Flood Risk Management Standard as part of a national policy on resilience and risk reduction, consistent with the President’s Climate Action Plan. Amends EO 11988 (see below), and emphasizes consideration by agencies of ecosystem-based alternatives and long-term resilience and risk reduction when managing flood risks.
Executive Order 13340 Great Lakes Interagency Task Force and Promotion of a Regional Collaboration of National Significance for the Great Lakes	Specifies 11 federal agency and Cabinet-level departments to provide strategic direction on federal Great Lakes policies, priorities, and programs.
Executive Order 13547 Stewardship of the Ocean, Our Coasts, and the Great Lakes	Provides national policy to ensure the protection, maintenance, and restoration of the health of ocean, coastal, and Great Lakes ecosystems and resources.

<b>Title</b>	<b>Description</b>
Executive Order 13653 Preparing the United States for the Impacts of Climate Change	Directs federal agencies to take steps that will make it easier for American communities to strengthen their resilience to climate change impacts.
Executive Order 11988 Floodplain Management	Requires federal agencies to avoid, to the extent possible, the long- and short-term adverse impacts associated with the occupancy and modification of floodplains and to avoid direct and indirect support of floodplain development wherever there is a practicable alternative. Aspects of EO 11988 have been updated in EO 13690 (see above).
Executive Order 13693 Planning for Federal Sustainability in the Next Decade	Outlines goals for federal agencies related to climate change, energy, water use, vehicle fleets, construction, and acquisition. Establishes targets for greenhouse gas emissions, water use and efficiency, and clean energy use for federal operations by 2025, relative to various baselines (depending on resource reduction or improvement).
<b>Guidance</b>	
Council on Environmental Quality Draft NEPA Guidance on Consideration of the Effects of Climate Change and Greenhouse Gas Emissions	Provides guidance on how to incorporate the environmental effects of greenhouse gas emissions and the relationship of climate change in NEPA documentation.

Page Intentionally Left Blank

## **APPENDIX D**

### **Threatened and Endangered Species**

Page Intentionally Left Blank

**Table 1: Bureau of Land Management Sensitive Plants and Animals in Alaska**

Scientific Name	Common Name
<b>Birds</b>	
<i>Gavia adamsii</i>	Yellow-billed Loon
<i>Cygnus buccinator</i>	Trumpeter Swan
<i>Chen canagica</i>	Emperor Goose
<i>Branta canadensis occidentalis</i>	Dusky Canada Goose
<i>Aquila chrysaetos</i>	Golden Eagle
<i>Numenius tahitiensis</i>	Bristle-thighed Curlew
<i>Calidris canutus</i>	Red Knot
<i>Calidris ptilocnemis tschuktschor</i>	Bering Sea Rock Sandpiper
<i>Brachyramphus brevirostris</i>	Kittlitz's Murrelet
<i>Brachyramphus marmoratus</i>	Marbled Murrelet
<i>Asio flammeus</i>	Short-eared Owl
<i>Contopus cooperi</i>	Olive-sided Flycatcher
<i>Dendroica striata</i>	Blackpoll Warbler
<i>Euphagus carolinus</i>	Rusty Blackbird
<i>Plectrophenax hyperboreus</i>	McKay's Bunting
<b>Mammals</b>	
<i>Lepus othus</i>	Alaskan Hare
<i>Spermophilus parryi osgoodi</i>	Osgood's Arctic Ground Squirrel
<i>Sorex yukonicus</i>	Alaskan Tiny Shrew
<i>Mustela americana kenaiensis</i>	Kenai Marten
<b>Fish</b>	
<i>Lampetra alaskensis</i>	Alaskan Brook Lamprey
<i>Salvelinus alpinus</i>	Arctic Char (Kigluaik Mtns)
<b>Insect</b>	
<i>Acentrella feropagus</i>	A mayfly
<i>Rhithrogena ingalik</i>	Alaska Endemic Mayfly
<i>Alaskaperla ovibovis</i>	Alaska Sallfly
<b>Plants</b>	
<i>Antennaria densifolia</i>	No common name
<i>Arnica lonchophylla</i>	Northern Arnica
<i>Artemisia globularia</i> ssp. <i>lutea</i>	No common name
<i>Artemisia laciniata</i>	Siberian Wormwood
<i>Artemisia senjavinensis</i>	Arctic Sage
<i>Aster pygmaeus (Eurybia pygmaea)</i>	Pygmy Aster
<i>Botrychium ascendens</i>	Moonwort
<i>Carex adelostoma</i>	Circumpolar Sedge
<i>Claytonia arctica</i>	Arctic Springbeauty
<i>Claytonia ogilviensis</i>	Ogilvie Mts Spring Beauty
<i>Cryptantha shackletteana</i>	Shacklettes' Catseye
<i>Douglasia alaskana</i>	Alaska Rock-jasmine
<i>Douglasia arctica</i>	Mackenzie River Douglasia
<i>Douglasia beringensis</i>	Arctic Dwarf Primrose
<i>Draba micropetala</i>	Alpine Whitlow-grass
<i>Draba murrayi</i>	Murray's Whitlow-grass
<i>Draba ogilviensis</i>	No common name
<i>Draba pauciflora</i>	Adam's Whitlow-grass
<i>Erigeron muirii</i>	Muir's Fleabane
<i>Erigeron yukonensis</i>	No common name
<i>Eriogonum flavum</i> var. <i>aquilinum</i>	Yukon Wild-buckwheat

Scientific Name	Common Name
<i>Erysimum asperum</i> var. <i>angustatum</i>	A wallflower
<i>Gentianopsis detonsa</i> ssp. <i>detonsa</i>	Sheared Gentian
<i>Koeleria asiatica</i>	Oriental Junegrass
<i>Lesquerella calderi</i>	Calder's Bladderpod
<i>Mertensia drummondii</i>	Drummond's Bluebell
<i>Montia bostockii</i>	Bostock's Miner's-lettuce
<i>Oxytropis arctica</i> var. <i>barnebyana</i>	Barneby's Locoweed
<i>Oxytropis huddelsonii</i>	No common name
<i>Oxytropis kobukensis</i>	Kobuk Locoweed
<i>Papaver alboroseum</i>	Pale Poppy
<i>Papaver gorodkovii</i>	No common name
<i>Papaver walpolei</i>	Walpole Poppy
<i>Parrya nauruaq</i>	No common name
<i>Pedicularis hirsuta</i>	No common name
<i>Phacelia mollis</i>	Macbride Phacelia
<i>Pleuropogon sabinei</i>	Sabine-grass
<i>Poa hartzii</i> ssp. <i>alaskana</i>	No common name
<i>Poa porsildii</i>	No common name
<i>Potentilla stipularis</i>	Circumpolar Cinquefoil
<i>Primula tschuktschorum</i>	Chukchi Primrose
<i>Puccinellia wrightii</i>	No common name
<i>Ranunculus camissonis</i>	No common name
<i>Ranunculus glacialis</i> var. <i>1</i>	No common name
<i>Ranunculus turneri</i>	Turner's Butter-cup
<i>Rumex graminifolius</i>	No common name
<i>Rumex krausei</i>	Cape Krause Sorrel
<i>Smelowskia johnsonii</i>	No common name
<i>Smelowskia pyriformis</i>	No common name
<i>Trisetum sibiricum</i> ssp. <i>litorale</i>	Siberian False-oats

## REFERENCES

Bureau of Land Management. 2010. BLM Alaska List of Sensitive Plant and Animal Species. Accessed: September 2015. Retrieved from:  
[http://www.blm.gov/style/medialib/blm/ak/aktest/ims.Par.47439.File.dat/im\\_ak\\_2010\\_018.pdf](http://www.blm.gov/style/medialib/blm/ak/aktest/ims.Par.47439.File.dat/im_ak_2010_018.pdf)

**Table 2: Threatened and Endangered Plant Species Known to Occur in the Hawaiian Islands**

Common Name and Scientific Name	Listing Status <sup>a</sup>	Habitat description	Hawaii	Maui	Lanai	Molokai	Oahu	Kauai	N.W. Islands, <sup>b</sup> Kahoolawe, Niihau, or Oceanic
No common name <i>Abutilon eremitopetalum</i>	E	Dry forests and shrublands in gulches, ~1,100 feet			✓				
Ko'oloa'ula <i>Abutilon menziesii</i>	E	Dry forests and shrublands. Found on old lava flows, seasonally dry eroded slopes and along washed out gullies, 656-1,739 feet	✓	✓	✓		✓		
No common name <i>Abutilon sandwicense</i> (CH)	E	On steep slopes in dry forests, 1,312-1,969 feet					✓CH		
Liliwai <i>Acaena exigua</i>	E	Montane bogs, 5,250-5,906 feet		✓				✓	
No common name <i>Achyranthes mutica</i> (CH)	E	Koa ( <i>Acacia koa</i> ) lowland dry forest, ~3,030 feet	✓CH					✓	
Hinahina ewa <i>Achyranthes splendens</i> var. <i>rotundata</i>	E	Low elevation, open, dry forest remnants and open thickets, on talus or rocky slopes and on coralline plains			✓	✓	✓		
No common name <i>Adenophorus periens</i> (CH)	E	Epiphyte usually growing on ohia ( <i>Metrosideros polymorpha</i> ) trunks in closed canopy wet forests, 1,540-4,150 feet	✓CH		✓	✓CH	✓CH	✓CH	

Common Name and Scientific Name	Listing Status <sup>a</sup>	Habitat description	Hawaii	Maui	Lanai	Molokai	Oahu	Kauai	N.W. Islands, <sup>b</sup> Kahoolawe, Niihau, or Oceanic
Mahoe <i>Alectryon macrococcus</i> var. <i>auwahiensis</i> (CH)	E	Various dry to mesic forest types on well-weather substrate, 1,092-3,969 feet		✓CH					
Mahoe <i>Alectryon macrococcus</i> var. <i>macrococcus</i> (CH)	E	Various dry to mesic forest types, 1,181-3,510 feet		✓CH		✓CH	✓CH	✓CH	
No common name <i>Amaranthus brownii</i> (CH)	E	Shallow soil on rocky outcrops in fully exposed locations, 100-800 feet							Ni CH
`Ahinahina, Ka`u silversword <i>Argyroxiphium kauense</i> (CH)	E	Bogs and openings in wet ohia forests, or areas of smooth lava within mesic shrubby ohia forests, 5,331-6,234 feet	✓CH						
`Ahinahina, Haleakala silversword <i>Argyroxiphium sandwicense</i> ssp. <i>macrocephalum</i> (CH)	T	Barren, unstable slopes of recent (<1,000 years) volcanic cinder cones		✓CH					
`Ahinahina, Mauna Kea <i>Argyroxiphium sandwicense</i> ssp. <i>Sandwicense</i>	E	Dry alpine desert and dry to moist subalpine shrublands and forests. Found on cinder cone slopes, cinder fields, lava flows, in rock gulches, and on cliffs	✓						
No common name <i>Asplenium peruvianum</i> var. <i>insulare</i> (CH) (listed as <i>Asplenium fragile</i> var. <i>insulare</i> )	E	Dark, moist areas, in rock crevices or at the mouths of lava tubes that receive very little light, 5,413-7,218 feet	✓CH	✓CH					
painiu <i>Astelia waialealae</i> (CH)	E	Bog san don bog hummocks in ohia montane wet forests, 4,000-5,000 feet						✓CH	

Common Name and Scientific Name	Listing Status <sup>a</sup>	Habitat description	Hawaii	Maui	Lanai	Molokai	Oahu	Kauai	N.W. Islands, <sup>b</sup> Kahoolawe, Niihau, or Oceania
Ko'oko'olau <i>Bidens micrantha</i> ssp. <i>kalealaha</i> (CH)	E	Sheer rock walls at elevations of 5,250-7,545 feet		✓CH	✓CH				
Ko'oko'olau <i>Bidens wiebkei</i> (CH)	E	Moist shrublands and forests dominated by ohia, in gulches and on ridges, 820-3,450 feet				✓CH			
No common name <i>Bonamia menziesii</i> (CH)	E	Dry to mesic forest, rarely in wet forest, 492-2,050 feet	✓CH	✓CH	✓	✓	✓CH	✓CH	
'Olulu <i>Brighamia insignis</i> (CH)	E	Sea cliffs and coastal bluffs, <1,575 feet						✓CH	Niihau CH
Pua 'ala <i>Brighamia rockii</i> (CH)	E	Sea cliffs in coastal dry to mesic forests or shrublands, <1,542 feet		✓CH	✓	✓CH			
Uhiuhi <i>Caesalpinia kavaensis</i>	E	Dry and moist forests, 262-3,018 feet	✓	✓	✓		✓	✓	
'Awikiwiki <i>Canavalia molokaiensis</i> (CH)	E	Moist shrublands and forests on gulch slopes and bottoms, 2,788-3,051 feet				✓CH			
Awikiwiki <i>Canavalia napaliensis</i> (CH)	E	Dry and moist shrublands and forests in gulches, on ridges, and on gently slopes valley bottoms, 20-1,900 feet						CH	
Kamanomano <i>Cenchrus agrimonioides</i> var. <i>agrimonioides</i> (CH)	E	Dry, rocky ridges or slopes, or ridges in mesic ohia-koa forest, 1,830-2,700 feet	✓	✓CH	✓		✓CH		
Kamanomano <i>Cenchrus agrimonioides</i> var. <i>Laysanensis</i>	E	Atoll dunes							L, Ku, M
'Awiwi <i>Centaurium sebaeoides</i> (CH)	E	Volcanic or clay soils or on cliffs in arid coastal areas, <400 feet		✓CH	✓	✓CH	✓CH	✓CH	

Common Name and Scientific Name	Listing Status <sup>a</sup>	Habitat description	Hawaii	Maui	Lanai	Molokai	Oahu	Kauai	N.W. Islands, <sup>b</sup> Kahoolawe, Niihau, or Oceanic
`Akoko <i>Chamaesyce celastroides</i> var. <i>kaenana</i> (CH)	E	Exposed, windswept ridges of moderate to steep slope in wet ohia-uluhe shrublands, 2,300-2,800 feet					✓CH		
`Akoko <i>Chamaesyce deppeana</i> (CH)	E	Moist shrublands on windswept steep slopes, cliffs and ledges, ~1,000 feet					✓CH		
`Akoko <i>Chamaesyce eleanoriae</i> (CH)	E	Moist areas on narrow ridges crests and outcrops and less commonly on steep rocky slopes and cliffs, 885-3,499 feet						✓CH	
`Akoko <i>Chamaesyce halemanui</i> (CH)	E	Steep slopes of gulches in mesic koa forests, 2,160-3,600 feet						✓CH	
`Akoko <i>Chamaesyce herbstii</i> (CH)	E	Moist koa-ohia forests in gulch bottoms or gulch slopes, 1,750-2,300 feet					✓CH		
`Akoko <i>Chamaesyce kuwaleana</i> (CH)	E	Arid basaltic cliffs, exposed rocky dry ridges and on sparsely vegetated steep cliffs, 600-1,050 feet					✓CH		
`Akoko <i>Chamaesyce remyi</i> var. <i>kauaiensis</i> (CH)	E	Wet forest dominated by ohia, 1,900-2,297 feet						✓CH	
`Akoko <i>Chamaesyce remyi</i> var. <i>remyi</i> (CH)	E	Wet forest dominated by ohia and uluhe, 1,200-4,100 feet						✓CH	
`Akoko <i>Chamaesyce rockii</i> (CH)	E	Rainforests and shrublands, primarily along cloud-swept ridges, <2,720 feet					✓CH		

Common Name and Scientific Name	Listing Status <sup>a</sup>	Habitat description	Hawaii	Maui	Lanai	Molokai	Oahu	Kauai	N.W. Islands, <sup>b</sup> Kahoolawe, Niihau, or Oceanic
`Akoko <i>Chamaesyce skottsbergii</i> var. <i>kalaeloana</i> (listed as <i>Euphorbia skottsbergii</i> var. <i>kalaeloana</i> )	E	Ewa Plains					✓		
Papala <i>Charpentiera densiflora</i> (CH)	E	Moist forests, especially in gulch bottoms, 400-2,200 feet						✓CH	
`Oha wai <i>Clermontia drepanomorpha</i> (CH)	E	Wet forests, 3,850-5,150 feet	✓CH						
`Oha wai <i>Clermontia lindseyana</i> (CH)	E	Montane rainforest, <7,054 feet	✓CH	✓CH					
`Oha wai <i>Clermontia oblongifolia</i> ssp. <i>brevipes</i> (CH)	E	Wet forest on slopes, 3,610-3,937 feet				✓CH			
`Oha wai <i>Clermontia oblongifolia</i> ssp. <i>mauiensis</i> (CH)	E	Sides of ridges in ohia-dominated montane wet forest, 2,790-2,950 feet		✓CH	✓				
`Oha wai <i>Clermontia peleana</i> ssp. <i>peleana</i> (CH)	E	Montane wet forests of windward slopes, 1,800-3,800 feet	✓CH						
`Oha wai <i>Clermontia peleana</i> ssp. <i>singuliflora</i> (CH)	E	Montane wet forests of windward slopes, 1,800-3,800 feet	✓CH	✓					
`Oha wai <i>Clermontia pyrularia</i> (CH)	E	Koa ( <i>Acacia koa</i> ) and ohia dominated montane wet forests and subalpine dry forests, 3,000-7,000 feet	✓CH						
`Oha wai <i>Clermontia samuelii</i> ssp. <i>hanaensis</i> (CH)	E	Wet forests dominated by ohia and uluhe ( <i>Dicranopteris linearis</i> )		✓CH					

Common Name and Scientific Name	Listing Status <sup>a</sup>	Habitat description	Hawaii	Maui	Lanai	Molokai	Oahu	Kauai	N.W. Islands, <sup>b</sup> Kahoolawe, Niihau, or Oceanic
ʻOha wai <i>Clermontia samuellii</i> ssp. <i>samuellii</i> (CH)	E	Wet forests dominated by ohia and olapa ( <i>Cheirodendron trigynum</i> ), 2,380-7,365 feet		✓CH					
Kauila <i>Colubrina oppositifolia</i> (CH)	E	Dry to moist forest, 787-3,018 feet	✓CH	✓CH			✓CH		
Pauoa <i>Ctenitis squamigera</i> (CH)	E	Understory of lowland mesic forests, 1,247-3,002 feet		✓CH	✓	✓CH	✓CH	✓CH	
Haha <i>Cyanea acuminata</i> (CH)	E	Wet forests in gulch bottoms, on gulch slopes, on ridge crests, and on streambanks, 1,000-3,000 feet					✓CH		
Haha <i>Cyanea asarifolia</i> (CH)	E	Grows in pockets of soil on sheer rock cliffs in lowland wet forests, ~1,080 feet						✓CH	
Haha <i>Cyanea copelandii</i> ssp. <i>copelandii</i>	E	Wet montane forests dominated by <i>Cibotium</i> spp, 2,165-5,249 feet	✓						
Haha <i>Cyanea copelandii</i> ssp. <i>haleakalaensis</i> (CH)	E	Stream banks and wet scree slopes at the base of cliffs and in forest understory in montane wet or mesic forest dominated by ohia and/or koa, 2,018-4,625 feet		✓CH					
Haha <i>Cyanea crispa</i> (CH)	E	Habitats range from steep, open mesic forests to gentle slopes or moist gullies in closed wet forests, 600-2,400 feet					✓CH		

Common Name and Scientific Name	Listing Status <sup>a</sup>	Habitat description	Hawaii	Maui	Lanai	Molokai	Oahu	Kauai	N.W. Islands, <sup>b</sup> Kahoolawe, Niihau, or Oceanic
Haha <i>Cyanea dolichopoda</i> (CH)	E	Ohia lowland wet shrubland on cliff faces, ~2,300 feet						✓CH	
Haha <i>Cyanea dunbariae</i> (CH)	E	Moist and wet forests on moderate to steep slopes along streams				✓CH			
Haha <i>Cyanea eleeeleensis</i> (CH)	E	Wet forests, shaded gulches, ~699 feet						✓CH	
Haha <i>Cyanea glabra</i> (CH)	E	Wet forests dominated by ohia and/or koa on gulch slopes, 3,200-4,400 feet		✓CH					
Haha <i>Cyanea grimesiana</i> ssp. <i>grimesiana</i> (CH)	E	Mesic forest dominated by ohia or ohia and koa, on rocky or steep slopes of stream banks, 1,150-3,100 feet				✓CH	✓CH		
Haha <i>Cyanea grimesiana</i> ssp. <i>obatae</i> (CH)	E	Steep, moist, shady slopes in medium to wet forests, 1,800 to 2,200 feet					✓CH		
Haha <i>Cyanea hamatiflora</i> ssp. <i>carlsonii</i> (CH)	E	Mesic montane forest dominated by ohia, 4,000-5,700 feet	✓CH						
Haha <i>Cyanea hamatiflora</i> ssp. <i>hamatiflora</i> (CH)	E	Rainforests, 3,937-4,593 feet		✓CH					
Haha <i>Cyanea humboldtiana</i> (CH)	E	Wet shrubland dominated by ohia and uluhe, 1,800-3,150 feet					✓CH		
Haha <i>Cyanea kolekoleensis</i> (CH)	E	Lowland wet forest dominated by ohia and uluhe						✓CH	
Haha <i>Cyanea koolauensis</i> (CH)	E	Slopes and ridge crests in wet forest or shrubland dominated by ohia and uluhe, 1,700-2,660 feet					✓CH		

Common Name and Scientific Name	Listing Status <sup>a</sup>	Habitat description	Hawaii	Maui	Lanai	Molokai	Oahu	Kauai	N.W. Islands, <sup>b</sup> Kahoolawe, Niihau, or Oceanic
Haha <i>Cyanea kuhihewa</i> (CH)	E	Streambanks in wet forests						✓CH	
Haha <i>Cyanea lobata</i> ssp. <i>baldwinii</i> (listed as <i>Cyanea lobata</i> )	E	Steep stream banks, 1,805-3,000 feet			✓				
Haha <i>Cyanea magnicalyx</i> (listed as <i>Cyanea grimesiana</i> ssp. <i>grimesiana</i> ) (CH)	E	Lowland mesic forests in valleys or on rocky or steep slopes of stream banks, 1,150-3,100 feet		✓CH					
Haha <i>Cyanea mannii</i> (CH)	E	Sides of deep gulches in ohia-dominated mesic to wet forests, 3,300-4,000 feet				✓CH			
Haha <i>Cyanea mauiensis</i> (listed as <i>Cyanea grimesiana</i> ssp. <i>grimesiana</i> )	E	Unknown, not seen for over 100 years		✓					
Haha <i>Cyanea mceldowneyi</i> (CH)	E	Montane wet forest with mixed ohia and koa, 3,034-4,200 feet		✓CH					
Haha <i>Cyanea munroi</i> (listed as <i>Cyanea grimesiana</i> ssp. <i>grimesiana</i> ) (CH)	E	Wet cliffs			✓	✓CH			
Haha <i>Cyanea pinnatifida</i> (CH)	E	Steep, wet, rocky slopes and shady ravines in diverse mesic forests, 1,608-1,706 feet					✓CH		
`Aku`aku <i>Cyanea platyphylla</i> (CH)	E	Lowland and montane wet forests dominated by ohia and koa, 390-3,000 feet	✓CH						
Haha <i>Cyanea procera</i> (CH)	E	Wet ohia dominated forest on steep rock walls with thin soil, ~3,480 feet				✓CH			
Haha <i>Cyanea recta</i> (CH)	T	Lowland wet or mesic ohia forest or shrubland, usually in gulches or on slopes, 1,300 to 3,070 feet						✓CH	

Common Name and Scientific Name	Listing Status <sup>a</sup>	Habitat description	Hawaii	Maui	Lanai	Molokai	Oahu	Kauai	N.W. Islands, <sup>b</sup> Kahoolawe, Niihau, or Oceanic
Haha <i>Cyanea remyi</i> (CH)	E	Lowland wet forests or shrubland, 1,180 to 3,060 feet						✓CH	
No common name <i>Cyanea rivularis</i> (listed as <i>Delissea rivularis</i> ) (CH)	E	Steep slopes in ohia-olapa montane wet or mesic forests, near streams						✓CH	
Haha <i>Cyanea salicina</i> (listed as <i>Cyanea recta</i> ) (CH)	T	Lowland wet or mesic ohia forest or shrubland, usually in gulches or on slopes						✓CH	
Haha <i>Cyanea shipmanii</i> (CH)	E	Koa and ohia dominated montane mesic forests, 5,400-6,200	✓CH						
Haha <i>Cyanea st.-johnii</i> (CH)	E	Wet, windswept slopes and ridges in ohia mixed shrubland or ohia-uluhe shrubland, 2,260-2,800 feet					✓CH		
Haha <i>Cyanea stictophylla</i> (CH)	E	Koa and ohia dominated lowland mesic and wet forests, 3,500-6,400 feet	✓CH						
Haha <i>Cyanea superba</i> ssp. <i>regina</i> (CH)	E	Understory of lowland forests, 1,755-2,297 feet					✓CH		
Haha <i>Cyanea superba</i> ssp. <i>superba</i> (CH)	E	Understory of sloping terrain on well drained, rocky substrate, 1,760-2,200 feet					✓CH		
Haha <i>Cyanea truncata</i> (CH)	E	Windward slopes in mesic to wet forests, 800-1,300 feet					✓CH		

Common Name and Scientific Name	Listing Status <sup>a</sup>	Habitat description	Hawaii	Maui	Lanai	Molokai	Oahu	Kauai	N.W. Islands, <sup>b</sup> Kahoolawe, Niihau, or Oceanic
Haha <i>Cyanea undulata</i> (CH)	E	Ohia-uluhe wet forest, often on streambanks or steep-to-vertical slopes, from 2,200-2,600 feet						✓CH	
No common name <i>Cyperus fauriei</i> (CH)	E	Lowland dry forest, typically dominated by ohia and lama ( <i>Diospyros</i> spp.), on a'a lava substrates, 380-6,000 feet	✓CH		✓	✓CH			
No common name <i>Cyperus pennatiformis</i> ssp. <i>bryanii</i> (CH) (listed as <i>Mariscus pennatiformis</i> )	E	Coastal sandy substrate at elevations just above sea level							L CH
No common name <i>Cyperus pennatiformis</i> ssp. <i>pennatiformis</i> (CH) (listed as <i>Mariscus pennatiformis</i> )	E	Open sites in mesic forests and low elevation grasslands, <3,900 feet		✓CH			✓CH	✓CH	
Pu`uka`a <i>Cyperus trachysanthos</i> (CH)	E	Wet sites (mud flats, wet clay soil, or wet steep cliffs) on coastal cliffs or talus slopes, 10-525 feet			✓	✓	✓CH	✓CH	Niihau
Ha`iwale <i>Cyrtandra crenata</i>	E	Ravines or gulches in mesic to wet forests, 1,250-2,400 feet					✓		
Mapele <i>Cyrtandra cyaneoides</i> (CH)	E	Steep slopes or cliffs near streams or waterfalls in lowland or montane wet forest or shrubland dominated by ohia or ohia and uluhe						✓CH	

Common Name and Scientific Name	Listing Status <sup>a</sup>	Habitat description	Hawaii	Maui	Lanai	Molokai	Oahu	Kauai	N.W. Islands, <sup>b</sup> Kahoolawe, Niihau, or Oceanic
Ha`iwale <i>Cyrtandra dentata</i> (CH)	E	Gulches, slopes or ravines in mesic forest with ohia, ohia ha ( <i>Syzigium sandwicensis</i> ), and kukui ( <i>Aleurites moluccanus</i> ), 1,900-2,360 feet					✓CH		
Ha`iwale <i>Cyrtandra giffardii</i> (CH)	E	Wet montane forest dominated by tree fern ( <i>Cibotium</i> ), 2,400-4,900 feet	✓CH						
Ha`iwale <i>Cyrtandra kealiae</i> ssp. <i>kealiae</i> (listed as <i>Cyrtandra limahuliensis</i> ) (CH)	T	Ohia-uluhe wet forest, wet cliffs and along drainages						✓CH	
Ha`iwale <i>Cyrtandra munroi</i> (CH)	E	Lowland, diverse, mixed mesic to wet ohia forest, typically on rich, moist to wet, moderately steep talus slopes, 960-3,020 feet		✓CH	✓				
Ha`iwale <i>Cyrtandra oenobarba</i> (CH)	E	Lowland wet forest dominated by ohia						✓CH	
Ha`iwale <i>Cyrtandra paliku</i> (CH)	E	Vertical, shaded, north-facing basalt rock faces, which are windswept and often mist-shrouded within lowland wet forest dominated by ohia						✓CH	
Ha`iwale <i>Cyrtandra polyantha</i> (CH)	E	Ridges in ohia mesic or wet forests, 1,086-2,499 feet					✓CH		
Ha`iwale <i>Cyrtandra subumbellata</i> (CH)	E	Moist, forested slopes or gulch bottoms dominated by ohia or ohia and uluhe, 1,500-2,200 feet					✓CH		

Common Name and Scientific Name	Listing Status <sup>a</sup>	Habitat description	Hawaii	Maui	Lanai	Molokai	Oahu	Kauai	N.W. Islands, <sup>b</sup> Kahoolawe, Niihau, or Oceanic
Ha`iwale <i>Cyrtandra tintinnabula</i> (CH)	E	Lowland wet forest dominated by dense koa, ohia and tree fern, 2,100-3,400 feet	✓CH						
Ha`iwale <i>Cyrtandra viridiflora</i> (CH)	E	Windblown ridgetops in cloud-covered wet forest or shrubland, 2,260-2,800 feet					✓CH		
No common name <i>Delissea argutidentata</i> (listed as <i>Delissea undulata</i> ) (CH)	E	Dry and mesic forests in open ohia and mamane ( <i>Sophora chrysophylla</i> ) forest, 3,300-5,700 feet	✓CH						
No common name <i>Delissea kauaiensis</i> (listed as <i>Delissea undulata</i> ) (CH)	E	Rainforest. Considered extinct						✓CH	
No common name <i>Delissea niihauensis</i> (listed as <i>Delissea undulata</i> )	E	Rainforest. Considered extinct							Niihau
No common name <i>Delissea rhytidosperma</i> (CH)	E	Diverse lowland mesic forests and koa dominated lowland dry forests that have well drained soils with medium to fine textured subsoil, 394-3,000 feet						✓CH	
No common name <i>Delissea subcordata</i> (CH)	E	Moderate to steep gulch slopes in mixed mesic forests, 531-3,362 feet					✓CH		
No common name <i>Delissea takeuchii</i> (listed as <i>Delissea subcordata</i> ) (CH)	E	Lowland mesic forests, 1,805- 3,000 feet					✓CH		
No common name <i>Delissea undulata</i>	E	Dry and mesic forests in open ohia and mamane ( <i>Sophora chrysophylla</i> ) forest, 3,300-5,700 feet		✓					

Common Name and Scientific Name	Listing Status <sup>a</sup>	Habitat description	Hawaii	Maui	Lanai	Molokai	Oahu	Kauai	N.W. Islands, <sup>b</sup> Kahoolawe, Niihau, or Oceanic
No common name <i>Delissea waianaeensis</i> (listed as <i>Delissea subcordata</i> ) (CH)	E	Lowland mesic forests, 804-2,493 feet					✓CH		
No common name <i>Diellia erecta</i> (CH)	E	Lowland mesic forests dominated by ohia and lama, 700-5,200 feet	✓CH	✓CH	✓	✓CH	✓CH	✓CH	
No common name <i>Diellia falcata</i> (CH)	E	Deep shade or open understory in dryland forest, 1,280-2,700 feet					✓CH		
No common name <i>Diellia mannii</i> (CH)	E	Steep, dry, upland forest slopes, 1,640-3,280 feet						✓CH	
No common name <i>Diellia pallida</i> (CH)	E	Bare soil on steep, rocky, dry slopes of lowland mesic forests, 1,700-2,300 feet						✓CH	
No common name <i>Diellia unisora</i> (CH)	E	Deep shade or open understory in dryland forest, 1,750-2,500 feet					✓CH		
No common name <i>Diplazium molokaiense</i> (CH)	E	Lowland to montane habitats, including montane mesic ohia-koa forest, 2,800-5,500 feet		✓CH	✓	✓CH	✓CH	✓CH	
No common name <i>Doryopteris angelica</i> (CH)	E	Ohia-koa montane mesic forest, 2,000-3,300 feet						✓CH	
Palapalai aumakua <i>Dryopteris crinalis</i> var. <i>Podosorus</i>	E	Steep to vertical riparian basalt walls within dark seeping drainages in ohia montane wet forest, 4,000-5,100 feet						✓CH	
Na'ena'e <i>Dubautia herbstobatae</i> (CH)	E	Rock outcrops on north-facing ridges in dry shrubland, 1,900-3,000 feet					✓CH		

Common Name and Scientific Name	Listing Status <sup>a</sup>	Habitat description	Hawaii	Maui	Lanai	Molokai	Oahu	Kauai	N.W. Islands, <sup>b</sup> Kahoolawe, Niihau, or Oceanic
Na`ena`e <i>Dubautia imbricata</i> ssp. <i>imbricata</i> (CH)	E	Lowland wet ohia forest and bogs, 2,165-3,640 feet						✓CH	
Na`ena`e <i>Dubautia kalalauensis</i> (CH)	E	Ohia wet forests, 4,000-4,050 feet						✓CH	
Na`ena`e <i>Dubautia kenwoodii</i> (CH)	E	Cliff face in mesic shrubland and forest, ~2,625 feet						✓CH	
Kaholapehu <i>Dubautia latifolia</i> (CH)	E	Gentle to steep slopes on well-drained soil in semi-open, diverse montane mesic forest dominated by koa and ohia, 3,200-3,900 feet						✓CH	
Na`ena`e <i>Dubautia pauciflorula</i> (CH)	E	Stunted mesic forests and uluhe slopes, 2,000-3,300 feet						✓CH	
Na`ena`e <i>Dubautia plantaginea</i> ssp. <i>humilis</i> (CH)	E	Wet, barren, steep, rocky, wind-blown cliffs, 870-5,230 feet		✓CH					
Na`ena`e <i>Dubautia plantaginea</i> ssp. <i>magnifolia</i> (CH)	E	Wet cliff and wet forest and shrubland, 1,542-2,395 feet						✓CH	
Na`ena`e <i>Dubautia waialealae</i> (CH)	E	Bogs in montane wet areas, 3,980-5,249 feet						✓CH	
No common name <i>Eragrostis fosbergii</i> (CH)	E	Ridge crests or moderate slopes in native or alien forests, 2,360-2,720 feet					✓CH		
Nioi <i>Eugenia koolauensis</i> (CH)	E	Dry gulches and ridges in mesic forests dominated by ohia and/or lama, 350-1,000 feet				✓CH	✓CH		

Common Name and Scientific Name	Listing Status <sup>a</sup>	Habitat description	Hawaii	Maui	Lanai	Molokai	Oahu	Kauai	N.W. Islands, <sup>b</sup> Kahoolawe, Niihau, or Oceanic
No common name <i>Euphorbia haelealeana</i> (CH)	E	Lowland mixed mesic or dry forests often dominated by ohia, ohia and koa, lama, or kukui, 680-2,200 feet					✓CH	✓CH	
Heau <i>Exocarpos luteolus</i> (CH)	E	Variety of habitats: wet places bordering swamps; open, dry ridges; lowland to montane, ohia-dominated wet forest communities; 2,000-3,500 feet						✓CH	
Mehamehame <i>Flueggea neowawraea</i> (CH)	E	Dry to mesic forest, 820-3,280 feet	✓CH	✓CH		✓CH	✓CH	✓CH	
No common name <i>Gahnia lanaiensis</i>	E	Shrubby rainforest on flat to gentle ridge crest topography, 3,000-3,360 feet			✓				
Nanu <i>Gardenia brighamii</i>	E	Dry forest, in rocky gulches, or on plateau lands with deep soils, or old forested lava flows, 1,000-1,800 feet	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓		
Nanu <i>Gardenia mannii</i> (CH)	E	Moderate to moderately steep gulch slopes in mesic or wet forests where ohia co-dominated with a mixture of native plants, 980-2,460 feet					✓CH		
Nohoanu <i>Geranium arboreum</i> (CH)	E	Moist gulches, steep, narrow canyons in shaded, cloudy areas near the upper limit of native forest growth, 5,000-7,000 feet		✓CH					

Common Name and Scientific Name	Listing Status <sup>a</sup>	Habitat description	Hawaii	Maui	Lanai	Molokai	Oahu	Kauai	N.W. Islands, <sup>b</sup> Kahoolawe, Niihau, or Oceanic
Nohoanu <i>Geranium kauaiense</i> (CH)	E	Montane wet bogs and bog margins dominated by ohia and <i>Rhynchospora</i> , 4,000-4,800 feet						✓CH	
Nohoanu <i>Geranium multiflorum</i> (CH)	E	Found mostly in wet forests; however, also found in montane grasslands, montane bog edges, fog-swept lava flows, gulch slopes of montane wet forests, and subalpine shrublands, 5,183-7,415 feet		✓CH					
No common name <i>Gouania hillebrandii</i> (CH)	E	Lowland dry tropical ridges of weathered lava		✓CH	✓	✓			Kahoolawe
No common name <i>Gouania meyenii</i> (CH)	E	Rocky ledges, cliff faces, and ridge tops in dry shrubland or ohia lowland mesic forest, 1,900-2,700 feet					✓CH	✓CH	
No common name <i>Gouania vitifolia</i> (CH)	E	Dry to mesic forests, in gulches	✓CH	✓CH			✓CH		
No common name <i>Haplostachys haplostachya</i>	E	Montane shrubland on basaltic plains, often associated with cinder cones and small hills, 5,000-6,000 feet	✓	✓				✓	
`Awiwi <i>Hedyotis cookiana</i> (CH)	E	Streambeds or steep cliffs close to water sources in lowland wet forests, 560-1,200 feet	✓					✓CH	

Common Name and Scientific Name	Listing Status <sup>a</sup>	Habitat description	Hawaii	Maui	Lanai	Molokai	Oahu	Kauai	N.W. Islands, <sup>b</sup> Kahoolawe, Niihau, or Oceanic
Kio'ele <i>Hedyotis coriacea</i> (CH)	E	Steep, rocky slopes in dry to mesic in aalii ( <i>Dodonaea viscosa</i> ) dominated shrublands or forests, 1,560-7,500 feet	✓CH	✓CH			✓CH		
No common name <i>Hedyotis degeneri</i> var. <i>coprosrifolia</i> (CH)	E	Diverse mesic forests, 1,198-1,247 feet					✓CH		
No common name <i>Hedyotis degeneri</i> var. <i>degeneri</i> (CH)	E	Dry to mesic habitats on and around steep cliffs in montane forests, 1,198-1,247 feet					✓CH		
Pilo <i>Hedyotis mannii</i> (CH)	E	Dark, narrow, rocky gulch walls in mesic and wet forests, 490-3,450 feet		✓CH	✓	✓			
No common name <i>Hedyotis parvula</i> (CH)	E	On and at the bases of cliff faces, rock outcrops, and ledges in dry habitat, 2,350-2,730 feet					✓CH		
Kopa <i>Hedyotis schlechtendahlana</i> var. <i>remyi</i>	E	On or near ridge crests in mesic windswept mixed shrubland, 2,400-3,000 feet			✓				
No common name <i>Hedyotis st.-johnii</i> (CH)	E	Crevices of near-vertical coastal cliff faces, confined to north-facing cliffs within the spray zone below 250 feet						✓CH	
No common name <i>Hesperomannia arborescens</i> (CH)	E	Slopes or ridges in lowland wet forests or scrub, 1,200-2,500 feet		✓	✓	✓CH	✓CH		

Common Name and Scientific Name	Listing Status <sup>a</sup>	Habitat description	Hawaii	Maui	Lanai	Molokai	Oahu	Kauai	N.W. Islands, <sup>b</sup> Kahoolawe, Niihau, or Oceanic
No common name <i>Hesperomannia arbuscula</i> (CH)	E	Slopes and ridges in mesic to wet forests dominated by koa and ohia, 1,200-3,000 feet		✓CH			✓CH		
No common name <i>Hesperomannia lydgatei</i> (CH)	E	Ohia wet forests on or near streambanks, 2,165-2,540 feet						✓CH	
Hau kuahiwi <i>Hibiscadelphus distans</i>	E	Dry forests and shrublands on bluffs and cliff edges on basaltic bedrock overlain by dry, crumbly red-brown soil (inceptisol), 1,000-1,800 feet						✓	
Hau kuahiwi <i>Hibiscadelphus giffardianus</i> (CH)	E	Mixed montane mesic forests, 3,900-4,300 feet	✓CH						
Hau kuahiwi <i>Hibiscadelphus hualalaiensis</i> (CH)	E	Mixed dry to mesic forests remnants on lava fields, 3,000-3,350 feet	✓CH						
Hau kuahiwi <i>Hibiscadelphus woodii</i> (CH)	E	Basalt talus or cliff walls in ohia montane mesic forest around 3,000 feet						✓CH	
Koki'o ke'oke'o <i>Hibiscus arnottianus</i> ssp. <i>immaculatus</i> (CH)	E	Mesic forests, 50-1,600 feet				✓			
Ma'o hau hele <i>Hibiscus brackenridgei</i> ssp. <i>brackenridgei</i> (CH)	E	Lowland dry forest and plains, 800-1,400 feet	✓CH	✓CH	✓				
Ma'o hau hele <i>Hibiscus brackenridgei</i> ssp. <i>mokuleianus</i> (CH)	E	Lowland dry to mesic forest and shrubland, 394-787 feet				✓CH	✓CH		
Ma'o hau hele <i>Hibiscus brackenridgei</i> ssp. <i>molokaiana</i> (CH)	E	Dry grassland, shrubland and forest				✓CH	✓CH		

Common Name and Scientific Name	Listing Status <sup>a</sup>	Habitat description	Hawaii	Maui	Lanai	Molokai	Oahu	Kauai	N.W. Islands, <sup>b</sup> Kahoolawe, Niihau, or Oceanic
Koki`o `ula`ula <i>Hibiscus clayi</i> (CH)	E	Lowland dry forest on slopes, 750-1,150 feet						✓CH	
Koki`o ke`oke`o <i>Hibiscus waimeae</i> ssp. <i>hannerae</i> (CH)	E	Lowland wet or mesic forest, 620-1,850 feet						✓CH	
Wawae`iole <i>Huperzia mannii</i> (CH)	E	Grows on plants such as ohia, aalii or koa in mesic to wet montane forests, 1,969-5,250 feet	✓	✓				✓	
Wawae`iole <i>Huperzia nutans</i> (CH)	E	Grows on the ground or on tree trunks and limbs, in wet forests and shrubland on ridge crests and slopes in ohia-dominated wet and mesic forests, 2,000-3,500 feet					✓	✓	
Hilo ischaemum <i>Ischaemum byrone</i> (CH)	E	Coastal dry shrubland near the ocean among rocks and cliffs, 0-250 feet	✓CH	✓CH		✓CH	✓	✓CH	
Aupaka <i>Isodendron hosakae</i> (CH)	E	Dry shrubland and grassland on cinder cones, 2,953-3,379 feet	✓CH						
Aupaka <i>Isodendron laurifolium</i> (CH)	E	Diverse mesic forest, or rarely wet forest, dominated by ohia, koa and ohia, or ohia and lama, 1,620-2,700 feet					✓CH	✓CH	
Aupaka <i>Isodendron longifolium</i> (CH)	T	Steep slopes, gulches, and stream banks in mixed mesic or wet ohia forest, 1,350-2,500 feet					✓CH	✓CH	

Common Name and Scientific Name	Listing Status <sup>a</sup>	Habitat description	Hawaii	Maui	Lanai	Molokai	Oahu	Kauai	N.W. Islands, <sup>b</sup> Kahoolawe, Niihau, or Oceanic
Wahine noho kula <i>Isodendron pyrifolium</i> (CH)	E	Bare rocky hills and wooded ravines in dry shrublands, 1,191-3,162 feet	✓	✓CH	✓	✓CH	✓CH		Niihau
Kohe malama malama o Kanaloa <i>Kanaloa kahoowawensis</i> (CH)	E	Steep rocky talus slopes in mixed coastal shrubland, 150-200 feet							Kahoolawe CH
No common name <i>Keysseria erici</i> (CH)	E	Ohia mixed bogs, 4,000-5,120 feet						✓CH	
No common name <i>Keysseria helenae</i> (CH)	E	Ohia or mixed sedge and grass bogs, 3,900-5,120 feet						✓CH	
Koki`o <i>Kokia cookei</i>	E	Dryland forest on the western (leeward) end of Molokai, ~660 feet				✓			
Hau hele `ula; koki`o <i>Kokia drynarioides</i> (CH)	E	Native dry forests on rough lava with a thin, extremely well-drained soil, 1,493-6,283 feet	✓CH						
Koki`o <i>Kokia kauaiensis</i> (CH)	E	Diverse mesic forest, 1,960-2,600 feet						✓CH	
Kamakahala <i>Labordia cyrtandrae</i> (CH)	E	Shady gulches, slopes, and glens in mesic to wet forests and shrublands dominated by ohia, uluhe lau nui ( <i>Diplopterygium pinnatum</i> ), and/or koa, 695-4,044 feet					✓CH		
Kamakahala <i>Labordia helleri</i> (CH)	E	Ohia-koa-uluhe mesic to wet forest, 1,200-3,900 feet						✓CH	
Kamakahala <i>Labordia lydgatei</i> (CH)	E	Wet forests on ridge slopes dominated by ohia and uluhe, ~1,300 feet						✓CH	

Common Name and Scientific Name	Listing Status <sup>a</sup>	Habitat description	Hawaii	Maui	Lanai	Molokai	Oahu	Kauai	N.W. Islands, <sup>b</sup> Kahoolawe, Niihau, or Oceanic
Kamakahala <i>Labordia pumila</i> (CH)	E	Ohia mixed sedge and grass bogs in montane wet ecosystems, 3,478-5,100 feet						✓CH	
Kamakahala <i>Labordia tinifolia</i> var. <i>lanaiensis</i>	E	Lowland mesic forest associated with uluhe and naupaka kuahiwi ( <i>Scaevola chamissoiniana</i> ), 2,330-3,350 feet			✓				
Kamakahala <i>Labordia tinifolia</i> var. <i>wahiawaensis</i> (CH)	E	Along streams in lowland wet forests dominated by ohia, often in association with olapa or uluhe						✓CH	
Kamakahala <i>Labordia triflora</i> (CH)	E	Gulch slopes in mixed mesic ohia forest, 625-3,755 feet				✓CH			
ʻAnaunau <i>Lepidium arbuscula</i> (CH)	E	Exposed ridge tops and cliff faces in mesic communities, 755-3,000 feet					✓CH		
Nehe <i>Lipochaeta lobata</i> var. <i>leptophylla</i> (CH)	E	Dry coastal habitats and shrubland, 1500-2,500 feet					✓CH		
No common name <i>Lobelia gaudichaudii</i> ssp. <i>koolauensis</i> (CH)	E	Moderate to steep slopes in ohia or ohia-uluhe lowland wet shrublands, 2,100-2,400 feet					✓CH		
No common name <i>Lobelia monostachya</i> (CH)	E	Steep, sparsely vegetated cliffs in mesic shrubland, 144-2,014 feet					✓CH		
No common name <i>Lobelia niihauensis</i> (CH)	E	Exposed mesic to dry cliffs, 330-2,720 feet					✓CH	✓CH	Niihau

Common Name and Scientific Name	Listing Status <sup>a</sup>	Habitat description	Hawaii	Maui	Lanai	Molokai	Oahu	Kauai	N.W. Islands, <sup>b</sup> Kahoolawe, Niihau, or Oceanic
No common name <i>Lobelia oahuensis</i> <b>(CH)</b>	E	Summit cliffs in cloud-swept wet forests or in areas of low shrub cover frequently exposed to heavy wind and rain, 2,800-3,000 feet					✓CH		
Lehua makanoe <i>Lysimachia daphnoides</i> <b>(CH)</b>	E	Ohia mixed bogs on hummocks, 3,960-4,440 feet						✓CH	
No common name <i>Lysimachia filifolia</i> <b>(CH)</b>	E	Mossy banks at the base of cliff faces, within the spray zone of waterfalls, or along streams in lowland wet forests, 800-2,200 feet					✓CH	✓CH	
No common name <i>Lysimachia iniki</i> <b>(CH)</b>	E	Wet, mossy, or rocky cliffs in wet cliff communities, ~2,400 feet						✓CH	
No common name <i>Lysimachia lydgatei</i> <b>(CH)</b>	E	Stunted native vegetation on the sides of steep ridges and slopes in mesic shrubland, 2,700-3,200 feet		✓CH					
No common name <i>Lysimachia maxima</i> <b>(CH)</b>	E	Ohia-uluhe montane wet forest, ~3,200 feet				✓CH			
No common name <i>Lysimachia pendens</i> <b>(CH)</b>	E	Wet, mossy, or rocky cliffs in wet cliff ecosystem, ~2,400 feet						✓CH	
No common name <i>Lysimachia scopulensis</i> <b>(CH)</b>	E	Cliffs in lowland diverse mesic forest pockets, 2,950-3,200 feet						✓CH	
No common name <i>Lysimachia venosa</i> <b>(CH)</b>	E	Ohia dominated wet forest areas in wet cliff ecosystem, 3,000-5,700 feet						✓CH	

Common Name and Scientific Name	Listing Status <sup>a</sup>	Habitat description	Hawaii	Maui	Lanai	Molokai	Oahu	Kauai	N.W. Islands, <sup>b</sup> Kahoolawe, Niihau, or Oceanic
ʻIhi <i>Marsilea villosa</i> (CH)	E	Open areas that flood periodically, such as shallow depressions and floodplains with clay soils, ≤500 feet				✓	✓CH		Niihau
Nehe <i>Melanthera fauriei</i> (CH) (listed as <i>Lipochaeta fauriei</i> )	E	Moderate shade to full sun, often on the sides of steep gulches in diverse lowland mesic forests, 1,570-2,950 feet						✓CH	
Nehe <i>Melanthera kamolensis</i> (CH) (listed as <i>Lipochaeta kamolensis</i> )	E	Lowland dry forest or shrubland, 131-1,969 feet		✓CH					
Nehe <i>Melanthera micrantha</i> ssp. <i>exigua</i> (CH) (listed as <i>Lipochaeta micrantha</i> )	E	Diverse mesic forest, 980-1,310 feet						✓CH	
Nehe <i>Melanthera micrantha</i> ssp. <i>micrantha</i> (CH) (listed as <i>Lipochaeta micrantha</i> )	E	Diverse mesic forest, 980-1,310 feet						✓CH	
Nehe <i>Melanthera tenuifolia</i> (CH) (listed as <i>Lipochaeta tenuifolia</i> )	E	Ridgetops and cliff faces in open areas and protected pockets of diverse mesic forest dominated by ohia, 1,200-3,000 feet					✓CH		
Nehe <i>Melanthera venosa</i> (listed as <i>Lipochaeta venosa</i> )	E	Dry and windy montane dry shrubland dominated by exotic grasses, associated with well drained volcanic cinder or ash	✓						
Nehe <i>Melanthera waimeaensis</i> (CH) (listed as <i>Lipochaeta waimeaensis</i> )	E	Shrub-covered gulch in diverse lowland mesic forest, 1,150-1,300 feet					✓CH		
Alani <i>Melicope adscendens</i> (CH)	E	Dry, open forest, 3,280-4,000 feet		✓CH					

Common Name and Scientific Name	Listing Status <sup>a</sup>	Habitat description	Hawaii	Maui	Lanai	Molokai	Oahu	Kauai	N.W. Islands, <sup>b</sup> Kahoolawe, Niihau, or Oceanic
Alani <i>Melicope balloui</i> (CH)	E	Koa and ohia dominated montane wet forests, 2,500-5,000 feet		✓CH					
Alani <i>Melicope degeneri</i> (CH)	E	Ohia-olapa-uluhe montane wet forest, 3,000-3,800 feet						✓CH	
Alani <i>Melicope haupuensis</i> (CH)	E	Moist talus slopes in ohia dominated lowland mesic forests, 1,230-2,690 feet						✓CH	
Alani <i>Melicope knudsenii</i> (CH)	E	Forested flats or talus slopes in lowland dry to mesic forests, 1,500-3,300 feet		✓CH				✓CH	
Alani <i>Melicope lydgatei</i> (CH)	E	Open ridges in mesic forests and occasionally in wet forests, 1,350-1,800 feet					✓CH		
Alani <i>Melicope mucronulata</i> (CH)	E	Dryland forest on leeward side, 2,200-2,850 feet		✓CH		✓CH			
Alani <i>Melicope munroi</i>	E	Slopes in lowland wet shrublands, 2,600-3,350 feet			✓	✓			
Alani <i>Melicope ovalis</i> (CH)	E	Ohia and koa forest, especially on stable banks of watercourses, 2,800-4,700 feet		✓CH					
Alani <i>Melicope pallida</i> (CH)	E	Steep rock faces in drier regions of lowland mesic forest, 1,600-3,000 feet					✓CH	✓CH	
Alani <i>Melicope paniculata</i> (CH)	E	Lowland wet forests dominated by ohia, 1,200-2,680 feet						✓CH	

Common Name and Scientific Name	Listing Status <sup>a</sup>	Habitat description	Hawaii	Maui	Lanai	Molokai	Oahu	Kauai	N.W. Islands, <sup>b</sup> Kahoolawe, Niihau, or Oceanic
Alani <i>Melicope puberula</i> (CH)	E	Lowland wet and montane forests and bogs, 2,080-4,100 feet						✓CH	
Alani <i>Melicope quadrangularis</i>	E	Diverse lowland mesic to wet forests						✓	
Alani <i>Melicope reflexa</i> (CH)	E	Wet ohia-dominated forests, 2,490-3,900 feet				✓CH			
Alani <i>Melicope saint-johnii</i> (CH)	E	Mesic forested ridges, 1,640-2,800 feet					✓CH		
Alani <i>Melicope zahlbruckneri</i> (CH)	E	Koa and ohia dominated montane mesic forest, 3,920-4,265 feet	✓CH						
No common name <i>Munroidendron racemosum</i> (CH)	E	Steep exposed cliffs or on ridge slopes in coastal to lowland mesic forests, few populations in mesic hala ( <i>Pandanus tectorius</i> ) forest						✓CH	
Kolea <i>Myrsine juddii</i> (CH)	E	Wet forests dominated by ohia or ohia and uluhe, 1,900-2,820 feet					✓CH		
Kolea <i>Myrsine knudsenii</i> (CH)	E	Koa-ohia-uluhe forest, 3,200-3,900 feet						✓CH	
Kolea <i>Myrsine linearifolia</i> (CH)	T	Mesic to wet ohia forests sometimes co-dominant with uluhe or olapa, 1,920-4,200 feet						✓CH	
Kolea <i>Myrsine mezii</i> (CH)	E	Montane mesic to wet koa-ohia forest, 3,380-3,480 feet						✓CH	
No common name <i>Neraudia angulata</i> var. <i>angulata</i> (CH)	E	Slopes, ledges, or gulches in diverse mesic forest, 1,200-2,700 feet					✓CH		

Common Name and Scientific Name	Listing Status <sup>a</sup>	Habitat description	Hawaii	Maui	Lanai	Molokai	Oahu	Kauai	N.W. Islands, <sup>b</sup> Kahoolawe, Niihau, or Oceanic
No common name <i>Neraudia angulata</i> var. <i>dentata</i> (CH)	E	Slopes, ledges, or gulches in diverse mesic forest, 1,200-2,700 feet					✓CH		
No common name <i>Neraudia ovata</i> (CH)	E	Open ohia and mamane ( <i>Sophora chrysophylla</i> ) lowland and montane dry forests, 380-5,000 feet	✓CH						
No common name <i>Neraudia sericea</i> (CH)	E	Lowland dry to mesic ohia-aalii-pukiawe ( <i>Styphelia tameiameia</i> ) shrubland or forest, 2,200-4,500 feet		✓CH	✓	✓CH			Kahoolawe
ʻAiea <i>Nothoestrum breviflorum</i> (CH)	E	Lowland and montane dry forest and montane mesic forest dominated by ohia, koa, and/or lama, on aʻa lava substrate, 260-6,000 feet	✓CH						
ʻAiea <i>Nothoestrum peltatum</i> (CH)	E	Rich soils in steep slopes in montane mesic forests dominated by koa or ohia and koa, 3,000-4,000 feet						✓CH	
Kuluʻi <i>Nototrichium humile</i> (CH)	E	Cliff faces, gulches, or steep slopes in remnants of open dry forests often dominated by aulu ( <i>Sapindus</i> ) or lama, 200-2,300 feet		✓CH			✓CH		
Holei <i>Ochrosia kilaueaensis</i>	E	Montane mesic forest, 2,200-4,000 feet	✓						
Carter's panic grass <i>Panicum fauriei</i> var. <i>carteri</i> (CH)	E	Basalt substrate of windward coastal cliffs within the salt spray zone		✓	✓	✓	✓CH		

Common Name and Scientific Name	Listing Status <sup>a</sup>	Habitat description	Hawaii	Maui	Lanai	Molokai	Oahu	Kauai	N.W. Islands, <sup>b</sup> Kahoolawe, Niihau, or Oceanic
Lau'ehu <i>Panicum niihauense</i> (CH)	E	Dry coastal habitats, calcareous sand dunes and rocky knolls, 30-50 feet						✓CH	Niihau
Makou <i>Peucedanum sandwicense</i> (CH)	T	Cliff habitats up to 3,000 feet		✓CH		✓CH	✓CH	✓CH	
Ulihi <i>Phyllostegia glabra</i> var. <i>lanaiensis</i>	E	Lowland mesic to wet forest in gulch bottoms and sides, often in quite steep areas			✓				
No common name <i>Phyllostegia haliakalae</i> (listed as <i>Phyllostegia mollis</i> ) (CH)	E	Steep slopes and gulches in diverse mesic to wet forests, 1,500-6,000 feet		✓CH		✓	✓CH		
No common name <i>Phyllostegia hirsuta</i> (CH)	E	Steep, shaded slopes in mesic to wet forests dominated by ohia or ohia and uluhe, 1,970-3,610 feet					✓CH		
No common name <i>Phyllostegia hispida</i>	E	Wet ohia-dominated forest, dependent on disturbed habitat such as landslides and riparian areas 3,650-4,200 feet				✓			
No common name <i>Phyllostegia kaalaensis</i> (CH)	E	Gulch slopes and bottom and on almost vertical rock faces in mesic forest or aulu forest, 1,227-2,611 feet					✓CH		
No common name <i>Phyllostegia knudsenii</i> (CH)	E	Ohia lowland mesic forest, ~2,840 feet						✓CH	
No common name <i>Phyllostegia mannii</i> (CH)	E	Shaded sites in sometimes foggy and windswept, wet, open, ohia-dominated forests, 3,300-5,000 feet		✓CH		✓CH			

Common Name and Scientific Name	Listing Status <sup>a</sup>	Habitat description	Hawaii	Maui	Lanai	Molokai	Oahu	Kauai	N.W. Islands, <sup>b</sup> Kahoolawe, Niihau, or Oceanic
No common name <i>Phyllostegia mollis</i>	E	Steep slopes and gulches in diverse mesic to wet forests, 1,500-6,000 feet					✓CH		
No common name <i>Phyllostegia parviflora</i> var. <i>glabriuscula</i>	E	Moderate to steep slopes in diverse wet forest, 1,640-2,700 feet	✓						
No common name <i>Phyllostegia parviflora</i> var. <i>lydgatei</i> (CH)	E	Mesic forests on gulch slopes, restricted to north-facing slopes					✓CH		
No common name <i>Phyllostegia parviflora</i> var. <i>parviflora</i> (CH)	E	Wet submontane forest dominated by ohia, restricted to streambanks and below waterfalls		✓			✓CH		
No common name <i>Phyllostegia pilosa</i> (listed as <i>Phyllostegia mollis</i> )	E	Steep slopes and gulches in diverse mesic to wet forests, 1,500-6,000 feet		✓CH		✓CH			
Kiponapona <i>Phyllostegia racemosa</i> (CH)	E	Epiphyte on disturbed koa, ohia, and tree fern dominated montane mesic or wet forests, 4,650-6,070 feet	✓CH						
No common name <i>Phyllostegia renovans</i> (CH)	E	Ohia dominated wet forests, often near streams, 2,700-3,700 feet						✓CH	
No common name <i>Phyllostegia velutina</i> (CH)	E	Ohia and koa dominated montane mesic and wet forests, 4,900-6,000 feet	✓CH						
No common name <i>Phyllostegia waimeae</i> (CH)	E	Well-drained soils in clearings or along the banks of streams of diverse montane mesic to wet forests, 3,000-3,900 feet						✓CH	

Common Name and Scientific Name	Listing Status <sup>a</sup>	Habitat description	Hawaii	Maui	Lanai	Molokai	Oahu	Kauai	N.W. Islands, <sup>b</sup> Kahoolawe, Niihau, or Oceanic
No common name <i>Phyllostegia warshaueri</i> (CH)	E	Wet forests on old volcanic substrates, grows in ohia and hapuu montane wet forest where koa or olapa may codominate, 2,395-3,773 feet	✓CH						
No common name <i>Phyllostegia wawrana</i> (CH)	E	Ohia-dominated forest with either olapa or uluhe as codominant						✓CH	
Hoawa <i>Pittosporum napaliense</i> (CH)	E	<i>Pandanus</i> and lowland mesic forest, 400-2,100 feet						✓CH	
Laukahi kuahiwi <i>Plantago hawaiensis</i> (CH)	E	Variable, either in montane wet sedgeland with mixed sedges and grasses, or in montane mesic forest with stunted koa and ohia often growing in lava cracks, 5,900-8,040 feet	✓CH						
Laukahi kuahiwi <i>Plantago princeps</i> var. <i>anomala</i> (CH)	E	Steep slopes, rock walls, or at bases of waterfalls, 1,580-3,600 feet						✓CH	
Laukahi kuahiwi <i>Plantago princeps</i> var. <i>laxiflora</i> (CH)	E	Steep slopes, rock walls, or at bases of waterfalls, 1,580-3,600 feet	✓	✓CH		✓CH			
Laukahi kuahiwi <i>Plantago princeps</i> var. <i>longibracteata</i> (CH)	E	Steep slopes, rock walls, or at bases of waterfalls, 1,580-3,600 feet					✓CH	✓CH	
Laukahi kuahiwi <i>Plantago princeps</i> var. <i>princeps</i> (CH)	E	Steep slopes, rock walls, or at bases of waterfalls, 1,580-3,600 feet					✓CH		

Common Name and Scientific Name	Listing Status <sup>a</sup>	Habitat description	Hawaii	Maui	Lanai	Molokai	Oahu	Kauai	N.W. Islands, <sup>b</sup> Kahoolawe, Niihau, or Oceanic
No common name <i>Platanthera holochila</i> (CH)	E	Ohia-uluhe montane wet forest or ohia mixed montane bog, 3,450-6,120 feet		✓CH		✓	✓CH	✓CH	
Pilo kea lau lii <i>Platydesma rostrata</i> (CH)	E	Lowland mesic, lowland wet, wet cliff, montane mesic, and montane wet ecosystems in forest dominated by koa and ohia, 2,500-4,000 feet						✓CH	
Hala pepe <i>Pleomele hawaiiensis</i> (CH)	E	Open a'a lava in diverse lowland dry forests, 1,000-2,700 feet	✓CH						
No common name <i>Poa mannii</i> (CH)	E	Cliffs and rock faces in lowland and montane mesic forests, 1,510-3,700 feet						✓CH	
No common name <i>Poa sandvicensis</i> (CH)	E	Wet, shaded, gentle to usually steep slopes, ridges and rock ledges in semi-open to closed, mesic to wet, diverse montane forest dominated by ohia, 3,400-4,100 feet						✓CH	
No common name <i>Poa siphonoglossa</i> (CH)	E	Shady banks near ridge crests in predominantly native mesic ohia forest, 3,300-3,900						✓CH	
Po'e <i>Portulaca sclerocarpa</i> (CH)	E	Montane dry shrubland, often on bare cinder, near steam vents, and in open ohia woodlands, 1,030-1,630 feet	✓CH		✓CH				

Common Name and Scientific Name	Listing Status <sup>a</sup>	Habitat description	Hawaii	Maui	Lanai	Molokai	Oahu	Kauai	N.W. Islands, <sup>b</sup> Kahoolawe, Niihau, or Oceanic
Loulu <i>Pritchardia affinis</i>	E	Coastal mesic forest, often near or in brackish water, <2,000 feet	✓						
Wahane <i>Pritchardia aylmer-robinsonii</i>	E	Rugged, steep talus slopes							Niihau
Loulu <i>Pritchardia hardyi</i>	E	Lowland wet ohia-uluhe forest and shrubland and on windswept windward ridges and headwater drainages, 1,800-3,400 feet						✓CH	
Loulu <i>Pritchardia kaalae</i>	E	Steep slopes and gulches in mesic forest and shrubland, 1,500-3,100 feet					✓		
Loulu <i>Pritchardia munroi</i>	E	Remnant dry to mesic forest, ~2,000 feet				✓			
Loulu <i>Pritchardia napaliensis</i>	E	Wide variety of habitats from lowland dry to mesic forests to montane wet forests, 500-3,800 feet						✓	
Loulu <i>Pritchardia remota</i> (CH)	E	Valleys and at the base of basaltic cliffs, 50-500 feet							Nihoa CH
Loulu <i>Pritchardia schattaueri</i>	E	Ohia dominated lowland mesic forest, 1,970-2,600 feet	✓						
Loulu <i>Pritchardia viscosa</i>	E	Ohia-uluhe lowland wet forest, 1,640-2,300 feet						✓	
Kopiko <i>Psychotria grandiflora</i> (CH)	E	Koa-ohia mesic to wet forests, 3,400-4,100 feet						✓CH	
Kopiko <i>Psychotria hobdyi</i> (CH)	E	Lowland koa-ohia mesic forest, 1,700-2,700 feet						✓CH	

Common Name and Scientific Name	Listing Status <sup>a</sup>	Habitat description	Hawaii	Maui	Lanai	Molokai	Oahu	Kauai	N.W. Islands, <sup>b</sup> Kahoolawe, Niihau, or Oceanic
Kaula <i>Pteralyxia kauaiensis</i> (CH)	E	Slopes and ridges in diverse mesic to sometimes wet forests, 810-1,990 feet						✓CH	
No common name <i>Pteris lidgatei</i> (CH)	E	Lowland wet ohia forest, generally on streambanks near waterfalls, 1,750-3,000 feet		✓CH		✓CH	✓CH		
No common name <i>Remya kauaiensis</i> (CH)	E	Steep, north or northeast facing slopes in mesic forests or remnants, 2,800-4,100 feet						✓CH	
No common name <i>Remya mauiensis</i> (CH)	E	Steep, north or northeast facing slopes in mixed forest or remnants, 2,790-4,100 feet		✓CH					
No common name <i>Remya montgomeryi</i> (CH)	E	Steep, north or northeast facing slopes in mesic forest or remnants, 2,800-4,100 feet						✓CH	
No common name <i>Sanicula mariversa</i> (CH)	E	Well-drained dry slopes, 2,500-2,800 feet					✓CH		
No common name <i>Sanicula purpurea</i> (CH)	E	Open ohia mixed montane bogs, or occasionally ohia mixed montane wet shrubland, 2,300-5,570 feet		✓CH			✓CH		
ʻIliahi <i>Santalum freycinetianum</i> var. <i>lanaiense</i>	E	Diverse, including lowland dry forest on well-drained barren soils to mesic forest on shallow soils		✓	✓				
Dwarf naupaka <i>Scaevola coriacea</i>	E	Hot, dry coastal sites on low, consolidated sand dunes near sea level	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	Niihau

Common Name and Scientific Name	Listing Status <sup>a</sup>	Habitat description	Hawaii	Maui	Lanai	Molokai	Oahu	Kauai	N.W. Islands, <sup>b</sup> Kahoolawe, Niihau, or Oceanic
No common name <i>Schiedea adamantis</i>	E	Volcanic cone of consolidated ash					✓		
No common name <i>Schiedea apokremnos</i> (CH)	E	Crevices of near-vertical coastal cliff faces with sparse, dry coastal shrubland, 200-1,100 feet						✓CH	
No common name <i>Schiedea attenuata</i> (CH)	E	Diverse mesic forest pockets and vertical cliffs, 2,297-2,625 feet						✓CH	
No common name <i>Schiedea haleakalensis</i> (CH)	E	In rock cracks on sheer cliffs adjacent to barren lava and subalpine shrubland and grassland, 5,910-8,010 feet		✓CH					
No common name <i>Schiedea helleri</i> (CH)	E	Steep cliffs in closed ohia-uluhe montane wet forest, ~3,500 feet						✓CH	
No common name <i>Schiedea hookeri</i> (CH)	E	Diverse mesic or dry lowland forest, often with ohia or lama dominant, 1,200-2,950 feet		✓			✓CH		
No common name <i>Schiedea kaalae</i> (CH)	E	Steep slopes and shaded site sin diverse mesic forests, 700-2,600 feet					✓CH		
No common name <i>Schiedea kauaiensis</i> (CH)	E	Diverse mesic to wet forest on steep slopes						✓CH	
No common name <i>Schiedea kealiae</i> (CH)	E	Steep slopes and cliff faces in dry remnant wiliwili ( <i>Erythrina sandwicensis</i> ) or aulu forest, 200-1,000 feet					✓CH		
No common name <i>Schiedea laui</i>	E	Montane wet forest along streams				✓CH			

Common Name and Scientific Name	Listing Status <sup>a</sup>	Habitat description	Hawaii	Maui	Lanai	Molokai	Oahu	Kauai	N.W. Islands, <sup>b</sup> Kahoolawe, Niihau, or Oceanic
Kuawawaenohu <i>Schiedea lychnoides</i> (listed as <i>Alsinidendron lychnoides</i> ) <b>(CH)</b>	E	Montane wet forest dominated by ohia or by ohia and uluhe, 3,600-4,330 feet						✓CH	
No common name <i>Schiedea lydgatei</i> <b>(CH)</b>	E	Along ridges and on cattle trails in dry to mesic grasslands, shrublands, and forests, 2,000-2,100 feet				✓CH			
No common name <i>Schiedea membranacea</i> <b>(CH)</b>	E	Cliffs and cliff bases in a variety of mesic to wet habitats, 1,700-3,800 feet						✓CH	
No common name <i>Schiedea nuttallii</i> <b>(CH)</b>	E	Diverse lowland mesic forest, often ohia dominant, 1,360-2,590 feet		✓		✓	✓CH		
No common name <i>Schiedea obovata</i> (listed as <i>Alsinidendron obovatum</i> ) <b>(CH)</b>	E	Ridges and slopes in lowland diverse mesic forest dominated by ohia and koa, 1,850-2,500 feet					✓CH		
No common name <i>Schiedea perlmanni</i> (listed as <i>Schiedea nuttallii</i> ) <b>(CH)</b>	E	Diverse lowland mesic forest, often ohia dominant, 1,360-2,590 feet						✓CH	
No common name <i>Schiedea sarmentosa</i> <b>(CH)</b>	E	Steep slopes in ohia-aalii lowland dry or mesic shrubland, 2,000-2,600 feet				✓CH			
No common name <i>Schiedea spergulina</i> var. <i>leiopoda</i> (listed as <i>Schiedea spergulina</i> ) <b>(CH)</b>	E	Bare rock outcrops or sparsely vegetated portions of rocky cliff faces or cliff bases in diverse lowland mesic forest, 590-3,000 feet						✓CH	

Common Name and Scientific Name	Listing Status <sup>a</sup>	Habitat description	Hawaii	Maui	Lanai	Molokai	Oahu	Kauai	N.W. Islands, <sup>b</sup> Kahoolawe, Niihau, or Oceanic
No common name <i>Schiedea spergulina</i> var. <i>spergulina</i> (listed as <i>Schiedea spergulina</i> ) (CH)	T	Bare rock outcrops or sparsely vegetated portions of rocky cliff faces or cliff bases in diverse lowland mesic forest, 590-3,000 feet						✓CH	
Lauhilihi <i>Schiedea stellarioides</i> (CH)	E	Steep Slopes in closed koa-ohia lowland to montane mesic forest, 2,000-3,680 feet						✓CH	
No common name <i>Schiedea trinervis</i> (listed as <i>Alsinidendron trinerve</i> ) (CH)	E	Slopes in wet forest or wetter portions of diverse mesic forest dominated by ohia and kawau ( <i>Ilex anomala</i> ), 3,000-4,000 feet					✓CH		
No common name <i>Schiedea verticillata</i> (CH)	E	Soils pockets and cracks on coastal cliff faces, 100-800 feet							Ni
No common name <i>Schiedea viscosa</i> (listed as <i>Alsinidendron viscosum</i> ) (CH)	E	Steep slopes in koa-ohia lowland mesic or wet forest, 2,700-3,510 feet						✓CH	
ʻOhai <i>Sesbania tomentosa</i> (CH)	E	Sandy beaches, dunes, soil pockets on lava, and along pond margins in coastal dry shrublands or grasslands, or in open ohia forests	✓CH	✓CH	✓	✓CH	✓CH	✓CH	Kahoolawe, Niihau, Ne
ʻAnunu <i>Sicyos alba</i> (CH)	E	Ohia and hapuu dominated montane wet forests, 3,200-3,720 feet	✓CH						

Common Name and Scientific Name	Listing Status <sup>a</sup>	Habitat description	Hawaii	Maui	Lanai	Molokai	Oahu	Kauai	N.W. Islands, <sup>b</sup> Kahoolawe, Niihau, or Oceanic
No common name <i>Silene alexandri</i> <b>(CH)</b>	E	Along cattle trail in remnant dry forest and shrubland, 2,00-2,500 feet				✓CH			
No common name <i>Silene hawaiiensis</i> <b>(CH)</b>	T	Montane and subalpine dry shrubland on weathered lava, lava flows, and cinder substrates, 3,000-8,500 feet	✓CH						
No common name <i>Silene lanceolata</i> <b>(CH)</b>	E	Dry to mesic shrubland and grassland, may grow on a'a laves, cliff faces, or ledges	✓CH		✓	✓CH	✓CH	✓	
No common name <i>Silene perlmanii</i> <b>(CH)</b>	E	Cliff faces in diverse mesic forest, ~2,600 feet					✓CH		
Popolo ku mai <i>Solanum incompletum</i> <b>(CH)</b>	E	Dry and mesic shrublands and forests on ridges and in gulches, may be found on cinder cones or older lava flows, 1,000-6,700 feet	✓CH	✓	✓	✓		✓	
Popolo `aiakeakua <i>Solanum sandwicense</i> <b>(CH)</b>	E	Open, sunny areas in diverse lowland to montane mesic forests, 2,500-4,000 feet					✓CH	✓CH	
No common name <i>Spermolepis hawaiiensis</i> <b>(CH)</b>	E	Various, including ohia forest, aalii lowland dry shrubland, cultivated fields, and pastures, 1,000-2,000 feet	✓CH	✓CH	✓	✓CH	✓CH	✓CH	

Common Name and Scientific Name	Listing Status <sup>a</sup>	Habitat description	Hawaii	Maui	Lanai	Molokai	Oahu	Kauai	N.W. Islands, <sup>b</sup> Kahoolawe, Niihau, or Oceanic
No common name <i>Stenogyne angustifolia</i> (listed as <i>Stenogyne angustifolia</i> var. <i>angustifolia</i> )	E	Montane shrubland on basaltic plains, often associated with cinder cones and small hills, 5,000-6,000 feet	✓	✓		✓			
No common name <i>Stenogyne bifida</i> (CH)	E	Steep ridges in ohia dominated montane mesic to wet forests, 1,1450-4,000 feet				✓CH			
No common name <i>Stenogyne campanulata</i> (CH)	E	Rock face of nearly vertical, north-facing cliffs, ~3,560 feet						✓CH	
No common name <i>Stenogyne kanehoana</i> (CH)	E	Lowland mesic forest, 1,834-3,831 feet					✓CH		
No common name <i>Stenogyne kealiae</i> (CH)	E	Ohia, ohia-koa, and ohia-uluhe forests and shrublands, 3,550-4,100 feet						✓CH	
No common name <i>Tetramolopium arenarium</i> ssp. <i>arenarium</i> (listed as <i>Tetramolopium arenarium</i> )	E	Lowland and montane dry shrublands dominated by aalii, 2,600-5,500 feet	✓	✓					
No common name <i>Tetramolopium arenarium</i> ssp. <i>laxum</i> (listed as <i>Tetramolopium arenarium</i> )	E	Lowland and montane dry shrublands dominated by aalii, 2,600-5,500 feet		✓					
No common name <i>Tetramolopium arenarium</i> var. <i>arenarium</i> (listed as <i>Tetramolopium arenarium</i> )	E	Lowland and montane dry shrublands dominated by aalii, 2,600-5,500 feet	✓	✓					
No common name <i>Tetramolopium arenarium</i> var. <i>confertum</i> (listed as <i>Tetramolopium arenarium</i> )	E	Lowland and montane dry shrublands dominated by aalii, 2,600-5,500 feet	✓						

Common Name and Scientific Name	Listing Status <sup>a</sup>	Habitat description	Hawaii	Maui	Lanai	Molokai	Oahu	Kauai	N.W. Islands, <sup>b</sup> Kahoolawe, Niihau, or Oceanic
No common name <i>Tetramolopium capillare</i> (CH)	E	Dryland forest and shrubland		✓CH					
No common name <i>Tetramolopium filiforme</i> var. <i>filiforme</i> (listed as <i>Tetramolopium filiforme</i> ) (CH)	E	Dry to mesic, on exposed rocky ridges and sparsely vegetated cliffs, often rooted in rock					✓CH		
No common name <i>Tetramolopium filiforme</i> var. <i>polyphyllum</i> (listed as <i>T. filiforme</i> ) (CH)	E	Dry to mesic, on exposed rocky ridges and sparsely vegetated cliffs, often rooted in rock					✓CH		
No common name <i>Tetramolopium lepidotum</i> ssp. <i>lepidotum</i> (CH)	E	Grassy ridgetop slopes, or west-facing cliffs, mesic forest, 1,200-3,000 feet			✓		✓CH		
No common name <i>Tetramolopium remyi</i> (CH)	E	Dry shrublands on ridgetops		✓CH	✓CH				
No common name <i>Tetramolopium rockii</i> var. <i>calcisabulorum</i> (listed as <i>Tetramolopium rockii</i> ) (CH)	T	Hardened calcareous sand dunes or ash-covered basalt in the coastal spray zone or dry shrublands and grasslands, 30-650 feet				✓CH			
No common name <i>Tetramolopium rockii</i> var. <i>rockii</i> (listed as <i>Tetramolopium rockii</i> ) (CH)	T	Hardened calcareous sand dunes or ash-covered basalt in the coastal spray zone or dry shrublands and grasslands, 30-650 feet				✓CH			
No common name <i>Tetraplasandra bisattenuata</i> (CH)	E	Uluhe covered slopes with patches of mesic forest, 1,800-2,100 feet						✓CH	
No common name <i>Tetraplasandra flynnii</i> (CH)	E	Ohia montane mesic to wet forests, 2,600-4,000 feet						✓CH	

Common Name and Scientific Name	Listing Status <sup>a</sup>	Habitat description	Hawaii	Maui	Lanai	Molokai	Oahu	Kauai	N.W. Islands, <sup>b</sup> Kahoolawe, Niihau, or Oceanic
ʻOheʻohe <i>Tetraplasandra gymnocarpa</i> <b>(CH)</b>	E	Windswept summit ridges or in gullies in wet or sometimes mesic forests, 820-2,790 feet					✓CH		
No common name <i>Trematolobelia singularis</i> <b>(CH)</b>	E	Steep, windswept cliff faces or slopes in ohia-uluhe montane wet shrubland, 2,300-3,150 feet					✓CH		
Opuhe <i>Urera kaalae</i> <b>(CH)</b>	E	Slopes and in gulches in diverse mesic forest dominated by papala kepau ( <i>Pisonia umbellifera</i> ), 980-2,700 feet					✓CH		
Hawaiian vetch <i>Vicia menziesii</i>	E	Wet to mesic forest, 5,150-5,643 feet	✓						
No common name <i>Vigna o-wahuensis</i> <b>(CH)</b>	E	Lowland dry to mesic grassland and shrubland, 30-4,500 feet	✓CH	✓CH	✓	✓	✓CH		Kahoolawe, Niihau
ʻolopu; pamakani <i>Viola chamissoniana</i> ssp. <i>chamissoniana</i> <b>(CH)</b>	E	Dry cliffs in mesic shrubland, 2,300-3,040 feet					✓CH		
No common name <i>Viola helenae</i> <b>(CH)</b>	E	Wet forests and shrublands in gulch bottoms, gulch slopes, and on exposed ridge tops						✓CH	
Nani wai`ale`ale <i>Viola kauaensis</i> var. <i>wahiawaensis</i> <b>(CH)</b>	E	Open bog surrounded by low scrub of ohia and uluhe, or wet shrubland dominated by uluhe 2,100-2,840						✓CH	

Common Name and Scientific Name	Listing Status <sup>a</sup>	Habitat description	Hawaii	Maui	Lanai	Molokai	Oahu	Kauai	N.W. Islands, <sup>b</sup> Kahoolawe, Niihau, or Oceania
No common name <i>Viola lanaiensis</i>	E	Moderate to steep slopes from lower gulches to ridgetops in mesic to wet forest, 2,200-3,200 feet			✓				
No common name <i>Viola oahuensis</i> (CH)	E	Exposed, windswept ridges of moderate to steep slope in wet ohia-uluhe shrublands, 2,300-2,800 feet					✓CH		
Iliau <i>Wilkesia hobbdi</i> (CH)	E	Degraded cliff sites and very dry ridges surrounded by shrubby vegetation, 90-1,312 feet						✓CH	
No common name <i>Xylosma crenatum</i> (CH)	E	Diverse koa-ohia montane mesic forest sometimes along streambanks, 3,200-3,500 feet						✓CH	
A`e <i>Zanthoxylum dipetalum</i> var. <i>tomentosum</i> (CH)	E	Degraded ohia-dominated montane mesic forest, often on a`a lava, 3,000-3,400 feet	✓CH						
A`e <i>Zanthoxylum hawaiiense</i> (CH)	E	Lowland dry and mesic forests and montane dry forests, 1,800-5,710 feet	✓CH	✓CH	✓	✓CH		✓CH	

<sup>a</sup> Listing status: CH = critical habitat designated; E = endangered; T = threatened

<sup>b</sup> N.W. Hawaiian Islands = Frigate (F); Kure (Ku); Laysan (L); Midway (M); Necker (Ne); Nihoa (Ni)

## REFERENCES

- Center for Plant Conservation. National Collection Plant Profiles. Accessed: November 2015.  
Retrieved from:  
<http://www.centerforplantconservation.org/collection/nationalcollection.asp>
- Hawaii Department of Land and Natural Resources. 2015. Division of Forestry and Wildlife  
Species Endangered Species Fact Sheets
- International Union for Conservation of Nature. 2015. IUCN Red List of Threatened Species  
Version 2015.2. Species accounts. Accessed: August 2015. Retrieved from:  
<http://www.iucnredlist.org/>
- Palmer, Daniel. 2008. Hawaii's Ferns and Allies. University of Hawaii Press; 1st edition.  
328 pp.
- U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service. 1994. Recovery Plan for the Wahiawa Plant Cluster.  
\_\_\_\_\_. 1995. Lana'i Plant Cluster Recovery Plan.  
\_\_\_\_\_. 1995. Recovery Plan for the Kaua'i Plant Cluster.  
\_\_\_\_\_. 1996. Recovery Plan for the Molokai Plant Cluster.  
\_\_\_\_\_. 1997. Recovery Plan for the Maui Plant Cluster.  
\_\_\_\_\_. 1998. Recovery Plan for the Oahu Plants.  
\_\_\_\_\_. 1998. Kauai II Addendum to the Recovery Plan for the Kauai Plant Cluster.  
\_\_\_\_\_. 1998. Big Island II Addendum to the Recovery plan for the Big Island Plant Cluster.  
\_\_\_\_\_. 1998. Molokai II Addendum to the Recovery Plan for the Molokai Plant Cluster.  
\_\_\_\_\_. 1999. Recovery Plan for the Multi-Island Plants.  
\_\_\_\_\_. 2002. Addendum to the Recovery Plan for the Multi-Island Plants.  
\_\_\_\_\_. 2010. Determination of Endangered Status for 48 Species on Kauai and Designation of  
Critical Habitat; Final Rule.  
\_\_\_\_\_. 2013. Determination of Endangered Status for 38 Species on Molokai, Lanai, and Maui;  
Final Rule.

Page Intentionally Left Blank

## **APPENDIX E**

### **Environmental Justice Demographic Data**

Page Intentionally Left Blank

## **ENVIRONMENTAL JUSTICE DEMOGRAPHIC DATA**

The tables below provide demographic data characteristics for all block groups in Alaska, Hawaii, American Samoa, Guam, Northern Mariana Islands, Puerto Rico, and the United States (U.S.) Virgin Islands.

**Table 1: Block Group Demographic Data, Alaska**

Block Group	Race (Percent) <sup>a</sup>							Ethnicity (Percent) <sup>a</sup>		Percent of Residents in Poverty <sup>a</sup>	Median Household Income
	White	Black or African American	American Indian or Alaska Native	Asian	Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	Some Other Race Alone	Multiple Races	Hispanic or Latino	Not Hispanic or Latino		
BG1, CT 1	24%	20%	8%	41%	1%	4%	2%	82%	18%	14.4%	\$50,000
BG2, CT 1	22%	3%	32%	38%	1%	1%	3%	88%	12%	16.4%	\$60,357
BG3, CT 1	23%	12%	25%	33%	1%	2%	4%	89%	11%	18.7%	\$71,250
BG1, CT 1	26%	6%	49%	5%	5%	2%	8%	96%	4%	11.8%	\$46,250
BG1, CT 2	27%	9%	3%	50%	2%	5%	4%	92%	8%	8.7%	\$92,639
BG2, CT 2	41%	5%	6%	30%	1%	9%	9%	84%	16%	8.6%	\$86,641
BG1, CT 1.01	76%	0%	12%	1%	0%	1%	10%	98%	2%	7.1%	\$120,795
BG2, CT 1.01	85%	0%	10%	0%	0%	1%	4%	97%	3%	2.6%	\$96,938
BG3, CT 1.01	90%	0%	3%	1%	0%	3%	3%	94%	6%	5.5%	\$111,696
BG1, CT 1.02	93%	0%	7%	0%	0%	0%	0%	84%	16%	0.3%	\$103,958
BG2, CT 1.02	79%	0%	8%	0%	0%	4%	9%	93%	7%	5.0%	\$52,569
BG3, CT 1.02	86%	0%	6%	0%	0%	0%	8%	96%	4%	0.0%	\$101,350
BG4, CT 1.02	70%	0%	13%	4%	0%	0%	12%	91%	9%	1.8%	\$69,886
BG1, CT 2.01	80%	5%	4%	8%	0%	2%	2%	97%	3%	2.0%	\$82,300
BG2, CT 2.01	70%	2%	3%	7%	3%	0%	15%	92%	8%	2.7%	\$68,636
BG1, CT 2.02	78%	0%	0%	3%	0%	4%	15%	91%	9%	30.2%	\$44,115
BG2, CT 2.02	89%	0%	0%	4%	1%	3%	2%	89%	11%	4.7%	\$91,324
BG3, CT 2.02	64%	1%	26%	0%	0%	1%	9%	94%	6%	4.6%	\$112,790
BG4, CT 2.02	90%	0%	7%	3%	0%	0%	1%	95%	5%	0.9%	\$77,348
BG1, CT 2.03	90%	0%	7%	1%	0%	0%	3%	97%	3%	1.8%	\$109,470
BG2, CT 2.03	88%	0%	2%	5%	0%	0%	6%	83%	17%	0.0%	\$146,750
BG3, CT 2.03	80%	12%	0%	3%	0%	1%	3%	87%	13%	3.2%	\$101,792
BG4, CT 2.03	90%	1%	0%	3%	0%	0%	6%	96%	4%	0.0%	\$102,763
BG5, CT 2.03	88%	5%	3%	4%	0%	0%	0%	97%	3%	1.3%	\$93,679
BG1, CT 2.04	90%	0%	3%	2%	0%	0%	5%	99%	1%	7.1%	\$122,123
BG2, CT 2.04	80%	2%	5%	2%	0%	2%	9%	95%	5%	0.1%	\$137,159
BG1, CT 3	66%	18%	1%	2%	1%	3%	9%	87%	13%	5.4%	\$54,919
BG1, CT 4	74%	14%	0%	4%	0%	4%	5%	89%	11%	6.4%	\$61,528
BG1, CT 5	58%	22%	5%	3%	0%	5%	7%	70%	30%	5.8%	\$66,346

Block Group	Race (Percent) <sup>a</sup>							Ethnicity (Percent) <sup>a</sup>		Percent of Residents in Poverty <sup>a</sup>	Median Household Income
	White	Black or African American	American Indian or Alaska Native	Asian	Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	Some Other Race Alone	Multiple Races	Hispanic or Latino	Not Hispanic or Latino		
BG2, CT 5	31%	8%	20%	20%	1%	9%	11%	89%	11%	7.7%	\$41,053
BG1, CT 6	63%	0%	34%	0%	0%	0%	2%	100%	0%	84.5%	ND
BG2, CT 6	30%	7%	2%	3%	2%	0%	56%	86%	14%	28.7%	\$34,868
BG3, CT 6	45%	6%	25%	0%	0%	5%	19%	95%	5%	21.5%	\$39,500
BG4, CT 6	24%	9%	12%	21%	9%	11%	15%	83%	17%	49.3%	\$43,674
BG5, CT 6	23%	7%	8%	21%	23%	3%	15%	96%	4%	32.7%	\$43,380
BG6, CT 6	61%	0%	0%	19%	20%	0%	0%	96%	4%	30.3%	\$29,952
BG7, CT 6	42%	18%	15%	19%	0%	0%	7%	88%	12%	20.3%	\$42,898
BG8, CT 6	2%	29%	2%	17%	2%	3%	46%	84%	16%	6.1%	\$35,870
BG1, CT 7.01	34%	10%	23%	7%	7%	7%	12%	86%	14%	1.2%	\$77,405
BG2, CT 7.01	60%	18%	3%	1%	11%	0%	7%	89%	11%	12.2%	\$56,458
BG3, CT 7.01	41%	12%	28%	1%	0%	2%	17%	65%	35%	16.0%	\$39,028
BG4, CT 7.01	39%	11%	0%	1%	0%	0%	48%	100%	0%	0.0%	\$63,906
BG1, CT 7.02	61%	15%	1%	9%	13%	0%	1%	92%	8%	12.5%	\$40,341
BG2, CT 7.02	45%	22%	6%	10%	1%	0%	16%	92%	8%	5.2%	\$82,692
BG3, CT 7.02	67%	12%	5%	7%	4%	1%	5%	92%	8%	8.9%	\$85,217
BG1, CT 7.03	57%	7%	25%	7%	0%	0%	5%	98%	2%	16.1%	\$19,087
BG2, CT 7.03	50%	18%	9%	6%	1%	0%	18%	96%	4%	31.0%	\$54,595
BG3, CT 7.03	32%	9%	5%	42%	0%	4%	8%	85%	15%	5.4%	\$57,600
BG4, CT 7.03	46%	0%	0%	46%	0%	1%	8%	99%	1%	10.9%	\$50,357
BG5, CT 7.03	41%	14%	5%	11%	24%	0%	5%	94%	6%	6.0%	\$85,344
BG1, CT 8.01	55%	9%	6%	1%	24%	4%	0%	88%	12%	11.3%	\$61,231
BG2, CT 8.01	59%	13%	0%	2%	0%	0%	26%	88%	12%	0.0%	\$93,100
BG3, CT 8.01	29%	6%	28%	6%	2%	12%	17%	64%	36%	7.5%	\$51,892
BG4, CT 8.01	28%	1%	19%	27%	0%	11%	13%	76%	24%	18.8%	\$55,357
BG5, CT 8.01	35%	19%	2%	39%	0%	0%	5%	83%	17%	31.0%	\$60,139
BG6, CT 8.01	16%	3%	15%	64%	0%	1%	1%	96%	4%	22.6%	\$47,602
BG7, CT 8.01	27%	6%	5%	26%	21%	0%	15%	94%	6%	18.1%	\$38,058
BG1, CT 8.02	60%	0%	25%	3%	0%	10%	2%	75%	25%	57.2%	\$24,283
BG2, CT 8.02	25%	20%	4%	20%	5%	1%	25%	95%	5%	15.4%	\$49,757
BG3, CT 8.02	48%	14%	0%	14%	9%	0%	16%	88%	12%	23.9%	\$63,438

Block Group	Race (Percent) <sup>a</sup>							Ethnicity (Percent) <sup>a</sup>		Percent of Residents in Poverty <sup>a</sup>	Median Household Income
	White	Black or African American	American Indian or Alaska Native	Asian	Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	Some Other Race Alone	Multiple Races	Hispanic or Latino	Not Hispanic or Latino		
BG4, CT 8.02	71%	7%	14%	3%	0%	0%	6%	99%	1%	17.6%	\$78,000
BG5, CT 8.02	63%	10%	7%	4%	0%	5%	11%	93%	7%	18.8%	\$32,788
BG1, CT 9.01	37%	9%	18%	7%	4%	4%	21%	81%	19%	30.9%	\$26,600
BG2, CT 9.01	27%	11%	23%	11%	9%	0%	20%	88%	12%	17.8%	\$45,865
BG3, CT 9.01	35%	17%	22%	12%	0%	0%	14%	95%	5%	11.1%	\$47,955
BG1, CT 9.02	36%	22%	11%	2%	11%	0%	18%	76%	24%	34.1%	\$43,664
BG2, CT 9.02	47%	9%	6%	26%	7%	0%	5%	99%	1%	10.5%	\$40,172
BG3, CT 9.02	87%	0%	3%	0%	0%	0%	10%	100%	0%	4.0%	\$78,182
BG1, CT 10	68%	2%	18%	0%	6%	2%	5%	94%	6%	8.3%	\$55,921
BG2, CT 10	67%	10%	12%	1%	2%	3%	5%	88%	12%	23.8%	\$30,986
BG3, CT 10	23%	18%	43%	0%	0%	0%	16%	92%	8%	26.9%	\$22,473
BG4, CT 10	64%	10%	18%	6%	0%	3%	0%	95%	5%	10.0%	\$56,797
BG1, CT 11	70%	2%	6%	10%	0%	0%	12%	93%	7%	17.1%	\$40,972
BG2, CT 11	65%	12%	11%	2%	2%	0%	8%	97%	3%	10.5%	\$29,327
BG1, CT 12	91%	0%	2%	8%	0%	0%	0%	97%	3%	1.5%	\$66,786
BG2, CT 12	84%	4%	8%	0%	0%	0%	4%	95%	5%	7.9%	\$70,703
BG3, CT 12	67%	0%	23%	0%	0%	0%	10%	94%	6%	0.0%	\$109,911
BG4, CT 12	86%	3%	3%	7%	0%	1%	0%	99%	1%	1.7%	\$89,256
BG5, CT 12	82%	2%	0%	0%	0%	11%	5%	88%	12%	1.2%	\$89,297
BG1, CT 13	81%	1%	8%	0%	0%	0%	10%	86%	14%	1.2%	\$118,807
BG2, CT 13	93%	0%	1%	1%	0%	0%	5%	100%	0%	1.3%	\$92,159
BG3, CT 13	91%	0%	2%	5%	0%	0%	2%	94%	6%	5.8%	\$112,893
BG1, CT 14	93%	0%	5%	2%	0%	0%	0%	100%	0%	0.0%	\$58,693
BG2, CT 14	88%	0%	2%	4%	0%	0%	6%	98%	2%	3.2%	\$79,154
BG3, CT 14	50%	8%	9%	10%	9%	0%	14%	92%	8%	14.4%	\$76,338
BG4, CT 14	63%	11%	1%	11%	0%	0%	13%	89%	11%	6.4%	\$51,771
BG5, CT 14	54%	35%	7%	3%	0%	0%	0%	100%	0%	35.0%	\$31,806
BG6, CT 14	39%	6%	14%	27%	0%	3%	11%	90%	10%	25.6%	\$29,484
BG1, CT 15	93%	0%	1%	1%	0%	0%	5%	92%	8%	0.0%	\$87,098
BG2, CT 15	85%	0%	4%	0%	0%	0%	11%	99%	1%	10.9%	\$126,397
BG3, CT 15	72%	2%	2%	17%	0%	0%	7%	98%	2%	4.9%	\$53,452

Block Group	Race (Percent) <sup>a</sup>							Ethnicity (Percent) <sup>a</sup>		Percent of Residents in Poverty <sup>a</sup>	Median Household Income
	White	Black or African American	American Indian or Alaska Native	Asian	Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	Some Other Race Alone	Multiple Races	Hispanic or Latino	Not Hispanic or Latino		
BG4, CT 15	80%	0%	2%	8%	0%	0%	10%	98%	2%	2.9%	\$115,524
BG5, CT 15	38%	14%	3%	9%	0%	0%	36%	93%	7%	41.2%	\$21,354
BG1, CT 16.01	66%	12%	8%	3%	0%	3%	8%	87%	13%	4.1%	\$59,276
BG2, CT 16.01	37%	9%	4%	13%	3%	6%	29%	86%	14%	2.1%	\$95,083
BG3, CT 16.01	78%	0%	8%	1%	4%	0%	9%	99%	1%	1.8%	\$86,750
BG1, CT 16.02	71%	3%	12%	2%	1%	0%	12%	93%	7%	24.3%	\$49,875
BG2, CT 16.02	70%	0%	11%	2%	8%	0%	8%	88%	12%	0.0%	\$90,417
BG3, CT 16.02	63%	7%	16%	5%	3%	0%	7%	97%	3%	6.9%	\$62,472
BG4, CT 16.02	64%	16%	13%	2%	0%	0%	4%	97%	3%	3.2%	\$83,831
BG1, CT 17.01	51%	15%	9%	12%	0%	1%	13%	99%	1%	2.5%	\$43,634
BG2, CT 17.01	53%	9%	7%	2%	25%	0%	3%	100%	0%	6.9%	\$91,797
BG3, CT 17.01	65%	3%	9%	3%	0%	0%	20%	90%	10%	3.3%	\$107,400
BG4, CT 17.01	56%	17%	20%	0%	0%	0%	7%	95%	5%	12.6%	\$84,803
BG5, CT 17.01	37%	42%	0%	8%	0%	2%	12%	95%	5%	2.1%	\$66,375
BG1, CT 17.02	61%	7%	9%	6%	0%	0%	17%	88%	12%	21.1%	\$55,474
BG2, CT 17.02	88%	1%	1%	0%	4%	0%	5%	100%	0%	13.6%	\$94,177
BG3, CT 17.02	71%	15%	3%	4%	0%	0%	7%	90%	10%	1.9%	\$131,875
BG4, CT 17.02	60%	8%	2%	3%	16%	0%	13%	92%	8%	7.0%	\$85,833
BG5, CT 17.02	79%	0%	19%	0%	0%	0%	2%	96%	4%	0.0%	\$66,094
BG1, CT 17.31	28%	4%	0%	0%	0%	0%	68%	89%	11%	3.1%	\$61,479
BG2, CT 17.31	75%	11%	8%	1%	0%	0%	5%	98%	2%	3.4%	\$87,577
BG3, CT 17.31	58%	2%	13%	9%	0%	2%	16%	97%	3%	15.9%	\$74,107
BG4, CT 17.31	74%	3%	9%	1%	0%	3%	11%	89%	11%	6.2%	\$81,912
BG5, CT 17.31	59%	13%	0%	13%	0%	0%	16%	100%	0%	32.5%	\$73,750
BG1, CT 17.32	68%	3%	18%	1%	0%	2%	7%	99%	1%	0.0%	\$106,905
BG2, CT 17.32	62%	7%	7%	7%	12%	0%	5%	97%	3%	17.5%	\$86,136
BG3, CT 17.32	70%	12%	13%	1%	0%	0%	3%	98%	2%	0.0%	\$110,781
BG4, CT 17.32	72%	3%	7%	10%	0%	3%	5%	88%	12%	2.5%	\$87,212
BG1, CT 18.01	73%	3%	4%	13%	0%	0%	7%	100%	0%	0.5%	\$89,056
BG2, CT 18.01	49%	17%	15%	9%	0%	1%	10%	96%	4%	2.2%	\$60,474
BG3, CT 18.01	76%	4%	4%	6%	2%	1%	6%	90%	10%	11.3%	\$67,560

Block Group	Race (Percent) <sup>a</sup>							Ethnicity (Percent) <sup>a</sup>		Percent of Residents in Poverty <sup>a</sup>	Median Household Income
	White	Black or African American	American Indian or Alaska Native	Asian	Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	Some Other Race Alone	Multiple Races	Hispanic or Latino	Not Hispanic or Latino		
BG1, CT 18.02	72%	2%	8%	0%	1%	4%	14%	78%	22%	30.1%	\$38,438
BG2, CT 18.02	48%	4%	7%	29%	0%	4%	8%	94%	6%	31.3%	\$41,458
BG3, CT 18.02	58%	20%	0%	6%	0%	14%	2%	82%	18%	6.6%	\$56,319
BG4, CT 18.02	54%	5%	5%	19%	3%	7%	6%	88%	12%	6.8%	\$75,802
BG1, CT 19	28%	4%	22%	29%	10%	4%	5%	92%	8%	20.9%	\$37,550
BG2, CT 19	45%	4%	5%	29%	11%	0%	6%	96%	4%	18.4%	\$38,158
BG3, CT 19	78%	0%	0%	15%	0%	7%	0%	93%	7%	0.0%	\$67,143
BG4, CT 19	15%	5%	11%	39%	10%	0%	21%	90%	10%	20.5%	\$62,000
BG5, CT 19	55%	6%	16%	3%	9%	3%	8%	92%	8%	24.2%	\$45,398
BG1, CT 20	68%	17%	1%	14%	0%	0%	0%	66%	34%	17.6%	\$38,664
BG2, CT 20	47%	0%	3%	0%	26%	2%	22%	79%	21%	17.4%	\$27,399
BG3, CT 20	40%	6%	4%	36%	0%	11%	4%	78%	22%	11.9%	\$39,167
BG4, CT 20	63%	2%	4%	13%	0%	0%	18%	89%	11%	9.1%	\$46,417
BG1, CT 21	83%	5%	0%	4%	0%	0%	9%	94%	6%	1.8%	\$77,961
BG2, CT 21	48%	3%	6%	12%	1%	0%	29%	100%	0%	1.5%	\$41,154
BG3, CT 21	62%	2%	0%	0%	27%	0%	8%	98%	2%	8.8%	\$75,118
BG4, CT 21	80%	1%	2%	13%	0%	0%	4%	100%	0%	5.0%	\$60,368
BG5, CT 21	61%	4%	13%	3%	11%	6%	3%	90%	10%	5.1%	\$53,295
BG1, CT 22.01	87%	3%	4%	1%	0%	1%	5%	99%	1%	4.3%	\$83,095
BG2, CT 22.01	53%	0%	10%	27%	0%	0%	10%	97%	3%	5.0%	\$74,375
BG3, CT 22.01	74%	6%	2%	7%	0%	2%	11%	89%	11%	7.6%	\$91,750
BG4, CT 22.01	80%	0%	9%	3%	0%	2%	6%	90%	10%	12.4%	\$57,204
BG1, CT 22.02	65%	5%	9%	10%	0%	0%	10%	93%	7%	9.7%	\$61,250
BG2, CT 22.02	57%	6%	19%	9%	0%	0%	10%	63%	37%	36.6%	\$39,735
BG3, CT 22.02	80%	0%	7%	6%	0%	0%	6%	99%	1%	1.5%	\$89,375
BG4, CT 22.02	56%	0%	4%	22%	0%	13%	5%	87%	13%	22.4%	\$55,781
BG1, CT 23.01	87%	3%	1%	1%	0%	0%	8%	95%	5%	2.4%	\$130,144
BG2, CT 23.01	91%	1%	0%	6%	0%	0%	1%	98%	2%	19.4%	\$101,484
BG3, CT 23.01	49%	0%	20%	10%	0%	2%	20%	94%	6%	1.9%	\$104,246
BG4, CT 23.01	86%	0%	3%	0%	0%	11%	0%	84%	16%	3.4%	\$114,519
BG1, CT 23.02	88%	2%	5%	1%	0%	0%	3%	96%	4%	7.6%	\$78,333

Block Group	Race (Percent) <sup>a</sup>							Ethnicity (Percent) <sup>a</sup>		Percent of Residents in Poverty <sup>a</sup>	Median Household Income
	White	Black or African American	American Indian or Alaska Native	Asian	Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	Some Other Race Alone	Multiple Races	Hispanic or Latino	Not Hispanic or Latino		
BG2, CT 23.02	56%	0%	21%	6%	7%	0%	9%	99%	1%	4.6%	\$92,772
BG3, CT 23.02	78%	0%	19%	0%	0%	0%	2%	98%	2%	7.6%	\$120,875
BG4, CT 23.02	79%	0%	7%	0%	0%	0%	14%	90%	10%	4.0%	\$53,790
BG5, CT 23.02	82%	0%	15%	1%	0%	1%	0%	94%	6%	7.3%	\$78,750
BG1, CT 23.03	46%	28%	10%	0%	0%	0%	15%	100%	0%	38.0%	\$49,779
BG2, CT 23.03	75%	2%	11%	4%	0%	0%	7%	91%	9%	0.0%	\$102,396
BG3, CT 23.03	70%	0%	11%	11%	0%	4%	3%	92%	8%	1.8%	\$97,044
BG4, CT 23.03	54%	2%	9%	20%	5%	1%	10%	91%	9%	13.5%	\$77,250
BG5, CT 23.03	63%	10%	3%	17%	2%	1%	5%	89%	11%	0.0%	\$61,579
BG6, CT 23.03	68%	6%	4%	0%	0%	0%	22%	100%	0%	41.2%	\$33,839
BG1, CT 24	88%	0%	3%	5%	2%	0%	2%	98%	2%	20.7%	\$63,355
BG2, CT 24	71%	3%	5%	3%	0%	0%	18%	98%	2%	8.7%	\$62,891
BG3, CT 24	66%	4%	14%	2%	1%	2%	11%	80%	20%	5.9%	\$107,283
BG1, CT 25.01	70%	2%	9%	9%	7%	3%	1%	92%	8%	0.0%	\$99,259
BG2, CT 25.01	66%	4%	1%	14%	0%	1%	13%	91%	9%	24.6%	\$33,571
BG3, CT 25.01	61%	9%	7%	1%	2%	1%	19%	87%	13%	11.3%	\$57,614
BG4, CT 25.01	62%	14%	1%	5%	0%	11%	7%	86%	14%	5.0%	\$85,278
BG5, CT 25.01	67%	1%	8%	18%	0%	0%	6%	97%	3%	1.7%	\$93,906
BG1, CT 25.02	70%	2%	7%	16%	0%	3%	2%	96%	4%	2.3%	\$55,625
BG2, CT 25.02	53%	3%	5%	14%	8%	0%	17%	79%	21%	4.1%	\$75,280
BG3, CT 25.02	73%	3%	2%	1%	1%	0%	20%	96%	4%	14.5%	\$57,168
BG4, CT 25.02	76%	9%	2%	1%	0%	0%	12%	99%	1%	2.6%	\$89,477
BG1, CT 26.01	60%	3%	13%	7%	0%	11%	6%	88%	12%	7.1%	\$72,992
BG2, CT 26.01	62%	5%	14%	7%	0%	0%	12%	94%	6%	3.6%	\$77,679
BG3, CT 26.01	24%	11%	12%	33%	0%	1%	19%	86%	14%	1.3%	\$91,250
BG1, CT 26.02	53%	8%	3%	18%	0%	1%	17%	94%	6%	7.8%	\$90,000
BG2, CT 26.02	69%	7%	3%	5%	2%	0%	15%	100%	0%	8.7%	\$76,964
BG3, CT 26.02	73%	1%	4%	11%	0%	1%	10%	91%	9%	6.8%	\$103,500
BG1, CT 26.03	55%	1%	8%	5%	7%	0%	24%	98%	2%	3.0%	\$93,706
BG2, CT 26.03	86%	1%	3%	4%	0%	5%	1%	94%	6%	6.3%	\$104,324
BG3, CT 26.03	77%	2%	4%	11%	0%	0%	6%	84%	16%	1.8%	\$123,839

Block Group	Race (Percent) <sup>a</sup>							Ethnicity (Percent) <sup>a</sup>		Percent of Residents in Poverty <sup>a</sup>	Median Household Income
	White	Black or African American	American Indian or Alaska Native	Asian	Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	Some Other Race Alone	Multiple Races	Hispanic or Latino	Not Hispanic or Latino		
BG1, CT 27.02	59%	0%	7%	23%	1%	0%	10%	97%	3%	0.7%	\$108,989
BG2, CT 27.02	80%	2%	1%	8%	1%	0%	8%	96%	4%	3.5%	\$85,685
BG3, CT 27.02	88%	2%	3%	3%	0%	0%	4%	94%	6%	1.3%	\$73,235
BG4, CT 27.02	80%	0%	0%	15%	0%	3%	3%	85%	15%	0.0%	\$142,422
BG5, CT 27.02	79%	1%	2%	4%	0%	1%	14%	94%	6%	0.9%	\$78,915
BG1, CT 27.11	82%	3%	5%	10%	0%	0%	0%	99%	1%	2.2%	\$93,750
BG2, CT 27.11	65%	4%	22%	0%	3%	0%	6%	100%	0%	0.0%	\$80,417
BG3, CT 27.11	95%	0%	2%	3%	0%	0%	0%	100%	0%	3.0%	\$77,240
BG4, CT 27.11	81%	1%	5%	13%	0%	0%	0%	92%	8%	1.0%	\$111,379
BG1, CT 27.12	57%	9%	8%	6%	3%	0%	17%	90%	10%	4.5%	\$52,813
BG2, CT 27.12	55%	0%	8%	35%	0%	0%	2%	78%	22%	12.9%	\$53,361
BG3, CT 27.12	57%	11%	7%	14%	0%	4%	7%	91%	9%	7.4%	\$58,843
BG4, CT 27.12	68%	3%	4%	17%	0%	1%	7%	96%	4%	1.0%	\$115,268
BG5, CT 27.12	71%	12%	3%	7%	1%	0%	7%	87%	13%	3.6%	\$80,052
BG1, CT 28.11	45%	2%	32%	0%	3%	0%	19%	94%	6%	8.1%	\$56,176
BG2, CT 28.11	49%	2%	9%	21%	0%	5%	14%	91%	9%	5.5%	\$76,699
BG3, CT 28.11	62%	6%	8%	2%	0%	3%	19%	90%	10%	6.6%	\$44,510
BG4, CT 28.11	62%	0%	3%	28%	0%	1%	7%	94%	6%	2.9%	\$78,750
BG1, CT 28.12	84%	0%	8%	5%	0%	0%	3%	97%	3%	1.4%	\$119,250
BG2, CT 28.12	75%	18%	1%	3%	0%	0%	4%	93%	7%	5.0%	\$138,000
BG3, CT 28.12	95%	0%	2%	0%	0%	0%	2%	100%	0%	0.0%	\$155,030
BG1, CT 28.13	96%	0%	1%	2%	0%	0%	2%	95%	5%	1.3%	\$141,438
BG2, CT 28.13	85%	0%	4%	3%	0%	2%	6%	98%	2%	2.8%	\$165,625
BG3, CT 28.13	97%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	3%	100%	0%	3.8%	\$155,054
BG1, CT 28.21	82%	0%	0%	6%	0%	3%	9%	94%	6%	3.5%	\$168,654
BG2, CT 28.21	90%	2%	1%	0%	5%	0%	2%	99%	1%	4.6%	\$161,094
BG3, CT 28.21	81%	8%	2%	5%	0%	0%	4%	97%	3%	0.7%	\$144,000
BG1, CT 28.22	90%	2%	5%	0%	0%	0%	3%	100%	0%	0.0%	\$147,321
BG2, CT 28.22	82%	0%	4%	0%	0%	3%	11%	92%	8%	0.0%	\$142,727
BG3, CT 28.22	95%	0%	3%	1%	0%	0%	0%	99%	1%	5.0%	\$110,221
BG1, CT 28.23	95%	1%	2%	0%	0%	0%	1%	95%	5%	4.0%	\$112,604

Block Group	Race (Percent) <sup>a</sup>							Ethnicity (Percent) <sup>a</sup>		Percent of Residents in Poverty <sup>a</sup>	Median Household Income
	White	Black or African American	American Indian or Alaska Native	Asian	Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	Some Other Race Alone	Multiple Races	Hispanic or Latino	Not Hispanic or Latino		
BG2, CT 28.23	83%	3%	2%	3%	0%	1%	8%	97%	3%	0.8%	\$183,793
BG1, CT 29	95%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	5%	100%	0%	0.0%	\$72,500
BG2, CT 29	79%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	21%	83%	17%	2.3%	\$84,554
BG3, CT 29	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	88%	12%	9.6%	\$82,667
BG4, CT 29	75%	0%	0%	25%	0%	0%	0%	100%	0%	8.9%	\$67,188
BG1, CT 1	3%	0%	96%	0%	0%	0%	1%	100%	0%	21.9%	\$44,200
BG2, CT 1	1%	0%	94%	0%	0%	0%	4%	100%	0%	31.6%	\$43,583
BG3, CT 1	3%	0%	94%	0%	0%	0%	2%	98%	2%	31.6%	\$38,182
BG4, CT 1	3%	0%	92%	0%	0%	0%	5%	100%	0%	30.5%	\$34,808
BG5, CT 1	3%	0%	96%	0%	0%	0%	1%	100%	0%	26.4%	\$36,792
BG6, CT 1	2%	0%	96%	0%	0%	0%	1%	100%	0%	35.2%	\$29,659
BG1, CT 2	12%	2%	81%	0%	0%	0%	5%	100%	0%	15.9%	\$61,285
BG2, CT 2	37%	2%	42%	3%	2%	0%	14%	94%	6%	7.7%	\$92,991
BG3, CT 2	44%	0%	51%	4%	0%	0%	2%	90%	10%	3.1%	\$92,434
BG4, CT 2	30%	0%	55%	6%	0%	0%	9%	100%	0%	16.4%	\$64,350
BG1, CT 3	14%	0%	74%	4%	0%	0%	8%	99%	1%	23.3%	\$45,500
BG2, CT 3	12%	0%	80%	0%	0%	0%	8%	99%	1%	34.6%	\$37,750
BG1, CT 1	56%	0%	31%	0%	0%	1%	12%	95%	5%	7.9%	\$79,531
BG2, CT 1	75%	0%	0%	0%	0%	25%	0%	75%	25%	ND	ND
BG1, CT 1	92%	2%	3%	0%	0%	0%	4%	98%	2%	2.5%	\$70,893
BG2, CT 1	90%	0%	4%	4%	0%	0%	1%	97%	3%	12.4%	\$72,917
BG1, CT 1	6%	0%	87%	0%	0%	0%	7%	100%	0%	23.6%	\$47,083
BG2, CT 1	8%	0%	84%	2%	0%	0%	5%	100%	0%	19.3%	\$36,375
BG3, CT 1	4%	0%	87%	0%	2%	2%	4%	96%	4%	23.8%	\$43,333
BG1, CT 2	42%	0%	38%	2%	0%	1%	17%	96%	4%	3.7%	\$71,250
BG2, CT 2	16%	0%	73%	0%	0%	2%	9%	98%	2%	32.5%	\$51,875
BG3, CT 2	33%	2%	44%	0%	0%	0%	20%	94%	6%	12.3%	\$93,750
BG1, CT 1	61%	6%	28%	1%	0%	0%	4%	94%	6%	15.7%	\$27,971
BG2, CT 1	91%	0%	9%	0%	0%	0%	1%	94%	6%	12.9%	\$38,447
BG1, CT 2	72%	9%	7%	7%	1%	0%	4%	98%	2%	16.8%	\$42,500
BG2, CT 2	69%	7%	13%	1%	0%	1%	10%	99%	1%	13.9%	\$58,036

Block Group	Race (Percent) <sup>a</sup>							Ethnicity (Percent) <sup>a</sup>		Percent of Residents in Poverty <sup>a</sup>	Median Household Income
	White	Black or African American	American Indian or Alaska Native	Asian	Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	Some Other Race Alone	Multiple Races	Hispanic or Latino	Not Hispanic or Latino		
BG1, CT 3	64%	6%	20%	0%	0%	0%	9%	96%	4%	21.8%	\$47,857
BG2, CT 3	41%	23%	11%	8%	0%	6%	11%	92%	8%	8.8%	\$63,750
BG3, CT 3	43%	19%	18%	9%	0%	2%	10%	98%	2%	21.1%	\$42,813
BG4, CT 3	65%	14%	8%	3%	1%	0%	8%	93%	7%	17.4%	\$41,667
BG1, CT 4	76%	1%	9%	2%	0%	1%	11%	87%	13%	1.7%	\$75,417
BG2, CT 4	38%	1%	28%	18%	0%	2%	12%	98%	2%	10.5%	\$56,591
BG3, CT 4	54%	1%	16%	11%	2%	0%	16%	96%	4%	24.0%	\$52,847
BG4, CT 4	84%	4%	8%	2%	0%	0%	2%	93%	7%	6.9%	\$62,737
BG1, CT 5	83%	0%	2%	3%	3%	5%	5%	85%	15%	6.0%	\$69,375
BG2, CT 5	66%	5%	14%	3%	0%	0%	12%	82%	18%	6.8%	\$52,946
BG1, CT 6	66%	2%	16%	0%	0%	0%	16%	95%	5%	13.8%	\$72,578
BG2, CT 6	73%	3%	11%	3%	0%	0%	10%	98%	2%	10.4%	\$82,688
BG3, CT 6	67%	0%	23%	0%	0%	0%	10%	90%	10%	1.6%	\$100,824
BG4, CT 6	78%	1%	14%	6%	0%	0%	1%	100%	0%	18.3%	\$53,125
BG1, CT 7	81%	11%	2%	3%	0%	0%	2%	92%	8%	2.6%	\$72,366
BG2, CT 7	52%	12%	22%	7%	0%	0%	8%	92%	8%	24.2%	\$46,875
BG3, CT 7	68%	12%	5%	2%	6%	0%	6%	77%	23%	10.2%	\$69,118
BG1, CT 8	58%	2%	4%	2%	0%	5%	29%	83%	17%	3.8%	\$41,544
BG2, CT 8	57%	12%	8%	12%	0%	1%	10%	90%	10%	15.4%	\$48,795
BG3, CT 8	88%	0%	2%	0%	0%	0%	10%	97%	3%	2.8%	\$95,536
BG4, CT 8	66%	3%	9%	5%	1%	0%	15%	100%	0%	0.0%	\$110,750
BG1, CT 9	76%	0%	14%	1%	0%	4%	4%	90%	10%	0.8%	\$104,583
BG2, CT 9	89%	1%	3%	1%	2%	0%	4%	87%	13%	6.3%	\$74,154
BG1, CT 10	84%	0%	5%	2%	0%	0%	9%	94%	6%	6.2%	\$66,438
BG2, CT 10	75%	0%	9%	0%	0%	0%	16%	100%	0%	28.8%	\$48,674
BG1, CT 11	70%	16%	1%	2%	2%	3%	5%	84%	16%	15.2%	\$54,479
BG1, CT 12	82%	0%	5%	3%	0%	0%	10%	98%	2%	2.5%	\$69,667
BG2, CT 12	85%	0%	15%	0%	0%	0%	0%	95%	5%	0.0%	\$93,068
BG3, CT 12	83%	0%	7%	1%	0%	1%	9%	93%	7%	4.1%	\$67,969
BG1, CT 13	86%	0%	7%	7%	0%	0%	0%	100%	0%	11.1%	\$65,563
BG2, CT 13	94%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	6%	100%	0%	9.5%	\$99,554

Block Group	Race (Percent) <sup>a</sup>							Ethnicity (Percent) <sup>a</sup>		Percent of Residents in Poverty <sup>a</sup>	Median Household Income	
	White	Black or African American	American Indian or Alaska Native	Asian	Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	Some Other Race Alone	Multiple Races	Hispanic or Latino	Not Hispanic or Latino			
BG3, CT 13	88%	0%	5%	2%	0%	1%	4%	98%	2%	1.2%	\$92,067	
BG4, CT 13	93%	0%	4%	3%	0%	0%	0%	97%	3%	10.9%	\$71,277	
BG5, CT 13	71%	4%	11%	4%	0%	0%	9%	96%	4%	31.6%	\$58,780	
BG1, CT 14	87%	0%	2%	0%	0%	0%	11%	93%	7%	5.8%	\$88,984	
BG2, CT 14	97%	0%	3%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%	0%	0.0%	\$75,942	
BG3, CT 14	93%	0%	3%	0%	0%	0%	3%	98%	2%	6.7%	\$79,009	
BG4, CT 14	75%	3%	0%	0%	0%	0%	23%	83%	17%	7.0%	\$34,250	
BG5, CT 14	89%	0%	0%	2%	0%	0%	9%	97%	3%	5.4%	\$84,313	
BG1, CT 15	84%	0%	3%	1%	0%	0%	13%	91%	9%	3.7%	\$82,031	
BG2, CT 15	81%	4%	8%	0%	0%	0%	7%	100%	0%	3.8%	\$74,638	
BG3, CT 15	96%	0%	0%	2%	0%	0%	2%	100%	0%	17.6%	\$77,012	
BG4, CT 15	92%	4%	0%	0%	0%	0%	4%	100%	0%	0.6%	\$78,702	
BG5, CT 15	80%	1%	11%	3%	0%	0%	5%	95%	5%	1.7%	\$100,000	
BG6, CT 15	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%	0%	7.9%	\$50,430	
BG1, CT 16	62%	8%	20%	0%	0%	1%	9%	95%	5%	8.0%	\$43,304	
BG2, CT 16	74%	2%	9%	3%	0%	0%	12%	99%	1%	15.1%	\$65,966	
BG3, CT 16	80%	4%	2%	6%	0%	0%	8%	89%	11%	7.1%	\$52,727	
BG4, CT 16	90%	10%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	98%	2%	6.8%	\$79,500	
BG1, CT 17	97%	0%	3%	0%	0%	0%	0%	97%	3%	17.6%	\$77,721	
BG2, CT 17	84%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	16%	100%	0%	4.4%	\$57,667	
BG1, CT 18	No population											
BG2, CT 18	76%	14%	2%	0%	0%	0%	8%	87%	13%	1.6%	\$71,650	
BG1, CT 19	87%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	13%	100%	0%	4.2%	\$78,315	
BG2, CT 19	92%	0%	1%	0%	0%	4%	2%	90%	10%	2.0%	\$90,938	
BG3, CT 19	84%	0%	6%	7%	0%	0%	3%	100%	0%	3.4%	\$71,816	
BG4, CT 19	94%	0%	2%	0%	0%	0%	4%	99%	1%	4.9%	\$93,705	
BG5, CT 19	89%	1%	5%	1%	1%	0%	4%	99%	1%	23.3%	\$40,814	
BG1, CT 1	80%	0%	4%	0%	0%	0%	15%	100%	0%	8.8%	\$47,422	
BG2, CT 1	78%	0%	10%	1%	0%	1%	11%	99%	1%	5.9%	\$60,313	
BG3, CT 1	86%	1%	9%	1%	0%	0%	3%	99%	1%	9.9%	\$41,327	
BG1, CT 2	19%	0%	46%	0%	0%	0%	35%	97%	3%	3.6%	\$51,250	

Block Group	Race (Percent) <sup>a</sup>							Ethnicity (Percent) <sup>a</sup>		Percent of Residents in Poverty <sup>a</sup>	Median Household Income
	White	Black or African American	American Indian or Alaska Native	Asian	Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	Some Other Race Alone	Multiple Races	Hispanic or Latino	Not Hispanic or Latino		
BG1, CT 3	85%	0%	5%	2%	0%	1%	7%	97%	3%	10.4%	\$51,731
BG2, CT 3	37%	1%	44%	0%	0%	1%	17%	97%	3%	16.1%	\$47,969
BG3, CT 3	29%	0%	62%	2%	1%	0%	6%	99%	1%	20.8%	\$33,750
BG1, CT 1	93%	0%	1%	1%	0%	0%	5%	100%	0%	4.8%	\$99,688
BG2, CT 1	89%	1%	1%	1%	0%	0%	8%	95%	5%	1.4%	\$86,420
BG3, CT 1	83%	0%	4%	0%	0%	0%	13%	98%	2%	0.8%	\$88,287
BG4, CT 1	87%	0%	1%	2%	0%	4%	7%	94%	6%	4.5%	\$115,347
BG1, CT 2	62%	0%	6%	9%	0%	1%	21%	96%	4%	0.1%	\$103,487
BG2, CT 2	62%	0%	18%	12%	0%	0%	8%	95%	5%	0.0%	\$87,341
BG3, CT 2	69%	0%	9%	1%	0%	9%	12%	84%	16%	0.0%	\$71,958
BG4, CT 2	87%	2%	9%	2%	0%	0%	0%	100%	0%	5.6%	\$107,120
BG5, CT 2	66%	0%	17%	8%	0%	0%	9%	83%	17%	10.8%	\$66,771
BG1, CT 3	57%	0%	20%	12%	3%	0%	8%	93%	7%	14.9%	\$74,489
BG2, CT 3	63%	4%	14%	2%	6%	0%	11%	98%	2%	0.0%	\$59,444
BG3, CT 3	56%	5%	4%	0%	9%	0%	26%	92%	8%	8.4%	\$66,250
BG4, CT 3	82%	2%	5%	8%	0%	0%	2%	99%	1%	0.6%	\$96,378
BG1, CT 4	43%	0%	30%	20%	0%	0%	7%	95%	5%	7.2%	\$45,905
BG2, CT 4	51%	7%	20%	2%	3%	0%	18%	92%	8%	4.9%	\$77,054
BG3, CT 4	30%	1%	14%	37%	0%	0%	18%	99%	1%	15.0%	\$77,639
BG4, CT 4	79%	0%	11%	5%	0%	1%	4%	100%	0%	2.1%	\$76,220
BG1, CT 5	80%	0%	15%	0%	0%	0%	5%	100%	0%	1.4%	\$103,214
BG2, CT 5	79%	0%	7%	3%	0%	0%	10%	93%	7%	10.2%	\$71,786
BG3, CT 5	65%	1%	16%	9%	0%	3%	6%	91%	9%	7.0%	\$53,368
BG1, CT 6	88%	0%	4%	0%	2%	0%	6%	94%	6%	3.9%	\$97,115
BG2, CT 6	65%	5%	11%	4%	1%	1%	13%	97%	3%	11.2%	\$76,667
BG3, CT 6	68%	1%	17%	4%	0%	2%	8%	96%	4%	9.6%	\$62,721
BG1, CT 1	39%	0%	54%	0%	1%	0%	5%	100%	0%	20.9%	\$25,938
BG1, CT 2	89%	1%	7%	0%	0%	0%	3%	92%	8%	4.2%	\$72,986
BG2, CT 2	83%	0%	5%	0%	0%	0%	13%	100%	0%	2.2%	\$67,316
BG3, CT 2	91%	0%	5%	1%	0%	1%	3%	91%	9%	6.2%	\$72,833
BG4, CT 2	78%	2%	12%	0%	1%	1%	6%	97%	3%	13.3%	\$70,625

Block Group	Race (Percent) <sup>a</sup>							Ethnicity (Percent) <sup>a</sup>		Percent of Residents in Poverty <sup>a</sup>	Median Household Income
	White	Black or African American	American Indian or Alaska Native	Asian	Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	Some Other Race Alone	Multiple Races	Hispanic or Latino	Not Hispanic or Latino		
BG1, CT 3	95%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	5%	97%	3%	9.9%	\$74,508
BG1, CT 4	94%	0%	1%	1%	0%	0%	4%	100%	0%	9.2%	\$61,536
BG2, CT 4	94%	0%	2%	0%	0%	0%	4%	98%	2%	8.4%	\$76,011
BG3, CT 4	93%	0%	2%	4%	0%	0%	1%	100%	0%	15.8%	\$57,287
BG1, CT 5	88%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	11%	99%	1%	4.5%	\$69,750
BG2, CT 5	65%	0%	6%	0%	0%	12%	16%	82%	18%	6.7%	\$107,174
BG3, CT 5	86%	0%	4%	1%	0%	1%	8%	98%	2%	5.8%	\$60,962
BG4, CT 5	81%	0%	10%	1%	0%	0%	7%	99%	1%	3.8%	\$50,302
BG1, CT 6	71%	8%	7%	1%	0%	2%	11%	97%	3%	17.7%	\$53,977
BG2, CT 6	72%	0%	12%	1%	0%	0%	15%	94%	6%	7.5%	\$77,784
BG3, CT 6	67%	4%	15%	0%	0%	0%	14%	100%	0%	1.3%	\$61,579
BG4, CT 6	93%	0%	2%	0%	0%	0%	5%	100%	0%	0.0%	\$59,219
BG5, CT 6	88%	0%	8%	1%	0%	0%	3%	98%	2%	15.7%	\$66,848
BG6, CT 6	84%	0%	11%	1%	0%	2%	2%	97%	3%	5.5%	\$77,232
BG1, CT 7	90%	0%	3%	1%	0%	0%	6%	99%	1%	4.1%	\$67,025
BG2, CT 7	74%	1%	21%	4%	0%	0%	1%	96%	4%	5.1%	\$95,195
BG3, CT 7	86%	0%	5%	2%	0%	0%	7%	87%	13%	2.1%	\$84,524
BG4, CT 7	93%	0%	3%	0%	0%	0%	4%	97%	3%	3.8%	\$50,347
BG5, CT 7	88%	0%	6%	2%	0%	0%	4%	85%	15%	4.2%	\$70,117
BG6, CT 7	83%	0%	12%	0%	0%	1%	3%	98%	2%	14.6%	\$57,583
BG1, CT 8	90%	0%	6%	1%	0%	0%	3%	99%	1%	18.0%	\$48,083
BG2, CT 8	95%	0%	4%	0%	0%	0%	1%	99%	1%	19.8%	\$31,818
BG3, CT 8	89%	0%	7%	0%	0%	0%	4%	95%	5%	11.1%	\$50,750
BG4, CT 8	92%	0%	2%	0%	0%	1%	5%	98%	2%	9.5%	\$56,701
BG1, CT 9	91%	0%	4%	1%	2%	0%	3%	99%	1%	9.9%	\$76,786
BG2, CT 9	93%	1%	1%	2%	0%	0%	2%	100%	0%	5.4%	\$63,125
BG1, CT 10	92%	0%	4%	1%	0%	0%	3%	95%	5%	10.6%	\$48,750
BG2, CT 10	85%	1%	8%	1%	0%	0%	4%	98%	2%	10.7%	\$49,219
BG1, CT 11	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%	0%	31.7%	\$46,250
BG2, CT 11	95%	0%	2%	1%	0%	0%	3%	96%	4%	15.1%	\$51,875
BG3, CT 11	91%	1%	4%	1%	0%	0%	2%	94%	6%	4.7%	\$44,808

Block Group	Race (Percent) <sup>a</sup>							Ethnicity (Percent) <sup>a</sup>		Percent of Residents in Poverty <sup>a</sup>	Median Household Income
	White	Black or African American	American Indian or Alaska Native	Asian	Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	Some Other Race Alone	Multiple Races	Hispanic or Latino	Not Hispanic or Latino		
BG4, CT 11	91%	0%	3%	2%	0%	0%	4%	98%	2%	6.3%	\$60,227
BG1, CT 12	43%	2%	49%	2%	1%	0%	4%	99%	1%	21.2%	\$36,667
BG1, CT 13	78%	1%	14%	3%	0%	0%	4%	100%	0%	9.2%	\$82,099
BG2, CT 13	67%	2%	17%	7%	0%	0%	6%	98%	2%	5.3%	\$46,971
BG1, CT 1	92%	0%	3%	5%	0%	0%	0%	99%	1%	1.3%	\$54,625
BG2, CT 1	88%	0%	5%	0%	0%	5%	2%	94%	6%	4.0%	\$84,830
BG3, CT 1	68%	0%	21%	0%	0%	0%	10%	96%	4%	6.3%	\$83,750
BG4, CT 1	89%	0%	3%	0%	0%	3%	5%	94%	6%	0.2%	\$94,219
BG5, CT 1	83%	5%	11%	0%	0%	0%	0%	95%	5%	0.0%	\$148,462
BG1, CT 2	63%	1%	13%	11%	0%	0%	11%	94%	6%	12.3%	\$61,875
BG2, CT 2	63%	0%	17%	11%	0%	0%	9%	99%	1%	16.8%	\$55,668
BG3, CT 2	57%	0%	21%	14%	0%	0%	8%	93%	7%	9.1%	\$47,813
BG1, CT 3	51%	1%	16%	6%	0%	0%	27%	92%	8%	11.8%	\$46,731
BG2, CT 3	64%	0%	16%	4%	0%	4%	13%	94%	6%	22.5%	\$53,405
BG3, CT 3	62%	0%	15%	9%	0%	0%	14%	97%	3%	15.8%	\$49,896
BG1, CT 4	51%	0%	35%	3%	0%	0%	11%	97%	3%	13.7%	\$76,932
BG2, CT 4	87%	0%	5%	3%	0%	0%	6%	98%	2%	3.7%	\$105,781
BG1, CT 1	10%	1%	83%	0%	0%	0%	5%	90%	10%	21.8%	\$35,417
BG2, CT 1	38%	0%	56%	3%	1%	1%	2%	94%	6%	20.5%	\$48,750
BG3, CT 1	72%	3%	11%	0%	0%	9%	4%	91%	9%	10.2%	\$89,901
BG1, CT 2	80%	0%	13%	0%	0%	2%	6%	91%	9%	14.2%	\$74,056
BG2, CT 2	60%	0%	27%	10%	0%	0%	4%	98%	2%	2.4%	\$97,280
BG3, CT 2	43%	1%	11%	22%	9%	6%	8%	93%	7%	19.5%	\$55,380
BG1, CT 3	32%	0%	6%	41%	0%	16%	6%	78%	22%	12.5%	\$51,442
BG2, CT 3	30%	0%	4%	65%	0%	0%	1%	100%	0%	8.4%	\$69,222
BG1, CT 4	57%	0%	4%	37%	0%	0%	2%	95%	5%	7.1%	\$60,139
BG1, CT 5	87%	2%	0%	4%	0%	0%	6%	87%	13%	3.8%	\$64,643
BG1, CT 1	21%	1%	73%	0%	0%	0%	5%	97%	3%	24.7%	\$51,071
BG2, CT 1	27%	0%	65%	2%	0%	0%	6%	100%	0%	15.4%	\$52,500
BG1, CT 1.01	98%	0%	0%	0%	1%	0%	1%	99%	1%	28.8%	\$51,167
BG1, CT 1.02	95%	0%	0%	1%	0%	0%	4%	100%	0%	9.6%	\$45,956

Block Group	Race (Percent) <sup>a</sup>							Ethnicity (Percent) <sup>a</sup>		Percent of Residents in Poverty <sup>a</sup>	Median Household Income
	White	Black or African American	American Indian or Alaska Native	Asian	Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	Some Other Race Alone	Multiple Races	Hispanic or Latino	Not Hispanic or Latino		
BG2, CT 1.02	93%	0%	4%	0%	0%	0%	3%	100%	0%	17.8%	\$46,591
BG1, CT 2	68%	2%	17%	3%	1%	1%	8%	97%	3%	26.6%	\$37,708
BG2, CT 2	93%	0%	2%	0%	0%	1%	4%	97%	3%	16.0%	\$46,250
BG1, CT 3	91%	1%	4%	1%	0%	0%	3%	90%	10%	2.1%	\$82,636
BG2, CT 3	89%	0%	2%	0%	0%	0%	8%	98%	2%	7.3%	\$80,240
BG1, CT 4.01	83%	0%	5%	0%	0%	1%	10%	98%	2%	16.8%	\$51,974
BG1, CT 4.02	91%	0%	5%	1%	1%	0%	3%	98%	2%	9.2%	\$56,402
BG2, CT 4.02	96%	0%	1%	1%	0%	0%	2%	99%	1%	16.9%	\$59,583
BG1, CT 5.01	63%	3%	9%	2%	0%	0%	23%	93%	7%	13.5%	\$67,647
BG2, CT 5.01	86%	0%	8%	0%	2%	3%	1%	94%	6%	9.3%	\$79,100
BG1, CT 5.02	87%	0%	3%	0%	0%	0%	9%	97%	3%	16.5%	\$55,104
BG2, CT 5.02	82%	1%	9%	0%	0%	0%	8%	98%	2%	2.2%	\$64,079
BG1, CT 6.01	82%	1%	8%	0%	0%	0%	9%	100%	0%	8.5%	\$97,011
BG2, CT 6.01	84%	0%	4%	0%	0%	1%	12%	98%	2%	11.5%	\$79,261
BG1, CT 6.03	77%	2%	6%	10%	0%	0%	6%	97%	3%	3.5%	\$77,250
BG2, CT 6.03	91%	0%	4%	1%	0%	0%	4%	92%	8%	7.9%	\$81,145
BG1, CT 6.04	90%	0%	2%	1%	0%	0%	6%	98%	2%	9.8%	\$85,377
BG2, CT 6.04	84%	0%	13%	0%	0%	0%	3%	98%	2%	17.1%	\$41,964
BG1, CT 7.01	94%	0%	6%	0%	0%	0%	0%	95%	5%	6.5%	\$70,938
BG2, CT 7.01	88%	0%	4%	0%	0%	1%	7%	97%	3%	5.2%	\$51,481
BG1, CT 7.03	85%	1%	4%	2%	0%	0%	8%	100%	0%	15.1%	\$57,414
BG2, CT 7.03	78%	0%	16%	3%	1%	0%	2%	92%	8%	13.2%	\$55,913
BG1, CT 7.05	86%	0%	1%	1%	1%	1%	11%	89%	11%	8.6%	\$72,813
BG2, CT 7.05	90%	0%	3%	0%	0%	1%	6%	91%	9%	8.2%	\$68,269
BG1, CT 7.06	88%	1%	1%	0%	0%	2%	7%	97%	3%	27.0%	\$76,076
BG2, CT 7.06	86%	1%	4%	1%	0%	1%	7%	97%	3%	13.7%	\$81,464
BG1, CT 8	86%	1%	7%	1%	0%	0%	5%	96%	4%	9.8%	\$71,488
BG2, CT 8	84%	1%	8%	1%	1%	0%	6%	100%	0%	12.3%	\$57,431
BG3, CT 8	74%	3%	9%	5%	0%	1%	9%	92%	8%	19.0%	\$37,500
BG1, CT 9	86%	4%	5%	1%	0%	0%	4%	95%	5%	4.6%	\$59,286
BG2, CT 9	72%	1%	10%	5%	0%	0%	12%	98%	2%	20.2%	\$82,237

Block Group	Race (Percent) <sup>a</sup>							Ethnicity (Percent) <sup>a</sup>		Percent of Residents in Poverty <sup>a</sup>	Median Household Income
	White	Black or African American	American Indian or Alaska Native	Asian	Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	Some Other Race Alone	Multiple Races	Hispanic or Latino	Not Hispanic or Latino		
BG1, CT 10.01	84%	2%	5%	0%	0%	1%	8%	96%	4%	6.6%	\$76,488
BG2, CT 10.01	77%	0%	6%	4%	0%	0%	13%	98%	2%	1.9%	\$100,938
BG1, CT 10.03	73%	0%	5%	0%	0%	3%	19%	91%	9%	14.6%	\$47,969
BG2, CT 10.03	87%	0%	7%	0%	0%	0%	6%	99%	1%	5.1%	\$86,023
BG1, CT 10.04	86%	1%	1%	0%	0%	1%	10%	94%	6%	7.1%	\$80,357
BG2, CT 10.04	76%	0%	8%	7%	0%	0%	9%	99%	1%	7.0%	\$75,484
BG1, CT 11	91%	1%	3%	1%	0%	0%	5%	98%	2%	3.7%	\$107,672
BG2, CT 11	84%	2%	3%	0%	0%	1%	9%	97%	3%	8.8%	\$86,696
BG1, CT 12.01	78%	1%	6%	0%	0%	4%	10%	94%	6%	5.4%	\$63,472
BG2, CT 12.01	86%	1%	6%	1%	0%	0%	6%	96%	4%	13.2%	\$53,026
BG1, CT 12.02	81%	0%	5%	1%	3%	1%	10%	96%	4%	8.9%	\$79,615
BG2, CT 12.02	82%	2%	4%	1%	0%	1%	11%	94%	6%	7.9%	\$80,179
BG1, CT 13	89%	8%	0%	0%	0%	0%	2%	98%	2%	3.5%	\$65,750
BG2, CT 13	88%	1%	5%	0%	0%	0%	6%	95%	5%	9.1%	\$86,964
BG3, CT 13	90%	2%	1%	1%	0%	0%	5%	98%	2%	5.0%	\$81,250
BG4, CT 13	89%	1%	3%	1%	0%	1%	5%	98%	2%	9.1%	\$75,288
BG1, CT 1	1%	0%	97%	0%	0%	0%	2%	98%	2%	34.4%	\$37,500
BG2, CT 1	7%	0%	84%	0%	3%	0%	6%	97%	3%	53.2%	\$32,019
BG3, CT 1	3%	0%	92%	0%	0%	0%	5%	99%	1%	38.3%	\$31,563
BG4, CT 1	11%	0%	77%	0%	0%	0%	12%	100%	0%	18.5%	\$46,806
BG5, CT 1	2%	0%	94%	0%	1%	0%	3%	100%	0%	36.1%	\$35,000
BG6, CT 1	4%	0%	90%	1%	0%	0%	5%	99%	1%	48.1%	\$31,705
BG1, CT 2	49%	6%	27%	3%	0%	3%	12%	98%	2%	4.6%	\$113,611
BG2, CT 2	43%	2%	51%	1%	0%	0%	4%	99%	1%	15.5%	\$67,500
BG3, CT 2	29%	1%	44%	0%	0%	0%	26%	96%	4%	14.9%	\$70,476
BG4, CT 2	32%	0%	49%	2%	0%	0%	17%	98%	2%	8.9%	\$53,879
BG1, CT 1	18%	1%	55%	7%	4%	3%	12%	95%	5%	11.0%	\$109,537
BG2, CT 1	20%	1%	59%	15%	1%	0%	4%	96%	4%	14.5%	\$61,552
BG1, CT 2	8%	0%	82%	0%	0%	0%	9%	99%	1%	10.7%	\$72,500
BG2, CT 2	4%	0%	92%	0%	0%	0%	5%	100%	0%	17.6%	\$61,250
BG3, CT 2	11%	0%	84%	0%	0%	0%	5%	100%	0%	10.1%	\$72,500

Block Group	Race (Percent) <sup>a</sup>							Ethnicity (Percent) <sup>a</sup>		Percent of Residents in Poverty <sup>a</sup>	Median Household Income
	White	Black or African American	American Indian or Alaska Native	Asian	Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	Some Other Race Alone	Multiple Races	Hispanic or Latino	Not Hispanic or Latino		
BG1, CT 3	87%	0%	6%	2%	0%	2%	3%	97%	3%	5.0%	ND
BG1, CT 1	14%	0%	83%	0%	0%	0%	3%	100%	0%	15.3%	\$58,594
BG2, CT 1	4%	0%	92%	0%	0%	0%	4%	99%	1%	29.5%	\$49,583
BG3, CT 1	4%	0%	95%	0%	0%	0%	1%	98%	2%	32.5%	\$48,125
BG4, CT 1	0%	0%	97%	0%	0%	0%	3%	100%	0%	36.8%	\$35,625
BG5, CT 1	3%	0%	97%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%	0%	22.8%	\$47,875
BG1, CT 2	15%	1%	72%	2%	0%	0%	9%	99%	1%	13.1%	\$77,188
BG2, CT 2	15%	1%	66%	3%	0%	1%	14%	96%	4%	12.6%	\$66,136
BG3, CT 2	47%	4%	36%	1%	0%	0%	12%	96%	4%	7.6%	\$90,313
BG4, CT 2	18%	1%	74%	0%	0%	1%	5%	99%	1%	22.1%	\$90,909
BG1, CT 2	78%	4%	7%	0%	1%	6%	4%	92%	8%	8.6%	\$76,250
BG2, CT 2	79%	0%	4%	3%	0%	1%	13%	96%	4%	7.9%	\$61,667
BG3, CT 2	81%	3%	11%	0%	0%	0%	5%	99%	1%	9.9%	\$72,802
BG4, CT 2	60%	0%	24%	0%	0%	4%	12%	94%	6%	21.2%	\$49,750
BG1, CT 1	94%	0%	2%	0%	0%	3%	2%	100%	0%	18.1%	\$29,643
BG2, CT 1	87%	0%	5%	0%	0%	0%	8%	100%	0%	18.7%	\$49,050
BG3, CT 1	32%	0%	56%	4%	0%	0%	7%	100%	0%	10.8%	\$34,125
BG1, CT 2	51%	0%	36%	1%	0%	0%	12%	97%	3%	18.8%	\$38,125
BG2, CT 2	68%	0%	20%	1%	1%	0%	10%	95%	5%	16.0%	\$61,339
BG3, CT 2	81%	0%	11%	1%	0%	0%	7%	97%	3%	17.1%	\$66,875
BG1, CT 3	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%	0%	4.9%	\$21,944
BG1, CT 9401	2%	0%	86%	0%	0%	0%	11%	95%	5%	11.5%	\$51,719
BG2, CT 9401	9%	1%	84%	0%	0%	0%	6%	97%	3%	16.0%	\$43,375
BG3, CT 9401	3%	0%	77%	0%	0%	0%	21%	98%	2%	1.6%	\$65,625
BG1, CT 1	85%	11%	4%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%	0%	0.0%	ND
BG2, CT 1	50%	0%	36%	2%	0%	0%	12%	100%	0%	20.7%	\$65,855
BG3, CT 1	78%	0%	16%	1%	0%	0%	5%	98%	2%	8.2%	\$62,303
BG4, CT 1	53%	0%	25%	4%	1%	1%	16%	96%	4%	19.1%	\$66,821
BG5, CT 1	75%	3%	16%	0%	0%	0%	6%	99%	1%	2.8%	\$92,868
BG1, CT 2	75%	0%	5%	13%	0%	2%	5%	96%	4%	9.4%	\$74,798
BG2, CT 2	68%	0%	11%	14%	0%	1%	6%	97%	3%	4.8%	\$61,607

Block Group	Race (Percent) <sup>a</sup>							Ethnicity (Percent) <sup>a</sup>		Percent of Residents in Poverty <sup>a</sup>	Median Household Income
	White	Black or African American	American Indian or Alaska Native	Asian	Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	Some Other Race Alone	Multiple Races	Hispanic or Latino	Not Hispanic or Latino		
BG3, CT 2	52%	1%	31%	4%	0%	2%	10%	93%	7%	5.4%	\$65,536
BG4, CT 2	71%	0%	14%	2%	0%	0%	14%	86%	14%	20.2%	\$61,528
BG5, CT 2	51%	2%	34%	0%	0%	3%	10%	93%	7%	10.7%	\$44,167
BG6, CT 2	54%	10%	9%	1%	0%	7%	19%	58%	42%	16.9%	ND
BG1, CT 1	78%	0%	4%	14%	0%	0%	4%	99%	1%	5.5%	\$71,667
BG1, CT 1	41%	0%	55%	0%	0%	0%	4%	100%	0%	22.6%	\$36,591
BG2, CT 1	75%	0%	10%	2%	0%	0%	13%	100%	0%	14.9%	\$47,946
BG1, CT 4	89%	0%	1%	8%	0%	0%	1%	92%	8%	8.2%	\$59,231
BG2, CT 4	94%	0%	2%	0%	0%	0%	3%	93%	7%	9.1%	\$81,875
BG3, CT 4	90%	0%	1%	1%	2%	2%	4%	96%	4%	19.2%	\$51,000
BG4, CT 4	81%	12%	3%	1%	0%	1%	2%	95%	5%	0.0%	\$112,625
BG1, CT 1	56%	0%	40%	0%	2%	0%	2%	98%	2%	24.0%	\$30,188
BG2, CT 1	97%	0%	1%	0%	0%	0%	2%	99%	1%	0.0%	\$50,486
BG3, CT 1	61%	0%	36%	0%	0%	0%	3%	98%	2%	11.5%	\$62,917
BG4, CT 1	68%	4%	19%	0%	0%	0%	9%	100%	0%	15.0%	\$62,583
BG1, CT 2	58%	0%	9%	19%	0%	1%	14%	99%	1%	0.0%	\$104,609
BG2, CT 2	78%	0%	11%	8%	0%	0%	3%	99%	1%	1.5%	\$91,923
BG1, CT 3	58%	0%	30%	6%	2%	0%	5%	98%	2%	22.8%	\$41,875
BG2, CT 3	76%	1%	17%	1%	0%	0%	5%	100%	0%	6.3%	\$115,662
BG3, CT 3	65%	0%	6%	0%	6%	6%	17%	85%	15%	16.1%	\$73,636
BG4, CT 3	88%	0%	11%	0%	0%	0%	1%	89%	11%	7.2%	\$126,328
BG5, CT 3	86%	0%	6%	3%	0%	5%	0%	87%	13%	5.8%	\$77,098
BG1, CT 1	3%	0%	93%	0%	1%	0%	3%	100%	0%	31.0%	\$39,345
BG2, CT 1	4%	0%	71%	0%	0%	0%	25%	100%	0%	25.9%	\$39,063
BG3, CT 1	5%	0%	92%	0%	0%	0%	3%	100%	0%	22.2%	\$43,846
BG4, CT 1	3%	1%	92%	1%	0%	0%	3%	100%	0%	39.5%	\$35,625
BG1, CT 3	76%	0%	18%	1%	0%	0%	5%	95%	5%	12.2%	\$46,488
BG2, CT 3	79%	0%	10%	2%	0%	0%	9%	100%	0%	5.3%	\$45,313
BG3, CT 3	56%	0%	30%	1%	0%	0%	13%	99%	1%	12.1%	\$56,875
BG4, CT 3	45%	0%	43%	3%	0%	0%	9%	97%	3%	8.5%	\$33,704
BG1, CT 1	35%	6%	41%	1%	0%	1%	14%	98%	2%	5.9%	\$72,500

Block Group	Race (Percent) <sup>a</sup>							Ethnicity (Percent) <sup>a</sup>		Percent of Residents in Poverty <sup>a</sup>	Median Household Income
	White	Black or African American	American Indian or Alaska Native	Asian	Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	Some Other Race Alone	Multiple Races	Hispanic or Latino	Not Hispanic or Latino		
BG1, CT 1	3%	0%	95%	0%	0%	0%	3%	100%	0%	40.8%	\$23,958
BG2, CT 1	23%	0%	73%	0%	2%	0%	2%	98%	2%	24.2%	\$31,818
BG1, CT 2	15%	1%	77%	0%	0%	1%	6%	93%	7%	25.7%	\$31,500
BG2, CT 2	67%	0%	20%	0%	0%	0%	13%	99%	1%	17.3%	\$54,250
BG1, CT 3	5%	0%	88%	0%	0%	0%	6%	100%	0%	29.8%	\$26,667
BG2, CT 3	31%	0%	61%	3%	0%	1%	4%	99%	1%	11.5%	\$60,313
BG3, CT 3	5%	0%	93%	0%	0%	0%	3%	100%	0%	29.7%	\$26,667
BG1, CT 4	2%	0%	97%	0%	0%	0%	1%	100%	0%	28.6%	\$25,694
BG2, CT 4	31%	0%	51%	2%	0%	0%	16%	98%	2%	13.7%	\$63,088

Source: U.S. Census Bureau 2013

BG = Block Group; CT = Census Tract; ND = no data

<sup>a</sup> Totals may not add to 100 percent, due to rounding.

**Table 2: Block Group Demographic Data, Hawaii**

Block Group	Race (Percent) <sup>a</sup>							Ethnicity (Percent) <sup>a</sup>		Percent of Residents in Poverty <sup>a</sup>	Median Household Income
	White	Black or African American	American Indian or Alaska Native	Asian	Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	Some Other Race Alone	Multiple Races	Hispanic or Latino	Not Hispanic or Latino		
BG 1, CT 201	27%	2%	0%	20%	19%	5%	26%	84%	16%	34%	\$30,398
BG 2, CT 201	66%	0%	0%	15%	3%	0%	17%	92%	8%	11%	\$61,653
BG 3, CT 201	44%	0%	0%	41%	0%	0%	14%	96%	4%	9%	\$47,604
BG 4, CT 201	26%	0%	0%	27%	8%	0%	38%	90%	10%	10%	\$44,671
BG 1, CT 202.02	28%	5%	0%	12%	12%	20%	23%	97%	3%	13%	\$36,303
BG 2, CT 202.02	40%	0%	0%	32%	5%	1%	23%	88%	12%	18%	\$45,179
BG 1, CT 203	39%	0%	0%	29%	4%	2%	25%	87%	13%	15%	\$71,111
BG 2, CT 203	29%	0%	0%	13%	22%	0%	36%	98%	2%	52%	\$21,818
BG 3, CT 203	22%	2%	0%	23%	16%	0%	37%	84%	16%	36%	\$26,216
BG 1, CT 204	10%	4%	0%	19%	29%	0%	38%	87%	13%	30%	\$38,056
BG 2, CT 204	13%	1%	0%	23%	3%	0%	59%	71%	29%	33%	\$19,189
BG 3, CT 204	20%	0%	0%	18%	6%	1%	55%	69%	31%	44%	\$29,919
BG 4, CT 204	12%	0%	0%	42%	10%	0%	37%	100%	0%	27%	\$35,385
BG 1, CT 205	25%	0%	0%	36%	17%	0%	23%	79%	21%	50%	\$18,750
BG 2, CT 205	13%	0%	0%	43%	4%	0%	40%	97%	3%	11%	\$50,111
BG 3, CT 205	23%	9%	0%	62%	4%	0%	3%	100%	0%	23%	\$71,058
BG 4, CT 205	17%	0%	0%	34%	18%	0%	30%	97%	3%	12%	\$37,759
BG 5, CT 205	21%	1%	1%	31%	10%	0%	36%	81%	19%	31%	\$20,455
BG 1, CT 206	37%	8%	0%	18%	11%	2%	24%	79%	21%	15%	\$44,886
BG 2, CT 206	5%	0%	0%	16%	29%	0%	49%	91%	9%	11%	\$59,583
BG 3, CT 206	2%	0%	0%	2%	44%	0%	52%	98%	2%	14%	\$48,077
BG 4, CT 206	8%	0%	0%	21%	25%	0%	45%	94%	6%	11%	\$69,250
BG 1, CT 207.01	6%	0%	0%	48%	14%	1%	30%	90%	10%	5%	\$48,816
BG 2, CT 207.01	13%	0%	0%	47%	9%	0%	32%	89%	11%	16%	\$77,407
BG 3, CT 207.01	8%	0%	0%	62%	13%	0%	17%	97%	3%	1%	\$82,798
BG 1, CT 207.02	22%	0%	0%	49%	5%	0%	24%	96%	4%	2%	\$68,333
BG 2, CT 207.02	9%	2%	0%	56%	6%	2%	25%	94%	6%	2%	\$76,714

Block Group	Race (Percent) <sup>a</sup>							Ethnicity (Percent) <sup>a</sup>		Percent of Residents in Poverty <sup>a</sup>	Median Household Income
	White	Black or African American	American Indian or Alaska Native	Asian	Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	Some Other Race Alone	Multiple Races	Hispanic or Latino	Not Hispanic or Latino		
BG 3, CT 207.02	8%	1%	1%	50%	5%	1%	35%	85%	15%	3%	\$79,926
BG 1, CT 208.01	24%	0%	0%	28%	22%	0%	26%	96%	4%	5%	\$64,477
BG 2, CT 208.01	13%	0%	0%	49%	5%	1%	33%	93%	7%	2%	\$77,625
BG 1, CT 208.02	23%	0%	0%	51%	1%	3%	22%	89%	11%	17%	\$56,058
BG 2, CT 208.02	13%	0%	0%	29%	10%	0%	47%	89%	11%	31%	\$49,500
BG 3, CT 208.02	19%	0%	0%	52%	10%	0%	19%	100%	0%	12%	\$54,167
BG 4, CT 208.02	30%	0%	0%	34%	9%	0%	27%	94%	6%	6%	\$59,928
BG 1, CT 209	27%	0%	0%	31%	19%	0%	23%	93%	7%	6%	\$59,226
BG 2, CT 209	11%	0%	6%	45%	6%	0%	32%	92%	8%	8%	\$86,726
BG 3, CT 209	22%	1%	0%	23%	8%	1%	45%	82%	18%	14%	\$59,762
BG 1, CT 210.03	25%	1%	0%	26%	36%	4%	8%	84%	16%	27%	\$55,259
BG 2, CT 210.03	20%	0%	1%	27%	15%	4%	33%	90%	10%	14%	\$51,964
BG 3, CT 210.03	33%	0%	1%	17%	14%	2%	32%	87%	13%	29%	\$29,861
BG 1, CT 210.05	60%	2%	0%	4%	7%	10%	17%	78%	22%	42%	\$22,422
BG 2, CT 210.05	4%	0%	0%	56%	28%	0%	12%	81%	19%	27%	\$22,330
BG 3, CT 210.05	32%	5%	0%	24%	27%	0%	12%	84%	16%	30%	\$36,970
BG 4, CT 210.05	54%	0%	0%	7%	18%	12%	9%	59%	41%	35%	\$54,881
BG 1, CT 210.10	55%	0%	2%	9%	5%	0%	28%	82%	18%	39%	\$25,761
BG 2, CT 210.10	34%	1%	0%	9%	30%	1%	25%	77%	23%	37%	\$28,083
BG 3, CT 210.10	45%	7%	0%	16%	8%	4%	20%	87%	13%	32%	\$28,684
BG 1, CT 210.11	60%	0%	0%	9%	8%	4%	19%	78%	22%	23%	\$50,775
BG 2, CT 210.11	43%	0%	0%	23%	9%	2%	23%	84%	16%	27%	\$37,336
BG 1, CT 210.13	8%	0%	1%	55%	20%	0%	17%	93%	7%	20%	\$26,250
BG 2, CT 210.13	21%	0%	0%	37%	17%	0%	25%	90%	10%	31%	\$37,692
BG 3, CT 210.13	16%	0%	0%	31%	23%	1%	28%	93%	7%	21%	\$45,694
BG 1, CT 211.01	56%	0%	1%	10%	8%	9%	16%	81%	19%	33%	\$30,294
BG 2, CT 211.01	80%	0%	0%	13%	4%	3%	0%	97%	3%	1%	\$73,750
BG 1, CT 211.06	32%	0%	2%	26%	11%	0%	29%	89%	11%	27%	\$26,918
BG 2, CT 211.06	23%	4%	1%	9%	12%	4%	48%	87%	13%	45%	\$19,683
BG 3, CT 211.06	17%	1%	2%	29%	21%	4%	27%	79%	21%	27%	\$33,188

Block Group	Race (Percent) <sup>a</sup>							Ethnicity (Percent) <sup>a</sup>		Percent of Residents in Poverty <sup>a</sup>	Median Household Income
	White	Black or African American	American Indian or Alaska Native	Asian	Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	Some Other Race Alone	Multiple Races	Hispanic or Latino	Not Hispanic or Latino		
BG 4, CT 211.06	32%	0%	0%	20%	22%	4%	22%	90%	10%	40%	\$28,750
BG 1, CT 212.02	11%	0%	0%	37%	5%	3%	43%	87%	13%	14%	\$42,566
BG 2, CT 212.02	44%	0%	0%	2%	12%	4%	37%	86%	14%	36%	\$39,125
BG 3, CT 212.02	61%	0%	0%	12%	0%	0%	26%	99%	1%	24%	\$33,224
BG 4, CT 212.02	8%	0%	1%	64%	4%	0%	23%	98%	2%	18%	\$43,750
BG 1, CT 213	42%	0%	2%	11%	9%	0%	37%	96%	4%	27%	\$40,221
BG 2, CT 213	35%	0%	0%	21%	5%	0%	38%	91%	9%	17%	\$38,194
BG 3, CT 213	48%	0%	0%	14%	3%	3%	31%	94%	6%	7%	\$66,356
BG 1, CT 214.02	19%	2%	0%	24%	17%	1%	36%	82%	18%	18%	\$62,125
BG 2, CT 214.02	23%	0%	1%	40%	9%	1%	26%	94%	6%	7%	\$41,500
BG 3, CT 214.02	17%	0%	0%	26%	7%	3%	48%	89%	11%	8%	\$47,292
BG 1, CT 215.02	54%	2%	1%	9%	3%	0%	30%	95%	5%	9%	\$66,990
BG 2, CT 215.02	31%	0%	1%	20%	4%	5%	40%	82%	18%	13%	\$49,934
BG 1, CT 215.04	20%	0%	1%	14%	42%	5%	19%	89%	11%	22%	\$37,500
BG 2, CT 215.04	21%	0%	0%	9%	25%	2%	42%	88%	12%	9%	\$59,485
BG 1, CT 215.07	61%	0%	1%	9%	5%	0%	25%	86%	14%	13%	\$69,201
BG 2, CT 215.07	47%	0%	0%	5%	2%	27%	19%	66%	34%	5%	\$72,833
BG 3, CT 215.07	43%	1%	0%	8%	14%	0%	34%	93%	7%	17%	\$57,149
BG 1, CT 215.09	46%	0%	0%	17%	12%	0%	25%	96%	4%	18%	\$55,938
BG 2, CT 215.09	66%	0%	0%	2%	22%	0%	10%	92%	8%	29%	\$63,750
BG 3, CT 215.09	36%	1%	0%	10%	12%	3%	38%	79%	21%	22%	\$58,636
BG 1, CT 216.01	36%	0%	0%	34%	7%	0%	22%	89%	11%	14%	\$76,964
BG 2, CT 216.01	24%	4%	0%	24%	6%	0%	42%	89%	11%	8%	\$32,944
BG 3, CT 216.01	85%	0%	0%	7%	2%	0%	7%	97%	3%	0%	\$68,864
BG 4, CT 216.01	61%	0%	1%	3%	19%	1%	15%	97%	3%	12%	\$55,833
BG 5, CT 216.01	40%	0%	0%	18%	15%	9%	17%	85%	15%	20%	\$60,282
BG 1, CT 216.04	79%	0%	0%	5%	0%	17%	0%	76%	24%	4%	\$70,034
BG 2, CT 216.04	49%	1%	0%	17%	2%	12%	18%	85%	15%	8%	\$62,239
BG 3, CT 216.04	33%	0%	0%	10%	8%	23%	26%	77%	23%	16%	\$50,593
BG 4, CT 216.04	65%	0%	0%	12%	2%	0%	20%	98%	2%	8%	\$95,833

Block Group	Race (Percent) <sup>a</sup>							Ethnicity (Percent) <sup>a</sup>		Percent of Residents in Poverty <sup>a</sup>	Median Household Income
	White	Black or African American	American Indian or Alaska Native	Asian	Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	Some Other Race Alone	Multiple Races	Hispanic or Latino	Not Hispanic or Latino		
BG 5, CT 216.04	28%	3%	0%	28%	2%	26%	12%	69%	31%	5%	\$64,489
BG 1, CT 217.02	50%	0%	0%	13%	14%	1%	22%	82%	18%	18%	\$39,097
BG 2, CT 217.02	42%	0%	0%	14%	1%	1%	42%	96%	4%	11%	\$80,036
BG 3, CT 217.02	33%	0%	0%	8%	19%	1%	39%	92%	8%	7%	\$50,759
BG 1, CT 217.04	81%	0%	0%	16%	2%	0%	2%	100%	0%	6%	\$61,029
BG 2, CT 217.04	55%	1%	0%	15%	3%	1%	26%	90%	10%	3%	\$80,759
BG 3, CT 217.04	49%	0%	0%	20%	4%	3%	24%	93%	7%	6%	\$76,333
BG 4, CT 217.04	49%	0%	0%	8%	18%	2%	23%	95%	5%	11%	\$66,955
BG 1, CT 218	12%	0%	0%	11%	12%	5%	59%	84%	16%	14%	\$67,500
BG 2, CT 218	17%	0%	0%	14%	9%	0%	60%	90%	10%	31%	\$52,619
BG 3, CT 218	19%	1%	0%	22%	10%	1%	48%	76%	24%	13%	\$55,417
BG 4, CT 218	33%	0%	0%	23%	22%	2%	19%	92%	8%	10%	\$59,286
BG 1, CT 219.02	35%	0%	0%	16%	16%	4%	29%	90%	10%	5%	\$59,757
BG 2, CT 219.02	37%	0%	1%	31%	7%	0%	24%	97%	3%	33%	\$27,333
BG 3, CT 219.02	19%	0%	1%	43%	6%	5%	27%	94%	6%	5%	\$57,936
BG 1, CT 220	49%	0%	0%	14%	16%	1%	20%	95%	5%	10%	\$55,610
BG 2, CT 220	20%	0%	0%	52%	2%	0%	25%	98%	2%	9%	\$44,844
BG 1, CT 221.02	23%	0%	0%	44%	5%	0%	29%	86%	14%	16%	\$62,788
BG 2, CT 221.02	24%	0%	0%	32%	14%	3%	26%	92%	8%	8%	\$76,830
BG 0, CT 9900	No Population										
BG 0, CT 9901	No Population										
BG 0, CT 9903	No Population										
BG 0, CT 9904	No Population										
BG 0, CT 9905	No Population										
BG 0, CT 9906	No Population										
BG 0, CT 9907	No Population										
BG 0, CT 9908	No Population										
BG 0, CT 9909	No Population										
BG 0, CT 9910	No Population										
BG 0, CT 9911	No Population										

Block Group	Race (Percent) <sup>a</sup>							Ethnicity (Percent) <sup>a</sup>		Percent of Residents in Poverty <sup>a</sup>	Median Household Income
	White	Black or African American	American Indian or Alaska Native	Asian	Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	Some Other Race Alone	Multiple Races	Hispanic or Latino	Not Hispanic or Latino		
BG 0, CT 9912	No Population										
BG 0, CT 9913	No Population										
BG 0, CT 9914	No Population										
BG 0, CT 9915	No Population										
BG 0, CT 9916	No Population										
BG 0, CT 9917	No Population										
BG 1, CT 1.06	23%	0%	0%	54%	3%	0%	20%	94%	6%	4%	\$80,357
BG 2, CT 1.06	37%	4%	0%	42%	3%	0%	13%	93%	7%	3%	\$85,224
BG 3, CT 1.06	23%	0%	0%	60%	2%	0%	15%	93%	7%	1%	\$127,353
BG 4, CT 1.06	36%	2%	2%	35%	13%	0%	12%	95%	5%	11%	\$88,382
BG 1, CT 1.07	23%	0%	0%	53%	2%	0%	22%	98%	2%	1%	\$110,250
BG 2, CT 1.07	31%	0%	0%	29%	6%	0%	34%	98%	2%	0%	\$152,266
BG 3, CT 1.07	19%	0%	0%	56%	0%	0%	25%	99%	1%	1%	\$142,250
BG 1, CT 1.08	42%	1%	0%	32%	1%	0%	25%	91%	9%	5%	\$107,137
BG 2, CT 1.08	36%	0%	0%	27%	19%	0%	18%	99%	1%	2%	\$115,948
BG 1, CT 1.10	28%	0%	0%	58%	4%	0%	10%	100%	0%	3%	\$109,200
BG 2, CT 1.10	44%	2%	0%	36%	0%	0%	19%	99%	1%	9%	\$127,237
BG 3, CT 1.10	25%	0%	0%	45%	0%	0%	29%	97%	3%	4%	\$96,316
BG 1, CT 1.11	23%	0%	0%	51%	1%	1%	24%	95%	5%	1%	\$125,179
BG 2, CT 1.11	9%	0%	0%	62%	4%	0%	24%	98%	2%	2%	\$107,375
BG 3, CT 1.11	16%	0%	0%	66%	1%	0%	17%	98%	2%	3%	\$160,417
BG 1, CT 1.12	7%	0%	0%	70%	7%	0%	15%	98%	2%	0%	\$88,529
BG 2, CT 1.12	51%	0%	0%	35%	0%	1%	12%	94%	6%	9%	\$109,607
BG 3, CT 1.12	13%	0%	0%	63%	2%	0%	23%	98%	2%	4%	\$56,667
BG 1, CT 1.14	52%	0%	0%	35%	0%	0%	13%	96%	4%	4%	\$113,696
BG 1, CT 2	15%	0%	0%	54%	0%	0%	31%	87%	13%	2%	\$100,000
BG 2, CT 2	14%	0%	0%	59%	5%	0%	23%	100%	0%	4%	\$90,333
BG 3, CT 2	11%	0%	0%	61%	5%	0%	23%	98%	2%	2%	\$126,360
BG 4, CT 2	31%	0%	0%	48%	4%	0%	17%	97%	3%	6%	\$128,125
BG 5, CT 2	26%	1%	0%	32%	5%	0%	35%	90%	10%	4%	\$101,958

Block Group	Race (Percent) <sup>a</sup>							Ethnicity (Percent) <sup>a</sup>		Percent of Residents in Poverty <sup>a</sup>	Median Household Income	
	White	Black or African American	American Indian or Alaska Native	Asian	Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	Some Other Race Alone	Multiple Races	Hispanic or Latino	Not Hispanic or Latino			
BG 1, CT 3.01	28%	1%	0%	50%	1%	0%	20%	94%	6%	0%	\$95,387	
BG 2, CT 3.01	16%	1%	2%	65%	1%	0%	14%	97%	3%	4%	\$130,667	
BG 1, CT 3.02	11%	0%	0%	51%	4%	4%	30%	95%	5%	2%	\$119,444	
BG 2, CT 3.02	23%	0%	0%	40%	0%	0%	36%	100%	0%	0%	\$163,611	
BG 3, CT 3.02	15%	0%	0%	77%	5%	0%	3%	100%	0%	9%	\$82,438	
BG 1, CT 4.01	26%	0%	0%	56%	0%	0%	17%	98%	2%	5%	\$82,250	
BG 1, CT 4.02	21%	0%	0%	64%	2%	2%	11%	93%	7%	0%	\$174,125	
BG 2, CT 4.02	24%	0%	0%	70%	0%	0%	5%	99%	1%	3%	\$139,750	
BG 1, CT 5	25%	2%	0%	71%	0%	0%	2%	98%	2%	2%	\$115,156	
BG 2, CT 5	26%	0%	0%	33%	3%	0%	38%	73%	27%	4%	\$59,167	
BG 3, CT 5	54%	0%	0%	33%	9%	0%	4%	100%	0%	0%	\$130,909	
BG 4, CT 5	19%	0%	0%	74%	0%	0%	7%	91%	9%	0%	\$99,375	
BG 5, CT 5	37%	0%	0%	39%	0%	0%	24%	97%	3%	7%	\$127,500	
BG 1, CT 6	No Population											
BG 2, CT 6	36%	0%	0%	50%	0%	0%	14%	100%	0%	2%	\$114,231	
BG 1, CT 7	6%	0%	0%	79%	2%	0%	13%	96%	4%	3%	\$84,583	
BG 2, CT 7	7%	0%	0%	55%	1%	1%	37%	91%	9%	13%	\$60,536	
BG 3, CT 7	7%	0%	0%	60%	0%	0%	33%	88%	12%	6%	\$90,625	
BG 1, CT 8	13%	0%	2%	65%	1%	0%	20%	96%	4%	8%	\$61,758	
BG 2, CT 8	18%	0%	0%	62%	3%	0%	17%	97%	3%	3%	\$100,536	
BG 3, CT 8	11%	1%	0%	62%	11%	0%	15%	98%	2%	2%	\$124,063	
BG 4, CT 8	3%	0%	0%	60%	4%	1%	34%	95%	5%	12%	\$95,375	
BG 1, CT 9.01	22%	0%	0%	60%	3%	0%	16%	100%	0%	1%	\$99,583	
BG 2, CT 9.01	19%	0%	0%	53%	0%	1%	27%	95%	5%	11%	\$67,344	
BG 1, CT 9.02	28%	1%	0%	51%	1%	1%	18%	93%	7%	4%	\$106,477	
BG 2, CT 9.02	34%	4%	0%	52%	4%	0%	5%	100%	0%	5%	\$79,559	
BG 1, CT 9.03	19%	1%	0%	57%	4%	1%	17%	94%	6%	1%	\$69,022	
BG 2, CT 9.03	19%	1%	0%	54%	4%	5%	17%	96%	4%	12%	\$85,286	
BG 1, CT 10	14%	0%	1%	50%	2%	1%	33%	87%	13%	8%	\$59,333	
BG 2, CT 10	29%	0%	2%	50%	10%	0%	10%	98%	2%	12%	\$61,216	

Block Group	Race (Percent) <sup>a</sup>							Ethnicity (Percent) <sup>a</sup>		Percent of Residents in Poverty <sup>a</sup>	Median Household Income
	White	Black or African American	American Indian or Alaska Native	Asian	Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	Some Other Race Alone	Multiple Races	Hispanic or Latino	Not Hispanic or Latino		
BG 1, CT 11	7%	0%	0%	37%	30%	0%	26%	87%	13%	28%	\$49,018
BG 2, CT 11	8%	0%	0%	39%	33%	0%	20%	96%	4%	12%	\$67,273
BG 1, CT 12.01	14%	0%	0%	62%	4%	0%	20%	89%	11%	7%	\$50,375
BG 2, CT 12.01	10%	0%	0%	54%	4%	0%	31%	94%	6%	3%	\$83,438
BG 1, CT 12.02	6%	0%	0%	69%	4%	0%	22%	87%	13%	1%	\$88,611
BG 2, CT 12.02	12%	0%	0%	71%	7%	0%	10%	99%	1%	9%	\$65,337
BG 1, CT 13	13%	0%	0%	63%	1%	0%	22%	99%	1%	9%	\$55,323
BG 2, CT 13	17%	0%	0%	60%	1%	0%	21%	94%	6%	2%	\$86,290
BG 3, CT 13	20%	5%	0%	69%	2%	0%	5%	88%	12%	23%	\$39,837
BG 4, CT 13	15%	0%	0%	58%	2%	0%	25%	97%	3%	9%	\$81,188
BG 1, CT 14	13%	0%	0%	62%	3%	0%	22%	99%	1%	1%	\$72,434
BG 2, CT 14	11%	2%	0%	65%	4%	0%	19%	99%	1%	5%	\$91,964
BG 1, CT 15	17%	0%	0%	56%	1%	0%	26%	95%	5%	6%	\$81,944
BG 2, CT 15	21%	0%	0%	56%	5%	0%	17%	99%	1%	1%	\$69,398
BG 3, CT 15	20%	0%	1%	53%	5%	1%	20%	95%	5%	8%	\$84,779
BG 1, CT 16	27%	0%	0%	59%	0%	1%	12%	91%	9%	6%	\$71,643
BG 2, CT 16	8%	0%	0%	64%	10%	0%	18%	95%	5%	9%	\$66,250
BG 3, CT 16	23%	0%	0%	50%	0%	2%	24%	100%	0%	0%	\$68,098
BG 1, CT 17	70%	0%	0%	13%	0%	0%	17%	95%	5%	15%	\$64,048
BG 2, CT 17	51%	3%	0%	27%	0%	0%	18%	97%	3%	7%	\$72,446
BG 1, CT 18.01	41%	1%	2%	43%	1%	0%	12%	98%	2%	35%	\$25,855
BG 2, CT 18.01	45%	0%	0%	32%	10%	1%	12%	85%	15%	25%	\$45,357
BG 1, CT 18.03	43%	12%	1%	34%	5%	0%	5%	95%	5%	8%	\$46,503
BG 2, CT 18.03	29%	3%	0%	55%	0%	4%	10%	96%	4%	4%	\$30,357
BG 3, CT 18.03	51%	0%	0%	38%	0%	0%	11%	92%	8%	15%	\$50,750
BG 1, CT 18.04	54%	2%	0%	31%	1%	0%	11%	93%	7%	13%	\$57,222
BG 1, CT 19.01	75%	3%	0%	19%	1%	0%	2%	98%	2%	15%	\$53,438
BG 1, CT 19.03	39%	0%	0%	50%	0%	0%	10%	94%	6%	6%	\$61,905
BG 2, CT 19.03	54%	0%	0%	32%	0%	0%	14%	87%	13%	18%	\$27,431
BG 1, CT 19.04	53%	1%	0%	35%	2%	1%	8%	96%	4%	7%	\$60,809

Block Group	Race (Percent) <sup>a</sup>							Ethnicity (Percent) <sup>a</sup>		Percent of Residents in Poverty <sup>a</sup>	Median Household Income
	White	Black or African American	American Indian or Alaska Native	Asian	Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	Some Other Race Alone	Multiple Races	Hispanic or Latino	Not Hispanic or Latino		
BG 2, CT 19.04	50%	2%	0%	35%	0%	0%	14%	95%	5%	6%	\$48,750
BG 1, CT 20.03	51%	2%	0%	36%	2%	0%	8%	95%	5%	34%	\$29,926
BG 2, CT 20.03	40%	3%	0%	46%	2%	0%	9%	92%	8%	34%	\$41,548
BG 1, CT 20.04	51%	2%	0%	31%	7%	1%	9%	87%	13%	15%	\$39,911
BG 1, CT 20.05	57%	6%	0%	31%	2%	0%	5%	97%	3%	37%	\$21,824
BG 2, CT 20.05	39%	3%	0%	31%	3%	7%	17%	95%	5%	9%	\$46,652
BG 1, CT 20.06	34%	2%	0%	40%	10%	5%	9%	91%	9%	25%	\$39,328
BG 2, CT 20.06	56%	3%	0%	22%	0%	0%	20%	99%	1%	8%	\$61,848
BG 1, CT 21	6%	0%	0%	46%	20%	1%	28%	91%	9%	13%	\$54,256
BG 2, CT 21	26%	1%	0%	42%	9%	0%	22%	93%	7%	16%	\$53,015
BG 1, CT 22.01	18%	0%	0%	64%	1%	1%	16%	92%	8%	17%	\$48,368
BG 2, CT 22.01	10%	1%	0%	59%	21%	1%	9%	97%	3%	5%	\$45,909
BG 1, CT 22.02	4%	0%	0%	80%	0%	0%	16%	100%	0%	16%	\$37,452
BG 2, CT 22.02	32%	1%	0%	52%	5%	0%	10%	95%	5%	5%	\$64,341
BG 1, CT 23	12%	0%	0%	50%	1%	0%	36%	87%	13%	10%	\$51,190
BG 2, CT 23	13%	6%	0%	60%	2%	0%	20%	85%	15%	13%	\$56,471
BG 3, CT 23	20%	0%	0%	48%	5%	0%	28%	79%	21%	33%	\$44,833
BG 4, CT 23	13%	0%	0%	35%	33%	0%	19%	89%	11%	22%	\$37,435
BG 5, CT 23	5%	0%	0%	68%	2%	0%	25%	93%	7%	20%	\$40,815
BG 1, CT 24.01	8%	0%	0%	63%	14%	0%	15%	98%	2%	19%	\$31,594
BG 2, CT 24.01	15%	1%	0%	48%	11%	1%	24%	93%	7%	4%	\$46,146
BG 3, CT 24.01	1%	3%	0%	63%	2%	2%	29%	96%	4%	25%	\$44,732
BG 1, CT 24.02	10%	0%	0%	72%	4%	1%	13%	98%	2%	14%	\$45,058
BG 2, CT 24.02	19%	0%	0%	48%	13%	1%	19%	95%	5%	26%	\$31,750
BG 1, CT 25	16%	3%	0%	53%	10%	1%	18%	95%	5%	19%	\$26,934
BG 2, CT 25	16%	0%	0%	55%	4%	0%	25%	96%	4%	25%	\$38,576
BG 1, CT 26	31%	0%	0%	36%	13%	0%	19%	89%	11%	27%	\$32,340
BG 2, CT 26	13%	0%	1%	64%	6%	0%	17%	99%	1%	9%	\$41,587
BG 3, CT 26	14%	0%	0%	69%	6%	1%	10%	96%	4%	8%	\$73,400
BG 4, CT 26	13%	1%	0%	56%	9%	3%	18%	91%	9%	13%	\$43,580

Block Group	Race (Percent) <sup>a</sup>							Ethnicity (Percent) <sup>a</sup>		Percent of Residents in Poverty <sup>a</sup>	Median Household Income
	White	Black or African American	American Indian or Alaska Native	Asian	Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	Some Other Race Alone	Multiple Races	Hispanic or Latino	Not Hispanic or Latino		
BG 1, CT 27.01	22%	9%	0%	35%	2%	11%	22%	86%	14%	18%	\$86,167
BG 2, CT 27.01	33%	1%	0%	32%	9%	0%	25%	95%	5%	30%	\$61,250
BG 3, CT 27.01	16%	0%	1%	53%	6%	1%	22%	96%	4%	12%	\$51,875
BG 1, CT 27.02	28%	1%	0%	49%	7%	2%	12%	91%	9%	24%	\$63,382
BG 2, CT 27.02	11%	3%	0%	66%	1%	0%	20%	96%	4%	23%	\$51,375
BG 3, CT 27.02	18%	0%	0%	43%	10%	3%	26%	95%	5%	2%	\$49,920
BG 1, CT 28	30%	0%	0%	64%	1%	0%	4%	99%	1%	2%	\$92,788
BG 2, CT 28	30%	0%	0%	47%	5%	0%	17%	90%	10%	8%	\$82,083
BG 1, CT 29	30%	0%	1%	53%	4%	0%	13%	95%	5%	5%	\$96,728
BG 1, CT 30	46%	0%	0%	32%	1%	0%	20%	97%	3%	6%	\$133,555
BG 2, CT 30	17%	0%	0%	63%	0%	3%	16%	86%	14%	13%	\$117,768
BG 3, CT 30	12%	0%	0%	71%	6%	0%	12%	100%	0%	7%	\$103,333
BG 4, CT 30	30%	0%	0%	55%	1%	0%	14%	90%	10%	9%	\$80,455
BG 1, CT 31.01	10%	1%	0%	79%	1%	0%	9%	99%	1%	3%	\$72,950
BG 2, CT 31.01	17%	1%	0%	54%	4%	0%	24%	96%	4%	3%	\$112,875
BG 1, CT 31.02	27%	0%	0%	59%	1%	1%	13%	100%	0%	3%	\$106,477
BG 2, CT 31.02	11%	0%	0%	65%	0%	0%	24%	93%	7%	6%	\$128,864
BG 1, CT 32	46%	0%	0%	30%	0%	1%	22%	96%	4%	12%	\$107,917
BG 1, CT 33	24%	0%	0%	44%	8%	0%	24%	98%	2%	7%	\$128,500
BG 1, CT 34.03	25%	1%	0%	52%	6%	0%	17%	91%	9%	14%	\$71,367
BG 2, CT 34.03	22%	0%	0%	44%	0%	1%	33%	91%	9%	18%	\$50,906
BG 3, CT 34.03	16%	4%	0%	44%	25%	2%	9%	93%	7%	14%	\$56,307
BG 4, CT 34.03	23%	1%	0%	45%	13%	1%	17%	91%	9%	5%	\$51,591
BG 1, CT 34.04	21%	0%	0%	71%	1%	0%	7%	98%	2%	10%	\$57,799
BG 2, CT 34.04	10%	3%	0%	53%	5%	1%	29%	86%	14%	6%	\$61,250
BG 3, CT 34.04	20%	0%	0%	48%	4%	0%	28%	87%	13%	10%	\$39,665
BG 1, CT 34.05	34%	0%	0%	41%	9%	0%	15%	93%	7%	28%	\$41,829
BG 2, CT 34.05	35%	1%	0%	42%	0%	0%	23%	94%	6%	13%	\$51,157
BG 1, CT 34.06	21%	0%	0%	38%	36%	0%	6%	98%	2%	36%	\$42,813
BG 2, CT 34.06	17%	0%	0%	59%	5%	4%	14%	93%	7%	8%	\$50,319

Block Group	Race (Percent) <sup>a</sup>							Ethnicity (Percent) <sup>a</sup>		Percent of Residents in Poverty <sup>a</sup>	Median Household Income
	White	Black or African American	American Indian or Alaska Native	Asian	Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	Some Other Race Alone	Multiple Races	Hispanic or Latino	Not Hispanic or Latino		
BG 3, CT 34.06	7%	2%	0%	67%	7%	4%	13%	87%	13%	22%	\$45,194
BG 4, CT 34.06	15%	0%	0%	62%	4%	1%	18%	90%	10%	13%	\$43,918
BG 1, CT 34.07	39%	0%	0%	50%	1%	0%	10%	97%	3%	9%	\$67,500
BG 1, CT 35.01	21%	4%	0%	61%	1%	0%	13%	98%	2%	17%	\$26,863
BG 2, CT 35.01	19%	1%	0%	50%	10%	0%	20%	96%	4%	9%	\$67,350
BG 1, CT 35.02	8%	0%	0%	81%	0%	1%	9%	97%	3%	12%	\$48,750
BG 2, CT 35.02	16%	0%	0%	50%	9%	0%	25%	98%	2%	18%	\$42,725
BG 3, CT 35.02	9%	0%	0%	82%	2%	0%	7%	98%	2%	11%	\$37,829
BG 1, CT 36.01	13%	4%	0%	66%	2%	0%	16%	97%	3%	13%	\$26,825
BG 2, CT 36.01	22%	3%	0%	61%	1%	0%	14%	94%	6%	12%	\$75,795
BG 1, CT 36.03	15%	0%	0%	58%	8%	0%	19%	97%	3%	13%	\$52,305
BG 2, CT 36.03	7%	0%	0%	83%	3%	0%	7%	100%	0%	32%	\$16,730
BG 1, CT 36.04	14%	2%	0%	67%	15%	0%	3%	97%	3%	14%	\$52,167
BG 2, CT 36.04	18%	2%	0%	60%	6%	1%	13%	96%	4%	10%	\$43,962
BG 1, CT 37	24%	0%	0%	13%	0%	0%	63%	44%	56%	5%	\$97,808
BG 2, CT 37	44%	0%	1%	45%	1%	0%	9%	93%	7%	4%	\$84,205
BG 3, CT 37	No Population										
BG 4, CT 37	8%	0%	0%	92%	0%	0%	0%	100%	0%	40%	\$31,557
BG 5, CT 37	43%	1%	0%	38%	7%	0%	10%	95%	5%	13%	\$49,225
BG 6, CT 37	3%	3%	0%	77%	1%	0%	16%	92%	8%	5%	\$59,597
BG 7, CT 37	13%	0%	0%	81%	0%	0%	5%	96%	4%	7%	\$76,139
BG 1, CT 38	21%	1%	0%	71%	3%	0%	4%	97%	3%	2%	\$83,879
BG 2, CT 38	22%	0%	0%	65%	0%	0%	13%	100%	0%	5%	\$112,292
BG 3, CT 38	27%	0%	0%	58%	1%	0%	13%	98%	2%	12%	\$21,309
BG 1, CT 39	28%	13%	0%	39%	5%	0%	14%	98%	2%	20%	\$25,323
BG 1, CT 40	25%	7%	0%	50%	8%	0%	10%	99%	1%	17%	\$38,144
BG 2, CT 40	62%	9%	0%	25%	0%	0%	5%	99%	1%	12%	\$84,643
BG 1, CT 41	12%	2%	0%	64%	14%	0%	8%	96%	4%	16%	\$42,857
BG 2, CT 41	18%	11%	0%	34%	13%	2%	23%	93%	7%	8%	\$46,380
BG 3, CT 41	12%	1%	0%	56%	2%	0%	29%	97%	3%	0%	\$62,278

Block Group	Race (Percent) <sup>a</sup>							Ethnicity (Percent) <sup>a</sup>		Percent of Residents in Poverty <sup>a</sup>	Median Household Income
	White	Black or African American	American Indian or Alaska Native	Asian	Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	Some Other Race Alone	Multiple Races	Hispanic or Latino	Not Hispanic or Latino		
BG 1, CT 42	28%	0%	0%	55%	1%	1%	14%	97%	3%	5%	\$62,574
BG 2, CT 42	29%	0%	0%	52%	5%	0%	13%	96%	4%	11%	\$80,345
BG 1, CT 43	11%	2%	0%	41%	9%	3%	34%	98%	2%	8%	\$54,861
BG 2, CT 43	25%	4%	1%	42%	4%	1%	24%	98%	2%	13%	\$68,958
BG 3, CT 43	16%	0%	0%	39%	15%	0%	30%	92%	8%	39%	\$19,506
BG 1, CT 44	5%	0%	0%	23%	41%	1%	30%	93%	7%	11%	\$87,679
BG 2, CT 44	12%	0%	0%	56%	6%	0%	26%	94%	6%	5%	\$72,250
BG 1, CT 45	14%	0%	0%	80%	4%	0%	2%	96%	4%	0%	\$96,328
BG 2, CT 45	14%	0%	0%	71%	1%	0%	14%	95%	5%	1%	\$103,365
BG 3, CT 45	31%	0%	0%	54%	2%	0%	12%	97%	3%	0%	\$85,417
BG 4, CT 45	23%	0%	0%	45%	10%	2%	20%	97%	3%	5%	\$60,640
BG 1, CT 46	17%	0%	0%	67%	0%	0%	16%	100%	0%	7%	\$154,688
BG 2, CT 46	11%	0%	0%	68%	6%	0%	14%	94%	6%	2%	\$91,728
BG 3, CT 46	6%	0%	0%	67%	0%	0%	27%	99%	1%	1%	\$89,760
BG 1, CT 47	4%	0%	0%	86%	5%	0%	5%	100%	0%	6%	\$65,833
BG 2, CT 47	17%	0%	1%	44%	3%	0%	35%	91%	9%	8%	\$100,769
BG 3, CT 47	7%	0%	0%	82%	6%	0%	6%	100%	0%	5%	\$91,719
BG 4, CT 47	11%	0%	2%	73%	1%	0%	14%	100%	0%	6%	\$96,641
BG 1, CT 48	21%	0%	2%	40%	11%	2%	25%	90%	10%	37%	\$75,893
BG 2, CT 48	2%	0%	0%	41%	34%	0%	23%	93%	7%	7%	\$52,067
BG 3, CT 48	8%	2%	0%	56%	8%	0%	26%	93%	7%	12%	\$69,483
BG 4, CT 48	5%	0%	0%	62%	10%	0%	23%	89%	11%	8%	\$111,115
BG 1, CT 49	3%	0%	0%	74%	5%	1%	16%	92%	8%	12%	\$62,569
BG 2, CT 49	4%	0%	0%	72%	11%	0%	14%	91%	9%	5%	\$57,875
BG 3, CT 49	7%	2%	0%	65%	4%	0%	22%	96%	4%	4%	\$55,833
BG 1, CT 50	10%	0%	0%	76%	0%	1%	13%	99%	1%	2%	\$75,625
BG 2, CT 50	17%	2%	0%	63%	4%	0%	14%	95%	5%	17%	\$49,783
BG 3, CT 50	5%	0%	0%	65%	12%	0%	19%	92%	8%	13%	\$34,219
BG 4, CT 50	14%	2%	0%	57%	6%	0%	21%	100%	0%	6%	\$69,500
BG 1, CT 51	0%	0%	0%	96%	0%	0%	4%	100%	0%	37%	\$16,587

Block Group	Race (Percent) <sup>a</sup>							Ethnicity (Percent) <sup>a</sup>		Percent of Residents in Poverty <sup>a</sup>	Median Household Income
	White	Black or African American	American Indian or Alaska Native	Asian	Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	Some Other Race Alone	Multiple Races	Hispanic or Latino	Not Hispanic or Latino		
BG 2, CT 51	38%	0%	1%	51%	0%	0%	11%	97%	3%	3%	\$74,044
BG 1, CT 52	14%	2%	0%	66%	3%	0%	14%	98%	2%	17%	\$28,676
BG 2, CT 52	8%	0%	0%	84%	3%	0%	5%	97%	3%	28%	\$21,447
BG 1, CT 53	7%	6%	0%	75%	2%	2%	8%	96%	4%	23%	\$39,028
BG 2, CT 53	2%	3%	0%	88%	3%	0%	4%	91%	9%	32%	\$25,125
BG 1, CT 54	0%	0%	0%	19%	60%	2%	19%	90%	10%	57%	\$23,466
BG 1, CT 55	0%	0%	0%	82%	5%	4%	9%	96%	4%	3%	\$101,136
BG 2, CT 55	2%	0%	0%	75%	7%	0%	16%	94%	6%	22%	\$31,455
BG 1, CT 56	2%	0%	0%	69%	16%	0%	13%	89%	11%	3%	\$78,958
BG 2, CT 56	1%	0%	0%	85%	7%	0%	7%	99%	1%	1%	\$59,390
BG 3, CT 56	1%	0%	0%	89%	3%	0%	7%	100%	0%	31%	\$21,082
BG 4, CT 56	10%	0%	0%	56%	7%	0%	27%	100%	0%	13%	\$75,938
BG 1, CT 57	22%	2%	0%	60%	6%	1%	9%	96%	4%	37%	\$61,250
BG 2, CT 57	9%	2%	0%	55%	12%	0%	21%	95%	5%	27%	\$29,318
BG 1, CT 58	2%	0%	0%	51%	27%	0%	20%	92%	8%	55%	\$19,734
BG 2, CT 58	2%	0%	0%	90%	1%	0%	7%	100%	0%	11%	\$59,000
BG 1, CT 59	12%	5%	2%	34%	16%	0%	32%	86%	14%	15%	\$49,821
BG 2, CT 59	1%	0%	0%	75%	19%	0%	6%	99%	1%	5%	\$60,789
BG 1, CT 60	0%	3%	0%	39%	36%	0%	21%	99%	1%	2%	\$68,403
BG 2, CT 60	6%	0%	0%	84%	5%	0%	4%	88%	12%	6%	\$67,024
BG 3, CT 60	1%	2%	0%	85%	10%	0%	2%	100%	0%	3%	\$125,217
BG 1, CT 61	0%	0%	0%	66%	18%	3%	12%	91%	9%	25%	\$72,438
BG 2, CT 61	4%	0%	0%	84%	1%	0%	11%	98%	2%	2%	\$107,813
BG 1, CT 62.01	5%	1%	0%	67%	10%	0%	18%	96%	4%	6%	\$73,250
BG 2, CT 62.01	1%	0%	0%	53%	35%	1%	10%	95%	5%	22%	\$49,808
BG 3, CT 62.01	0%	0%	0%	77%	14%	0%	9%	100%	0%	4%	\$55,395
BG 4, CT 62.01	2%	0%	0%	40%	30%	0%	28%	97%	3%	38%	\$30,208
BG 1, CT 62.02	3%	0%	7%	3%	73%	0%	14%	87%	13%	70%	\$25,500
BG 1, CT 63.01	10%	0%	0%	72%	0%	0%	18%	99%	1%	6%	\$67,875
BG 2, CT 63.01	1%	0%	0%	59%	26%	0%	13%	92%	8%	10%	\$86,750

Block Group	Race (Percent) <sup>a</sup>							Ethnicity (Percent) <sup>a</sup>		Percent of Residents in Poverty <sup>a</sup>	Median Household Income
	White	Black or African American	American Indian or Alaska Native	Asian	Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	Some Other Race Alone	Multiple Races	Hispanic or Latino	Not Hispanic or Latino		
BG 3, CT 63.01	0%	1%	0%	84%	9%	0%	6%	100%	0%	2%	\$67,031
BG 1, CT 63.02	2%	0%	0%	32%	47%	0%	20%	92%	8%	45%	\$36,542
BG 1, CT 64.01	1%	0%	0%	87%	1%	1%	10%	95%	5%	4%	\$92,472
BG 1, CT 64.02	8%	1%	0%	41%	20%	2%	28%	84%	16%	18%	\$86,371
BG 2, CT 64.02	8%	1%	0%	67%	2%	1%	20%	94%	6%	7%	\$89,000
BG 3, CT 64.02	4%	0%	0%	77%	2%	0%	17%	98%	2%	2%	\$104,231
BG 1, CT 65	19%	1%	0%	61%	1%	0%	18%	87%	13%	4%	\$93,646
BG 2, CT 65	5%	1%	0%	61%	14%	0%	20%	99%	1%	2%	\$100,675
BG 1, CT 66	35%	17%	1%	34%	0%	2%	10%	96%	4%	0%	\$110,000
BG 1, CT 67.01	6%	0%	0%	84%	0%	1%	9%	99%	1%	3%	\$98,864
BG 2, CT 67.01	5%	0%	0%	70%	4%	0%	20%	98%	2%	2%	\$93,614
BG 3, CT 67.01	23%	7%	0%	42%	6%	2%	20%	96%	4%	11%	\$70,294
BG 1, CT 67.02	34%	5%	0%	31%	13%	0%	16%	92%	8%	6%	\$65,224
BG 1, CT 68.02	7%	0%	0%	73%	7%	0%	13%	98%	2%	7%	\$111,875
BG 2, CT 68.02	3%	10%	0%	65%	13%	0%	8%	99%	1%	9%	\$82,734
BG 3, CT 68.02	6%	0%	0%	59%	15%	0%	20%	91%	9%	4%	\$89,643
BG 1, CT 68.04	28%	35%	0%	20%	13%	0%	4%	100%	0%	20%	\$91,591
BG 2, CT 68.04	44%	33%	0%	3%	7%	2%	12%	86%	14%	16%	\$62,000
BG 3, CT 68.04	42%	6%	7%	9%	9%	0%	27%	65%	35%	3%	\$76,250
BG 1, CT 68.05	0%	0%	0%	100%	0%	0%	0%	100%	0%	0%	\$64,333
BG 2, CT 68.05	11%	4%	0%	70%	6%	0%	9%	98%	2%	7%	\$75,653
BG 3, CT 68.05	15%	4%	0%	58%	7%	0%	16%	91%	9%	6%	\$72,377
BG 1, CT 68.06	2%	0%	0%	85%	0%	0%	13%	99%	1%	3%	\$90,729
BG 1, CT 68.08	11%	8%	0%	59%	8%	2%	13%	98%	2%	4%	\$77,895
BG 2, CT 68.08	4%	3%	0%	58%	8%	1%	25%	94%	6%	14%	\$43,859
BG 3, CT 68.08	10%	5%	0%	75%	4%	0%	7%	100%	0%	2%	\$58,967
BG 1, CT 68.09	6%	0%	0%	45%	17%	1%	31%	82%	18%	31%	\$53,839
BG 2, CT 68.09	7%	0%	0%	53%	18%	0%	21%	96%	4%	11%	\$54,682
BG 1, CT 69	66%	6%	0%	4%	0%	3%	22%	83%	17%	7%	\$69,939
BG 2, CT 69	62%	11%	0%	3%	7%	4%	13%	82%	18%	21%	\$55,250

Block Group	Race (Percent) <sup>a</sup>							Ethnicity (Percent) <sup>a</sup>		Percent of Residents in Poverty <sup>a</sup>	Median Household Income
	White	Black or African American	American Indian or Alaska Native	Asian	Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	Some Other Race Alone	Multiple Races	Hispanic or Latino	Not Hispanic or Latino		
BG 1, CT 70	58%	10%	0%	10%	4%	3%	15%	83%	17%	6%	\$71,053
BG 2, CT 70	59%	7%	0%	2%	0%	6%	26%	83%	17%	15%	\$49,583
BG 3, CT 70	59%	14%	0%	8%	0%	9%	9%	81%	19%	4%	\$49,583
BG 1, CT 71	69%	16%	0%	3%	2%	1%	10%	85%	15%	5%	\$77,391
BG 1, CT 73.02	79%	3%	0%	7%	1%	1%	9%	86%	14%	7%	\$74,716
BG 2, CT 73.02	76%	9%	1%	4%	3%	2%	6%	91%	9%	10%	\$78,235
BG 1, CT 73.03	64%	20%	2%	1%	0%	6%	7%	84%	16%	0%	ND
BG 1, CT 74	74%	8%	0%	7%	0%	2%	8%	87%	13%	7%	\$67,135
BG 1, CT 75.02	29%	5%	1%	20%	11%	1%	34%	80%	20%	4%	\$67,000
BG 1, CT 75.03	4%	0%	0%	65%	4%	0%	26%	85%	15%	11%	\$80,583
BG 2, CT 75.03	17%	2%	0%	57%	6%	0%	18%	98%	2%	2%	\$93,375
BG 1, CT 75.04	5%	0%	0%	66%	8%	0%	21%	96%	4%	1%	\$73,047
BG 2, CT 75.04	3%	0%	0%	23%	34%	0%	40%	89%	11%	38%	\$26,979
BG 1, CT 75.05	16%	0%	0%	56%	3%	0%	25%	96%	4%	5%	\$103,984
BG 2, CT 75.05	14%	0%	0%	57%	3%	1%	25%	90%	10%	7%	\$104,702
BG 3, CT 75.05	20%	1%	0%	60%	1%	3%	15%	87%	13%	6%	\$67,768
BG 1, CT 75.06	58%	11%	0%	3%	0%	0%	28%	80%	20%	0%	\$70,972
BG 1, CT 77.01	2%	0%	0%	85%	0%	0%	13%	98%	2%	7%	\$71,700
BG 2, CT 77.01	7%	0%	0%	71%	2%	0%	21%	91%	9%	11%	\$72,250
BG 3, CT 77.01	73%	0%	0%	17%	0%	1%	8%	94%	6%	7%	\$128,409
BG 1, CT 77.02	7%	0%	0%	39%	9%	0%	45%	85%	15%	17%	\$43,500
BG 2, CT 77.02	20%	0%	0%	47%	15%	0%	18%	97%	3%	1%	\$100,938
BG 3, CT 77.02	10%	0%	0%	57%	2%	0%	31%	99%	1%	3%	\$88,450
BG 1, CT 78.04	8%	0%	1%	64%	2%	0%	25%	91%	9%	1%	\$85,903
BG 1, CT 78.05	8%	0%	0%	51%	6%	4%	30%	89%	11%	4%	\$75,000
BG 2, CT 78.05	10%	3%	0%	48%	4%	2%	34%	86%	14%	5%	\$91,250
BG 1, CT 78.07	2%	0%	0%	67%	12%	0%	19%	100%	0%	0%	\$85,375
BG 2, CT 78.07	25%	2%	0%	42%	0%	0%	30%	79%	21%	0%	\$59,386
BG 3, CT 78.07	14%	3%	0%	43%	17%	0%	23%	93%	7%	23%	\$55,513
BG 4, CT 78.07	26%	1%	0%	36%	2%	1%	33%	88%	12%	5%	\$56,786

Block Group	Race (Percent) <sup>a</sup>							Ethnicity (Percent) <sup>a</sup>		Percent of Residents in Poverty <sup>a</sup>	Median Household Income
	White	Black or African American	American Indian or Alaska Native	Asian	Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	Some Other Race Alone	Multiple Races	Hispanic or Latino	Not Hispanic or Latino		
BG 5, CT 78.07	29%	0%	3%	20%	4%	1%	42%	57%	43%	7%	\$62,029
BG 6, CT 78.07	12%	7%	0%	58%	2%	7%	14%	84%	16%	1%	\$71,607
BG 1, CT 78.08	11%	2%	0%	72%	3%	2%	10%	89%	11%	6%	\$64,219
BG 2, CT 78.08	10%	0%	0%	53%	3%	2%	32%	79%	21%	4%	\$58,859
BG 1, CT 78.09	6%	0%	0%	79%	2%	0%	13%	99%	1%	2%	\$117,284
BG 2, CT 78.09	9%	0%	0%	62%	7%	2%	20%	87%	13%	5%	\$112,745
BG 1, CT 78.10	7%	0%	0%	74%	3%	0%	16%	95%	5%	3%	\$109,405
BG 2, CT 78.10	6%	0%	0%	70%	1%	0%	23%	94%	6%	0%	\$142,361
BG 3, CT 78.10	24%	1%	0%	58%	1%	1%	16%	99%	1%	1%	\$124,145
BG 1, CT 78.11	8%	0%	0%	75%	3%	0%	14%	97%	3%	4%	\$100,795
BG 2, CT 78.11	27%	2%	0%	36%	6%	0%	29%	91%	9%	4%	\$89,423
BG 1, CT 80.01	5%	1%	0%	65%	4%	0%	23%	86%	14%	15%	\$34,250
BG 2, CT 80.01	2%	0%	0%	35%	16%	0%	47%	68%	32%	21%	\$55,341
BG 1, CT 80.02	7%	0%	0%	67%	2%	0%	25%	97%	3%	4%	\$81,964
BG 2, CT 80.02	2%	1%	0%	73%	5%	0%	19%	96%	4%	13%	\$79,074
BG 1, CT 80.03	34%	5%	0%	24%	8%	3%	27%	91%	9%	6%	\$64,483
BG 2, CT 80.03	15%	1%	0%	28%	12%	0%	44%	86%	14%	12%	\$49,451
BG 1, CT 80.05	14%	0%	0%	41%	5%	1%	38%	94%	6%	3%	\$108,639
BG 2, CT 80.05	13%	0%	0%	54%	5%	1%	26%	78%	22%	4%	\$84,911
BG 3, CT 80.05	10%	0%	0%	62%	0%	0%	28%	100%	0%	14%	\$61,250
BG 1, CT 80.06	7%	1%	0%	54%	13%	0%	26%	89%	11%	1%	\$87,569
BG 2, CT 80.06	3%	0%	1%	69%	1%	1%	25%	92%	8%	5%	\$90,000
BG 1, CT 80.07	8%	0%	0%	74%	3%	0%	16%	97%	3%	5%	\$110,699
BG 2, CT 80.07	4%	1%	0%	62%	1%	0%	32%	92%	8%	4%	\$92,348
BG 1, CT 83.01	No Population										
BG 2, CT 83.01	22%	19%	2%	17%	6%	0%	32%	95%	5%	15%	\$81,023
BG 3, CT 83.01	44%	5%	1%	7%	15%	1%	27%	83%	17%	9%	\$74,154
BG 1, CT 83.02	5%	0%	0%	61%	4%	0%	30%	98%	2%	4%	\$90,929
BG 2, CT 83.02	8%	0%	0%	61%	11%	0%	19%	96%	4%	15%	\$112,868
BG 3, CT 83.02	15%	1%	0%	52%	3%	0%	29%	82%	18%	16%	\$68,021

Block Group	Race (Percent) <sup>a</sup>							Ethnicity (Percent) <sup>a</sup>		Percent of Residents in Poverty <sup>a</sup>	Median Household Income
	White	Black or African American	American Indian or Alaska Native	Asian	Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	Some Other Race Alone	Multiple Races	Hispanic or Latino	Not Hispanic or Latino		
BG 1, CT 84.02	16%	0%	0%	48%	5%	1%	31%	92%	8%	20%	\$43,611
BG 2, CT 84.02	7%	1%	0%	38%	21%	0%	32%	84%	16%	9%	\$73,107
BG 3, CT 84.02	6%	1%	0%	62%	13%	1%	18%	93%	7%	24%	\$91,111
BG 1, CT 84.05	26%	1%	0%	52%	2%	1%	19%	94%	6%	2%	\$90,577
BG 1, CT 84.06	15%	11%	0%	39%	9%	1%	24%	91%	9%	4%	\$99,429
BG 1, CT 84.07	26%	21%	0%	29%	6%	1%	18%	93%	7%	5%	\$105,438
BG 1, CT 84.08	43%	7%	0%	22%	4%	1%	22%	92%	8%	3%	\$102,347
BG 2, CT 84.08	46%	0%	0%	29%	0%	0%	25%	78%	22%	0%	\$140,962
BG 1, CT 84.10	17%	5%	0%	34%	8%	2%	33%	94%	6%	3%	\$105,508
BG 1, CT 84.11	11%	0%	0%	48%	22%	1%	19%	93%	7%	0%	\$107,440
BG 2, CT 84.11	22%	1%	0%	42%	5%	0%	30%	86%	14%	7%	\$72,188
BG 1, CT 84.12	23%	1%	0%	34%	12%	2%	29%	82%	18%	5%	\$61,293
BG 2, CT 84.12	16%	1%	0%	51%	1%	2%	28%	93%	7%	1%	\$75,479
BG 1, CT 85.02	41%	6%	0%	5%	12%	6%	30%	85%	15%	23%	\$68,208
BG 1, CT 86.06	14%	4%	0%	55%	4%	0%	22%	96%	4%	1%	\$109,229
BG 2, CT 86.06	16%	0%	0%	49%	7%	0%	29%	91%	9%	2%	\$99,744
BG 3, CT 86.06	1%	0%	0%	15%	34%	0%	50%	97%	3%	0%	\$98,750
BG 4, CT 86.06	8%	15%	0%	53%	1%	0%	22%	82%	18%	7%	\$68,583
BG 1, CT 86.09	23%	2%	0%	32%	12%	2%	28%	86%	14%	5%	\$115,813
BG 2, CT 86.09	65%	0%	0%	13%	3%	0%	19%	95%	5%	7%	\$90,107
BG 1, CT 86.10	81%	2%	0%	10%	0%	0%	7%	96%	4%	4%	\$128,167
BG 1, CT 86.11	28%	0%	0%	19%	29%	0%	24%	82%	18%	29%	\$100,938
BG 1, CT 86.12	31%	9%	1%	28%	5%	1%	25%	84%	16%	3%	\$92,621
BG 1, CT 86.13	11%	2%	0%	51%	9%	0%	27%	83%	17%	12%	\$87,664
BG 1, CT 86.14	11%	0%	0%	33%	0%	0%	56%	84%	16%	0%	\$99,911
BG 2, CT 86.14	0%	0%	0%	62%	7%	0%	31%	74%	26%	6%	\$48,346
BG 3, CT 86.14	27%	2%	0%	47%	0%	0%	24%	97%	3%	2%	\$149,432
BG 4, CT 86.14	35%	3%	0%	39%	2%	0%	22%	100%	0%	0%	\$66,884
BG 5, CT 86.14	18%	1%	3%	11%	26%	0%	42%	78%	22%	3%	\$72,054
BG 6, CT 86.14	10%	16%	0%	20%	18%	0%	36%	87%	13%	3%	\$95,446

Block Group	Race (Percent) <sup>a</sup>							Ethnicity (Percent) <sup>a</sup>		Percent of Residents in Poverty <sup>a</sup>	Median Household Income
	White	Black or African American	American Indian or Alaska Native	Asian	Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	Some Other Race Alone	Multiple Races	Hispanic or Latino	Not Hispanic or Latino		
BG 7, CT 86.14	29%	0%	0%	24%	0%	0%	47%	73%	27%	2%	\$152,267
BG 8, CT 86.14	No Population										
BG 9, CT 86.14	3%	0%	0%	43%	0%	1%	53%	95%	5%	13%	\$82,071
BG 1, CT 86.17	1%	1%	0%	42%	17%	0%	38%	83%	17%	13%	\$86,964
BG 2, CT 86.17	4%	1%	0%	44%	12%	0%	39%	80%	20%	9%	\$79,900
BG 3, CT 86.17	15%	3%	0%	54%	4%	5%	19%	87%	13%	5%	\$94,000
BG 4, CT 86.17	2%	0%	0%	59%	15%	1%	24%	91%	9%	5%	\$83,167
BG 5, CT 86.17	8%	0%	0%	24%	54%	0%	13%	100%	0%	9%	\$28,167
BG 1, CT 86.22	37%	0%	0%	26%	9%	0%	28%	90%	10%	1%	\$105,469
BG 2, CT 86.22	19%	0%	0%	40%	7%	0%	34%	93%	7%	2%	\$98,148
BG 3, CT 86.22	7%	0%	0%	28%	42%	0%	23%	90%	10%	6%	\$106,905
BG 1, CT 87.01	2%	0%	0%	70%	0%	0%	27%	96%	4%	7%	\$94,265
BG 2, CT 87.01	29%	0%	0%	26%	2%	4%	39%	74%	26%	0%	\$78,860
BG 3, CT 87.01	4%	0%	0%	76%	0%	1%	20%	97%	3%	5%	\$79,704
BG 4, CT 87.01	3%	2%	0%	84%	4%	0%	7%	98%	2%	7%	\$80,764
BG 1, CT 87.02	3%	0%	0%	76%	4%	1%	17%	87%	13%	12%	\$38,886
BG 2, CT 87.02	4%	0%	0%	68%	15%	4%	9%	92%	8%	10%	\$42,083
BG 1, CT 87.03	16%	0%	0%	51%	2%	0%	30%	84%	16%	10%	\$83,971
BG 2, CT 87.03	6%	0%	0%	7%	55%	3%	29%	80%	20%	44%	\$22,589
BG 3, CT 87.03	3%	0%	0%	17%	48%	0%	31%	88%	12%	46%	\$32,055
BG 4, CT 87.03	9%	0%	0%	33%	52%	2%	5%	95%	5%	35%	\$41,420
BG 1, CT 88	1%	0%	0%	83%	0%	0%	16%	100%	0%	5%	\$118,906
BG 2, CT 88	10%	1%	0%	79%	2%	1%	7%	97%	3%	14%	\$56,970
BG 3, CT 88	13%	0%	0%	61%	12%	0%	13%	93%	7%	10%	\$123,614
BG 4, CT 88	5%	0%	0%	89%	2%	1%	3%	99%	1%	12%	\$104,539
BG 1, CT 89.06	31%	1%	1%	26%	2%	0%	40%	84%	16%	2%	\$70,408
BG 2, CT 89.06	10%	0%	0%	48%	8%	0%	34%	97%	3%	0%	\$109,662
BG 3, CT 89.06	16%	7%	0%	24%	6%	2%	45%	89%	11%	6%	\$82,500
BG 1, CT 89.07	17%	4%	1%	28%	2%	1%	48%	91%	9%	21%	\$100,694
BG 2, CT 89.07	18%	9%	0%	34%	5%	0%	33%	94%	6%	1%	\$104,306

Block Group	Race (Percent) <sup>a</sup>							Ethnicity (Percent) <sup>a</sup>		Percent of Residents in Poverty <sup>a</sup>	Median Household Income
	White	Black or African American	American Indian or Alaska Native	Asian	Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	Some Other Race Alone	Multiple Races	Hispanic or Latino	Not Hispanic or Latino		
BG 3, CT 89.07	19%	0%	0%	22%	21%	0%	38%	95%	5%	0%	\$49,297
BG 4, CT 89.07	27%	0%	0%	50%	2%	0%	22%	100%	0%	0%	\$99,773
BG 1, CT 89.08	20%	0%	0%	52%	0%	0%	27%	86%	14%	2%	\$115,278
BG 2, CT 89.08	24%	0%	0%	48%	4%	0%	23%	94%	6%	5%	\$111,071
BG 3, CT 89.08	25%	2%	0%	49%	1%	2%	22%	88%	12%	1%	\$99,609
BG 1, CT 89.09	15%	1%	0%	43%	4%	1%	36%	81%	19%	2%	\$71,885
BG 2, CT 89.09	8%	1%	0%	53%	4%	0%	34%	85%	15%	0%	\$95,288
BG 1, CT 89.12	5%	1%	0%	81%	3%	1%	9%	97%	3%	7%	\$101,371
BG 1, CT 89.13	4%	0%	0%	64%	10%	0%	22%	87%	13%	12%	\$69,632
BG 2, CT 89.13	2%	0%	0%	78%	6%	0%	14%	92%	8%	3%	\$59,375
BG 1, CT 89.14	6%	3%	0%	51%	28%	0%	12%	88%	12%	14%	\$71,905
BG 2, CT 89.14	2%	0%	0%	73%	9%	0%	16%	96%	4%	12%	\$68,611
BG 1, CT 89.15	8%	1%	0%	41%	9%	0%	42%	91%	9%	16%	\$77,716
BG 2, CT 89.15	27%	3%	1%	34%	10%	2%	22%	92%	8%	12%	\$56,401
BG 1, CT 89.17	13%	1%	0%	52%	8%	0%	25%	95%	5%	0%	\$111,645
BG 2, CT 89.17	14%	1%	0%	43%	7%	1%	35%	93%	7%	0%	\$90,673
BG 1, CT 89.18	28%	9%	0%	29%	10%	4%	21%	87%	13%	7%	\$77,368
BG 2, CT 89.18	13%	0%	0%	61%	3%	1%	22%	97%	3%	0%	\$136,875
BG 3, CT 89.18	17%	0%	0%	43%	0%	0%	40%	99%	1%	0%	\$87,326
BG 4, CT 89.18	12%	0%	0%	64%	2%	2%	21%	97%	3%	2%	\$115,125
BG 1, CT 89.20	8%	0%	0%	51%	18%	2%	20%	80%	20%	4%	\$81,361
BG 2, CT 89.20	7%	4%	0%	65%	4%	0%	21%	92%	8%	0%	\$87,935
BG 3, CT 89.20	37%	2%	0%	38%	2%	0%	21%	86%	14%	1%	\$54,556
BG 4, CT 89.20	31%	3%	0%	59%	1%	0%	6%	99%	1%	6%	\$82,434
BG 1, CT 89.21	7%	1%	0%	47%	8%	1%	37%	80%	20%	5%	\$87,500
BG 1, CT 89.22	15%	3%	1%	55%	3%	3%	20%	92%	8%	2%	\$113,523
BG 2, CT 89.22	21%	7%	0%	61%	5%	0%	6%	97%	3%	0%	\$89,286
BG 3, CT 89.22	13%	4%	0%	54%	1%	0%	28%	91%	9%	0%	\$94,533
BG 4, CT 89.22	5%	2%	0%	56%	2%	1%	34%	96%	4%	1%	\$92,500
BG 1, CT 89.23	12%	4%	0%	51%	4%	1%	27%	87%	13%	2%	\$63,031

Block Group	Race (Percent) <sup>a</sup>							Ethnicity (Percent) <sup>a</sup>		Percent of Residents in Poverty <sup>a</sup>	Median Household Income
	White	Black or African American	American Indian or Alaska Native	Asian	Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	Some Other Race Alone	Multiple Races	Hispanic or Latino	Not Hispanic or Latino		
BG 2, CT 89.23	13%	0%	0%	55%	4%	9%	19%	90%	10%	4%	\$69,583
BG 3, CT 89.23	11%	0%	0%	71%	0%	0%	17%	98%	2%	2%	\$81,750
BG 1, CT 89.24	12%	2%	0%	59%	2%	0%	26%	96%	4%	1%	\$116,731
BG 2, CT 89.24	19%	2%	0%	50%	13%	0%	17%	96%	4%	3%	\$113,493
BG 3, CT 89.24	8%	0%	0%	67%	2%	0%	23%	91%	9%	7%	\$109,375
BG 1, CT 89.25	27%	7%	1%	48%	1%	4%	13%	93%	7%	1%	\$86,285
BG 2, CT 89.25	2%	0%	0%	53%	16%	0%	30%	83%	17%	12%	\$107,852
BG 3, CT 89.25	6%	0%	0%	52%	29%	0%	14%	97%	3%	5%	\$97,188
BG 1, CT 89.26	41%	5%	0%	36%	3%	0%	15%	97%	3%	2%	\$88,677
BG 1, CT 89.27	13%	1%	0%	53%	5%	2%	27%	96%	4%	1%	\$99,535
BG 1, CT 89.28	14%	2%	0%	59%	2%	1%	22%	87%	13%	0%	\$112,295
BG 1, CT 89.29	21%	4%	0%	41%	9%	0%	24%	79%	21%	8%	\$64,750
BG 2, CT 89.29	14%	0%	0%	45%	10%	1%	30%	96%	4%	0%	\$98,179
BG 1, CT 89.30	18%	0%	0%	59%	0%	0%	23%	96%	4%	0%	\$140,848
BG 1, CT 89.31	15%	2%	0%	49%	1%	0%	32%	94%	6%	0%	\$125,536
BG 2, CT 89.31	5%	0%	4%	11%	28%	0%	53%	77%	23%	67%	ND
BG 1, CT 90	68%	9%	2%	5%	3%	1%	12%	84%	16%	12%	\$53,606
BG 1, CT 91	4%	2%	1%	67%	4%	0%	21%	95%	5%	11%	\$70,046
BG 2, CT 91	19%	1%	1%	53%	8%	1%	17%	92%	8%	2%	\$80,250
BG 1, CT 92	9%	0%	0%	43%	5%	4%	39%	74%	26%	7%	\$70,273
BG 2, CT 92	14%	0%	3%	42%	9%	1%	32%	93%	7%	8%	\$62,448
BG 3, CT 92	7%	0%	0%	59%	21%	2%	10%	94%	6%	3%	\$58,354
BG 1, CT 93	9%	7%	0%	60%	4%	2%	17%	96%	4%	8%	\$75,901
BG 2, CT 93	8%	11%	0%	43%	1%	0%	37%	75%	25%	14%	\$45,000
BG 3, CT 93	19%	0%	0%	39%	7%	0%	36%	79%	21%	13%	\$109,821
BG 4, CT 93	13%	0%	0%	28%	19%	1%	39%	79%	21%	28%	\$28,024
BG 1, CT 94	6%	0%	1%	34%	21%	0%	38%	90%	10%	35%	\$34,905
BG 2, CT 94	18%	6%	1%	44%	9%	0%	23%	82%	18%	4%	\$69,375
BG 3, CT 94	5%	0%	0%	74%	4%	1%	15%	99%	1%	17%	\$49,844
BG 4, CT 94	32%	6%	1%	7%	26%	1%	26%	88%	12%	29%	\$31,417

Block Group	Race (Percent) <sup>a</sup>							Ethnicity (Percent) <sup>a</sup>		Percent of Residents in Poverty <sup>a</sup>	Median Household Income
	White	Black or African American	American Indian or Alaska Native	Asian	Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	Some Other Race Alone	Multiple Races	Hispanic or Latino	Not Hispanic or Latino		
BG 1, CT 95.01	48%	35%	0%	1%	4%	3%	8%	75%	25%	18%	\$41,862
BG 2, CT 95.01	61%	16%	0%	5%	5%	5%	7%	68%	32%	12%	\$46,330
BG 1, CT 95.02	65%	17%	0%	2%	1%	11%	4%	80%	20%	25%	\$36,818
BG 2, CT 95.02	59%	12%	0%	7%	0%	8%	14%	77%	23%	13%	\$61,926
BG 1, CT 95.03	66%	14%	2%	5%	1%	3%	9%	87%	13%	9%	\$62,250
BG 1, CT 95.04	67%	22%	0%	1%	2%	1%	6%	87%	13%	4%	\$71,597
BG 1, CT 95.07	56%	19%	0%	2%	4%	4%	14%	75%	25%	20%	\$40,096
BG 2, CT 95.07	63%	19%	3%	6%	1%	0%	8%	93%	7%	ND	ND
BG 1, CT 96.03	23%	1%	0%	12%	13%	0%	51%	97%	3%	0%	\$86,042
BG 2, CT 96.03	20%	3%	0%	14%	24%	2%	37%	88%	12%	36%	\$75,500
BG 3, CT 96.03	1%	0%	0%	13%	39%	0%	47%	83%	17%	17%	\$44,184
BG 4, CT 96.03	9%	2%	0%	15%	31%	0%	43%	94%	6%	37%	\$31,397
BG 5, CT 96.03	2%	0%	2%	7%	33%	0%	56%	70%	30%	14%	\$44,175
BG 6, CT 96.03	9%	0%	0%	42%	31%	0%	18%	93%	7%	16%	\$73,185
BG 1, CT 96.08	6%	2%	1%	17%	36%	1%	37%	89%	11%	23%	\$68,182
BG 2, CT 96.08	9%	3%	0%	10%	43%	0%	35%	90%	10%	24%	\$50,256
BG 1, CT 97.01	8%	1%	1%	6%	62%	1%	22%	85%	15%	43%	\$43,438
BG 2, CT 97.01	9%	0%	0%	24%	40%	0%	28%	86%	14%	34%	\$29,688
BG 3, CT 97.01	12%	1%	0%	9%	32%	0%	46%	81%	19%	33%	\$33,469
BG 1, CT 97.03	9%	1%	0%	33%	19%	0%	37%	91%	9%	17%	\$79,458
BG 2, CT 97.03	2%	0%	0%	7%	45%	1%	45%	88%	12%	7%	\$85,855
BG 3, CT 97.03	6%	8%	0%	29%	6%	0%	51%	86%	14%	28%	\$69,500
BG 1, CT 97.04	9%	1%	0%	23%	31%	2%	34%	87%	13%	17%	\$68,698
BG 1, CT 98.01	31%	11%	1%	9%	20%	2%	27%	74%	26%	40%	\$31,845
BG 2, CT 98.01	34%	0%	0%	13%	14%	0%	39%	99%	1%	35%	\$91,172
BG 1, CT 98.02	11%	1%	1%	17%	23%	3%	44%	88%	12%	32%	\$41,985
BG 2, CT 98.02	8%	1%	0%	13%	43%	0%	35%	85%	15%	32%	\$42,450
BG 3, CT 98.02	9%	1%	2%	10%	31%	0%	47%	82%	18%	27%	\$49,125
BG 1, CT 99.02	57%	2%	0%	10%	2%	0%	29%	76%	24%	7%	\$78,750
BG 2, CT 99.02	33%	0%	1%	32%	2%	1%	32%	89%	11%	10%	\$70,543

Block Group	Race (Percent) <sup>a</sup>							Ethnicity (Percent) <sup>a</sup>		Percent of Residents in Poverty <sup>a</sup>	Median Household Income
	White	Black or African American	American Indian or Alaska Native	Asian	Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	Some Other Race Alone	Multiple Races	Hispanic or Latino	Not Hispanic or Latino		
BG 3, CT 99.02	19%	0%	0%	32%	22%	0%	27%	83%	17%	7%	\$74,907
BG 4, CT 99.02	30%	9%	0%	9%	14%	0%	39%	95%	5%	17%	\$52,014
BG 1, CT 99.04	59%	5%	0%	11%	4%	0%	20%	89%	11%	7%	\$70,815
BG 2, CT 99.04	No Population										
BG 3, CT 99.04	23%	0%	0%	49%	4%	0%	24%	91%	9%	6%	\$60,724
BG 4, CT 99.04	22%	0%	0%	42%	6%	0%	30%	91%	9%	5%	\$55,125
BG 5, CT 99.04	35%	1%	0%	37%	3%	1%	23%	88%	12%	8%	\$81,719
BG 1, CT 100	59%	0%	0%	23%	3%	0%	14%	95%	5%	35%	\$69,333
BG 2, CT 100	64%	10%	0%	2%	7%	2%	15%	80%	20%	10%	\$47,972
BG 1, CT 101	41%	2%	1%	17%	18%	0%	21%	95%	5%	4%	\$108,548
BG 2, CT 101	77%	0%	1%	5%	1%	0%	16%	96%	4%	10%	\$75,227
BG 3, CT 101	28%	2%	1%	3%	24%	0%	42%	95%	5%	11%	\$55,469
BG 4, CT 101	8%	0%	0%	38%	28%	1%	26%	84%	16%	8%	\$54,375
BG 1, CT 102.01	31%	3%	0%	9%	21%	0%	36%	93%	7%	23%	\$52,069
BG 2, CT 102.01	43%	0%	0%	9%	9%	0%	39%	87%	13%	3%	\$77,614
BG 3, CT 102.01	31%	1%	0%	6%	18%	0%	44%	88%	12%	18%	\$64,444
BG 1, CT 102.02	26%	1%	0%	15%	28%	0%	29%	96%	4%	15%	\$76,250
BG 2, CT 102.02	16%	2%	0%	5%	45%	1%	31%	97%	3%	18%	\$68,508
BG 3, CT 102.02	15%	0%	0%	8%	30%	0%	47%	91%	9%	22%	\$46,042
BG 4, CT 102.02	31%	1%	0%	7%	35%	0%	25%	94%	6%	8%	\$85,714
BG 1, CT 103.03	20%	2%	0%	29%	16%	0%	33%	98%	2%	10%	\$83,750
BG 2, CT 103.03	18%	0%	0%	16%	38%	2%	26%	89%	11%	6%	\$70,172
BG 3, CT 103.03	18%	0%	1%	25%	26%	0%	30%	90%	10%	7%	\$61,528
BG 1, CT 103.05	23%	0%	0%	36%	14%	0%	27%	88%	12%	14%	\$85,642
BG 2, CT 103.05	23%	0%	0%	32%	9%	3%	34%	96%	4%	16%	\$119,938
BG 3, CT 103.05	12%	0%	0%	23%	2%	0%	63%	67%	33%	14%	\$90,132
BG 1, CT 103.06	43%	2%	0%	30%	0%	9%	17%	91%	9%	5%	\$154,036
BG 2, CT 103.06	24%	1%	2%	43%	4%	0%	26%	84%	16%	7%	\$92,083
BG 3, CT 103.06	7%	0%	0%	49%	18%	0%	26%	98%	2%	0%	\$129,049
BG 4, CT 103.06	26%	0%	0%	49%	2%	0%	23%	94%	6%	6%	\$161,378

Block Group	Race (Percent) <sup>a</sup>							Ethnicity (Percent) <sup>a</sup>		Percent of Residents in Poverty <sup>a</sup>	Median Household Income
	White	Black or African American	American Indian or Alaska Native	Asian	Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	Some Other Race Alone	Multiple Races	Hispanic or Latino	Not Hispanic or Latino		
BG 5, CT 103.06	30%	2%	0%	34%	5%	0%	29%	96%	4%	5%	\$83,284
BG 1, CT 103.08	13%	0%	1%	47%	5%	4%	31%	88%	12%	3%	\$92,396
BG 2, CT 103.08	15%	0%	0%	64%	0%	0%	21%	98%	2%	6%	\$91,500
BG 3, CT 103.08	20%	0%	0%	47%	4%	0%	29%	97%	3%	0%	\$91,094
BG 1, CT 105.03	22%	7%	0%	25%	8%	1%	36%	79%	21%	17%	\$51,667
BG 2, CT 105.03	8%	0%	0%	26%	21%	0%	45%	96%	4%	1%	\$102,917
BG 1, CT 105.04	7%	0%	0%	33%	33%	2%	25%	91%	9%	12%	\$63,971
BG 2, CT 105.04	24%	0%	1%	35%	7%	0%	33%	94%	6%	12%	\$84,491
BG 3, CT 105.04	13%	0%	2%	44%	17%	1%	24%	94%	6%	7%	\$76,346
BG 1, CT 105.05	28%	0%	0%	39%	7%	0%	25%	99%	1%	0%	\$106,719
BG 2, CT 105.05	21%	0%	0%	45%	11%	1%	21%	93%	7%	0%	\$100,313
BG 3, CT 105.05	38%	0%	0%	42%	1%	0%	18%	92%	8%	0%	\$89,875
BG 1, CT 105.07	17%	1%	1%	25%	5%	9%	43%	83%	17%	10%	\$79,411
BG 2, CT 105.07	12%	4%	0%	15%	22%	4%	43%	85%	15%	17%	\$57,692
BG 1, CT 105.08	24%	1%	0%	41%	7%	0%	27%	95%	5%	2%	\$120,000
BG 2, CT 105.08	52%	0%	0%	29%	2%	1%	17%	92%	8%	9%	\$100,250
BG 1, CT 106.01	17%	1%	0%	32%	2%	0%	47%	88%	12%	6%	\$84,485
BG 2, CT 106.01	10%	0%	0%	53%	7%	0%	30%	88%	12%	14%	\$44,559
BG 3, CT 106.01	13%	0%	0%	77%	0%	1%	9%	99%	1%	5%	\$96,250
BG 1, CT 106.02	13%	0%	0%	45%	3%	1%	38%	95%	5%	4%	\$69,167
BG 2, CT 106.02	12%	1%	1%	41%	10%	0%	35%	88%	12%	9%	\$90,655
BG 3, CT 106.02	15%	1%	0%	45%	3%	0%	36%	92%	8%	3%	\$117,750
BG 1, CT 107.01	58%	3%	0%	22%	0%	0%	17%	99%	1%	7%	\$89,279
BG 2, CT 107.01	29%	0%	0%	44%	3%	0%	23%	89%	11%	5%	\$89,000
BG 1, CT 107.02	13%	2%	0%	39%	4%	1%	41%	87%	13%	5%	\$86,738
BG 2, CT 107.02	28%	0%	0%	47%	2%	0%	22%	93%	7%	2%	\$69,063
BG 1, CT 108.01	74%	8%	1%	2%	2%	6%	9%	84%	16%	ND	ND
BG 2, CT 108.01	70%	2%	1%	3%	0%	11%	13%	82%	18%	11%	\$40,000
BG 1, CT 108.02	71%	8%	0%	5%	1%	4%	11%	81%	19%	15%	\$47,139
BG 2, CT 108.02	70%	7%	1%	6%	0%	7%	8%	78%	22%	ND	ND

Block Group	Race (Percent) <sup>a</sup>							Ethnicity (Percent) <sup>a</sup>		Percent of Residents in Poverty <sup>a</sup>	Median Household Income
	White	Black or African American	American Indian or Alaska Native	Asian	Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	Some Other Race Alone	Multiple Races	Hispanic or Latino	Not Hispanic or Latino		
BG 3, CT 108.02	72%	14%	0%	3%	0%	6%	5%	85%	15%	0%	\$77,939
BG 1, CT 109.01	67%	2%	0%	12%	3%	0%	16%	96%	4%	1%	\$144,438
BG 2, CT 109.01	72%	1%	0%	10%	0%	0%	17%	95%	5%	3%	\$106,136
BG 1, CT 109.03	39%	2%	1%	15%	5%	0%	38%	96%	4%	14%	\$83,542
BG 2, CT 109.03	29%	1%	1%	13%	19%	3%	34%	91%	9%	19%	\$69,950
BG 1, CT 109.04	46%	1%	0%	10%	1%	2%	40%	94%	6%	7%	\$91,000
BG 2, CT 109.04	45%	2%	1%	14%	3%	0%	35%	93%	7%	7%	\$70,982
BG 1, CT 109.05	42%	0%	0%	24%	4%	4%	26%	89%	11%	14%	\$68,565
BG 1, CT 110	33%	1%	1%	28%	9%	0%	28%	88%	12%	2%	\$119,917
BG 2, CT 110	38%	0%	0%	38%	4%	0%	20%	97%	3%	2%	\$135,833
BG 3, CT 110	24%	12%	0%	5%	25%	0%	35%	81%	19%	ND	ND
BG 1, CT 111.03	21%	0%	0%	42%	4%	0%	33%	98%	2%	1%	\$110,952
BG 2, CT 111.03	37%	0%	0%	32%	1%	5%	25%	94%	6%	3%	\$117,679
BG 1, CT 111.04	44%	0%	0%	17%	5%	0%	34%	96%	4%	10%	\$96,625
BG 2, CT 111.04	30%	0%	0%	36%	5%	0%	28%	96%	4%	10%	\$96,713
BG 3, CT 111.04	35%	0%	0%	21%	13%	0%	32%	94%	6%	2%	\$141,786
BG 1, CT 111.05	58%	0%	0%	8%	2%	0%	32%	97%	3%	6%	\$84,107
BG 2, CT 111.05	64%	3%	0%	15%	2%	1%	15%	94%	6%	3%	\$89,583
BG 3, CT 111.05	42%	9%	5%	11%	13%	0%	21%	92%	8%	10%	\$74,113
BG 1, CT 111.06	21%	0%	0%	38%	18%	0%	22%	99%	1%	1%	\$116,667
BG 2, CT 111.06	17%	1%	0%	36%	13%	0%	34%	89%	11%	2%	\$119,063
BG 3, CT 111.06	49%	0%	0%	9%	16%	0%	26%	97%	3%	5%	\$77,463
BG 4, CT 111.06	32%	1%	0%	37%	6%	0%	23%	95%	5%	2%	\$98,750
BG 1, CT 112.01	76%	0%	7%	0%	0%	0%	18%	91%	9%	0%	\$86,364
BG 2, CT 112.01	63%	0%	1%	14%	13%	0%	9%	96%	4%	4%	\$107,188
BG 3, CT 112.01	70%	0%	0%	17%	4%	0%	8%	73%	27%	4%	\$96,169
BG 4, CT 112.01	72%	0%	0%	16%	0%	1%	11%	97%	3%	0%	\$101,719
BG 5, CT 112.01	63%	0%	0%	9%	0%	0%	28%	84%	16%	15%	\$146,000
BG 1, CT 112.02	62%	0%	0%	15%	5%	0%	17%	97%	3%	8%	\$99,129
BG 1, CT 113	37%	1%	0%	14%	29%	0%	19%	96%	4%	19%	\$69,219

Block Group	Race (Percent) <sup>a</sup>							Ethnicity (Percent) <sup>a</sup>		Percent of Residents in Poverty <sup>a</sup>	Median Household Income
	White	Black or African American	American Indian or Alaska Native	Asian	Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	Some Other Race Alone	Multiple Races	Hispanic or Latino	Not Hispanic or Latino		
BG 2, CT 113	7%	1%	0%	13%	23%	0%	55%	96%	4%	17%	\$74,882
BG 3, CT 113	20%	0%	0%	2%	15%	0%	62%	98%	2%	2%	\$156,250
BG 4, CT 113	13%	0%	0%	26%	9%	0%	51%	83%	17%	23%	\$47,917
BG 1, CT 114	0%	0%	0%	78%	19%	0%	4%	100%	0%	0%	\$162,750
BG 2, CT 114	63%	10%	0%	6%	2%	2%	16%	87%	13%	15%	\$57,750
BG 3, CT 114	61%	13%	0%	6%	2%	7%	12%	72%	28%	15%	\$49,688
BG 1, CT 115	15%	47%	0%	25%	2%	0%	11%	87%	13%	0%	\$112,108
BG 2, CT 115	14%	0%	3%	20%	28%	0%	36%	85%	15%	0%	\$98,250
BG 3, CT 115	13%	5%	0%	25%	19%	0%	38%	86%	14%	5%	\$79,427
BG 1, CT 9400.01	4%	0%	0%	4%	46%	0%	46%	92%	8%	9%	\$64,044
BG 2, CT 9400.01	14%	0%	1%	6%	17%	0%	61%	87%	13%	9%	\$73,482
BG 1, CT 9400.02	2%	1%	0%	7%	55%	0%	35%	84%	16%	4%	\$81,389
BG 2, CT 9400.02	2%	1%	0%	2%	66%	1%	28%	91%	9%	4%	\$57,813
BG 3, CT 9400.02	3%	0%	0%	2%	61%	0%	33%	91%	9%	9%	\$80,750
BG 4, CT 9400.02	5%	0%	0%	6%	45%	0%	44%	78%	22%	36%	\$36,554
BG 1, CT 9800	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%	0%	0%	100%	0%	33%	ND
BG 1, CT 9802	15%	5%	1%	17%	16%	5%	41%	84%	16%	ND	ND
BG 1, CT 9803	No Population										
BG 1, CT 9806	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%	0%	0%	ND
BG 1, CT 9807	No Population										
BG 1, CT 9808	No Population										
BG 1, CT 9810	No Population										
BG 1, CT 9811	91%	9%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	79%	21%	0%	ND
BG 1, CT 9812	No Population										
BG 1, CT 9813	No Population										
BG 1, CT 9814	No Population										
BG 0, CT 9900.01	No Population										
BG 1, CT 319	46%	0%	0%	18%	21%	3%	11%	96%	4%	15%	\$59,375
BG 0, CT 9900	No Population										
BG 1, CT 401.03	72%	1%	0%	9%	3%	0%	15%	96%	4%	10%	\$68,281

Block Group	Race (Percent) <sup>a</sup>							Ethnicity (Percent) <sup>a</sup>		Percent of Residents in Poverty <sup>a</sup>	Median Household Income
	White	Black or African American	American Indian or Alaska Native	Asian	Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	Some Other Race Alone	Multiple Races	Hispanic or Latino	Not Hispanic or Latino		
BG 2, CT 401.03	45%	0%	1%	28%	5%	1%	19%	91%	9%	8%	\$54,265
BG 3, CT 401.03	88%	0%	1%	3%	2%	0%	5%	88%	12%	10%	\$56,667
BG 4, CT 401.03	74%	0%	0%	2%	8%	0%	16%	94%	6%	33%	\$39,236
BG 1, CT 401.04	80%	0%	1%	4%	0%	0%	15%	88%	12%	11%	\$43,819
BG 2, CT 401.04	70%	0%	0%	12%	13%	0%	5%	91%	9%	24%	\$38,047
BG 1, CT 402.04	47%	0%	0%	21%	8%	0%	24%	99%	1%	11%	\$60,054
BG 2, CT 402.04	45%	0%	0%	29%	6%	0%	20%	95%	5%	6%	\$74,704
BG 1, CT 402.05	33%	0%	1%	30%	13%	2%	22%	93%	7%	10%	\$53,006
BG 2, CT 402.05	41%	0%	1%	44%	6%	0%	9%	99%	1%	10%	\$61,823
BG 3, CT 402.05	48%	0%	0%	36%	1%	0%	15%	86%	14%	9%	\$63,542
BG 4, CT 402.05	29%	0%	0%	40%	5%	2%	24%	90%	10%	9%	\$91,667
BG 1, CT 403	55%	0%	0%	14%	11%	0%	19%	80%	20%	27%	\$29,432
BG 2, CT 403	56%	0%	0%	29%	6%	0%	9%	77%	23%	0%	\$69,844
BG 3, CT 403	19%	3%	0%	45%	3%	1%	29%	86%	14%	16%	\$73,725
BG 4, CT 403	41%	0%	0%	39%	4%	0%	16%	100%	0%	15%	\$24,177
BG 5, CT 403	36%	0%	0%	19%	11%	0%	35%	100%	0%	11%	\$56,367
BG 6, CT 403	14%	0%	0%	41%	7%	0%	38%	95%	5%	11%	\$41,125
BG 1, CT 404	17%	0%	0%	53%	8%	2%	20%	88%	12%	6%	\$73,333
BG 2, CT 404	41%	0%	0%	27%	3%	0%	29%	87%	13%	7%	\$73,068
BG 3, CT 404	10%	0%	1%	61%	11%	2%	16%	94%	6%	6%	\$63,833
BG 4, CT 404	18%	0%	0%	49%	16%	1%	16%	93%	7%	7%	\$68,393
BG 5, CT 404	13%	6%	1%	53%	6%	0%	21%	82%	18%	21%	\$48,750
BG 1, CT 405	33%	0%	0%	67%	0%	0%	0%	100%	0%	29%	\$38,281
BG 2, CT 405	21%	1%	0%	59%	4%	0%	16%	98%	2%	28%	\$49,400
BG 3, CT 405	34%	0%	0%	31%	12%	0%	22%	94%	6%	4%	\$72,105
BG 4, CT 405	21%	1%	0%	51%	16%	0%	11%	92%	8%	5%	\$62,143
BG 5, CT 405	31%	2%	1%	35%	16%	0%	16%	99%	1%	19%	\$80,278
BG 1, CT 406.03	56%	0%	0%	15%	0%	0%	29%	96%	4%	10%	\$62,313
BG 2, CT 406.03	84%	0%	2%	11%	1%	0%	1%	100%	0%	5%	\$87,083
BG 3, CT 406.03	27%	1%	0%	50%	3%	0%	20%	90%	10%	4%	\$61,469

Block Group	Race (Percent) <sup>a</sup>							Ethnicity (Percent) <sup>a</sup>		Percent of Residents in Poverty <sup>a</sup>	Median Household Income
	White	Black or African American	American Indian or Alaska Native	Asian	Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	Some Other Race Alone	Multiple Races	Hispanic or Latino	Not Hispanic or Latino		
BG 1, CT 406.04	52%	0%	0%	16%	0%	2%	30%	97%	3%	7%	\$43,906
BG 2, CT 406.04	46%	0%	0%	11%	6%	2%	36%	83%	17%	17%	\$52,167
BG 3, CT 406.04	41%	0%	0%	30%	5%	0%	24%	88%	12%	6%	\$63,558
BG 1, CT 407	50%	0%	0%	19%	12%	1%	18%	84%	16%	14%	\$76,544
BG 2, CT 407	28%	0%	0%	42%	0%	0%	30%	89%	11%	12%	\$107,212
BG 3, CT 407	13%	0%	0%	50%	0%	0%	37%	79%	21%	26%	\$48,100
BG 4, CT 407	48%	0%	0%	8%	7%	1%	36%	78%	22%	25%	\$35,075
BG 5, CT 407	11%	0%	0%	55%	12%	1%	21%	86%	14%	14%	\$70,673
BG 6, CT 407	52%	0%	0%	22%	3%	0%	23%	74%	26%	4%	\$68,667
BG 1, CT 408	6%	0%	1%	69%	15%	0%	9%	98%	2%	11%	\$76,917
BG 2, CT 408	23%	1%	0%	48%	12%	6%	10%	88%	12%	1%	\$78,158
BG 3, CT 408	25%	0%	1%	45%	9%	0%	19%	77%	23%	5%	\$49,139
BG 1, CT 409	40%	0%	0%	16%	38%	0%	6%	95%	5%	0%	\$106,146
BG 2, CT 409	21%	0%	0%	59%	17%	0%	3%	99%	1%	10%	\$60,096
BG 3, CT 409	17%	0%	0%	55%	25%	0%	3%	100%	0%	22%	\$77,500
BG 4, CT 409	45%	0%	0%	24%	6%	0%	25%	87%	13%	7%	\$66,296
BG 5, CT 409	25%	0%	0%	63%	5%	0%	7%	77%	23%	12%	\$44,412
BG 6, CT 409	14%	0%	0%	34%	46%	0%	6%	95%	5%	9%	\$54,907
BG 1, CT 412	No Population										
BG 2, CT 412	No Population										
BG 1, CT 9400	42%	0%	0%	22%	15%	0%	22%	89%	11%	11%	\$54,722
BG 2, CT 9400	19%	0%	0%	9%	42%	0%	31%	92%	8%	15%	\$49,635
BG 0, CT 9901	No Population										
BG 0, CT 9902	No Population										
BG 0, CT 9903	No Population										
BG 1, CT 301	54%	0%	0%	0%	10%	0%	37%	92%	8%	16%	\$60,556
BG 2, CT 301	15%	0%	0%	3%	33%	0%	50%	95%	5%	5%	\$62,656
BG 1, CT 302.01	73%	0%	0%	4%	1%	2%	20%	90%	10%	17%	\$50,189
BG 2, CT 302.01	65%	0%	0%	0%	14%	0%	21%	93%	7%	4%	\$58,611
BG 1, CT 302.02	57%	0%	0%	7%	0%	0%	36%	94%	6%	13%	\$23,785

Block Group	Race (Percent) <sup>a</sup>							Ethnicity (Percent) <sup>a</sup>		Percent of Residents in Poverty <sup>a</sup>	Median Household Income
	White	Black or African American	American Indian or Alaska Native	Asian	Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	Some Other Race Alone	Multiple Races	Hispanic or Latino	Not Hispanic or Latino		
BG 2, CT 302.02	48%	0%	0%	10%	20%	1%	20%	85%	15%	2%	\$51,786
BG 3, CT 302.02	60%	1%	0%	6%	6%	0%	27%	98%	2%	10%	\$77,244
BG 4, CT 302.02	46%	0%	0%	8%	9%	4%	33%	88%	12%	3%	\$79,534
BG 5, CT 302.02	41%	0%	0%	10%	2%	0%	47%	97%	3%	73%	\$11,150
BG 1, CT 303.01	39%	0%	0%	8%	10%	0%	43%	88%	12%	11%	\$57,955
BG 2, CT 303.01	60%	0%	0%	7%	4%	0%	29%	89%	11%	15%	\$95,110
BG 3, CT 303.01	70%	0%	1%	14%	6%	1%	8%	94%	6%	8%	\$56,738
BG 4, CT 303.01	56%	0%	0%	6%	10%	1%	28%	99%	1%	13%	\$70,294
BG 1, CT 303.03	72%	1%	0%	9%	4%	1%	13%	97%	3%	7%	\$58,681
BG 2, CT 303.03	91%	0%	0%	3%	0%	0%	6%	98%	2%	20%	\$109,808
BG 3, CT 303.03	79%	0%	0%	1%	1%	0%	19%	99%	1%	3%	\$84,464
BG 1, CT 304.02	39%	0%	0%	10%	10%	4%	37%	86%	14%	10%	\$62,675
BG 2, CT 304.02	36%	0%	1%	36%	10%	0%	16%	96%	4%	3%	\$82,731
BG 3, CT 304.02	36%	0%	0%	24%	0%	2%	38%	84%	16%	2%	\$76,466
BG 4, CT 304.02	34%	0%	0%	27%	7%	0%	33%	95%	5%	5%	\$85,352
BG 1, CT 304.03	64%	0%	0%	5%	6%	0%	24%	99%	1%	9%	\$80,250
BG 2, CT 304.03	30%	0%	0%	29%	4%	0%	36%	88%	12%	3%	\$58,478
BG 1, CT 304.04	22%	1%	0%	32%	13%	1%	31%	89%	11%	19%	\$69,583
BG 2, CT 304.04	43%	0%	0%	11%	8%	0%	37%	96%	4%	29%	\$64,706
BG 3, CT 304.04	36%	0%	0%	16%	5%	0%	42%	81%	19%	16%	\$54,200
BG 1, CT 305.01	41%	0%	0%	26%	5%	1%	26%	94%	6%	7%	\$68,462
BG 2, CT 305.01	60%	0%	0%	23%	1%	0%	16%	93%	7%	6%	\$67,500
BG 1, CT 307.05	44%	0%	0%	37%	2%	0%	17%	95%	5%	4%	\$97,250
BG 2, CT 307.05	15%	0%	0%	53%	1%	1%	30%	88%	12%	6%	\$84,423
BG 1, CT 307.06	56%	2%	0%	14%	7%	0%	22%	85%	15%	29%	\$47,150
BG 2, CT 307.06	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%	0%	0%	ND
BG 1, CT 307.07	55%	1%	0%	26%	2%	1%	15%	87%	13%	6%	\$65,391
BG 2, CT 307.07	84%	3%	0%	2%	8%	0%	4%	85%	15%	3%	\$92,588
BG 3, CT 307.07	52%	0%	0%	9%	6%	3%	29%	81%	19%	9%	\$64,988
BG 4, CT 307.07	60%	1%	0%	7%	1%	0%	31%	99%	1%	17%	\$61,847

Block Group	Race (Percent) <sup>a</sup>							Ethnicity (Percent) <sup>a</sup>		Percent of Residents in Poverty <sup>a</sup>	Median Household Income
	White	Black or African American	American Indian or Alaska Native	Asian	Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	Some Other Race Alone	Multiple Races	Hispanic or Latino	Not Hispanic or Latino		
BG 1, CT 307.08	47%	0%	0%	10%	25%	1%	17%	91%	9%	10%	\$49,091
BG 2, CT 307.08	66%	0%	0%	20%	3%	0%	12%	95%	5%	2%	\$42,917
BG 1, CT 307.09	65%	0%	0%	5%	0%	1%	29%	99%	1%	15%	\$52,600
BG 2, CT 307.09	64%	1%	0%	14%	0%	0%	21%	93%	7%	1%	\$73,546
BG 3, CT 307.09	80%	2%	0%	8%	0%	1%	9%	73%	27%	23%	\$57,656
BG 1, CT 307.10	60%	0%	0%	9%	21%	0%	10%	95%	5%	34%	\$53,458
BG 2, CT 307.10	63%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	37%	81%	19%	36%	\$39,656
BG 1, CT 308	22%	0%	1%	11%	23%	1%	42%	85%	15%	6%	\$75,278
BG 2, CT 308	21%	0%	0%	44%	2%	0%	32%	90%	10%	3%	\$96,204
BG 1, CT 309.01	9%	0%	0%	35%	36%	0%	20%	90%	10%	12%	\$39,167
BG 2, CT 309.01	16%	0%	0%	15%	45%	0%	24%	74%	26%	25%	\$33,750
BG 3, CT 309.01	19%	1%	0%	24%	7%	0%	49%	87%	13%	11%	\$40,083
BG 1, CT 309.02	16%	1%	0%	30%	14%	3%	36%	81%	19%	16%	\$68,214
BG 2, CT 309.02	28%	0%	0%	37%	11%	0%	24%	80%	20%	11%	\$63,155
BG 1, CT 309.03	2%	0%	3%	26%	56%	0%	14%	100%	0%	6%	\$79,904
BG 2, CT 309.03	12%	1%	0%	56%	7%	1%	23%	93%	7%	5%	\$105,341
BG 3, CT 309.03	7%	0%	0%	29%	27%	0%	37%	95%	5%	8%	\$87,750
BG 4, CT 309.03	6%	1%	0%	47%	1%	0%	44%	84%	16%	8%	\$76,140
BG 1, CT 310	23%	2%	0%	37%	1%	2%	35%	90%	10%	3%	\$87,279
BG 2, CT 310	22%	0%	0%	36%	0%	2%	40%	79%	21%	19%	\$52,438
BG 3, CT 310	10%	0%	0%	29%	23%	1%	37%	84%	16%	14%	\$51,492
BG 4, CT 310	34%	0%	0%	52%	0%	0%	14%	81%	19%	6%	\$85,250
BG 1, CT 311.01	13%	0%	0%	5%	59%	1%	21%	83%	17%	14%	\$48,750
BG 2, CT 311.01	8%	0%	3%	59%	1%	0%	28%	83%	17%	5%	\$99,750
BG 3, CT 311.01	6%	0%	0%	60%	7%	5%	22%	90%	10%	15%	\$62,188
BG 4, CT 311.01	16%	2%	2%	10%	31%	2%	37%	82%	18%	18%	\$47,303
BG 1, CT 311.02	20%	0%	0%	32%	2%	0%	46%	95%	5%	4%	\$46,389
BG 2, CT 311.02	15%	0%	0%	49%	11%	2%	24%	79%	21%	8%	\$62,235
BG 3, CT 311.02	17%	0%	0%	48%	6%	1%	29%	87%	13%	8%	\$29,491
BG 1, CT 311.03	8%	0%	0%	68%	4%	0%	20%	100%	0%	11%	\$54,718

Block Group	Race (Percent) <sup>a</sup>							Ethnicity (Percent) <sup>a</sup>		Percent of Residents in Poverty <sup>a</sup>	Median Household Income
	White	Black or African American	American Indian or Alaska Native	Asian	Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	Some Other Race Alone	Multiple Races	Hispanic or Latino	Not Hispanic or Latino		
BG 2, CT 311.03	7%	0%	0%	42%	4%	0%	47%	100%	0%	18%	\$62,277
BG 3, CT 311.03	12%	0%	0%	62%	2%	0%	24%	89%	11%	5%	\$102,992
BG 4, CT 311.03	5%	0%	0%	83%	2%	0%	10%	99%	1%	2%	\$92,321
BG 1, CT 314.02	14%	0%	0%	23%	7%	0%	56%	96%	4%	5%	\$84,730
BG 2, CT 314.02	36%	1%	0%	31%	10%	0%	22%	97%	3%	5%	\$70,036
BG 1, CT 314.04	73%	3%	0%	11%	0%	0%	13%	85%	15%	13%	\$57,396
BG 2, CT 314.04	71%	0%	0%	19%	0%	0%	10%	94%	6%	10%	\$71,949
BG 3, CT 314.04	37%	2%	0%	20%	3%	2%	34%	94%	6%	18%	\$37,679
BG 1, CT 314.05	24%	0%	0%	28%	20%	0%	28%	88%	12%	24%	\$51,806
BG 2, CT 314.05	1%	0%	0%	74%	3%	0%	22%	99%	1%	5%	\$120,769
BG 3, CT 314.05	36%	0%	1%	42%	0%	0%	21%	82%	18%	9%	\$53,000
BG 4, CT 314.05	13%	0%	0%	58%	3%	0%	27%	93%	7%	0%	\$93,333
BG 1, CT 315.01	22%	0%	0%	32%	13%	4%	29%	96%	4%	4%	\$76,648
BG 2, CT 315.01	68%	0%	0%	21%	0%	0%	11%	92%	8%	3%	\$68,750
BG 1, CT 315.02	98%	0%	0%	2%	0%	0%	0%	100%	0%	0%	\$52,391
BG 2, CT 315.02	62%	0%	0%	23%	1%	0%	13%	87%	13%	2%	\$61,310
BG 3, CT 315.02	69%	0%	0%	16%	1%	1%	13%	89%	11%	1%	\$74,688
BG 1, CT 315.03	88%	0%	0%	3%	1%	1%	7%	93%	7%	5%	\$97,083
BG 2, CT 315.03	53%	3%	0%	11%	15%	0%	18%	71%	29%	9%	\$33,750
BG 1, CT 316.01	8%	0%	0%	55%	13%	1%	23%	79%	21%	4%	\$71,992
BG 2, CT 316.01	19%	0%	0%	51%	13%	0%	17%	88%	12%	21%	\$37,163
BG 1, CT 317	23%	0%	0%	2%	41%	0%	35%	79%	21%	31%	\$35,000
BG 2, CT 317	22%	0%	1%	11%	37%	0%	29%	91%	9%	22%	\$52,819
BG 3, CT 317	29%	0%	1%	0%	47%	0%	23%	92%	8%	30%	\$21,544
BG 4, CT 317	11%	0%	0%	31%	32%	2%	23%	95%	5%	20%	\$50,425
BG 5, CT 317	21%	2%	0%	25%	19%	0%	34%	97%	3%	2%	\$75,571
BG 1, CT 318.01	22%	0%	0%	4%	47%	0%	28%	94%	6%	25%	\$42,546
BG 2, CT 318.01	6%	2%	0%	14%	44%	1%	32%	90%	10%	17%	\$43,125
BG 1, CT 319	74%	0%	0%	9%	3%	6%	8%	91%	9%	16%	\$71,786
BG 2, CT 319	8%	1%	0%	46%	14%	0%	30%	96%	4%	14%	\$49,318

Block Group	Race (Percent) <sup>a</sup>							Ethnicity (Percent) <sup>a</sup>		Percent of Residents in Poverty <sup>a</sup>	Median Household Income
	White	Black or African American	American Indian or Alaska Native	Asian	Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	Some Other Race Alone	Multiple Races	Hispanic or Latino	Not Hispanic or Latino		
BG 3, CT 319	10%	0%	0%	55%	2%	0%	33%	89%	11%	21%	\$98,056
BG 4, CT 319	6%	2%	1%	47%	7%	0%	38%	95%	5%	18%	\$58,750
BG 1, CT 320	89%	0%	0%	4%	1%	3%	3%	95%	5%	10%	\$63,438
BG 2, CT 320	79%	0%	0%	3%	2%	0%	16%	89%	11%	4%	\$85,865
BG 1, CT 9800	No Population										
BG 0, CT 9900	No Population										
BG 0, CT 9902	No Population										
BG 0, CT 9912	No Population										

Source: U.S. Census Bureau 2013

BG = Block Group; CT = Census Tract; ND = no data

<sup>a</sup> Totals may not add to 100 percent due to rounding.

**Table 3: Block Group Demographic Data, American Samoa, 2010**

Block Group	Race and Ethnicity <sup>a,b</sup>								Percent of Residents in Poverty <sup>a</sup>	Median Household Income
	Asian	Black or African American	Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander--Samoan	White	Hispanic or Latino	Some Other Race Alone	Multiple Races		
BG 1, CT 9501	0%	0%	98%	98%	0%	0%	0%	1%	47.6%	\$29,063
BG 2, CT 9501	1%	0%	97%	97%	0%	0%	0%	2%	61.0%	\$22,813
BG 1, CT 9502	0%	0%	97%	97%	0%	0%	0%	3%	56.4%	\$25,833
BG 2, CT 9502	5%	0%	92%	90%	0%	0%	0%	2%	62.5%	\$21,667
BG 1, CT 9503	1%	0%	97%	96%	0%	0%	0%	2%	56.6%	\$27,727
BG 2, CT 9503	1%	0%	97%	96%	0%	0%	0%	1%	52.5%	\$24,375
BG 3, CT 9503	2%	0%	93%	92%	1%	0%	0%	3%	50.9%	\$28,875
BG 1, CT 9505	9%	0%	86%	83%	1%	0%	0%	4%	57.0%	\$25,875
BG 2, CT 9505	5%	0%	93%	92%	0%	0%	0%	2%	67.0%	\$20,114
BG 3, CT 9505	6%	0%	92%	91%	0%	0%	0%	2%	66.8%	\$17,639
BG 1, CT 9506	5%	0%	89%	88%	0%	1%	0%	5%	55.3%	\$22,039
BG 2, CT 9506	3%	0%	94%	92%	0%	0%	0%	3%	54.1%	\$22,969
BG 3, CT 9506	2%	0%	97%	97%	0%	0%	0%	1%	67.4%	\$20,833
BG 1, CT 9507	3%	0%	89%	88%	2%	0%	0%	5%	52.4%	\$33,438
BG 2, CT 9507	8%	0%	88%	86%	0%	0%	0%	4%	62.7%	\$20,972
BG 3, CT 9507	6%	0%	86%	82%	1%	1%	0%	6%	46.2%	\$26,818
BG 1, CT 9509	0%	0%	98%	98%	0%	0%	0%	1%	62.6%	\$22,813
BG 2, CT 9509	10%	0%	85%	81%	1%	0%	0%	5%	58.3%	\$22,019
BG 3, CT 9509	10%	0%	85%	82%	2%	0%	0%	3%	58.2%	\$21,324
BG 4, CT 9509	6%	0%	91%	90%	1%	0%	0%	2%	41.5%	\$31,667
BG 1, CT 9518	0%	0%	99%	99%	0%	0%	0%	1%	56.1%	\$16,429
BG 2, CT 9518	1%	0%	97%	97%	1%	0%	0%	1%	68.7%	\$18,269
BG 1, CT 9519	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
BG 1, CT 9520	6%	0%	94%	88%	0%	0%	0%	0%	11.8%	\$27,500
BG 1, CT 9510	4%	0%	91%	81%	1%	0%	0%	3%	68.0%	\$22,273
BG 2, CT 9510	12%	0%	87%	82%	0%	0%	0%	1%	63.4%	\$21,500

Block Group	Race and Ethnicity <sup>a,b</sup>								Percent of Residents in Poverty <sup>a</sup>	Median Household Income
	Asian	Black or African American	Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander--Samoan	White	Hispanic or Latino	Some Other Race Alone	Multiple Races		
BG 1, CT 9511	3%	0%	95%	79%	1%	0%	0%	1%	58.6%	\$22,833
BG 2, CT 9511	2%	0%	96%	82%	0%	0%	0%	2%	68.9%	\$19,375
BG 3, CT 9511	1%	0%	95%	92%	0%	0%	0%	3%	68.2%	\$20,481
BG 1, CT 9512.01	6%	0%	76%	67%	8%	0%	1%	8%	23.0%	\$45,625
BG 2, CT 9512.01	3%	0%	95%	91%	0%	0%	0%	2%	64.0%	\$20,000
BG 1, CT 9512.02	5%	0%	88%	82%	2%	0%	0%	4%	47.2%	\$31,488
BG 2, CT 9512.02	6%	0%	90%	86%	2%	0%	0%	3%	49.6%	\$31,528
BG 1, CT 9512.03	4%	0%	91%	86%	2%	0%	0%	3%	50.6%	\$28,750
BG 2, CT 9512.03	3%	0%	90%	88%	3%	0%	0%	3%	50.5%	\$31,346
BG 3, CT 9512.03	1%	0%	93%	87%	1%	0%	0%	5%	59.6%	\$24,479
BG 1, CT 9513	2%	0%	96%	96%	0%	0%	1%	1%	53.6%	\$23,594
BG 2, CT 9513	1%	0%	96%	95%	1%	0%	0%	2%	62.8%	\$22,917
BG 1, CT 9515	1%	0%	98%	98%	0%	0%	0%	1%	64.8%	\$22,426
BG 1, CT 9516	2%	0%	96%	95%	0%	0%	0%	2%	56.7%	\$20,417
BG 2, CT 9516	0%	0%	97%	96%	0%	0%	0%	3%	64.8%	\$25,114
BG 3, CT 9516	2%	0%	95%	94%	1%	0%	0%	2%	47.3%	\$31,500
BG 4, CT 9516	3%	0%	92%	90%	1%	0%	0%	3%	51.7%	\$26,484

Source: U.S. Census Bureau 2010

BG = Block Group; CT = Census Tract; ND = no data

<sup>a</sup> Totals may not add to 100 percent, due to rounding.

<sup>b</sup> For American Samoa, the U.S. Census Bureau did not distinguish between race and ethnicity.

**Table 4: Block Group Demographic Data, Guam, 2010**

Block Group	Race and Ethnicity <sup>a,b</sup>								Percent of Residents in Poverty <sup>a</sup>	Median Household Income
	Asian	Black or African American	Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander--Chamorro	White	Hispanic or Latino	Some Other Race Alone	Multiple Races		
BG 1, CT 9501	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
BG 2, CT 9501	6%	18%	1%	1%	59%	11%	2%	5%	0.0%	\$26,250
BG 3, CT 9501	13%	7%	7%	4%	56%	5%	1%	10%	7.3%	\$63,333
BG 4, CT 9501	15%	10%	6%	5%	53%	8%	1%	7%	5.8%	\$52,500
BG 5, CT 9501	14%	8%	6%	4%	51%	9%	0%	11%	11.5%	\$44,063
BG 1, CT 9502	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
BG 2, CT 9502	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
BG 3, CT 9502	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
BG 4, CT 9502	10%	9%	11%	7%	49%	7%	0%	13%	11.7%	\$40,417
BG 5, CT 9502	5%	3%	9%	9%	63%	6%	2%	13%	13.2%	\$37,000
BG 1, CT 9503	0%	0%	50%	50%	50%	0%	0%	0%	100.0%	\$11,250
BG 2, CT 9503	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
BG 3, CT 9503	0%	0%	60%	60%	0%	0%	0%	40%	100.0%	\$23,750
BG 4, CT 9503	20%	24%	9%	9%	34%	1%	0%	12%	5.0%	\$51,250
BG 5, CT 9503	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
BG 6, CT 9503	0%	56%	0%	0%	44%	0%	0%	0%	0.0%	\$98,750
BG 7, CT 9503	25%	11%	5%	4%	40%	10%	2%	7%	13.7%	\$51,250
BG 8, CT 9503	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
BG 9, CT 9503	0%	0%	78%	78%	0%	0%	22%	0%	50.0%	\$41,250
BG 1, CT 9504.01	71%	0%	20%	13%	1%	0%	0%	7%	22.0%	\$44,107
BG 2, CT 9504.01	76%	0%	17%	12%	1%	0%	0%	5%	17.9%	\$46,458
BG 3, CT 9504.01	66%	1%	26%	14%	2%	0%	0%	6%	24.0%	\$40,833
BG 4, CT 9504.01	57%	0%	33%	27%	2%	0%	0%	7%	24.3%	\$45,000
BG 5, CT 9504.01	51%	0%	35%	18%	3%	0%	0%	10%	35.5%	\$35,417
BG 6, CT 9504.01	46%	0%	45%	24%	1%	0%	0%	7%	30.0%	\$37,404
BG 1, CT 9504.02	67%	0%	25%	14%	1%	0%	0%	7%	18.7%	\$50,682
BG 2, CT 9504.02	44%	1%	41%	18%	3%	0%	0%	10%	29.8%	\$51,094

Block Group	Race and Ethnicity <sup>a,b</sup>								Percent of Residents in Poverty <sup>a</sup>	Median Household Income
	Asian	Black or African American	Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander--Chamorro	White	Hispanic or Latino	Some Other Race Alone	Multiple Races		
BG 3, CT 9504.02	32%	1%	52%	37%	5%	1%	0%	9%	31.3%	\$36,607
BG 1, CT 9505.01	19%	0%	67%	53%	3%	0%	0%	11%	34.0%	\$38,214
BG 2, CT 9505.01	33%	0%	59%	14%	2%	0%	0%	5%	43.7%	\$33,000
BG 1, CT 9505.02	55%	0%	38%	20%	1%	0%	1%	5%	29.6%	\$41,094
BG 2, CT 9505.02	47%	0%	40%	23%	4%	1%	0%	9%	23.0%	\$41,875
BG 3, CT 9505.02	51%	0%	37%	29%	1%	1%	0%	9%	23.8%	\$49,375
BG 4, CT 9505.02	59%	0%	32%	21%	3%	0%	0%	6%	19.2%	\$46,563
BG 5, CT 9505.02	35%	0%	53%	37%	4%	0%	0%	8%	27.9%	\$41,161
BG 1, CT 9507.01	38%	5%	19%	13%	23%	3%	1%	12%	10.5%	\$61,250
BG 2, CT 9507.01	51%	1%	29%	19%	6%	0%	1%	13%	23.2%	\$57,500
BG 3, CT 9507.01	27%	0%	58%	49%	5%	0%	0%	10%	22.8%	\$49,792
BG 4, CT 9507.01	50%	0%	38%	31%	2%	0%	0%	10%	27.7%	\$38,750
BG 5, CT 9507.01	46%	1%	43%	31%	2%	0%	0%	8%	25.0%	\$43,125
BG 6, CT 9507.01	52%	0%	34%	26%	4%	1%	0%	10%	22.4%	\$45,179
BG 7, CT 9507.01	58%	0%	31%	21%	2%	0%	0%	8%	14.7%	\$56,458
BG 1, CT 9507.02	25%	0%	65%	53%	2%	0%	0%	7%	35.4%	\$29,821
BG 2, CT 9507.02	30%	0%	55%	36%	4%	1%	0%	10%	29.3%	\$35,625
BG 3, CT 9507.02	50%	1%	35%	27%	5%	0%	0%	8%	21.1%	\$51,563
BG 4, CT 9507.02	36%	0%	53%	31%	2%	0%	0%	8%	32.2%	\$42,679
BG 5, CT 9507.02	42%	1%	43%	38%	1%	0%	0%	13%	18.2%	\$54,375
BG 1, CT 9508.01	20%	0%	68%	56%	2%	0%	0%	10%	41.1%	\$32,708
BG 2, CT 9508.01	40%	0%	52%	37%	1%	0%	0%	6%	24.5%	\$47,083
BG 3, CT 9508.01	30%	0%	57%	44%	3%	1%	0%	9%	29.4%	\$41,705
BG 4, CT 9508.01	25%	0%	67%	60%	2%	0%	0%	7%	36.8%	\$35,938
BG 1, CT 9508.02	30%	0%	55%	37%	2%	1%	0%	12%	34.5%	\$35,000
BG 2, CT 9508.02	44%	1%	42%	32%	2%	0%	0%	11%	17.1%	\$54,375
BG 3, CT 9508.02	29%	0%	58%	25%	2%	0%	0%	11%	30.9%	\$36,563
BG 4, CT 9508.02	22%	0%	65%	42%	4%	0%	0%	8%	49.6%	\$30,625
BG 1, CT 9509	49%	1%	30%	17%	9%	1%	1%	10%	23.1%	\$39,205

Block Group	Race and Ethnicity <sup>a,b</sup>								Percent of Residents in Poverty <sup>a</sup>	Median Household Income
	Asian	Black or African American	Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander--Chamorro	White	Hispanic or Latino	Some Other Race Alone	Multiple Races		
BG 2, CT 9509	59%	0%	30%	25%	2%	1%	0%	8%	16.5%	\$48,889
BG 3, CT 9509	52%	0%	34%	28%	1%	0%	0%	12%	24.0%	\$55,625
BG 4, CT 9509	61%	0%	27%	22%	2%	0%	0%	10%	14.0%	\$62,679
BG 5, CT 9509	55%	0%	33%	23%	3%	0%	0%	7%	22.9%	\$39,821
BG 6, CT 9509	69%	0%	22%	13%	1%	0%	0%	8%	19.5%	\$52,750
BG 7, CT 9509	54%	0%	40%	31%	1%	0%	0%	6%	15.6%	\$45,750
BG 1, CT 9510	57%	0%	32%	23%	1%	0%	0%	10%	11.8%	\$47,250
BG 2, CT 9510	45%	0%	48%	37%	1%	0%	0%	6%	16.3%	\$51,250
BG 3, CT 9510	41%	0%	47%	37%	1%	0%	0%	11%	21.8%	\$50,000
BG 4, CT 9510	13%	0%	72%	71%	1%	0%	0%	13%	20.5%	\$67,500
BG 5, CT 9510	36%	0%	50%	31%	1%	0%	0%	13%	25.9%	\$37,031
BG 6, CT 9510	61%	0%	32%	26%	1%	0%	0%	6%	13.2%	\$49,107
BG 7, CT 9510	39%	1%	51%	38%	1%	0%	0%	8%	37.6%	\$29,167
BG 8, CT 9510	69%	0%	21%	11%	2%	0%	0%	8%	15.4%	\$51,250
BG 1, CT 9511	57%	1%	26%	17%	5%	0%	1%	10%	19.0%	\$47,143
BG 2, CT 9511	57%	1%	26%	18%	4%	1%	0%	11%	17.9%	\$56,429
BG 3, CT 9511	39%	0%	55%	19%	1%	0%	0%	6%	31.6%	\$36,944
BG 4, CT 9511	34%	0%	53%	35%	2%	0%	0%	10%	34.3%	\$36,250
BG 5, CT 9511	50%	1%	37%	25%	2%	0%	0%	9%	26.2%	\$39,464
BG 6, CT 9511	48%	1%	40%	25%	2%	1%	0%	8%	25.8%	\$45,956
BG 1, CT 9516	13%	0%	83%	52%	0%	0%	0%	4%	30.0%	\$53,750
BG 2, CT 9516	33%	7%	33%	33%	0%	0%	0%	27%	13.3%	\$51,250
BG 3, CT 9516	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
BG 1, CT 9517	37%	1%	37%	31%	12%	2%	1%	10%	11.1%	\$91,250
BG 2, CT 9517	45%	0%	39%	26%	5%	0%	0%	10%	27.5%	\$54,167
BG 1, CT 9518	10%	0%	79%	56%	3%	1%	1%	7%	20.9%	\$52,917
BG 1, CT 9519.01	43%	1%	42%	7%	3%	0%	0%	11%	45.3%	\$30,568
BG 2, CT 9519.01	62%	0%	25%	13%	4%	1%	1%	6%	30.6%	\$30,096
BG 3, CT 9519.01	65%	1%	17%	9%	10%	1%	0%	7%	19.4%	\$40,313

Block Group	Race and Ethnicity <sup>a,b</sup>								Percent of Residents in Poverty <sup>a</sup>	Median Household Income
	Asian	Black or African American	Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander--Chamorro	White	Hispanic or Latino	Some Other Race Alone	Multiple Races		
BG 4, CT 9519.01	61%	1%	24%	14%	6%	1%	0%	8%	23.6%	\$39,432
BG 1, CT 9519.02	58%	0%	34%	7%	3%	0%	0%	4%	32.6%	\$31,000
BG 2, CT 9519.02	56%	0%	38%	4%	1%	0%	0%	4%	45.8%	\$24,464
BG 3, CT 9519.02	53%	1%	19%	15%	16%	3%	1%	7%	17.5%	\$46,111
BG 4, CT 9519.02	54%	2%	15%	12%	18%	1%	1%	9%	15.5%	\$52,500
BG 5, CT 9519.02	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
BG 1, CT 9522	53%	0%	34%	21%	2%	0%	1%	10%	24.5%	\$39,375
BG 2, CT 9522	50%	0%	30%	20%	6%	0%	1%	13%	23.7%	\$37,500
BG 3, CT 9522	56%	1%	29%	17%	3%	1%	0%	9%	18.6%	\$47,321
BG 4, CT 9522	55%	1%	31%	18%	3%	0%	0%	10%	20.9%	\$42,500
BG 1, CT 9523	50%	1%	27%	17%	10%	1%	1%	10%	15.7%	\$49,167
BG 2, CT 9523	44%	1%	27%	18%	12%	1%	2%	13%	12.5%	\$62,045
BG 3, CT 9523	26%	0%	57%	22%	4%	0%	0%	12%	42.5%	\$35,417
BG 1, CT 9524	42%	1%	38%	20%	5%	0%	1%	12%	32.5%	\$31,518
BG 2, CT 9524	30%	1%	52%	16%	7%	1%	0%	8%	37.0%	\$32,188
BG 1, CT 9527	18%	0%	68%	65%	3%	0%	0%	10%	21.2%	\$61,500
BG 2, CT 9527	18%	0%	68%	60%	4%	0%	0%	10%	14.2%	\$53,594
BG 3, CT 9527	14%	0%	70%	62%	5%	0%	1%	10%	18.0%	\$62,857
BG 4, CT 9527	17%	0%	62%	52%	12%	0%	0%	8%	17.3%	\$65,000
BG 5, CT 9527	35%	0%	50%	32%	6%	0%	0%	8%	28.6%	\$45,000
BG 1, CT 9528	0%	0%	67%	67%	17%	0%	0%	17%	50.0%	\$98,750
BG 2, CT 9528	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
BG 1, CT 9529	13%	4%	57%	43%	15%	2%	0%	10%	18.2%	\$51,324
BG 2, CT 9529	12%	1%	75%	47%	3%	0%	0%	9%	35.4%	\$35,288
BG 3, CT 9529	25%	0%	64%	22%	4%	0%	1%	6%	31.7%	\$52,500
BG 4, CT 9529	17%	1%	68%	57%	5%	0%	0%	9%	19.7%	\$56,406
BG 5, CT 9529	12%	0%	73%	54%	3%	0%	0%	12%	27.7%	\$54,375
BG 6, CT 9529	29%	0%	59%	47%	2%	0%	0%	10%	21.5%	\$56,071
BG 1, CT 9530	15%	1%	65%	40%	6%	0%	0%	12%	31.4%	\$44,167

Block Group	Race and Ethnicity <sup>a,b</sup>								Percent of Residents in Poverty <sup>a</sup>	Median Household Income
	Asian	Black or African American	Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander--Chamorro	White	Hispanic or Latino	Some Other Race Alone	Multiple Races		
BG 2, CT 9530	16%	1%	65%	39%	8%	0%	0%	11%	20.2%	\$60,750
BG 3, CT 9530	12%	1%	70%	60%	3%	1%	0%	13%	19.6%	\$60,417
BG 4, CT 9530	20%	0%	63%	48%	4%	1%	1%	11%	29.5%	\$40,147
BG 5, CT 9530	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
BG 1, CT 9531.01	14%	0%	65%	58%	9%	1%	0%	12%	11.2%	\$65,893
BG 2, CT 9531.01	13%	1%	69%	61%	7%	0%	0%	10%	14.6%	\$61,438
BG 3, CT 9531.01	21%	0%	64%	55%	4%	1%	0%	10%	23.1%	\$51,625
BG 1, CT 9531.02	12%	1%	69%	63%	5%	1%	0%	11%	19.2%	\$58,000
BG 2, CT 9531.02	15%	0%	64%	57%	9%	1%	0%	10%	21.5%	\$45,682
BG 3, CT 9531.02	26%	0%	63%	46%	2%	0%	0%	8%	18.6%	\$57,813
BG 1, CT 9532	15%	1%	66%	58%	6%	0%	0%	11%	16.1%	\$58,125
BG 2, CT 9532	11%	0%	76%	69%	2%	0%	0%	10%	12.9%	\$60,156
BG 3, CT 9532	14%	0%	72%	62%	5%	0%	0%	9%	27.4%	\$43,750
BG 1, CT 9533	16%	0%	68%	60%	4%	0%	0%	11%	20.4%	\$45,714
BG 2, CT 9533	40%	0%	44%	35%	2%	0%	0%	14%	17.6%	\$45,000
BG 3, CT 9533	23%	0%	64%	54%	2%	1%	1%	9%	23.9%	\$40,208
BG 4, CT 9533	20%	1%	61%	41%	6%	0%	0%	11%	33.6%	\$34,015
BG 1, CT 9534	21%	1%	60%	42%	3%	0%	0%	15%	26.2%	\$42,188
BG 2, CT 9534	19%	0%	62%	35%	5%	1%	0%	13%	35.3%	\$33,393
BG 1, CT 9535	23%	9%	12%	12%	48%	6%	1%	1%	10.2%	\$31,250
BG 1, CT 9536	11%	0%	77%	63%	2%	0%	0%	9%	25.9%	\$56,667
BG 2, CT 9536	8%	1%	76%	57%	4%	0%	0%	10%	26.2%	\$44,286
BG 3, CT 9536	12%	1%	72%	62%	6%	0%	0%	8%	14.2%	\$57,500
BG 4, CT 9536	6%	0%	78%	74%	6%	1%	0%	8%	16.2%	\$63,125
BG 5, CT 9536	11%	1%	63%	59%	9%	1%	0%	14%	10.4%	\$48,125
BG 1, CT 9539	7%	0%	81%	68%	4%	0%	0%	8%	38.6%	\$40,750
BG 2, CT 9539	7%	0%	79%	71%	4%	2%	0%	8%	15.5%	\$57,417
BG 3, CT 9539	6%	0%	85%	73%	1%	1%	0%	6%	25.0%	\$52,614
BG 4, CT 9539	8%	0%	75%	69%	9%	0%	0%	8%	20.1%	\$57,917

Block Group	Race and Ethnicity <sup>a,b</sup>								Percent of Residents in Poverty <sup>a</sup>	Median Household Income
	Asian	Black or African American	Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander--Chamorro	White	Hispanic or Latino	Some Other Race Alone	Multiple Races		
BG 1, CT 9540	10%	1%	66%	60%	12%	1%	0%	10%	20.3%	\$70,536
BG 2, CT 9540	15%	1%	59%	52%	12%	0%	1%	13%	10.1%	\$81,250
BG 1, CT 9543	16%	3%	45%	42%	22%	1%	0%	14%	11.0%	\$75,000
BG 2, CT 9543	6%	1%	75%	63%	7%	0%	0%	10%	24.2%	\$50,500
BG 1, CT 9544	3%	5%	56%	46%	21%	6%	0%	10%	20.6%	\$43,750
BG 2, CT 9544	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
BG 3, CT 9544	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
BG 4, CT 9544	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
BG 5, CT 9544	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
BG 6, CT 9544	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
BG 7, CT 9544	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
BG 1, CT 9545	8%	16%	1%	0%	59%	7%	1%	8%	16.0%	\$38,750
BG 2, CT 9545	10%	8%	9%	5%	61%	6%	0%	6%	10.2%	\$68,125
BG 3, CT 9545	6%	13%	9%	7%	54%	9%	1%	8%	12.3%	\$44,643
BG 4, CT 9545	3%	7%	0%	0%	83%	2%	2%	4%	ND	ND
BG 5, CT 9545	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
BG 1, CT 9547	16%	1%	68%	63%	4%	0%	0%	11%	22.9%	\$59,375
BG 2, CT 9547	22%	0%	69%	62%	1%	0%	0%	8%	20.0%	\$50,000
BG 3, CT 9547	34%	1%	49%	45%	2%	1%	0%	13%	16.7%	\$46,477
BG 1, CT 9548	15%	3%	69%	65%	5%	0%	0%	7%	38.0%	\$35,000
BG 2, CT 9548	13%	0%	76%	53%	3%	0%	1%	7%	55.8%	\$26,023
BG 3, CT 9548	40%	1%	43%	42%	3%	1%	0%	12%	14.3%	\$52,656
BG 4, CT 9548	22%	1%	64%	62%	2%	0%	0%	10%	22.0%	\$50,250
BG 1, CT 9551	8%	1%	61%	53%	17%	2%	0%	11%	14.1%	\$67,500
BG 2, CT 9551	5%	1%	77%	75%	8%	0%	0%	10%	17.3%	\$65,625
BG 3, CT 9551	7%	0%	81%	70%	6%	1%	0%	5%	29.4%	\$53,438
BG 4, CT 9551	7%	0%	73%	70%	8%	1%	1%	11%	16.9%	\$64,375
BG 1, CT 9552	2%	0%	84%	81%	8%	0%	0%	6%	13.8%	\$60,000
BG 2, CT 9552	3%	0%	87%	81%	4%	0%	0%	5%	20.2%	\$56,944

Block Group	Race and Ethnicity <sup>a,b</sup>								Percent of Residents in Poverty <sup>a</sup>	Median Household Income
	Asian	Black or African American	Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander--Chamorro	White	Hispanic or Latino	Some Other Race Alone	Multiple Races		
BG 3, CT 9552	5%	0%	84%	81%	3%	0%	0%	8%	17.1%	\$52,308
BG 1, CT 9553	3%	0%	85%	81%	2%	0%	0%	10%	26.6%	\$55,114
BG 2, CT 9553	5%	0%	78%	74%	4%	0%	0%	12%	22.1%	\$58,000
BG 1, CT 9554	2%	1%	80%	75%	2%	0%	0%	15%	28.9%	\$48,750
BG 1, CT 9556	26%	1%	60%	52%	4%	0%	0%	9%	17.3%	\$68,333
BG 2, CT 9556	11%	0%	76%	65%	2%	0%	0%	11%	30.2%	\$48,750
BG 1, CT 9557	19%	0%	68%	41%	3%	0%	0%	9%	34.1%	\$41,161
BG 2, CT 9557	15%	0%	79%	74%	0%	0%	0%	5%	66.7%	\$16,250
BG 3, CT 9557	47%	1%	35%	25%	6%	0%	0%	11%	17.8%	\$53,611
BG 4, CT 9557	39%	1%	39%	27%	7%	1%	0%	13%	15.2%	\$69,583
BG 5, CT 9557	59%	1%	30%	15%	3%	0%	0%	7%	17.6%	\$50,938
BG 6, CT 9557	32%	0%	57%	51%	2%	0%	0%	9%	28.1%	\$41,625
BG 7, CT 9557	57%	0%	21%	14%	7%	0%	0%	14%	7.1%	\$103,750
BG 1, CT 9558	47%	1%	43%	27%	3%	0%	0%	7%	29.5%	\$40,417
BG 2, CT 9558	50%	0%	41%	9%	2%	0%	0%	7%	35.6%	\$50,000
BG 3, CT 9558	50%	1%	36%	29%	5%	0%	0%	7%	8.1%	\$61,458
BG 4, CT 9558	39%	1%	39%	32%	10%	1%	1%	10%	8.0%	\$65,000
BG 5, CT 9558	53%	2%	34%	16%	5%	0%	0%	7%	21.8%	\$41,071
BG 6, CT 9558	33%	1%	53%	40%	2%	0%	1%	10%	18.7%	\$51,563
BG 7, CT 9558	39%	1%	47%	33%	3%	0%	0%	11%	22.3%	\$50,938
BG 8, CT 9558	57%	1%	31%	17%	3%	0%	0%	9%	20.4%	\$50,000
BG 9, CT 9558	54%	2%	24%	22%	11%	0%	0%	8%	14.2%	\$57,045
BG 1, CT 9559	38%	3%	27%	23%	20%	1%	1%	9%	16.7%	\$61,250
BG 2, CT 9559	44%	1%	26%	20%	15%	2%	2%	10%	7.8%	\$72,159
BG 3, CT 9559	39%	3%	30%	14%	19%	2%	1%	6%	14.9%	\$54,196
BG 1, CT 9560	15%	1%	70%	53%	4%	0%	0%	10%	22.9%	\$46,625
BG 2, CT 9560	14%	0%	71%	42%	3%	1%	0%	10%	46.6%	\$33,000
BG 1, CT 9561	8%	0%	73%	67%	6%	1%	0%	12%	18.7%	\$53,250
BG 2, CT 9561	10%	3%	18%	18%	50%	3%	0%	15%	6.6%	\$76,250

Block Group	Race and Ethnicity <sup>a,b</sup>								Percent of Residents in Poverty <sup>a</sup>	Median Household Income
	Asian	Black or African American	Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander--Chamorro	White	Hispanic or Latino	Some Other Race Alone	Multiple Races		
BG 3, CT 9561	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
BG 4, CT 9561	10%	0%	61%	54%	13%	1%	1%	14%	19.7%	\$59,107
BG 1, CT 9562	26%	1%	56%	54%	9%	1%	0%	8%	15.7%	\$61,389
BG 2, CT 9562	25%	0%	58%	56%	8%	0%	1%	7%	13.1%	\$64,063
BG 3, CT 9562	13%	5%	6%	5%	63%	0%	1%	12%	1.1%	\$96,250
BG 4, CT 9562	33%	0%	50%	46%	3%	0%	0%	13%	17.9%	\$56,250
BG 5, CT 9562	14%	0%	66%	63%	6%	0%	0%	13%	21.3%	\$53,438
BG 6, CT 9562	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
BG 1, CT 9563	11%	0%	63%	33%	7%	0%	0%	19%	51.9%	\$23,750
BG 2, CT 9563	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
BG 3, CT 9563	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	9.7%	\$-
BG 4, CT 9563	34%	1%	50%	38%	4%	0%	1%	10%	12.7%	\$56,094
BG 5, CT 9563	26%	0%	59%	28%	3%	1%	0%	11%	36.9%	\$40,893
BG 1, CT 9801	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
BG 1, CT 9802	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
BG 1, CT 9803	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
BG 1, CT 9804	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
BG 0, CT 9900	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
BG 1, CT 9501	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
BG 2, CT 9501	6%	18%	1%	1%	59%	11%	2%	5%	0.0%	\$26,250
BG 3, CT 9501	13%	7%	7%	4%	56%	5%	1%	10%	7.3%	\$63,333
BG 4, CT 9501	15%	10%	6%	5%	53%	8%	1%	7%	5.8%	\$52,500
BG 5, CT 9501	14%	8%	6%	4%	51%	9%	0%	11%	11.5%	\$44,063
BG 1, CT 9502	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
BG 2, CT 9502	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
BG 3, CT 9502	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
BG 4, CT 9502	10%	9%	11%	7%	49%	7%	0%	13%	11.7%	\$40,417
BG 5, CT 9502	5%	3%	9%	9%	63%	6%	2%	13%	13.2%	\$37,000
BG 1, CT 9503	0%	0%	50%	50%	50%	0%	0%	0%	100.0%	\$11,250

Block Group	Race and Ethnicity <sup>a,b</sup>								Percent of Residents in Poverty <sup>a</sup>	Median Household Income
	Asian	Black or African American	Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander--Chamorro	White	Hispanic or Latino	Some Other Race Alone	Multiple Races		
BG 2, CT 9503	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
BG 3, CT 9503	0%	0%	60%	60%	0%	0%	0%	40%	100.0%	\$23,750
BG 4, CT 9503	20%	24%	9%	9%	34%	1%	0%	12%	5.0%	\$51,250
BG 5, CT 9503	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
BG 6, CT 9503	0%	56%	0%	0%	44%	0%	0%	0%	0.0%	\$98,750
BG 7, CT 9503	25%	11%	5%	4%	40%	10%	2%	7%	13.7%	\$51,250
BG 8, CT 9503	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
BG 9, CT 9503	0%	0%	78%	78%	0%	0%	22%	0%	50.0%	\$41,250
BG 1, CT 9504.01	71%	0%	20%	13%	1%	0%	0%	7%	22.0%	\$44,107
BG 2, CT 9504.01	76%	0%	17%	12%	1%	0%	0%	5%	17.9%	\$46,458
BG 3, CT 9504.01	66%	1%	26%	14%	2%	0%	0%	6%	24.0%	\$40,833
BG 4, CT 9504.01	57%	0%	33%	27%	2%	0%	0%	7%	24.3%	\$45,000
BG 5, CT 9504.01	51%	0%	35%	18%	3%	0%	0%	10%	35.5%	\$35,417
BG 6, CT 9504.01	46%	0%	45%	24%	1%	0%	0%	7%	30.0%	\$37,404
BG 1, CT 9504.02	67%	0%	25%	14%	1%	0%	0%	7%	18.7%	\$50,682
BG 2, CT 9504.02	44%	1%	41%	18%	3%	0%	0%	10%	29.8%	\$51,094
BG 3, CT 9504.02	32%	1%	52%	37%	5%	1%	0%	9%	31.3%	\$36,607
BG 1, CT 9505.01	19%	0%	67%	53%	3%	0%	0%	11%	34.0%	\$38,214
BG 2, CT 9505.01	33%	0%	59%	14%	2%	0%	0%	5%	43.7%	\$33,000
BG 1, CT 9505.02	55%	0%	38%	20%	1%	0%	1%	5%	29.6%	\$41,094
BG 2, CT 9505.02	47%	0%	40%	23%	4%	1%	0%	9%	23.0%	\$41,875
BG 3, CT 9505.02	51%	0%	37%	29%	1%	1%	0%	9%	23.8%	\$49,375
BG 4, CT 9505.02	59%	0%	32%	21%	3%	0%	0%	6%	19.2%	\$46,563
BG 5, CT 9505.02	35%	0%	53%	37%	4%	0%	0%	8%	27.9%	\$41,161
BG 1, CT 9507.01	38%	5%	19%	13%	23%	3%	1%	12%	10.5%	\$61,250
BG 2, CT 9507.01	51%	1%	29%	19%	6%	0%	1%	13%	23.2%	\$57,500
BG 3, CT 9507.01	27%	0%	58%	49%	5%	0%	0%	10%	22.8%	\$49,792
BG 4, CT 9507.01	50%	0%	38%	31%	2%	0%	0%	10%	27.7%	\$38,750
BG 5, CT 9507.01	46%	1%	43%	31%	2%	0%	0%	8%	25.0%	\$43,125

Block Group	Race and Ethnicity <sup>a,b</sup>								Percent of Residents in Poverty <sup>a</sup>	Median Household Income
	Asian	Black or African American	Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander--Chamorro	White	Hispanic or Latino	Some Other Race Alone	Multiple Races		
BG 6, CT 9507.01	52%	0%	34%	26%	4%	1%	0%	10%	22.4%	\$45,179
BG 7, CT 9507.01	58%	0%	31%	21%	2%	0%	0%	8%	14.7%	\$56,458
BG 1, CT 9507.02	25%	0%	65%	53%	2%	0%	0%	7%	35.4%	\$29,821
BG 2, CT 9507.02	30%	0%	55%	36%	4%	1%	0%	10%	29.3%	\$35,625
BG 3, CT 9507.02	50%	1%	35%	27%	5%	0%	0%	8%	21.1%	\$51,563
BG 4, CT 9507.02	36%	0%	53%	31%	2%	0%	0%	8%	32.2%	\$42,679
BG 5, CT 9507.02	42%	1%	43%	38%	1%	0%	0%	13%	18.2%	\$54,375
BG 1, CT 9508.01	20%	0%	68%	56%	2%	0%	0%	10%	41.1%	\$32,708
BG 2, CT 9508.01	40%	0%	52%	37%	1%	0%	0%	6%	24.5%	\$47,083
BG 3, CT 9508.01	30%	0%	57%	44%	3%	1%	0%	9%	29.4%	\$41,705
BG 4, CT 9508.01	25%	0%	67%	60%	2%	0%	0%	7%	36.8%	\$35,938
BG 1, CT 9508.02	30%	0%	55%	37%	2%	1%	0%	12%	34.5%	\$35,000
BG 2, CT 9508.02	44%	1%	42%	32%	2%	0%	0%	11%	17.1%	\$54,375
BG 3, CT 9508.02	29%	0%	58%	25%	2%	0%	0%	11%	30.9%	\$36,563
BG 4, CT 9508.02	22%	0%	65%	42%	4%	0%	0%	8%	49.6%	\$30,625
BG 1, CT 9509	49%	1%	30%	17%	9%	1%	1%	10%	23.1%	\$39,205
BG 2, CT 9509	59%	0%	30%	25%	2%	1%	0%	8%	16.5%	\$48,889
BG 3, CT 9509	52%	0%	34%	28%	1%	0%	0%	12%	24.0%	\$55,625
BG 4, CT 9509	61%	0%	27%	22%	2%	0%	0%	10%	14.0%	\$62,679
BG 5, CT 9509	55%	0%	33%	23%	3%	0%	0%	7%	22.9%	\$39,821
BG 6, CT 9509	69%	0%	22%	13%	1%	0%	0%	8%	19.5%	\$52,750
BG 7, CT 9509	54%	0%	40%	31%	1%	0%	0%	6%	15.6%	\$45,750
BG 1, CT 9510	57%	0%	32%	23%	1%	0%	0%	10%	11.8%	\$47,250
BG 2, CT 9510	45%	0%	48%	37%	1%	0%	0%	6%	16.3%	\$51,250
BG 3, CT 9510	41%	0%	47%	37%	1%	0%	0%	11%	21.8%	\$50,000
BG 4, CT 9510	13%	0%	72%	71%	1%	0%	0%	13%	20.5%	\$67,500
BG 5, CT 9510	36%	0%	50%	31%	1%	0%	0%	13%	25.9%	\$37,031
BG 6, CT 9510	61%	0%	32%	26%	1%	0%	0%	6%	13.2%	\$49,107
BG 7, CT 9510	39%	1%	51%	38%	1%	0%	0%	8%	37.6%	\$29,167

Block Group	Race and Ethnicity <sup>a,b</sup>								Percent of Residents in Poverty <sup>a</sup>	Median Household Income
	Asian	Black or African American	Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander--Chamorro	White	Hispanic or Latino	Some Other Race Alone	Multiple Races		
BG 8, CT 9510	69%	0%	21%	11%	2%	0%	0%	8%	15.4%	\$51,250
BG 1, CT 9511	57%	1%	26%	17%	5%	0%	1%	10%	19.0%	\$47,143
BG 2, CT 9511	57%	1%	26%	18%	4%	1%	0%	11%	17.9%	\$56,429
BG 3, CT 9511	39%	0%	55%	19%	1%	0%	0%	6%	31.6%	\$36,944
BG 4, CT 9511	34%	0%	53%	35%	2%	0%	0%	10%	34.3%	\$36,250
BG 5, CT 9511	50%	1%	37%	25%	2%	0%	0%	9%	26.2%	\$39,464
BG 6, CT 9511	48%	1%	40%	25%	2%	1%	0%	8%	25.8%	\$45,956
BG 1, CT 9516	13%	0%	83%	52%	0%	0%	0%	4%	30.0%	\$53,750
BG 2, CT 9516	33%	7%	33%	33%	0%	0%	0%	27%	13.3%	\$51,250
BG 3, CT 9516	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
BG 1, CT 9517	37%	1%	37%	31%	12%	2%	1%	10%	11.1%	\$91,250
BG 2, CT 9517	45%	0%	39%	26%	5%	0%	0%	10%	27.5%	\$54,167
BG 1, CT 9518	10%	0%	79%	56%	3%	1%	1%	7%	20.9%	\$52,917
BG 1, CT 9519.01	43%	1%	42%	7%	3%	0%	0%	11%	45.3%	\$30,568
BG 2, CT 9519.01	62%	0%	25%	13%	4%	1%	1%	6%	30.6%	\$30,096
BG 3, CT 9519.01	65%	1%	17%	9%	10%	1%	0%	7%	19.4%	\$40,313
BG 4, CT 9519.01	61%	1%	24%	14%	6%	1%	0%	8%	23.6%	\$39,432
BG 1, CT 9519.02	58%	0%	34%	7%	3%	0%	0%	4%	32.6%	\$31,000
BG 2, CT 9519.02	56%	0%	38%	4%	1%	0%	0%	4%	45.8%	\$24,464
BG 3, CT 9519.02	53%	1%	19%	15%	16%	3%	1%	7%	17.5%	\$46,111
BG 4, CT 9519.02	54%	2%	15%	12%	18%	1%	1%	9%	15.5%	\$52,500
BG 5, CT 9519.02	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
BG 1, CT 9522	53%	0%	34%	21%	2%	0%	1%	10%	24.5%	\$39,375
BG 2, CT 9522	50%	0%	30%	20%	6%	0%	1%	13%	23.7%	\$37,500
BG 3, CT 9522	56%	1%	29%	17%	3%	1%	0%	9%	18.6%	\$47,321
BG 4, CT 9522	55%	1%	31%	18%	3%	0%	0%	10%	20.9%	\$42,500
BG 1, CT 9523	50%	1%	27%	17%	10%	1%	1%	10%	15.7%	\$49,167
BG 2, CT 9523	44%	1%	27%	18%	12%	1%	2%	13%	12.5%	\$62,045
BG 3, CT 9523	26%	0%	57%	22%	4%	0%	0%	12%	42.5%	\$35,417

Block Group	Race and Ethnicity <sup>a,b</sup>								Percent of Residents in Poverty <sup>a</sup>	Median Household Income
	Asian	Black or African American	Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander--Chamorro	White	Hispanic or Latino	Some Other Race Alone	Multiple Races		
BG 1, CT 9524	42%	1%	38%	20%	5%	0%	1%	12%	32.5%	\$31,518
BG 2, CT 9524	30%	1%	52%	16%	7%	1%	0%	8%	37.0%	\$32,188
BG 1, CT 9527	18%	0%	68%	65%	3%	0%	0%	10%	21.2%	\$61,500
BG 2, CT 9527	18%	0%	68%	60%	4%	0%	0%	10%	14.2%	\$53,594
BG 3, CT 9527	14%	0%	70%	62%	5%	0%	1%	10%	18.0%	\$62,857
BG 4, CT 9527	17%	0%	62%	52%	12%	0%	0%	8%	17.3%	\$65,000
BG 5, CT 9527	35%	0%	50%	32%	6%	0%	0%	8%	28.6%	\$45,000
BG 1, CT 9528	0%	0%	67%	67%	17%	0%	0%	17%	50.0%	\$98,750
BG 2, CT 9528	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
BG 1, CT 9529	13%	4%	57%	43%	15%	2%	0%	10%	18.2%	\$51,324
BG 2, CT 9529	12%	1%	75%	47%	3%	0%	0%	9%	35.4%	\$35,288
BG 3, CT 9529	25%	0%	64%	22%	4%	0%	1%	6%	31.7%	\$52,500
BG 4, CT 9529	17%	1%	68%	57%	5%	0%	0%	9%	19.7%	\$56,406
BG 5, CT 9529	12%	0%	73%	54%	3%	0%	0%	12%	27.7%	\$54,375
BG 6, CT 9529	29%	0%	59%	47%	2%	0%	0%	10%	21.5%	\$56,071
BG 1, CT 9530	15%	1%	65%	40%	6%	0%	0%	12%	31.4%	\$44,167
BG 2, CT 9530	16%	1%	65%	39%	8%	0%	0%	11%	20.2%	\$60,750
BG 3, CT 9530	12%	1%	70%	60%	3%	1%	0%	13%	19.6%	\$60,417
BG 4, CT 9530	20%	0%	63%	48%	4%	1%	1%	11%	29.5%	\$40,147
BG 5, CT 9530	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
BG 1, CT 9531.01	14%	0%	65%	58%	9%	1%	0%	12%	11.2%	\$65,893
BG 2, CT 9531.01	13%	1%	69%	61%	7%	0%	0%	10%	14.6%	\$61,438
BG 3, CT 9531.01	21%	0%	64%	55%	4%	1%	0%	10%	23.1%	\$51,625
BG 1, CT 9531.02	12%	1%	69%	63%	5%	1%	0%	11%	19.2%	\$58,000
BG 2, CT 9531.02	15%	0%	64%	57%	9%	1%	0%	10%	21.5%	\$45,682
BG 3, CT 9531.02	26%	0%	63%	46%	2%	0%	0%	8%	18.6%	\$57,813
BG 1, CT 9532	15%	1%	66%	58%	6%	0%	0%	11%	16.1%	\$58,125
BG 2, CT 9532	11%	0%	76%	69%	2%	0%	0%	10%	12.9%	\$60,156
BG 3, CT 9532	14%	0%	72%	62%	5%	0%	0%	9%	27.4%	\$43,750

Block Group	Race and Ethnicity <sup>a,b</sup>								Percent of Residents in Poverty <sup>a</sup>	Median Household Income
	Asian	Black or African American	Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander--Chamorro	White	Hispanic or Latino	Some Other Race Alone	Multiple Races		
BG 1, CT 9533	16%	0%	68%	60%	4%	0%	0%	11%	20.4%	\$45,714
BG 2, CT 9533	40%	0%	44%	35%	2%	0%	0%	14%	17.6%	\$45,000
BG 3, CT 9533	23%	0%	64%	54%	2%	1%	1%	9%	23.9%	\$40,208
BG 4, CT 9533	20%	1%	61%	41%	6%	0%	0%	11%	33.6%	\$34,015
BG 1, CT 9534	21%	1%	60%	42%	3%	0%	0%	15%	26.2%	\$42,188
BG 2, CT 9534	19%	0%	62%	35%	5%	1%	0%	13%	35.3%	\$33,393
BG 1, CT 9535	23%	9%	12%	12%	48%	6%	1%	1%	10.2%	\$31,250
BG 1, CT 9536	11%	0%	77%	63%	2%	0%	0%	9%	25.9%	\$56,667
BG 2, CT 9536	8%	1%	76%	57%	4%	0%	0%	10%	26.2%	\$44,286
BG 3, CT 9536	12%	1%	72%	62%	6%	0%	0%	8%	14.2%	\$57,500
BG 4, CT 9536	6%	0%	78%	74%	6%	1%	0%	8%	16.2%	\$63,125
BG 5, CT 9536	11%	1%	63%	59%	9%	1%	0%	14%	10.4%	\$48,125
BG 1, CT 9539	7%	0%	81%	68%	4%	0%	0%	8%	38.6%	\$40,750
BG 2, CT 9539	7%	0%	79%	71%	4%	2%	0%	8%	15.5%	\$57,417
BG 3, CT 9539	6%	0%	85%	73%	1%	1%	0%	6%	25.0%	\$52,614
BG 4, CT 9539	8%	0%	75%	69%	9%	0%	0%	8%	20.1%	\$57,917
BG 1, CT 9540	10%	1%	66%	60%	12%	1%	0%	10%	20.3%	\$70,536
BG 2, CT 9540	15%	1%	59%	52%	12%	0%	1%	13%	10.1%	\$81,250
BG 1, CT 9543	16%	3%	45%	42%	22%	1%	0%	14%	11.0%	\$75,000
BG 2, CT 9543	6%	1%	75%	63%	7%	0%	0%	10%	24.2%	\$50,500
BG 1, CT 9544	3%	5%	56%	46%	21%	6%	0%	10%	20.6%	\$43,750
BG 2, CT 9544	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
BG 3, CT 9544	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
BG 4, CT 9544	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
BG 5, CT 9544	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
BG 6, CT 9544	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
BG 7, CT 9544	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
BG 1, CT 9545	8%	16%	1%	0%	59%	7%	1%	8%	16.0%	\$38,750
BG 2, CT 9545	10%	8%	9%	5%	61%	6%	0%	6%	10.2%	\$68,125

Block Group	Race and Ethnicity <sup>a,b</sup>								Percent of Residents in Poverty <sup>a</sup>	Median Household Income
	Asian	Black or African American	Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander--Chamorro	White	Hispanic or Latino	Some Other Race Alone	Multiple Races		
BG 3, CT 9545	6%	13%	9%	7%	54%	9%	1%	8%	12.3%	\$44,643
BG 4, CT 9545	3%	7%	0%	0%	83%	2%	2%	4%	ND	ND
BG 5, CT 9545	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
BG 1, CT 9547	16%	1%	68%	63%	4%	0%	0%	11%	22.9%	\$59,375
BG 2, CT 9547	22%	0%	69%	62%	1%	0%	0%	8%	20.0%	\$50,000
BG 3, CT 9547	34%	1%	49%	45%	2%	1%	0%	13%	16.7%	\$46,477
BG 1, CT 9548	15%	3%	69%	65%	5%	0%	0%	7%	38.0%	\$35,000
BG 2, CT 9548	13%	0%	76%	53%	3%	0%	1%	7%	55.8%	\$26,023
BG 3, CT 9548	40%	1%	43%	42%	3%	1%	0%	12%	14.3%	\$52,656
BG 4, CT 9548	22%	1%	64%	62%	2%	0%	0%	10%	22.0%	\$50,250
BG 1, CT 9551	8%	1%	61%	53%	17%	2%	0%	11%	14.1%	\$67,500
BG 2, CT 9551	5%	1%	77%	75%	8%	0%	0%	10%	17.3%	\$65,625
BG 3, CT 9551	7%	0%	81%	70%	6%	1%	0%	5%	29.4%	\$53,438
BG 4, CT 9551	7%	0%	73%	70%	8%	1%	1%	11%	16.9%	\$64,375
BG 1, CT 9552	2%	0%	84%	81%	8%	0%	0%	6%	13.8%	\$60,000
BG 2, CT 9552	3%	0%	87%	81%	4%	0%	0%	5%	20.2%	\$56,944
BG 3, CT 9552	5%	0%	84%	81%	3%	0%	0%	8%	17.1%	\$52,308
BG 1, CT 9553	3%	0%	85%	81%	2%	0%	0%	10%	26.6%	\$55,114
BG 2, CT 9553	5%	0%	78%	74%	4%	0%	0%	12%	22.1%	\$58,000
BG 1, CT 9554	2%	1%	80%	75%	2%	0%	0%	15%	28.9%	\$48,750
BG 1, CT 9556	26%	1%	60%	52%	4%	0%	0%	9%	17.3%	\$68,333
BG 2, CT 9556	11%	0%	76%	65%	2%	0%	0%	11%	30.2%	\$48,750
BG 1, CT 9557	19%	0%	68%	41%	3%	0%	0%	9%	34.1%	\$41,161
BG 2, CT 9557	15%	0%	79%	74%	0%	0%	0%	5%	66.7%	\$16,250
BG 3, CT 9557	47%	1%	35%	25%	6%	0%	0%	11%	17.8%	\$53,611
BG 4, CT 9557	39%	1%	39%	27%	7%	1%	0%	13%	15.2%	\$69,583
BG 5, CT 9557	59%	1%	30%	15%	3%	0%	0%	7%	17.6%	\$50,938
BG 6, CT 9557	32%	0%	57%	51%	2%	0%	0%	9%	28.1%	\$41,625
BG 7, CT 9557	57%	0%	21%	14%	7%	0%	0%	14%	7.1%	\$103,750

Block Group	Race and Ethnicity <sup>a,b</sup>								Percent of Residents in Poverty <sup>a</sup>	Median Household Income
	Asian	Black or African American	Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander--Chamorro	White	Hispanic or Latino	Some Other Race Alone	Multiple Races		
BG 1, CT 9558	47%	1%	43%	27%	3%	0%	0%	7%	29.5%	\$40,417
BG 2, CT 9558	50%	0%	41%	9%	2%	0%	0%	7%	35.6%	\$50,000
BG 3, CT 9558	50%	1%	36%	29%	5%	0%	0%	7%	8.1%	\$61,458
BG 4, CT 9558	39%	1%	39%	32%	10%	1%	1%	10%	8.0%	\$65,000
BG 5, CT 9558	53%	2%	34%	16%	5%	0%	0%	7%	21.8%	\$41,071
BG 6, CT 9558	33%	1%	53%	40%	2%	0%	1%	10%	18.7%	\$51,563
BG 7, CT 9558	39%	1%	47%	33%	3%	0%	0%	11%	22.3%	\$50,938
BG 8, CT 9558	57%	1%	31%	17%	3%	0%	0%	9%	20.4%	\$50,000
BG 9, CT 9558	54%	2%	24%	22%	11%	0%	0%	8%	14.2%	\$57,045
BG 1, CT 9559	38%	3%	27%	23%	20%	1%	1%	9%	16.7%	\$61,250
BG 2, CT 9559	44%	1%	26%	20%	15%	2%	2%	10%	7.8%	\$72,159
BG 3, CT 9559	39%	3%	30%	14%	19%	2%	1%	6%	14.9%	\$54,196
BG 1, CT 9560	15%	1%	70%	53%	4%	0%	0%	10%	22.9%	\$46,625
BG 2, CT 9560	14%	0%	71%	42%	3%	1%	0%	10%	46.6%	\$33,000
BG 1, CT 9561	8%	0%	73%	67%	6%	1%	0%	12%	18.7%	\$53,250
BG 2, CT 9561	10%	3%	18%	18%	50%	3%	0%	15%	6.6%	\$76,250
BG 3, CT 9561	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
BG 4, CT 9561	10%	0%	61%	54%	13%	1%	1%	14%	19.7%	\$59,107
BG 1, CT 9562	26%	1%	56%	54%	9%	1%	0%	8%	15.7%	\$61,389
BG 2, CT 9562	25%	0%	58%	56%	8%	0%	1%	7%	13.1%	\$64,063
BG 3, CT 9562	13%	5%	6%	5%	63%	0%	1%	12%	1.1%	\$96,250
BG 4, CT 9562	33%	0%	50%	46%	3%	0%	0%	13%	17.9%	\$56,250
BG 5, CT 9562	14%	0%	66%	63%	6%	0%	0%	13%	21.3%	\$53,438
BG 6, CT 9562	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
BG 1, CT 9563	11%	0%	63%	33%	7%	0%	0%	19%	51.9%	\$23,750
BG 2, CT 9563	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
BG 3, CT 9563	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	9.7%	\$-
BG 4, CT 9563	34%	1%	50%	38%	4%	0%	1%	10%	12.7%	\$56,094
BG 5, CT 9563	26%	0%	59%	28%	3%	1%	0%	11%	36.9%	\$40,893

Block Group	Race and Ethnicity <sup>a,b</sup>								Percent of Residents in Poverty <sup>a</sup>	Median Household Income
	Asian	Black or African American	Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander--Chamorro	White	Hispanic or Latino	Some Other Race Alone	Multiple Races		
BG 1, CT 9801	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
BG 1, CT 9802	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
BG 1, CT 9803	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
BG 1, CT 9804	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
BG 0, CT 9900	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND

Source: U.S. Census Bureau 2010

BG = Block Group; CT = Census Tract; ND = no data

<sup>a</sup> Totals may not add to 100 percent, due to rounding.

<sup>b</sup> For Guam, the U.S. Census Bureau did not distinguish between race and ethnicity.

**Table 5: Block Group Demographic Data, Northern Mariana Islands, 2010**

Block Group	Race and Ethnicity <sup>a,b</sup>								Percent of Residents in Poverty <sup>a</sup>	Median Household Income
	Asian	Black or African American	Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander--Samoan	White	Hispanic or Latino	Some Other Race Alone	Multiple Races		
BG 1, CT 9501	0%	0%	98%	98%	0%	0%	0%	1%	47.6%	\$29,063
BG 2, CT 9501	1%	0%	97%	97%	0%	0%	0%	2%	61.0%	\$22,813
BG 1, CT 9502	0%	0%	97%	97%	0%	0%	0%	3%	56.4%	\$25,833
BG 2, CT 9502	5%	0%	92%	90%	0%	0%	0%	2%	62.5%	\$21,667
BG 1, CT 9503	1%	0%	97%	96%	0%	0%	0%	2%	56.6%	\$27,727
BG 2, CT 9503	1%	0%	97%	96%	0%	0%	0%	1%	52.5%	\$24,375
BG 3, CT 9503	2%	0%	93%	92%	1%	0%	0%	3%	50.9%	\$28,875
BG 1, CT 9505	9%	0%	86%	83%	1%	0%	0%	4%	57.0%	\$25,875
BG 2, CT 9505	5%	0%	93%	92%	0%	0%	0%	2%	67.0%	\$20,114
BG 3, CT 9505	6%	0%	92%	91%	0%	0%	0%	2%	66.8%	\$17,639
BG 1, CT 9506	5%	0%	89%	88%	0%	1%	0%	5%	55.3%	\$22,039
BG 2, CT 9506	3%	0%	94%	92%	0%	0%	0%	3%	54.1%	\$22,969
BG 3, CT 9506	2%	0%	97%	97%	0%	0%	0%	1%	67.4%	\$20,833
BG 1, CT 9507	3%	0%	89%	88%	2%	0%	0%	5%	52.4%	\$33,438
BG 2, CT 9507	8%	0%	88%	86%	0%	0%	0%	4%	62.7%	\$20,972
BG 3, CT 9507	6%	0%	86%	82%	1%	1%	0%	6%	46.2%	\$26,818
BG 1, CT 9509	0%	0%	98%	98%	0%	0%	0%	1%	62.6%	\$22,813
BG 2, CT 9509	10%	0%	85%	81%	1%	0%	0%	5%	58.3%	\$22,019
BG 3, CT 9509	10%	0%	85%	82%	2%	0%	0%	3%	58.2%	\$21,324
BG 4, CT 9509	6%	0%	91%	90%	1%	0%	0%	2%	41.5%	\$31,667
BG 1, CT 9518	0%	0%	99%	99%	0%	0%	0%	1%	56.1%	\$16,429
BG 2, CT 9518	1%	0%	97%	97%	1%	0%	0%	1%	68.7%	\$18,269
BG 1, CT 9519	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
BG 1, CT 9520	6%	0%	94%	88%	0%	0%	0%	0%	11.8%	\$27,500
BG 1, CT 9510	4%	0%	91%	81%	1%	0%	0%	3%	68.0%	\$22,273
BG 2, CT 9510	12%	0%	87%	82%	0%	0%	0%	1%	63.4%	\$21,500
BG 1, CT 9511	3%	0%	95%	79%	1%	0%	0%	1%	58.6%	\$22,833

Block Group	Race and Ethnicity <sup>a,b</sup>								Percent of Residents in Poverty <sup>a</sup>	Median Household Income
	Asian	Black or African American	Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander--Samoan	White	Hispanic or Latino	Some Other Race Alone	Multiple Races		
BG 2, CT 9511	2%	0%	96%	82%	0%	0%	0%	2%	68.9%	\$19,375
BG 3, CT 9511	1%	0%	95%	92%	0%	0%	0%	3%	68.2%	\$20,481
BG 1, CT 9512.01	6%	0%	76%	67%	8%	0%	1%	8%	23.0%	\$45,625
BG 2, CT 9512.01	3%	0%	95%	91%	0%	0%	0%	2%	64.0%	\$20,000
BG 1, CT 9512.02	5%	0%	88%	82%	2%	0%	0%	4%	47.2%	\$31,488
BG 2, CT 9512.02	6%	0%	90%	86%	2%	0%	0%	3%	49.6%	\$31,528
BG 1, CT 9512.03	4%	0%	91%	86%	2%	0%	0%	3%	50.6%	\$28,750
BG 2, CT 9512.03	3%	0%	90%	88%	3%	0%	0%	3%	50.5%	\$31,346
BG 3, CT 9512.03	1%	0%	93%	87%	1%	0%	0%	5%	59.6%	\$24,479
BG 1, CT 9513	2%	0%	96%	96%	0%	0%	1%	1%	53.6%	\$23,594
BG 2, CT 9513	1%	0%	96%	95%	1%	0%	0%	2%	62.8%	\$22,917
BG 1, CT 9515	1%	0%	98%	98%	0%	0%	0%	1%	64.8%	\$22,426
BG 1, CT 9516	2%	0%	96%	95%	0%	0%	0%	2%	56.7%	\$20,417
BG 2, CT 9516	0%	0%	97%	96%	0%	0%	0%	3%	64.8%	\$25,114
BG 3, CT 9516	2%	0%	95%	94%	1%	0%	0%	2%	47.3%	\$31,500
BG 4, CT 9516	3%	0%	92%	90%	1%	0%	0%	3%	51.7%	\$26,484

Source: U.S. Census Bureau 2010

BG = Block Group; CT = Census Tract; ND = no data

<sup>a</sup> Totals may not add to 100 percent, due to rounding.

<sup>b</sup> For Commonwealth of North Mariana Islands, the U.S. Census Bureau did not distinguish between race and ethnicity.

**Table 6: Block Group Demographic Data, Puerto Rico**

Block Group	Race (Percent) <sup>a</sup>							Ethnicity (Percent) <sup>a</sup>		Percent of Residents in Poverty <sup>a</sup>	Median Household Income
	White	Black or African American	American Indian or Alaska Native	Asian	Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	Some Other Race Alone	Multiple Races	Hispanic or Latino	Not Hispanic or Latino		
BG 1, CT 9563	88%	4%	0%	0%	0%	1%	1%	2%	98%	64.0%	\$9,288
BG 2, CT 9563	103%	4%	0%	0%	0%	2%	2%	1%	99%	60.7%	\$11,406
BG 1, CT 9564	104%	3%	0%	0%	0%	2%	2%	1%	99%	65.1%	\$10,083
BG 2, CT 9564	111%	3%	0%	0%	0%	1%	2%	1%	99%	60.5%	\$11,750
BG 1, CT 9565	108%	2%	1%	0%	0%	2%	3%	0%	100%	62.1%	\$8,071
BG 2, CT 9565	85%	4%	0%	0%	0%	3%	1%	1%	99%	62.4%	\$13,000
BG 1, CT 9566	99%	5%	0%	0%	0%	3%	1%	0%	100%	56.8%	\$13,030
BG 2, CT 9566	69%	3%	2%	0%	0%	2%	1%	0%	100%	64.6%	\$9,428
BG 1, CT 9567	99%	1%	0%	0%	0%	1%	1%	0%	100%	56.8%	\$14,375
BG 2, CT 9567	115%	3%	0%	0%	0%	3%	1%	0%	100%	47.8%	\$11,786
BG 1, CT 9568	83%	2%	0%	0%	0%	2%	0%	0%	100%	53.9%	\$17,614
BG 2, CT 9568	82%	4%	1%	0%	0%	4%	1%	0%	100%	61.8%	\$11,693
BG 1, CT 4301	75%	12%	1%	0%	0%	7%	2%	3%	97%	47.8%	\$18,882
BG 2, CT 4301	75%	3%	0%	0%	0%	5%	2%	6%	94%	51.7%	\$18,278
BG 3, CT 4301	92%	9%	0%	0%	0%	9%	2%	0%	100%	29.4%	\$26,719
BG 1, CT 4302	82%	8%	0%	0%	0%	4%	4%	0%	100%	64.1%	\$16,597
BG 2, CT 4302	92%	9%	1%	0%	0%	3%	2%	0%	100%	35.9%	\$15,625
BG 3, CT 4302	78%	4%	1%	0%	0%	8%	5%	0%	100%	9.6%	\$34,261
BG 4, CT 4302	81%	8%	0%	0%	0%	4%	5%	5%	95%	66.4%	\$13,050
BG 1, CT 4303	73%	7%	0%	0%	0%	6%	2%	5%	95%	45.0%	\$15,972
BG 2, CT 4303	93%	3%	1%	0%	0%	2%	3%	11%	89%	74.2%	\$5,833
BG 3, CT 4303	71%	8%	0%	0%	0%	7%	4%	2%	98%	71.4%	\$6,313
BG 1, CT 4304.01	85%	4%	1%	0%	0%	3%	2%	6%	94%	77.0%	\$11,279
BG 2, CT 4304.01	70%	10%	1%	0%	0%	6%	2%	11%	89%	48.4%	\$15,516
BG 3, CT 4304.01	86%	3%	0%	0%	0%	7%	2%	7%	93%	63.3%	\$15,703
BG 1, CT 4304.02	93%	2%	0%	0%	0%	7%	1%	4%	96%	66.0%	\$12,386

Block Group	Race (Percent) <sup>a</sup>							Ethnicity (Percent) <sup>a</sup>		Percent of Residents in Poverty <sup>a</sup>	Median Household Income
	White	Black or African American	American Indian or Alaska Native	Asian	Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	Some Other Race Alone	Multiple Races	Hispanic or Latino	Not Hispanic or Latino		
BG 2, CT 4304.02	115%	5%	1%	0%	0%	8%	2%	1%	99%	68.7%	\$12,572
BG 3, CT 4304.02	87%	3%	0%	0%	0%	3%	2%	8%	92%	63.5%	\$16,098
BG 1, CT 4305.01	76%	1%	0%	0%	0%	1%	1%	9%	91%	74.0%	\$14,894
BG 2, CT 4305.01	100%	5%	0%	0%	0%	3%	1%	15%	85%	63.1%	\$14,226
BG 3, CT 4305.01	95%	10%	0%	0%	0%	3%	4%	5%	95%	22.8%	\$30,474
BG 4, CT 4305.01	100%	5%	0%	0%	0%	7%	3%	16%	84%	35.0%	\$19,770
BG 1, CT 4305.02	99%	4%	0%	0%	0%	4%	1%	10%	90%	52.0%	\$20,962
BG 2, CT 4305.02	92%	3%	0%	0%	0%	5%	2%	6%	94%	50.4%	\$14,074
BG 1, CT 4306.01	106%	5%	0%	0%	0%	6%	3%	1%	99%	58.6%	\$14,131
BG 2, CT 4306.01	68%	2%	0%	0%	0%	8%	2%	6%	94%	50.4%	\$16,838
BG 3, CT 4306.01	64%	2%	0%	0%	0%	4%	2%	3%	97%	66.0%	\$14,406
BG 4, CT 4306.01	149%	4%	0%	0%	0%	10%	1%	16%	84%	80.9%	\$9,028
BG 1, CT 4306.02	101%	5%	1%	0%	0%	6%	3%	4%	96%	73.5%	\$10,000
BG 2, CT 4306.02	84%	5%	0%	0%	0%	7%	2%	14%	86%	21.6%	\$33,875
BG 0, CT 9900	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
BG 1, CT 4001	119%	7%	0%	0%	0%	7%	3%	2%	98%	24.2%	\$23,678
BG 2, CT 4001	78%	7%	0%	0%	0%	7%	1%	1%	99%	87.1%	\$6,830
BG 3, CT 4001	63%	4%	0%	0%	0%	6%	1%	7%	93%	27.4%	\$23,897
BG 4, CT 4001	104%	7%	1%	0%	0%	13%	2%	5%	95%	70.0%	\$12,222
BG 5, CT 4001	101%	9%	0%	0%	0%	7%	2%	7%	93%	62.4%	\$11,295
BG 1, CT 4003	110%	9%	1%	0%	0%	11%	3%	3%	97%	24.6%	\$46,544
BG 2, CT 4003	86%	8%	0%	0%	0%	6%	4%	22%	78%	23.0%	\$31,959
BG 1, CT 4004	78%	9%	0%	0%	0%	6%	1%	0%	100%	70.4%	\$12,644
BG 2, CT 4004	100%	7%	0%	0%	0%	4%	2%	1%	99%	20.7%	\$29,167
BG 3, CT 4004	116%	9%	0%	0%	0%	12%	3%	3%	97%	51.1%	\$15,399
BG 1, CT 4005.01	75%	5%	0%	0%	0%	6%	2%	2%	98%	44.2%	\$20,847
BG 2, CT 4005.01	95%	5%	0%	0%	0%	7%	2%	0%	100%	68.4%	\$9,821
BG 1, CT 4005.02	72%	4%	0%	0%	0%	6%	2%	1%	99%	26.2%	\$27,895
BG 2, CT 4005.02	95%	7%	0%	0%	0%	8%	2%	4%	96%	34.8%	\$18,750

Block Group	Race (Percent) <sup>a</sup>							Ethnicity (Percent) <sup>a</sup>		Percent of Residents in Poverty <sup>a</sup>	Median Household Income
	White	Black or African American	American Indian or Alaska Native	Asian	Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	Some Other Race Alone	Multiple Races	Hispanic or Latino	Not Hispanic or Latino		
BG 3, CT 4005.02	115%	8%	1%	0%	0%	11%	1%	7%	93%	84.7%	\$7,805
BG 1, CT 4006	79%	4%	0%	0%	0%	2%	5%	5%	95%	84.6%	\$5,346
BG 2, CT 4006	78%	12%	0%	0%	0%	5%	2%	1%	99%	40.6%	\$16,302
BG 3, CT 4006	106%	10%	0%	0%	0%	10%	2%	3%	97%	61.0%	\$11,615
BG 1, CT 4007	66%	4%	0%	0%	0%	3%	1%	3%	97%	31.6%	\$22,766
BG 2, CT 4007	87%	8%	0%	1%	0%	6%	2%	0%	100%	67.2%	\$4,706
BG 3, CT 4007	141%	9%	3%	0%	0%	9%	6%	1%	99%	14.7%	\$29,097
BG 1, CT 4008	72%	7%	1%	0%	0%	7%	2%	3%	97%	69.6%	\$10,968
BG 2, CT 4008	109%	14%	2%	0%	0%	24%	4%	4%	96%	81.1%	\$8,824
BG 1, CT 4009	79%	11%	0%	0%	0%	7%	2%	1%	99%	74.3%	\$9,728
BG 2, CT 4009	61%	4%	0%	0%	0%	5%	2%	12%	88%	72.3%	\$16,346
BG 1, CT 4010	111%	14%	1%	1%	0%	9%	4%	0%	100%	59.9%	\$9,198
BG 2, CT 4010	126%	15%	1%	0%	0%	10%	3%	2%	98%	78.0%	\$10,408
BG 1, CT 4011	78%	7%	0%	1%	0%	8%	4%	0%	100%	71.5%	\$8,100
BG 1, CT 4012	104%	8%	0%	0%	0%	9%	2%	7%	93%	59.7%	\$17,448
BG 2, CT 4012	79%	9%	0%	0%	0%	7%	4%	5%	95%	54.6%	\$13,922
BG 1, CT 4013.01	65%	5%	0%	0%	0%	3%	3%	4%	96%	52.1%	\$14,883
BG 2, CT 4013.01	87%	6%	0%	0%	0%	3%	6%	3%	97%	50.2%	\$12,879
BG 3, CT 4013.01	71%	9%	0%	0%	0%	5%	2%	8%	92%	63.6%	\$9,363
BG 1, CT 4013.02	53%	3%	0%	0%	0%	6%	0%	5%	95%	48.2%	\$15,481
BG 2, CT 4013.02	63%	4%	0%	0%	0%	7%	2%	4%	96%	42.6%	\$24,550
BG 3, CT 4013.02	75%	7%	0%	0%	0%	6%	3%	2%	98%	32.9%	\$14,889
BG 4, CT 4013.02	82%	7%	0%	0%	0%	4%	3%	3%	97%	44.4%	\$22,041
BG 1, CT 4014.01	76%	11%	0%	0%	0%	8%	3%	0%	100%	38.0%	\$22,784
BG 2, CT 4014.01	78%	7%	0%	0%	0%	5%	2%	18%	82%	54.8%	\$14,896
BG 3, CT 4014.01	73%	10%	0%	0%	0%	6%	2%	11%	89%	23.6%	\$28,333
BG 1, CT 4014.02	81%	13%	0%	1%	0%	9%	4%	1%	99%	62.4%	\$14,295
BG 2, CT 4014.02	101%	9%	0%	0%	0%	8%	2%	1%	99%	54.8%	\$14,708
BG 3, CT 4014.02	104%	10%	0%	0%	0%	7%	2%	0%	100%	22.2%	\$38,750

Block Group	Race (Percent) <sup>a</sup>							Ethnicity (Percent) <sup>a</sup>		Percent of Residents in Poverty <sup>a</sup>	Median Household Income
	White	Black or African American	American Indian or Alaska Native	Asian	Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	Some Other Race Alone	Multiple Races	Hispanic or Latino	Not Hispanic or Latino		
BG 4, CT 4014.02	117%	13%	0%	0%	0%	5%	4%	3%	97%	44.8%	\$15,096
BG 0, CT 9900	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
BG 1, CT 2301	49%	7%	0%	0%	0%	8%	5%	0%	100%	48.5%	\$17,958
BG 2, CT 2301	51%	10%	0%	0%	0%	11%	4%	0%	100%	64.3%	\$9,365
BG 3, CT 2301	117%	17%	1%	1%	0%	19%	7%	7%	93%	29.7%	\$21,094
BG 1, CT 2302	80%	11%	1%	0%	0%	12%	5%	2%	98%	40.0%	\$19,229
BG 2, CT 2302	87%	9%	0%	0%	0%	13%	3%	2%	98%	68.5%	\$13,958
BG 3, CT 2302	72%	9%	0%	0%	0%	11%	4%	0%	100%	45.4%	\$13,887
BG 1, CT 2303	71%	13%	1%	0%	0%	10%	3%	0%	100%	68.8%	\$7,500
BG 2, CT 2303	74%	9%	0%	0%	0%	12%	6%	0%	100%	38.6%	\$15,385
BG 1, CT 2304	64%	7%	1%	0%	0%	8%	3%	0%	100%	72.7%	\$8,115
BG 2, CT 2304	112%	12%	1%	0%	0%	15%	2%	0%	100%	62.3%	\$15,670
BG 3, CT 2304	75%	13%	1%	0%	0%	9%	3%	0%	100%	55.3%	\$12,692
BG 1, CT 2305.01	85%	15%	0%	0%	0%	8%	7%	1%	99%	46.4%	\$15,801
BG 2, CT 2305.01	68%	18%	1%	0%	0%	7%	6%	0%	100%	59.7%	\$15,662
BG 1, CT 2305.02	63%	20%	1%	0%	0%	8%	4%	0%	100%	53.9%	\$22,661
BG 2, CT 2305.02	81%	17%	1%	0%	0%	8%	6%	1%	99%	38.6%	\$21,765
BG 3, CT 2305.02	74%	23%	1%	0%	0%	4%	4%	1%	99%	78.7%	\$8,319
BG 1, CT 2501	98%	9%	0%	0%	0%	8%	1%	0%	100%	38.7%	\$19,128
BG 2, CT 2501	109%	9%	1%	0%	0%	7%	3%	1%	99%	38.2%	\$14,334
BG 3, CT 2501	80%	10%	0%	0%	0%	8%	2%	0%	100%	31.6%	\$18,977
BG 1, CT 2502	82%	7%	0%	0%	0%	3%	2%	0%	100%	47.1%	\$16,944
BG 2, CT 2502	71%	7%	0%	0%	0%	10%	1%	0%	100%	38.3%	\$26,004
BG 1, CT 2503	72%	8%	0%	0%	0%	10%	2%	1%	99%	61.3%	\$14,050
BG 2, CT 2503	65%	7%	0%	0%	0%	3%	2%	0%	100%	53.2%	\$14,784
BG 1, CT 2504	97%	14%	2%	1%	0%	14%	1%	0%	100%	72.7%	\$8,566
BG 2, CT 2504	101%	6%	0%	0%	0%	9%	2%	0%	100%	51.0%	\$14,063
BG 1, CT 2505	70%	4%	0%	0%	0%	3%	2%	0%	100%	32.8%	\$21,397
BG 2, CT 2505	81%	5%	0%	0%	0%	4%	3%	0%	100%	42.4%	\$19,572

Block Group	Race (Percent) <sup>a</sup>							Ethnicity (Percent) <sup>a</sup>		Percent of Residents in Poverty <sup>a</sup>	Median Household Income
	White	Black or African American	American Indian or Alaska Native	Asian	Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	Some Other Race Alone	Multiple Races	Hispanic or Latino	Not Hispanic or Latino		
BG 3, CT 2505	92%	7%	0%	0%	0%	4%	2%	0%	100%	80.6%	\$11,013
BG 1, CT 2506	101%	7%	0%	0%	0%	10%	2%	1%	99%	64.8%	\$10,225
BG 2, CT 2506	107%	9%	0%	0%	0%	13%	4%	1%	99%	46.0%	\$15,372
BG 3, CT 2506	83%	8%	0%	0%	0%	11%	3%	1%	99%	56.5%	\$13,931
BG 1, CT 8101	121%	6%	1%	0%	0%	10%	3%	0%	100%	76.6%	\$12,050
BG 2, CT 8101	81%	7%	1%	0%	0%	6%	2%	0%	100%	60.2%	\$21,667
BG 3, CT 8101	116%	6%	1%	0%	0%	10%	2%	0%	100%	53.6%	\$14,315
BG 1, CT 8102	80%	6%	0%	0%	0%	7%	3%	1%	99%	35.3%	\$28,750
BG 2, CT 8102	63%	6%	0%	0%	0%	2%	2%	0%	100%	60.3%	\$15,241
BG 3, CT 8102	68%	6%	0%	0%	0%	6%	5%	0%	100%	55.8%	\$12,365
BG 4, CT 8102	72%	7%	0%	0%	0%	7%	2%	1%	99%	52.1%	\$15,985
BG 1, CT 8103	90%	5%	0%	0%	0%	7%	1%	0%	100%	26.2%	\$22,688
BG 2, CT 8103	93%	9%	0%	0%	0%	10%	3%	0%	100%	80.5%	\$9,167
BG 1, CT 8104	115%	12%	1%	0%	0%	15%	4%	0%	100%	49.3%	\$15,417
BG 2, CT 8104	101%	11%	1%	0%	0%	5%	0%	0%	100%	58.8%	\$14,226
BG 1, CT 8105	181%	19%	3%	0%	0%	24%	5%	0%	100%	56.0%	\$9,899
BG 2, CT 8105	46%	4%	1%	0%	0%	8%	2%	0%	100%	46.3%	\$17,330
BG 3, CT 8105	97%	12%	0%	1%	0%	5%	3%	2%	98%	46.4%	\$22,833
BG 4, CT 8105	96%	7%	0%	0%	0%	7%	2%	1%	99%	31.7%	\$22,256
BG 1, CT 8106	93%	7%	1%	0%	0%	8%	5%	2%	98%	53.1%	\$15,799
BG 2, CT 8106	80%	6%	0%	0%	0%	8%	2%	1%	99%	46.4%	\$16,064
BG 1, CT 8107	119%	15%	1%	0%	0%	17%	7%	0%	100%	24.0%	\$23,750
BG 2, CT 8107	59%	8%	1%	0%	0%	10%	2%	0%	100%	56.3%	\$12,736
BG 3, CT 8107	52%	9%	0%	0%	0%	7%	3%	2%	98%	58.2%	\$15,278
BG 4, CT 8107	71%	6%	0%	0%	0%	5%	3%	3%	97%	58.6%	\$14,808
BG 0, CT 9900	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
BG 1, CT 3001.01	93%	7%	0%	0%	0%	12%	6%	0%	100%	55.9%	\$7,274
BG 2, CT 3001.01	73%	7%	0%	0%	0%	10%	5%	0%	100%	45.5%	\$18,929
BG 3, CT 3001.01	84%	9%	0%	0%	0%	5%	2%	2%	98%	38.8%	\$28,393

Block Group	Race (Percent) <sup>a</sup>							Ethnicity (Percent) <sup>a</sup>		Percent of Residents in Poverty <sup>a</sup>	Median Household Income
	White	Black or African American	American Indian or Alaska Native	Asian	Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	Some Other Race Alone	Multiple Races	Hispanic or Latino	Not Hispanic or Latino		
BG 1, CT 3001.02	133%	6%	1%	0%	0%	6%	3%	0%	100%	53.9%	\$12,667
BG 2, CT 3001.02	93%	13%	1%	0%	0%	6%	4%	0%	100%	33.4%	\$19,050
BG 3, CT 3001.02	64%	6%	0%	0%	0%	3%	5%	0%	100%	51.3%	\$21,055
BG 4, CT 3001.02	72%	6%	0%	0%	0%	6%	3%	0%	100%	27.5%	\$34,018
BG 1, CT 3002	120%	7%	1%	0%	0%	8%	1%	0%	100%	69.3%	\$15,682
BG 2, CT 3002	77%	5%	0%	0%	0%	4%	4%	0%	100%	36.5%	\$23,233
BG 3, CT 3002	81%	5%	1%	0%	0%	7%	6%	0%	100%	36.7%	\$27,841
BG 1, CT 3003.01	85%	8%	0%	0%	0%	7%	6%	0%	100%	60.3%	\$13,292
BG 2, CT 3003.01	76%	10%	0%	0%	0%	4%	3%	0%	100%	47.7%	\$21,905
BG 3, CT 3003.01	78%	7%	0%	0%	0%	10%	2%	0%	100%	42.3%	\$20,568
BG 1, CT 3003.02	82%	6%	0%	0%	0%	5%	4%	0%	100%	17.1%	\$47,841
BG 2, CT 3003.02	76%	5%	0%	0%	0%	3%	2%	0%	100%	29.1%	\$25,938
BG 3, CT 3003.02	71%	10%	0%	0%	0%	4%	3%	0%	100%	58.5%	\$12,372
BG 1, CT 3004	70%	10%	0%	0%	0%	7%	0%	0%	100%	53.0%	\$14,250
BG 2, CT 3004	92%	7%	0%	0%	0%	7%	1%	5%	95%	56.7%	\$15,750
BG 3, CT 3004	81%	8%	0%	0%	0%	8%	3%	0%	100%	55.4%	\$9,940
BG 1, CT 3005	68%	6%	0%	0%	0%	10%	2%	0%	100%	80.2%	\$7,880
BG 2, CT 3005	78%	8%	1%	0%	0%	12%	2%	0%	100%	67.1%	\$9,341
BG 1, CT 3007	84%	6%	1%	0%	0%	10%	2%	0%	100%	56.3%	\$13,750
BG 2, CT 3007	98%	7%	0%	0%	0%	6%	3%	0%	100%	51.7%	\$11,518
BG 1, CT 3008	95%	4%	0%	0%	0%	11%	4%	0%	100%	69.7%	\$11,250
BG 2, CT 3008	91%	7%	0%	0%	0%	4%	2%	0%	100%	66.3%	\$11,268
BG 3, CT 3008	88%	5%	0%	0%	0%	4%	2%	0%	100%	53.8%	\$8,415
BG 4, CT 3008	67%	4%	0%	0%	0%	4%	2%	1%	99%	35.9%	\$21,269
BG 1, CT 3010	96%	7%	0%	0%	0%	4%	1%	0%	100%	25.7%	\$25,462
BG 2, CT 3010	76%	3%	0%	0%	0%	3%	2%	0%	100%	22.4%	\$35,852
BG 3, CT 3010	99%	4%	0%	0%	0%	7%	3%	0%	100%	39.6%	\$20,694
BG 4, CT 3010	76%	3%	0%	0%	0%	5%	1%	0%	100%	32.1%	\$24,943
BG 1, CT 3011	102%	8%	0%	0%	0%	7%	3%	0%	100%	28.3%	\$35,938

Block Group	Race (Percent) <sup>a</sup>							Ethnicity (Percent) <sup>a</sup>		Percent of Residents in Poverty <sup>a</sup>	Median Household Income
	White	Black or African American	American Indian or Alaska Native	Asian	Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	Some Other Race Alone	Multiple Races	Hispanic or Latino	Not Hispanic or Latino		
BG 2, CT 3011	73%	4%	0%	0%	0%	7%	2%	0%	100%	39.7%	\$19,115
BG 3, CT 3011	105%	9%	1%	0%	0%	8%	2%	4%	96%	51.0%	\$21,424
BG 4, CT 3011	83%	3%	0%	0%	0%	3%	1%	1%	99%	24.1%	\$31,406
BG 5, CT 3011	63%	5%	0%	0%	0%	5%	4%	0%	100%	39.2%	\$18,397
BG 1, CT 3012	75%	3%	0%	0%	0%	4%	1%	0%	100%	20.5%	\$30,313
BG 2, CT 3012	70%	7%	1%	0%	0%	5%	6%	2%	98%	60.7%	\$10,000
BG 3, CT 3012	78%	6%	1%	0%	0%	5%	3%	1%	99%	46.0%	\$16,108
BG 1, CT 3013	74%	4%	0%	0%	0%	5%	1%	1%	99%	43.9%	\$22,550
BG 2, CT 3013	96%	7%	1%	0%	0%	4%	6%	0%	100%	96.4%	ND
BG 3, CT 3013	65%	4%	1%	0%	0%	3%	11%	0%	100%	100.0%	ND
BG 1, CT 3014	67%	10%	1%	0%	0%	7%	2%	1%	99%	58.9%	\$15,625
BG 1, CT 3015	78%	9%	0%	0%	0%	4%	3%	0%	100%	50.9%	\$18,384
BG 2, CT 3015	81%	4%	0%	0%	0%	3%	7%	0%	100%	27.8%	\$21,953
BG 3, CT 3015	108%	6%	0%	0%	0%	5%	3%	1%	99%	33.7%	\$24,762
BG 1, CT 3016	97%	12%	1%	0%	0%	8%	4%	1%	99%	49.5%	\$14,358
BG 2, CT 3016	85%	9%	0%	0%	0%	5%	1%	0%	100%	54.8%	\$17,031
BG 3, CT 3016	85%	5%	0%	1%	0%	9%	1%	0%	100%	18.2%	\$42,273
BG 4, CT 3016	113%	7%	0%	0%	0%	15%	7%	7%	93%	60.9%	\$11,483
BG 1, CT 3017	74%	3%	0%	0%	0%	4%	2%	2%	98%	65.2%	\$11,927
BG 2, CT 3017	92%	7%	0%	0%	0%	8%	2%	0%	100%	49.1%	\$14,396
BG 3, CT 3017	109%	8%	0%	0%	0%	11%	2%	0%	100%	43.8%	\$16,315
BG 4, CT 3017	80%	3%	0%	0%	0%	5%	2%	0%	100%	30.8%	\$26,731
BG 1, CT 3018	97%	4%	1%	0%	0%	3%	5%	0%	100%	58.2%	\$12,470
BG 2, CT 3018	85%	4%	0%	0%	0%	5%	4%	2%	98%	57.9%	\$15,625
BG 1, CT 3019	75%	4%	1%	0%	0%	3%	2%	0%	100%	59.2%	\$14,574
BG 2, CT 3019	127%	10%	0%	0%	0%	10%	3%	0%	100%	71.0%	\$9,369
BG 3, CT 3019	90%	7%	0%	0%	0%	6%	2%	0%	100%	73.6%	\$8,423
BG 4, CT 3019	111%	4%	0%	0%	0%	5%	3%	0%	100%	54.8%	\$10,438
BG 1, CT 3020	110%	5%	0%	0%	0%	6%	1%	1%	99%	43.8%	\$17,857

Block Group	Race (Percent) <sup>a</sup>							Ethnicity (Percent) <sup>a</sup>		Percent of Residents in Poverty <sup>a</sup>	Median Household Income
	White	Black or African American	American Indian or Alaska Native	Asian	Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	Some Other Race Alone	Multiple Races	Hispanic or Latino	Not Hispanic or Latino		
BG 2, CT 3020	80%	9%	0%	0%	0%	9%	2%	0%	100%	47.4%	\$16,688
BG 3, CT 3020	128%	14%	1%	0%	0%	6%	2%	2%	98%	19.6%	\$16,250
BG 1, CT 3021	100%	10%	1%	0%	0%	9%	2%	0%	100%	51.6%	\$15,457
BG 2, CT 3021	94%	4%	0%	0%	0%	4%	1%	0%	100%	39.9%	\$16,212
BG 3, CT 3021	120%	5%	1%	0%	0%	10%	2%	0%	100%	74.8%	\$11,667
BG 4, CT 3021	82%	2%	0%	0%	0%	3%	1%	2%	98%	61.2%	\$15,714
BG 1, CT 3022.01	92%	5%	0%	0%	0%	10%	3%	0%	100%	74.1%	\$9,123
BG 2, CT 3022.01	81%	2%	0%	0%	0%	6%	2%	1%	99%	61.2%	\$18,452
BG 1, CT 3022.02	189%	7%	2%	0%	0%	18%	6%	3%	97%	65.6%	\$9,049
BG 2, CT 3022.02	76%	6%	0%	0%	0%	7%	2%	2%	98%	43.4%	\$19,240
BG 3, CT 3022.02	77%	5%	0%	0%	0%	4%	2%	3%	97%	52.3%	\$15,172
BG 1, CT 3023	73%	6%	0%	0%	0%	9%	3%	2%	98%	56.2%	\$13,611
BG 2, CT 3023	81%	3%	0%	0%	0%	5%	3%	0%	100%	60.2%	\$12,917
BG 0, CT 9929	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
BG 1, CT 2801.01	18%	46%	0%	0%	0%	8%	3%	0%	100%	69.3%	\$13,133
BG 2, CT 2801.01	48%	50%	1%	0%	0%	7%	6%	0%	100%	68.2%	\$10,119
BG 1, CT 2801.02	48%	34%	1%	0%	0%	6%	6%	0%	100%	43.1%	\$23,011
BG 2, CT 2801.02	51%	30%	1%	0%	0%	10%	3%	0%	100%	45.1%	\$17,324
BG 3, CT 2801.02	38%	27%	1%	0%	0%	7%	5%	0%	100%	73.0%	\$13,517
BG 1, CT 2802.01	52%	25%	0%	0%	0%	8%	2%	0%	100%	28.1%	\$26,087
BG 2, CT 2802.01	78%	24%	0%	0%	0%	6%	4%	0%	100%	50.5%	\$16,639
BG 3, CT 2802.01	46%	20%	1%	0%	0%	8%	2%	0%	100%	30.9%	\$22,734
BG 4, CT 2802.01	79%	39%	1%	1%	0%	15%	3%	0%	100%	80.2%	\$7,778
BG 1, CT 2802.02	52%	27%	6%	0%	0%	10%	3%	5%	95%	56.9%	\$12,764
BG 2, CT 2802.02	92%	30%	1%	0%	0%	14%	3%	0%	100%	33.0%	\$21,464
BG 3, CT 2802.02	65%	41%	1%	0%	0%	14%	3%	1%	99%	63.9%	\$14,712
BG 4, CT 2802.02	70%	31%	0%	0%	0%	14%	3%	0%	100%	40.3%	\$30,041
BG 0, CT 9915	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
BG 1, CT 5901	85%	10%	0%	0%	0%	7%	4%	0%	100%	65.3%	\$14,714

Block Group	Race (Percent) <sup>a</sup>							Ethnicity (Percent) <sup>a</sup>		Percent of Residents in Poverty <sup>a</sup>	Median Household Income
	White	Black or African American	American Indian or Alaska Native	Asian	Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	Some Other Race Alone	Multiple Races	Hispanic or Latino	Not Hispanic or Latino		
BG 2, CT 5901	102%	9%	0%	0%	0%	7%	3%	0%	100%	62.4%	\$10,461
BG 3, CT 5901	75%	6%	1%	0%	0%	11%	2%	0%	100%	59.2%	\$10,145
BG 4, CT 5901	68%	6%	1%	1%	0%	9%	2%	1%	99%	30.8%	\$21,389
BG 1, CT 5902	73%	11%	0%	0%	0%	11%	4%	1%	99%	69.8%	\$8,778
BG 2, CT 5902	74%	16%	2%	0%	0%	20%	10%	3%	97%	84.1%	\$8,818
BG 3, CT 5902	65%	6%	0%	0%	0%	8%	4%	0%	100%	47.6%	\$20,893
BG 4, CT 5902	69%	5%	0%	0%	0%	13%	3%	0%	100%	76.7%	\$7,237
BG 1, CT 5903	98%	8%	0%	0%	0%	7%	2%	3%	97%	34.4%	\$22,128
BG 2, CT 5903	84%	7%	0%	0%	0%	10%	2%	0%	100%	62.0%	\$14,186
BG 3, CT 5903	71%	6%	0%	0%	0%	11%	2%	1%	99%	68.1%	\$12,264
BG 4, CT 5903	68%	7%	0%	0%	0%	8%	2%	0%	100%	57.8%	\$11,838
BG 1, CT 5904	109%	6%	1%	0%	0%	8%	2%	9%	91%	46.3%	\$13,607
BG 2, CT 5904	61%	6%	0%	0%	0%	6%	1%	0%	100%	35.3%	\$28,098
BG 3, CT 5904	99%	9%	0%	0%	0%	8%	1%	0%	100%	47.2%	\$20,577
BG 4, CT 5904	106%	13%	0%	0%	0%	7%	2%	0%	100%	62.8%	\$9,695
BG 0, CT 9900	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
BG 1, CT 9522.01	87%	6%	0%	0%	0%	6%	2%	1%	99%	50.1%	\$18,798
BG 2, CT 9522.01	71%	4%	0%	0%	0%	3%	1%	0%	100%	57.3%	\$16,735
BG 1, CT 9522.02	93%	4%	0%	0%	0%	8%	1%	2%	98%	71.9%	\$14,556
BG 2, CT 9522.02	78%	8%	0%	0%	0%	5%	2%	0%	100%	64.4%	\$12,960
BG 3, CT 9522.02	91%	7%	0%	0%	0%	8%	1%	0%	100%	57.4%	\$16,676
BG 1, CT 9523.01	80%	5%	0%	0%	0%	5%	2%	0%	100%	43.4%	\$19,083
BG 2, CT 9523.01	98%	4%	0%	0%	0%	5%	2%	0%	100%	62.8%	\$9,716
BG 1, CT 9523.02	85%	4%	0%	0%	0%	8%	2%	0%	100%	78.5%	\$13,016
BG 2, CT 9523.02	93%	5%	0%	0%	0%	10%	3%	0%	100%	50.6%	\$18,292
BG 3, CT 9523.02	94%	4%	0%	0%	0%	6%	4%	3%	97%	67.3%	\$11,131
BG 1, CT 9524	84%	5%	0%	0%	0%	5%	1%	0%	100%	80.8%	\$6,865
BG 2, CT 9524	100%	7%	1%	0%	0%	6%	2%	0%	100%	61.9%	\$8,138
BG 1, CT 9525	80%	6%	0%	0%	0%	6%	1%	0%	100%	37.7%	\$20,197

Block Group	Race (Percent) <sup>a</sup>							Ethnicity (Percent) <sup>a</sup>		Percent of Residents in Poverty <sup>a</sup>	Median Household Income
	White	Black or African American	American Indian or Alaska Native	Asian	Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	Some Other Race Alone	Multiple Races	Hispanic or Latino	Not Hispanic or Latino		
BG 2, CT 9525	79%	6%	0%	0%	0%	7%	2%	0%	100%	63.9%	\$13,365
BG 3, CT 9525	102%	7%	0%	0%	0%	10%	2%	0%	100%	55.1%	\$11,402
BG 4, CT 9525	78%	4%	0%	0%	0%	3%	2%	0%	100%	47.7%	\$18,625
BG 1, CT 301.01	50%	22%	0%	0%	0%	2%	2%	1%	99%	69.5%	\$12,885
BG 2, CT 301.01	78%	4%	0%	0%	0%	7%	2%	0%	100%	8.7%	\$58,250
BG 3, CT 301.01	94%	7%	0%	1%	0%	9%	3%	3%	97%	37.7%	\$23,750
BG 4, CT 301.01	131%	14%	2%	3%	0%	22%	15%	60%	40%	0.0%	ND
BG 1, CT 301.03	73%	11%	1%	1%	0%	13%	3%	0%	100%	50.4%	\$20,341
BG 2, CT 301.03	95%	16%	1%	1%	0%	12%	3%	0%	100%	57.3%	\$12,880
BG 1, CT 301.04	87%	3%	0%	1%	0%	4%	3%	0%	100%	3.2%	\$72,179
BG 2, CT 301.04	81%	4%	1%	1%	0%	3%	3%	3%	97%	20.6%	\$46,012
BG 3, CT 301.04	78%	3%	0%	1%	0%	3%	1%	4%	96%	3.6%	\$58,772
BG 1, CT 301.05	85%	19%	0%	0%	0%	11%	4%	0%	100%	23.8%	\$26,354
BG 2, CT 301.05	81%	11%	0%	0%	0%	9%	3%	29%	71%	17.3%	\$31,146
BG 1, CT 302	95%	14%	0%	0%	0%	7%	2%	3%	97%	52.0%	\$15,156
BG 2, CT 302	75%	7%	0%	0%	0%	5%	5%	0%	100%	4.9%	\$48,750
BG 3, CT 302	82%	10%	0%	0%	0%	4%	2%	0%	100%	23.6%	\$32,656
BG 4, CT 302	95%	7%	0%	0%	0%	4%	3%	6%	94%	26.5%	\$25,417
BG 1, CT 303	54%	5%	0%	0%	0%	4%	1%	0%	100%	4.7%	\$39,537
BG 2, CT 303	98%	25%	1%	1%	0%	15%	7%	0%	100%	43.8%	\$16,917
BG 3, CT 303	103%	13%	2%	0%	0%	5%	4%	0%	100%	75.6%	\$7,555
BG 4, CT 303	109%	8%	0%	0%	0%	10%	2%	1%	99%	18.5%	\$34,813
BG 1, CT 307	68%	23%	2%	0%	0%	17%	3%	0%	100%	60.3%	\$14,940
BG 2, CT 307	70%	11%	1%	0%	0%	6%	7%	1%	99%	81.8%	\$6,736
BG 1, CT 308	93%	16%	1%	0%	0%	6%	4%	0%	100%	29.0%	\$22,443
BG 1, CT 309.01	94%	8%	0%	0%	0%	5%	2%	0%	100%	17.6%	\$24,962
BG 2, CT 309.01	85%	9%	0%	0%	0%	6%	6%	0%	100%	26.9%	\$21,875
BG 1, CT 309.02	82%	6%	0%	0%	0%	6%	1%	2%	98%	25.6%	\$31,750
BG 2, CT 309.02	76%	8%	0%	0%	0%	7%	7%	0%	100%	31.6%	\$24,196

Block Group	Race (Percent) <sup>a</sup>							Ethnicity (Percent) <sup>a</sup>		Percent of Residents in Poverty <sup>a</sup>	Median Household Income
	White	Black or African American	American Indian or Alaska Native	Asian	Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	Some Other Race Alone	Multiple Races	Hispanic or Latino	Not Hispanic or Latino		
BG 1, CT 309.03	103%	11%	2%	0%	0%	7%	3%	3%	97%	34.0%	\$19,815
BG 2, CT 309.03	66%	5%	0%	1%	0%	4%	3%	2%	98%	20.9%	\$39,414
BG 1, CT 309.04	79%	9%	0%	0%	0%	6%	4%	0%	100%	41.4%	\$18,508
BG 2, CT 309.04	75%	11%	1%	0%	0%	7%	2%	1%	99%	48.6%	\$23,438
BG 1, CT 310.04	104%	13%	0%	1%	0%	10%	3%	5%	95%	27.1%	\$27,826
BG 2, CT 310.04	57%	10%	0%	0%	0%	8%	3%	1%	99%	16.4%	\$36,210
BG 1, CT 310.05	74%	14%	0%	0%	0%	7%	2%	3%	97%	57.4%	\$10,256
BG 2, CT 310.05	83%	15%	1%	0%	0%	9%	2%	2%	98%	25.5%	\$26,964
BG 1, CT 310.11	80%	12%	0%	0%	0%	8%	1%	0%	100%	1.3%	\$50,714
BG 2, CT 310.11	84%	6%	0%	1%	0%	6%	4%	2%	98%	3.0%	\$31,658
BG 3, CT 310.11	90%	6%	1%	0%	0%	6%	2%	1%	99%	6.8%	\$60,100
BG 4, CT 310.11	96%	11%	0%	0%	0%	4%	3%	0%	100%	25.0%	\$33,500
BG 1, CT 310.13	70%	12%	1%	0%	0%	10%	4%	0%	100%	54.0%	\$10,583
BG 2, CT 310.13	70%	10%	0%	1%	0%	5%	5%	4%	96%	34.8%	\$31,213
BG 3, CT 310.13	88%	15%	1%	0%	0%	4%	3%	0%	100%	28.1%	\$26,415
BG 4, CT 310.13	85%	11%	1%	0%	0%	8%	3%	2%	98%	19.4%	\$36,845
BG 5, CT 310.13	72%	9%	1%	0%	0%	4%	8%	0%	100%	23.9%	\$30,488
BG 1, CT 310.21	84%	21%	0%	1%	0%	8%	6%	1%	99%	45.2%	\$21,200
BG 2, CT 310.21	81%	4%	1%	0%	0%	4%	2%	0%	100%	13.6%	\$55,078
BG 3, CT 310.21	77%	11%	0%	0%	0%	6%	4%	0%	100%	35.5%	\$28,382
BG 1, CT 310.23	115%	9%	2%	1%	0%	5%	5%	0%	100%	31.8%	\$31,336
BG 2, CT 310.23	94%	9%	0%	0%	0%	5%	3%	0%	100%	31.0%	\$33,413
BG 1, CT 310.31	81%	9%	0%	0%	0%	7%	3%	0%	100%	18.0%	\$32,667
BG 2, CT 310.31	90%	16%	1%	1%	0%	11%	3%	10%	90%	18.2%	\$28,676
BG 3, CT 310.31	68%	12%	0%	0%	0%	5%	15%	0%	100%	90.7%	\$4,470
BG 4, CT 310.31	69%	8%	1%	0%	0%	6%	2%	0%	100%	18.8%	\$53,409
BG 1, CT 310.32	71%	14%	0%	0%	0%	7%	3%	4%	96%	20.4%	\$56,667
BG 1, CT 310.33	60%	11%	0%	0%	0%	5%	3%	3%	97%	25.1%	\$34,469
BG 2, CT 310.33	71%	13%	0%	1%	0%	4%	3%	0%	100%	30.3%	\$42,885

Block Group	Race (Percent) <sup>a</sup>							Ethnicity (Percent) <sup>a</sup>		Percent of Residents in Poverty <sup>a</sup>	Median Household Income
	White	Black or African American	American Indian or Alaska Native	Asian	Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	Some Other Race Alone	Multiple Races	Hispanic or Latino	Not Hispanic or Latino		
BG 3, CT 310.33	84%	18%	1%	0%	0%	11%	3%	0%	100%	50.9%	\$21,500
BG 4, CT 310.33	87%	14%	1%	1%	0%	12%	7%	3%	97%	26.5%	\$31,292
BG 1, CT 311.01	71%	9%	1%	0%	0%	6%	3%	3%	97%	29.8%	\$27,969
BG 2, CT 311.01	85%	11%	2%	0%	0%	10%	3%	0%	100%	8.5%	\$55,921
BG 1, CT 311.13	56%	8%	0%	1%	0%	2%	4%	1%	99%	48.4%	\$19,246
BG 2, CT 311.13	94%	8%	0%	1%	0%	4%	6%	3%	97%	20.9%	\$34,034
BG 3, CT 311.13	63%	10%	0%	0%	0%	4%	3%	2%	98%	49.5%	\$23,047
BG 1, CT 311.14	41%	8%	0%	0%	0%	3%	2%	0%	100%	95.5%	\$7,209
BG 2, CT 311.14	83%	15%	2%	0%	0%	13%	3%	0%	100%	47.3%	\$19,683
BG 3, CT 311.14	70%	8%	1%	0%	0%	9%	2%	0%	100%	33.8%	\$24,028
BG 1, CT 311.21	67%	6%	0%	0%	0%	5%	2%	0%	100%	38.1%	\$30,645
BG 2, CT 311.21	87%	12%	1%	0%	0%	8%	3%	1%	99%	28.8%	\$35,179
BG 3, CT 311.21	86%	12%	0%	0%	0%	11%	3%	0%	100%	78.6%	\$10,840
BG 1, CT 311.22	77%	11%	0%	0%	0%	3%	7%	0%	100%	31.8%	\$26,289
BG 2, CT 311.22	85%	8%	1%	0%	0%	5%	4%	0%	100%	24.0%	\$22,475
BG 1, CT 311.23	101%	12%	2%	0%	0%	9%	2%	1%	99%	36.0%	\$19,738
BG 2, CT 311.23	81%	9%	2%	0%	0%	9%	4%	0%	100%	41.2%	\$16,630
BG 1, CT 311.24	77%	13%	0%	0%	0%	5%	2%	0%	100%	56.6%	\$14,353
BG 1, CT 311.25	94%	10%	0%	0%	0%	11%	3%	1%	99%	22.2%	\$34,528
BG 2, CT 311.25	90%	10%	0%	0%	0%	8%	5%	2%	98%	53.3%	\$14,861
BG 1, CT 312.01	73%	11%	0%	0%	0%	4%	1%	6%	94%	48.8%	\$18,086
BG 2, CT 312.01	74%	14%	0%	1%	0%	10%	2%	0%	100%	49.0%	\$22,112
BG 3, CT 312.01	66%	9%	5%	0%	0%	4%	1%	0%	100%	93.7%	\$2,546
BG 1, CT 312.02	89%	18%	2%	1%	0%	8%	1%	0%	100%	47.1%	\$20,893
BG 2, CT 312.02	58%	12%	0%	0%	0%	2%	1%	0%	100%	21.8%	\$31,701
BG 3, CT 312.02	63%	13%	0%	0%	0%	6%	3%	2%	98%	42.2%	\$18,920
BG 1, CT 312.03	74%	6%	0%	0%	0%	6%	3%	0%	100%	16.6%	\$31,786
BG 2, CT 312.03	123%	10%	0%	0%	0%	10%	3%	1%	99%	29.9%	\$27,083
BG 1, CT 313.01	106%	10%	1%	0%	0%	11%	4%	0%	100%	28.0%	\$22,017

Block Group	Race (Percent) <sup>a</sup>							Ethnicity (Percent) <sup>a</sup>		Percent of Residents in Poverty <sup>a</sup>	Median Household Income
	White	Black or African American	American Indian or Alaska Native	Asian	Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	Some Other Race Alone	Multiple Races	Hispanic or Latino	Not Hispanic or Latino		
BG 2, CT 313.01	76%	7%	1%	0%	0%	5%	4%	0%	100%	18.1%	\$34,395
BG 3, CT 313.01	78%	10%	0%	0%	0%	6%	1%	0%	100%	39.6%	\$21,100
BG 1, CT 313.04	79%	6%	0%	0%	0%	9%	7%	0%	100%	33.7%	\$23,214
BG 1, CT 313.05	72%	10%	1%	0%	0%	5%	2%	0%	100%	24.4%	\$32,500
BG 2, CT 313.05	89%	18%	1%	0%	0%	13%	3%	0%	100%	35.4%	\$25,644
BG 3, CT 313.05	86%	9%	1%	0%	0%	10%	2%	0%	100%	41.4%	\$19,904
BG 4, CT 313.05	68%	11%	0%	0%	0%	7%	2%	0%	100%	43.6%	\$24,018
BG 1, CT 313.06	75%	6%	1%	1%	0%	8%	2%	5%	95%	13.8%	\$38,618
BG 2, CT 313.06	75%	10%	0%	0%	0%	5%	0%	0%	100%	42.7%	\$22,045
BG 1, CT 313.07	71%	6%	0%	1%	0%	7%	2%	2%	98%	22.7%	\$32,889
BG 2, CT 313.07	81%	11%	0%	0%	0%	8%	1%	0%	100%	22.2%	\$39,083
BG 1, CT 314.01	81%	8%	1%	0%	0%	8%	2%	2%	98%	39.9%	\$20,197
BG 2, CT 314.01	71%	7%	1%	0%	0%	3%	9%	3%	97%	41.8%	\$20,144
BG 3, CT 314.01	76%	10%	0%	0%	0%	5%	2%	0%	100%	22.7%	\$24,654
BG 1, CT 314.02	73%	8%	1%	0%	0%	7%	3%	5%	95%	34.0%	\$22,819
BG 2, CT 314.02	69%	15%	1%	0%	0%	7%	3%	0%	100%	56.6%	\$16,453
BG 3, CT 314.02	84%	13%	1%	0%	0%	9%	5%	0%	100%	65.7%	\$11,111
BG 1, CT 314.03	64%	8%	1%	0%	0%	4%	2%	0%	100%	25.4%	\$28,750
BG 2, CT 314.03	75%	10%	1%	1%	0%	8%	7%	1%	99%	64.0%	\$21,055
BG 3, CT 314.03	95%	10%	2%	1%	0%	6%	6%	0%	100%	32.5%	\$25,139
BG 1, CT 315.01	68%	10%	0%	0%	0%	10%	2%	2%	98%	25.4%	\$24,688
BG 2, CT 315.01	73%	9%	0%	0%	0%	10%	2%	0%	100%	34.6%	\$22,344
BG 1, CT 315.02	85%	14%	2%	0%	0%	6%	3%	0%	100%	44.3%	\$18,813
BG 2, CT 315.02	63%	19%	1%	0%	0%	4%	2%	0%	100%	41.9%	\$17,339
BG 1, CT 315.03	84%	15%	1%	0%	0%	6%	1%	2%	98%	55.3%	\$13,953
BG 2, CT 315.03	82%	14%	0%	0%	0%	7%	4%	1%	99%	43.5%	\$21,622
BG 1, CT 316.11	65%	9%	0%	1%	0%	7%	2%	0%	100%	29.3%	\$26,406
BG 2, CT 316.11	67%	9%	0%	2%	0%	3%	2%	0%	100%	36.2%	\$21,611
BG 3, CT 316.11	97%	13%	0%	0%	0%	6%	5%	2%	98%	45.5%	\$19,300

Block Group	Race (Percent) <sup>a</sup>							Ethnicity (Percent) <sup>a</sup>		Percent of Residents in Poverty <sup>a</sup>	Median Household Income
	White	Black or African American	American Indian or Alaska Native	Asian	Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	Some Other Race Alone	Multiple Races	Hispanic or Latino	Not Hispanic or Latino		
BG 1, CT 316.12	89%	18%	0%	0%	0%	9%	1%	0%	100%	31.6%	\$18,056
BG 2, CT 316.12	68%	8%	1%	0%	0%	6%	2%	0%	100%	38.4%	\$25,938
BG 3, CT 316.12	107%	16%	0%	1%	0%	13%	3%	0%	100%	92.7%	\$6,071
BG 4, CT 316.12	61%	10%	1%	0%	0%	11%	3%	0%	100%	43.8%	\$15,956
BG 1, CT 316.21	78%	12%	1%	0%	0%	6%	5%	1%	99%	26.6%	\$30,742
BG 1, CT 316.22	77%	15%	1%	1%	0%	8%	2%	1%	99%	54.3%	\$15,250
BG 2, CT 316.22	68%	6%	0%	0%	0%	4%	3%	0%	100%	15.3%	\$35,156
BG 3, CT 316.22	66%	9%	0%	0%	0%	12%	3%	0%	100%	33.8%	\$20,978
BG 1, CT 316.31	77%	5%	1%	0%	0%	6%	4%	2%	98%	27.7%	\$33,942
BG 2, CT 316.31	78%	7%	0%	1%	0%	7%	4%	5%	95%	32.5%	\$28,500
BG 1, CT 316.32	56%	10%	0%	0%	0%	3%	2%	0%	100%	48.4%	\$18,403
BG 2, CT 316.32	67%	11%	2%	1%	0%	6%	0%	1%	99%	37.1%	\$21,000
BG 3, CT 316.32	84%	10%	0%	0%	0%	4%	1%	0%	100%	38.9%	\$17,198
BG 1, CT 316.41	63%	12%	1%	0%	0%	8%	4%	0%	100%	35.4%	\$22,701
BG 2, CT 316.41	76%	10%	1%	0%	0%	9%	3%	0%	100%	29.4%	\$24,928
BG 1, CT 316.51	62%	11%	0%	0%	0%	5%	1%	2%	98%	50.8%	\$15,662
BG 2, CT 316.51	72%	8%	1%	0%	0%	7%	3%	1%	99%	41.4%	\$19,900
BG 1, CT 317.01	99%	9%	0%	0%	0%	8%	2%	0%	100%	14.3%	\$35,926
BG 2, CT 317.01	74%	12%	0%	0%	0%	6%	5%	0%	100%	64.2%	\$13,250
BG 3, CT 317.01	79%	9%	1%	0%	0%	9%	2%	0%	100%	19.6%	\$33,958
BG 1, CT 317.02	68%	9%	0%	0%	0%	5%	2%	0%	100%	35.4%	\$23,967
BG 2, CT 317.02	95%	13%	0%	0%	0%	7%	2%	0%	100%	44.4%	\$17,083
BG 3, CT 317.02	82%	11%	1%	0%	0%	7%	3%	0%	100%	54.9%	\$21,679
BG 4, CT 317.02	77%	10%	1%	0%	0%	8%	4%	0%	100%	43.0%	\$16,420
BG 1, CT 317.03	88%	13%	0%	0%	0%	9%	2%	1%	99%	32.9%	\$22,576
BG 2, CT 317.03	92%	12%	0%	0%	0%	8%	2%	0%	100%	21.5%	\$22,066
BG 1, CT 317.04	110%	14%	1%	0%	1%	16%	5%	0%	100%	44.9%	\$25,000
BG 2, CT 317.04	74%	8%	0%	0%	0%	7%	3%	0%	100%	27.9%	\$25,608
BG 3, CT 317.04	109%	12%	0%	0%	0%	14%	6%	0%	100%	21.8%	\$28,676

Block Group	Race (Percent) <sup>a</sup>							Ethnicity (Percent) <sup>a</sup>		Percent of Residents in Poverty <sup>a</sup>	Median Household Income
	White	Black or African American	American Indian or Alaska Native	Asian	Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	Some Other Race Alone	Multiple Races	Hispanic or Latino	Not Hispanic or Latino		
BG 4, CT 317.04	97%	13%	0%	0%	0%	7%	2%	1%	99%	35.5%	\$20,208
BG 1, CT 318	80%	12%	0%	0%	0%	9%	4%	0%	100%	19.4%	\$39,583
BG 2, CT 318	96%	16%	2%	0%	0%	7%	3%	0%	100%	0.0%	\$59,688
BG 3, CT 318	76%	11%	1%	0%	0%	13%	4%	0%	100%	53.1%	\$12,670
BG 4, CT 318	76%	11%	2%	0%	0%	14%	2%	0%	100%	67.0%	\$15,250
BG 1, CT 319	64%	8%	0%	0%	0%	8%	2%	1%	99%	33.7%	\$23,221
BG 2, CT 319	72%	5%	1%	0%	0%	7%	2%	0%	100%	33.3%	\$24,087
BG 3, CT 319	84%	7%	1%	0%	0%	12%	7%	0%	100%	32.4%	\$19,500
BG 4, CT 319	130%	10%	1%	0%	0%	17%	11%	0%	100%	53.6%	\$20,325
BG 1, CT 320	109%	10%	1%	0%	0%	15%	13%	0%	100%	55.4%	\$14,353
BG 2, CT 320	68%	4%	0%	0%	0%	8%	2%	0%	100%	59.4%	\$20,896
BG 1, CT 321	93%	6%	1%	0%	0%	14%	6%	0%	100%	57.0%	\$16,866
BG 2, CT 321	129%	6%	1%	0%	0%	13%	11%	0%	100%	39.6%	\$22,143
BG 1, CT 322	67%	7%	1%	0%	0%	14%	4%	0%	100%	69.5%	\$14,261
BG 2, CT 322	111%	7%	1%	0%	0%	15%	6%	0%	100%	50.6%	\$18,125
BG 1, CT 323.01	96%	7%	0%	0%	0%	7%	7%	0%	100%	17.0%	\$38,203
BG 2, CT 323.01	70%	6%	0%	0%	0%	6%	9%	0%	100%	10.3%	\$46,410
BG 1, CT 323.02	103%	7%	0%	0%	0%	10%	10%	0%	100%	37.9%	\$23,452
BG 2, CT 323.02	83%	9%	0%	0%	0%	7%	5%	0%	100%	51.2%	\$23,586
BG 1, CT 8301.01	71%	4%	0%	0%	0%	7%	2%	1%	99%	48.0%	\$17,342
BG 2, CT 8301.01	106%	5%	0%	0%	0%	8%	2%	0%	100%	55.3%	\$13,073
BG 3, CT 8301.01	77%	2%	0%	0%	0%	6%	2%	0%	100%	47.0%	\$12,986
BG 1, CT 8301.02	83%	6%	1%	0%	0%	3%	3%	0%	100%	43.5%	\$14,555
BG 2, CT 8301.02	86%	7%	1%	0%	0%	8%	3%	0%	100%	47.2%	\$18,421
BG 3, CT 8301.02	78%	5%	0%	0%	0%	3%	1%	0%	100%	44.0%	\$17,386
BG 1, CT 8302	104%	8%	0%	0%	0%	8%	6%	0%	100%	43.2%	\$17,356
BG 2, CT 8302	120%	9%	1%	0%	0%	11%	5%	1%	99%	16.6%	\$37,983
BG 3, CT 8302	103%	8%	0%	0%	0%	9%	3%	0%	100%	51.9%	\$16,563
BG 1, CT 8303	45%	2%	0%	0%	0%	2%	2%	1%	99%	17.4%	\$38,566

Block Group	Race (Percent) <sup>a</sup>							Ethnicity (Percent) <sup>a</sup>		Percent of Residents in Poverty <sup>a</sup>	Median Household Income
	White	Black or African American	American Indian or Alaska Native	Asian	Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	Some Other Race Alone	Multiple Races	Hispanic or Latino	Not Hispanic or Latino		
BG 2, CT 8303	76%	4%	0%	0%	0%	4%	2%	0%	100%	31.2%	\$25,114
BG 3, CT 8303	99%	3%	1%	0%	0%	3%	2%	0%	100%	22.3%	\$17,768
BG 4, CT 8303	88%	5%	0%	0%	0%	11%	3%	1%	99%	34.7%	\$23,606
BG 1, CT 8304	85%	9%	0%	0%	0%	4%	4%	0%	100%	42.7%	\$22,083
BG 2, CT 8304	83%	5%	1%	0%	0%	6%	3%	0%	100%	48.6%	\$17,008
BG 3, CT 8304	57%	6%	0%	0%	0%	7%	3%	0%	100%	94.1%	\$6,699
BG 4, CT 8304	73%	5%	0%	0%	0%	4%	2%	1%	99%	67.6%	\$10,182
BG 1, CT 8305.02	73%	4%	0%	0%	0%	13%	5%	0%	100%	48.0%	\$20,484
BG 2, CT 8305.02	158%	3%	0%	0%	1%	38%	2%	4%	96%	28.3%	\$32,955
BG 3, CT 8305.02	81%	4%	0%	0%	0%	15%	3%	0%	100%	69.2%	\$9,818
BG 4, CT 8305.02	55%	2%	0%	0%	0%	9%	4%	1%	99%	64.1%	\$15,909
BG 1, CT 8305.03	84%	5%	0%	0%	0%	6%	2%	0%	100%	53.3%	\$19,898
BG 2, CT 8305.03	69%	7%	0%	0%	0%	8%	2%	0%	100%	48.7%	\$18,947
BG 1, CT 8305.04	84%	5%	0%	0%	0%	8%	3%	0%	100%	52.8%	\$14,049
BG 2, CT 8305.04	85%	6%	1%	0%	0%	11%	3%	0%	100%	61.7%	\$15,211
BG 1, CT 8306.03	62%	4%	0%	0%	0%	4%	2%	0%	100%	63.6%	\$12,220
BG 2, CT 8306.03	72%	4%	0%	0%	0%	6%	3%	0%	100%	76.2%	\$7,852
BG 3, CT 8306.03	109%	6%	0%	0%	0%	5%	2%	5%	95%	36.6%	\$21,214
BG 1, CT 8306.04	118%	7%	0%	0%	0%	5%	5%	0%	100%	41.0%	\$18,576
BG 2, CT 8306.04	86%	5%	1%	0%	0%	5%	4%	0%	100%	65.2%	\$19,049
BG 3, CT 8306.04	267%	19%	0%	0%	0%	14%	6%	0%	100%	58.0%	\$13,262
BG 4, CT 8306.04	145%	10%	0%	0%	0%	9%	6%	0%	100%	54.2%	\$14,773
BG 1, CT 9800	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
BG 0, CT 9900	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
BG 1, CT 2001	60%	11%	1%	0%	0%	6%	3%	1%	99%	41.7%	\$20,317
BG 2, CT 2001	100%	7%	1%	1%	0%	6%	4%	2%	98%	24.5%	\$46,853
BG 3, CT 2001	60%	10%	2%	0%	0%	6%	3%	0%	100%	56.1%	\$15,221
BG 4, CT 2001	78%	6%	0%	0%	0%	5%	3%	0%	100%	18.3%	\$62,566
BG 5, CT 2001	51%	11%	0%	0%	0%	3%	1%	7%	93%	55.6%	\$22,939

Block Group	Race (Percent) <sup>a</sup>							Ethnicity (Percent) <sup>a</sup>		Percent of Residents in Poverty <sup>a</sup>	Median Household Income
	White	Black or African American	American Indian or Alaska Native	Asian	Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	Some Other Race Alone	Multiple Races	Hispanic or Latino	Not Hispanic or Latino		
BG 1, CT 2002	66%	14%	1%	0%	0%	7%	6%	0%	100%	41.6%	\$20,664
BG 2, CT 2002	71%	17%	1%	0%	0%	9%	4%	2%	98%	51.9%	\$17,041
BG 3, CT 2002	93%	10%	2%	0%	0%	9%	6%	0%	100%	33.6%	\$18,576
BG 4, CT 2002	118%	17%	0%	0%	0%	7%	1%	4%	96%	15.9%	\$23,594
BG 1, CT 2003.02	118%	12%	1%	0%	0%	11%	3%	0%	100%	7.6%	\$56,591
BG 2, CT 2003.02	87%	11%	0%	0%	0%	11%	3%	2%	98%	14.8%	\$35,300
BG 3, CT 2003.02	89%	12%	1%	0%	0%	9%	4%	0%	100%	11.1%	\$51,321
BG 4, CT 2003.02	110%	24%	0%	0%	0%	13%	3%	0%	100%	58.1%	\$14,898
BG 5, CT 2003.02	80%	4%	0%	0%	0%	8%	4%	0%	100%	10.7%	\$66,971
BG 6, CT 2003.02	81%	8%	0%	1%	0%	9%	3%	0%	100%	11.2%	\$76,818
BG 1, CT 2003.03	69%	13%	0%	0%	0%	17%	5%	0%	100%	28.0%	\$22,647
BG 2, CT 2003.03	86%	5%	0%	0%	0%	10%	5%	1%	99%	3.9%	\$80,593
BG 3, CT 2003.03	95%	6%	0%	0%	0%	9%	3%	0%	100%	5.4%	\$89,688
BG 4, CT 2003.03	61%	5%	0%	0%	0%	6%	2%	5%	95%	3.0%	\$54,375
BG 5, CT 2003.03	67%	10%	0%	0%	0%	8%	1%	1%	99%	38.1%	\$30,964
BG 6, CT 2003.03	72%	14%	1%	1%	0%	9%	4%	1%	99%	46.6%	\$20,774
BG 1, CT 2003.04	75%	5%	0%	0%	0%	8%	2%	0%	100%	6.7%	\$84,844
BG 2, CT 2003.04	102%	17%	2%	0%	0%	13%	5%	4%	96%	65.6%	\$14,750
BG 3, CT 2003.04	65%	14%	1%	0%	0%	14%	2%	0%	100%	69.2%	\$11,992
BG 4, CT 2003.04	95%	13%	1%	0%	0%	10%	4%	3%	97%	17.2%	\$38,197
BG 5, CT 2003.04	77%	9%	1%	0%	0%	6%	3%	0%	100%	15.6%	\$36,250
BG 1, CT 2004	67%	10%	0%	0%	0%	3%	3%	0%	100%	23.9%	\$23,482
BG 2, CT 2004	75%	10%	0%	1%	0%	8%	2%	0%	100%	28.0%	\$24,070
BG 1, CT 2005	107%	13%	0%	1%	0%	5%	5%	0%	100%	7.7%	\$33,229
BG 2, CT 2005	106%	13%	0%	0%	0%	4%	3%	0%	100%	17.2%	\$30,721
BG 3, CT 2005	80%	9%	1%	0%	0%	7%	2%	0%	100%	16.9%	\$25,250
BG 4, CT 2005	70%	10%	0%	0%	0%	4%	3%	0%	100%	42.4%	\$18,232
BG 5, CT 2005	127%	15%	0%	0%	0%	4%	3%	7%	93%	82.7%	\$12,857
BG 6, CT 2005	79%	9%	0%	0%	0%	4%	6%	0%	100%	10.9%	\$28,147

Block Group	Race (Percent) <sup>a</sup>							Ethnicity (Percent) <sup>a</sup>		Percent of Residents in Poverty <sup>a</sup>	Median Household Income
	White	Black or African American	American Indian or Alaska Native	Asian	Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	Some Other Race Alone	Multiple Races	Hispanic or Latino	Not Hispanic or Latino		
BG 7, CT 2005	62%	8%	0%	0%	0%	4%	5%	2%	98%	48.4%	\$28,750
BG 1, CT 2006	64%	10%	0%	0%	0%	2%	6%	0%	100%	90.6%	\$5,685
BG 2, CT 2006	71%	10%	0%	0%	0%	2%	3%	0%	100%	23.8%	\$23,672
BG 3, CT 2006	128%	10%	0%	1%	0%	4%	2%	0%	100%	21.6%	\$29,958
BG 4, CT 2006	90%	9%	1%	0%	0%	6%	2%	0%	100%	36.4%	\$30,321
BG 1, CT 2007	75%	9%	0%	0%	0%	6%	3%	0%	100%	35.4%	\$27,708
BG 2, CT 2007	123%	19%	1%	2%	0%	8%	4%	2%	98%	21.4%	\$19,226
BG 3, CT 2007	75%	11%	1%	0%	0%	6%	5%	3%	97%	26.8%	\$24,158
BG 1, CT 2008	57%	12%	0%	0%	0%	8%	3%	0%	100%	29.1%	\$26,792
BG 2, CT 2008	82%	18%	0%	0%	0%	9%	2%	0%	100%	20.4%	\$18,750
BG 3, CT 2008	55%	13%	1%	0%	0%	5%	2%	0%	100%	30.4%	\$24,135
BG 1, CT 2009	88%	18%	2%	0%	0%	16%	4%	0%	100%	76.5%	\$11,190
BG 2, CT 2009	63%	16%	0%	0%	0%	10%	3%	2%	98%	79.1%	\$4,805
BG 1, CT 2010	77%	21%	1%	0%	0%	10%	3%	1%	99%	73.1%	\$10,981
BG 2, CT 2010	88%	17%	2%	1%	0%	11%	3%	1%	99%	55.1%	\$13,083
BG 1, CT 2012	95%	20%	5%	0%	0%	20%	6%	0%	100%	64.7%	\$9,559
BG 2, CT 2012	71%	15%	0%	0%	0%	8%	6%	1%	99%	48.3%	\$18,574
BG 3, CT 2012	139%	28%	3%	2%	0%	18%	9%	0%	100%	37.8%	\$13,500
BG 1, CT 2013	82%	8%	1%	0%	0%	7%	2%	0%	100%	30.3%	\$31,094
BG 2, CT 2013	70%	9%	1%	0%	0%	10%	2%	0%	100%	32.6%	\$33,345
BG 1, CT 2014	94%	11%	1%	0%	0%	7%	2%	1%	99%	55.1%	\$14,022
BG 2, CT 2014	60%	5%	0%	0%	0%	5%	2%	0%	100%	49.4%	\$18,023
BG 1, CT 2015	59%	11%	1%	0%	0%	5%	3%	0%	100%	42.9%	\$24,625
BG 2, CT 2015	69%	6%	0%	0%	0%	3%	2%	0%	100%	64.2%	\$23,633
BG 3, CT 2015	63%	9%	2%	0%	0%	4%	3%	0%	100%	56.6%	\$16,343
BG 4, CT 2015	128%	17%	1%	1%	0%	11%	12%	0%	100%	46.9%	\$20,605
BG 1, CT 2016	52%	5%	0%	0%	0%	2%	1%	0%	100%	97.0%	ND
BG 2, CT 2016	112%	11%	0%	1%	0%	6%	1%	0%	100%	25.2%	\$37,656
BG 3, CT 2016	72%	20%	2%	0%	0%	6%	3%	0%	100%	78.1%	\$10,163

Block Group	Race (Percent) <sup>a</sup>							Ethnicity (Percent) <sup>a</sup>		Percent of Residents in Poverty <sup>a</sup>	Median Household Income
	White	Black or African American	American Indian or Alaska Native	Asian	Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	Some Other Race Alone	Multiple Races	Hispanic or Latino	Not Hispanic or Latino		
BG 1, CT 2017	78%	13%	1%	0%	0%	10%	4%	0%	100%	38.3%	\$16,423
BG 2, CT 2017	74%	21%	0%	0%	0%	3%	5%	0%	100%	85.7%	\$6,683
BG 3, CT 2017	84%	9%	1%	0%	0%	5%	7%	0%	100%	52.9%	\$16,833
BG 1, CT 2018	84%	11%	1%	0%	0%	8%	3%	0%	100%	38.0%	\$23,026
BG 2, CT 2018	73%	10%	0%	0%	0%	3%	3%	3%	97%	74.7%	\$10,368
BG 3, CT 2018	89%	5%	0%	0%	0%	4%	2%	4%	96%	37.1%	\$13,438
BG 1, CT 2019	73%	11%	0%	0%	0%	5%	3%	0%	100%	40.6%	\$19,750
BG 2, CT 2019	89%	27%	3%	0%	0%	4%	4%	0%	100%	89.5%	ND
BG 1, CT 2020	70%	10%	0%	0%	0%	12%	4%	1%	99%	40.5%	\$22,339
BG 2, CT 2020	58%	6%	0%	0%	0%	3%	6%	0%	100%	71.4%	\$11,354
BG 3, CT 2020	39%	4%	0%	0%	0%	5%	3%	0%	100%	48.8%	\$21,875
BG 1, CT 2021	77%	9%	1%	0%	0%	8%	7%	1%	99%	58.1%	\$9,886
BG 2, CT 2021	72%	7%	0%	0%	0%	10%	4%	0%	100%	24.1%	\$30,469
BG 3, CT 2021	73%	11%	1%	0%	0%	6%	2%	0%	100%	14.0%	\$43,438
BG 1, CT 2022	68%	10%	0%	0%	0%	7%	2%	1%	99%	45.9%	\$17,739
BG 1, CT 2023	74%	14%	0%	0%	0%	14%	2%	1%	99%	26.2%	\$25,000
BG 2, CT 2023	97%	17%	0%	0%	0%	6%	3%	2%	98%	33.7%	\$19,700
BG 1, CT 2024.02	80%	10%	1%	1%	0%	6%	4%	2%	98%	19.7%	\$29,916
BG 2, CT 2024.02	64%	8%	1%	0%	0%	7%	2%	0%	100%	45.1%	\$32,548
BG 3, CT 2024.02	90%	8%	0%	0%	0%	13%	3%	8%	92%	17.2%	\$45,125
BG 4, CT 2024.02	76%	11%	1%	0%	0%	7%	6%	10%	90%	8.5%	\$42,821
BG 5, CT 2024.02	75%	10%	0%	0%	0%	9%	2%	0%	100%	52.3%	\$14,331
BG 1, CT 2024.03	69%	9%	0%	0%	0%	11%	3%	0%	100%	47.7%	\$18,462
BG 2, CT 2024.03	79%	11%	0%	0%	0%	13%	2%	0%	100%	45.3%	\$16,853
BG 3, CT 2024.03	85%	5%	0%	0%	0%	16%	4%	0%	100%	78.9%	\$11,583
BG 4, CT 2024.03	96%	15%	0%	0%	0%	19%	3%	3%	97%	10.5%	\$24,375
BG 1, CT 2024.04	79%	13%	2%	0%	0%	11%	5%	0%	100%	31.2%	\$32,172
BG 2, CT 2024.04	78%	10%	1%	0%	0%	28%	5%	0%	100%	17.4%	\$27,950
BG 3, CT 2024.04	65%	10%	0%	0%	0%	19%	3%	0%	100%	48.3%	\$17,391

Block Group	Race (Percent) <sup>a</sup>							Ethnicity (Percent) <sup>a</sup>		Percent of Residents in Poverty <sup>a</sup>	Median Household Income
	White	Black or African American	American Indian or Alaska Native	Asian	Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	Some Other Race Alone	Multiple Races	Hispanic or Latino	Not Hispanic or Latino		
BG 1, CT 2025	114%	14%	0%	2%	0%	13%	5%	0%	100%	24.1%	\$30,536
BG 2, CT 2025	69%	7%	0%	0%	0%	9%	4%	2%	98%	40.6%	\$23,085
BG 3, CT 2025	77%	11%	0%	0%	0%	8%	5%	0%	100%	42.7%	\$21,500
BG 1, CT 2026.01	69%	13%	0%	0%	0%	14%	2%	0%	100%	36.8%	\$23,363
BG 2, CT 2026.01	59%	7%	0%	0%	0%	6%	1%	1%	99%	11.8%	\$28,693
BG 3, CT 2026.01	78%	11%	0%	0%	0%	11%	2%	2%	98%	37.0%	\$27,564
BG 4, CT 2026.01	61%	10%	0%	0%	0%	6%	2%	3%	97%	49.0%	\$26,563
BG 1, CT 2026.02	54%	2%	1%	0%	0%	8%	0%	19%	81%	18.8%	\$34,650
BG 2, CT 2026.02	139%	21%	2%	0%	0%	18%	5%	0%	100%	64.7%	\$17,466
BG 3, CT 2026.02	122%	13%	1%	0%	0%	16%	4%	0%	100%	10.5%	\$56,380
BG 4, CT 2026.02	60%	8%	0%	0%	0%	12%	7%	0%	100%	22.7%	\$24,000
BG 5, CT 2026.02	72%	7%	0%	0%	0%	6%	2%	0%	100%	9.8%	\$55,888
BG 6, CT 2026.02	64%	11%	1%	0%	0%	11%	6%	0%	100%	49.1%	\$18,710
BG 1, CT 2027.01	76%	13%	0%	0%	0%	8%	5%	0%	100%	41.6%	\$25,264
BG 2, CT 2027.01	67%	13%	1%	0%	0%	6%	7%	3%	97%	50.5%	\$19,375
BG 3, CT 2027.01	113%	25%	1%	0%	0%	22%	5%	0%	100%	37.5%	\$19,297
BG 1, CT 2027.02	78%	13%	1%	0%	0%	8%	7%	0%	100%	38.6%	\$19,470
BG 2, CT 2027.02	87%	12%	0%	0%	0%	12%	5%	1%	99%	19.6%	\$36,974
BG 3, CT 2027.02	78%	8%	0%	0%	0%	10%	4%	0%	100%	23.9%	\$26,005
BG 1, CT 2028	63%	14%	0%	0%	0%	9%	4%	0%	100%	53.7%	\$15,500
BG 2, CT 2028	79%	11%	1%	0%	0%	12%	3%	0%	100%	20.9%	\$24,883
BG 3, CT 2028	100%	25%	0%	0%	0%	21%	12%	0%	100%	57.3%	\$21,190
BG 4, CT 2028	100%	17%	3%	0%	0%	15%	10%	0%	100%	49.8%	\$13,561
BG 5, CT 2028	70%	11%	0%	0%	0%	17%	5%	0%	100%	49.7%	\$9,738
BG 1, CT 3201	91%	8%	0%	0%	0%	3%	4%	0%	100%	63.1%	\$11,350
BG 2, CT 3201	88%	5%	1%	0%	0%	3%	5%	3%	97%	58.4%	\$14,621
BG 1, CT 3202	105%	5%	0%	0%	0%	3%	3%	1%	99%	43.4%	\$18,466
BG 2, CT 3202	81%	5%	0%	0%	0%	4%	2%	2%	98%	44.5%	\$19,854
BG 3, CT 3202	87%	5%	0%	0%	0%	4%	1%	0%	100%	49.8%	\$16,552

Block Group	Race (Percent) <sup>a</sup>							Ethnicity (Percent) <sup>a</sup>		Percent of Residents in Poverty <sup>a</sup>	Median Household Income
	White	Black or African American	American Indian or Alaska Native	Asian	Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	Some Other Race Alone	Multiple Races	Hispanic or Latino	Not Hispanic or Latino		
BG 1, CT 3203	117%	5%	0%	0%	0%	5%	4%	0%	100%	28.0%	\$24,782
BG 2, CT 3203	139%	8%	0%	0%	0%	14%	3%	0%	100%	61.6%	\$11,389
BG 3, CT 3203	71%	5%	0%	0%	0%	3%	3%	0%	100%	53.1%	\$18,796
BG 4, CT 3203	83%	4%	0%	0%	0%	4%	2%	0%	100%	18.7%	\$29,241
BG 1, CT 3204.01	91%	4%	0%	0%	0%	4%	4%	0%	100%	32.1%	\$24,340
BG 2, CT 3204.01	91%	3%	0%	0%	0%	8%	2%	0%	100%	22.9%	\$31,250
BG 1, CT 3204.02	80%	4%	0%	0%	0%	6%	2%	1%	99%	45.3%	\$24,006
BG 2, CT 3204.02	92%	4%	1%	0%	0%	12%	2%	0%	100%	51.2%	\$16,641
BG 1, CT 3205	75%	3%	0%	0%	0%	3%	1%	0%	100%	47.1%	\$19,483
BG 2, CT 3205	77%	3%	0%	0%	0%	4%	1%	1%	99%	46.4%	\$17,008
BG 3, CT 3205	91%	4%	1%	0%	0%	7%	1%	0%	100%	60.3%	\$12,959
BG 1, CT 3206	92%	2%	0%	0%	0%	8%	2%	0%	100%	56.9%	\$20,731
BG 2, CT 3206	70%	2%	0%	0%	0%	7%	3%	0%	100%	39.9%	\$22,694
BG 3, CT 3206	103%	2%	1%	0%	0%	4%	2%	5%	95%	48.9%	\$13,500
BG 0, CT 9900	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
BG 1, CT 1001.01	54%	29%	1%	0%	0%	10%	2%	0%	100%	46.1%	\$21,083
BG 2, CT 1001.01	35%	28%	0%	0%	0%	8%	3%	1%	99%	52.9%	\$13,958
BG 1, CT 1001.03	75%	53%	0%	0%	0%	14%	3%	0%	100%	40.1%	\$11,538
BG 2, CT 1001.03	44%	29%	1%	0%	0%	9%	1%	0%	100%	46.7%	\$28,114
BG 3, CT 1001.03	37%	24%	0%	0%	0%	7%	1%	0%	100%	71.3%	\$22,642
BG 4, CT 1001.03	49%	19%	2%	1%	0%	16%	3%	0%	100%	74.6%	\$13,405
BG 1, CT 1001.04	40%	32%	3%	1%	0%	24%	4%	0%	100%	80.0%	\$12,157
BG 2, CT 1001.04	28%	19%	0%	0%	0%	10%	1%	0%	100%	73.7%	\$9,338
BG 1, CT 1002	67%	25%	0%	0%	0%	10%	3%	0%	100%	33.3%	\$30,224
BG 2, CT 1002	53%	21%	1%	0%	0%	12%	2%	0%	100%	53.1%	\$13,207
BG 3, CT 1002	49%	23%	0%	0%	0%	10%	4%	0%	100%	78.1%	\$8,790
BG 1, CT 1004	40%	26%	0%	0%	0%	5%	2%	0%	100%	24.9%	\$26,087
BG 2, CT 1004	80%	35%	1%	0%	0%	12%	3%	1%	99%	37.9%	\$30,286
BG 3, CT 1004	36%	28%	1%	0%	0%	6%	3%	0%	100%	56.2%	\$22,188

Block Group	Race (Percent) <sup>a</sup>							Ethnicity (Percent) <sup>a</sup>		Percent of Residents in Poverty <sup>a</sup>	Median Household Income
	White	Black or African American	American Indian or Alaska Native	Asian	Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	Some Other Race Alone	Multiple Races	Hispanic or Latino	Not Hispanic or Latino		
BG 4, CT 1004	60%	27%	1%	0%	0%	8%	4%	0%	100%	67.6%	\$14,028
BG 1, CT 1005.02	45%	14%	1%	0%	0%	9%	2%	0%	100%	30.6%	\$37,619
BG 2, CT 1005.02	92%	35%	1%	1%	0%	27%	2%	2%	98%	30.3%	\$21,202
BG 3, CT 1005.02	51%	20%	1%	0%	0%	9%	2%	0%	100%	13.7%	\$26,721
BG 1, CT 1005.03	68%	17%	1%	0%	0%	9%	3%	0%	100%	18.9%	\$52,092
BG 1, CT 1005.04	70%	18%	0%	0%	0%	14%	3%	0%	100%	7.8%	\$44,301
BG 2, CT 1005.04	87%	21%	1%	0%	0%	12%	5%	0%	100%	5.4%	\$49,250
BG 1, CT 1006.01	90%	12%	0%	0%	0%	17%	2%	0%	100%	69.4%	\$16,979
BG 2, CT 1006.01	60%	9%	1%	0%	0%	27%	2%	0%	100%	23.1%	\$34,844
BG 3, CT 1006.01	59%	11%	1%	0%	0%	15%	2%	0%	100%	32.4%	\$23,935
BG 1, CT 1006.02	85%	18%	1%	0%	0%	22%	2%	0%	100%	41.3%	\$16,949
BG 2, CT 1006.02	77%	16%	1%	0%	0%	17%	2%	0%	100%	56.0%	\$11,784
BG 1, CT 1007	123%	15%	0%	0%	0%	33%	5%	0%	100%	22.4%	\$18,015
BG 2, CT 1007	62%	7%	1%	0%	0%	16%	1%	0%	100%	37.0%	\$25,870
BG 3, CT 1007	98%	13%	1%	0%	0%	19%	3%	0%	100%	55.7%	\$10,223
BG 4, CT 1007	129%	13%	0%	0%	0%	23%	2%	0%	100%	37.3%	\$14,063
BG 1, CT 1008	85%	18%	1%	0%	0%	22%	3%	0%	100%	47.4%	\$14,091
BG 1, CT 501.03	95%	7%	1%	1%	0%	3%	3%	4%	96%	29.3%	\$34,044
BG 2, CT 501.03	59%	4%	0%	3%	0%	3%	0%	10%	90%	38.2%	\$21,571
BG 3, CT 501.03	83%	7%	0%	2%	0%	6%	1%	6%	94%	9.8%	\$63,396
BG 4, CT 501.03	86%	8%	0%	2%	0%	6%	3%	5%	95%	8.1%	\$41,556
BG 1, CT 501.05	62%	21%	1%	0%	0%	4%	8%	0%	100%	23.8%	\$29,770
BG 2, CT 501.05	54%	24%	1%	0%	0%	10%	3%	2%	98%	31.6%	\$26,156
BG 1, CT 501.06	105%	8%	0%	1%	0%	3%	2%	21%	79%	23.1%	\$26,806
BG 2, CT 501.06	80%	4%	0%	1%	0%	4%	2%	19%	81%	11.7%	\$52,857
BG 1, CT 501.07	56%	3%	0%	0%	0%	3%	1%	8%	92%	2.5%	\$80,461
BG 2, CT 501.07	110%	6%	0%	1%	0%	6%	3%	6%	94%	4.3%	\$46,080
BG 3, CT 501.07	103%	3%	0%	1%	0%	4%	3%	12%	88%	10.6%	\$44,638
BG 1, CT 501.10	107%	26%	1%	0%	0%	11%	4%	4%	96%	19.4%	\$27,122

Block Group	Race (Percent) <sup>a</sup>							Ethnicity (Percent) <sup>a</sup>		Percent of Residents in Poverty <sup>a</sup>	Median Household Income
	White	Black or African American	American Indian or Alaska Native	Asian	Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	Some Other Race Alone	Multiple Races	Hispanic or Latino	Not Hispanic or Latino		
BG 2, CT 501.10	75%	34%	2%	1%	0%	9%	7%	2%	98%	31.6%	\$28,229
BG 3, CT 501.10	64%	24%	2%	0%	0%	9%	5%	1%	99%	20.7%	\$30,350
BG 1, CT 501.11	96%	5%	0%	0%	0%	2%	1%	7%	93%	23.0%	\$25,769
BG 2, CT 501.11	79%	2%	0%	0%	0%	4%	2%	6%	94%	2.1%	\$83,409
BG 1, CT 502.11	51%	15%	1%	0%	0%	9%	4%	2%	98%	17.9%	\$43,519
BG 2, CT 502.11	59%	19%	0%	1%	0%	13%	2%	0%	100%	27.1%	\$30,268
BG 3, CT 502.11	65%	29%	1%	0%	0%	17%	4%	0%	100%	12.4%	\$29,632
BG 1, CT 502.12	65%	13%	0%	0%	0%	4%	2%	1%	99%	23.4%	\$24,087
BG 2, CT 502.12	66%	11%	0%	0%	0%	8%	2%	2%	98%	16.9%	\$41,607
BG 3, CT 502.12	68%	13%	0%	0%	0%	8%	2%	0%	100%	12.9%	\$36,118
BG 4, CT 502.12	50%	14%	1%	0%	0%	7%	2%	0%	100%	31.4%	\$27,188
BG 5, CT 502.12	81%	52%	1%	0%	0%	4%	6%	0%	100%	83.4%	ND
BG 1, CT 502.21	81%	8%	0%	0%	0%	6%	2%	2%	98%	2.3%	\$44,155
BG 2, CT 502.21	48%	9%	0%	1%	0%	4%	2%	4%	96%	12.1%	\$46,161
BG 3, CT 502.21	80%	38%	2%	1%	0%	13%	6%	1%	99%	21.5%	\$24,375
BG 4, CT 502.21	80%	33%	1%	0%	0%	13%	2%	0%	100%	42.1%	\$19,914
BG 5, CT 502.21	60%	34%	1%	0%	0%	11%	4%	0%	100%	34.1%	\$21,742
BG 1, CT 502.22	21%	54%	0%	0%	0%	6%	2%	0%	100%	58.7%	\$17,161
BG 2, CT 502.22	63%	16%	0%	0%	0%	8%	2%	3%	97%	31.8%	\$28,321
BG 3, CT 502.22	52%	31%	2%	0%	0%	17%	2%	0%	100%	93.6%	\$6,042
BG 1, CT 502.31	40%	20%	0%	0%	0%	6%	1%	4%	96%	28.3%	\$27,972
BG 2, CT 502.31	51%	25%	0%	1%	0%	11%	4%	3%	97%	32.9%	\$27,558
BG 3, CT 502.31	39%	40%	2%	0%	0%	8%	3%	0%	100%	37.3%	\$18,085
BG 1, CT 502.32	58%	16%	1%	0%	0%	4%	1%	0%	100%	23.2%	\$29,839
BG 2, CT 502.32	63%	25%	1%	0%	0%	5%	2%	0%	100%	12.2%	\$35,280
BG 3, CT 502.32	72%	23%	3%	0%	0%	8%	3%	1%	99%	21.2%	\$29,241
BG 1, CT 502.41	70%	27%	1%	1%	0%	9%	2%	1%	99%	19.1%	\$27,356
BG 2, CT 502.41	67%	23%	0%	0%	0%	8%	2%	2%	98%	18.8%	\$43,924
BG 3, CT 502.41	48%	16%	1%	0%	0%	7%	1%	1%	99%	24.2%	\$28,631

Block Group	Race (Percent) <sup>a</sup>							Ethnicity (Percent) <sup>a</sup>		Percent of Residents in Poverty <sup>a</sup>	Median Household Income
	White	Black or African American	American Indian or Alaska Native	Asian	Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	Some Other Race Alone	Multiple Races	Hispanic or Latino	Not Hispanic or Latino		
BG 4, CT 502.41	70%	23%	0%	0%	0%	10%	3%	2%	98%	15.7%	\$35,556
BG 5, CT 502.41	75%	25%	0%	1%	0%	13%	2%	0%	100%	26.7%	\$32,188
BG 1, CT 502.42	62%	24%	0%	0%	0%	11%	3%	2%	98%	30.1%	\$25,850
BG 2, CT 502.42	62%	20%	0%	0%	0%	6%	2%	2%	98%	27.5%	\$28,289
BG 1, CT 503.02	65%	26%	1%	0%	0%	8%	3%	0%	100%	12.7%	\$33,529
BG 2, CT 503.02	70%	47%	0%	0%	0%	8%	3%	2%	98%	20.6%	\$22,131
BG 3, CT 503.02	58%	45%	1%	0%	0%	5%	5%	0%	100%	39.4%	\$23,081
BG 1, CT 503.11	90%	21%	2%	0%	0%	5%	3%	2%	98%	40.0%	\$22,386
BG 2, CT 503.11	63%	15%	1%	1%	0%	8%	6%	0%	100%	34.2%	\$24,145
BG 1, CT 503.21	59%	19%	1%	0%	0%	13%	3%	0%	100%	37.9%	\$21,367
BG 2, CT 503.21	61%	14%	1%	0%	0%	5%	2%	3%	97%	38.1%	\$30,357
BG 3, CT 503.21	69%	18%	1%	0%	0%	6%	3%	0%	100%	7.3%	\$34,113
BG 4, CT 503.21	80%	24%	3%	0%	0%	11%	3%	1%	99%	30.7%	\$30,375
BG 1, CT 503.31	55%	13%	1%	0%	0%	5%	1%	1%	99%	26.1%	\$31,042
BG 2, CT 503.31	79%	24%	1%	1%	0%	10%	4%	2%	98%	30.5%	\$24,601
BG 1, CT 503.41	51%	13%	0%	0%	0%	5%	1%	2%	98%	25.0%	\$29,259
BG 2, CT 503.41	91%	24%	0%	0%	0%	9%	3%	4%	96%	13.7%	\$20,761
BG 3, CT 503.41	64%	22%	0%	0%	0%	6%	3%	11%	89%	19.9%	\$27,500
BG 4, CT 503.41	38%	58%	0%	0%	0%	4%	3%	0%	100%	73.7%	\$6,822
BG 1, CT 504.01	62%	20%	2%	1%	0%	15%	4%	1%	99%	23.4%	\$33,582
BG 2, CT 504.01	77%	15%	0%	0%	0%	9%	2%	1%	99%	13.9%	\$42,340
BG 1, CT 504.02	62%	10%	0%	0%	0%	10%	5%	4%	96%	14.6%	\$44,211
BG 2, CT 504.02	73%	25%	1%	0%	0%	19%	3%	0%	100%	19.2%	\$19,890
BG 3, CT 504.02	86%	44%	3%	1%	0%	10%	12%	0%	100%	43.5%	\$17,043
BG 4, CT 504.02	66%	14%	2%	0%	0%	9%	4%	3%	97%	62.0%	\$13,297
BG 1, CT 505.01	72%	22%	0%	0%	0%	16%	7%	0%	100%	18.1%	\$26,360
BG 2, CT 505.01	55%	16%	1%	1%	0%	6%	2%	0%	100%	13.4%	\$34,228
BG 3, CT 505.01	77%	17%	1%	1%	0%	5%	3%	6%	94%	12.8%	\$33,047
BG 1, CT 505.03	59%	26%	1%	0%	0%	10%	5%	0%	100%	24.9%	\$27,177

Block Group	Race (Percent) <sup>a</sup>							Ethnicity (Percent) <sup>a</sup>		Percent of Residents in Poverty <sup>a</sup>	Median Household Income
	White	Black or African American	American Indian or Alaska Native	Asian	Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	Some Other Race Alone	Multiple Races	Hispanic or Latino	Not Hispanic or Latino		
BG 2, CT 505.03	53%	28%	1%	0%	0%	16%	3%	0%	100%	28.7%	\$30,504
BG 3, CT 505.03	49%	60%	0%	1%	0%	19%	3%	0%	100%	34.9%	\$21,579
BG 1, CT 505.04	57%	16%	1%	0%	0%	7%	3%	0%	100%	38.4%	\$26,027
BG 2, CT 505.04	66%	20%	1%	0%	0%	9%	2%	2%	98%	18.1%	\$43,068
BG 3, CT 505.04	46%	22%	0%	0%	0%	3%	1%	0%	100%	43.7%	\$25,952
BG 1, CT 506	102%	24%	0%	1%	0%	9%	6%	6%	94%	9.5%	\$36,319
BG 2, CT 506	54%	35%	2%	0%	0%	4%	6%	0%	100%	48.0%	\$12,171
BG 3, CT 506	72%	41%	1%	1%	0%	9%	7%	0%	100%	22.7%	\$19,792
BG 4, CT 506	51%	37%	2%	1%	0%	12%	3%	0%	100%	63.9%	\$13,438
BG 5, CT 506	46%	26%	2%	1%	0%	5%	1%	0%	100%	50.8%	\$25,750
BG 6, CT 506	20%	22%	1%	0%	0%	5%	6%	0%	100%	77.9%	\$6,791
BG 1, CT 507	51%	43%	2%	0%	0%	11%	4%	0%	100%	26.8%	\$23,227
BG 2, CT 507	64%	35%	2%	1%	0%	11%	4%	2%	98%	69.8%	\$11,250
BG 1, CT 508.03	50%	31%	2%	0%	0%	9%	3%	0%	100%	40.7%	\$22,619
BG 2, CT 508.03	63%	20%	1%	0%	0%	6%	4%	0%	100%	24.6%	\$30,637
BG 1, CT 508.11	62%	18%	0%	0%	0%	7%	5%	1%	99%	17.0%	\$41,982
BG 2, CT 508.11	68%	19%	0%	0%	0%	11%	4%	1%	99%	16.8%	\$32,422
BG 3, CT 508.11	64%	24%	2%	0%	0%	7%	2%	0%	100%	38.4%	\$24,196
BG 1, CT 508.12	49%	23%	0%	0%	0%	5%	3%	0%	100%	39.6%	\$29,896
BG 2, CT 508.12	65%	26%	1%	0%	0%	7%	2%	0%	100%	29.4%	\$31,076
BG 1, CT 508.21	65%	18%	0%	0%	0%	9%	3%	1%	99%	29.8%	\$30,366
BG 2, CT 508.21	68%	23%	0%	1%	0%	10%	3%	0%	100%	24.6%	\$36,658
BG 3, CT 508.21	72%	28%	1%	0%	0%	9%	3%	4%	96%	25.7%	\$24,263
BG 1, CT 508.22	69%	27%	1%	1%	0%	6%	3%	0%	100%	21.0%	\$21,857
BG 2, CT 508.22	89%	30%	1%	0%	0%	10%	4%	1%	99%	17.6%	\$36,786
BG 3, CT 508.22	46%	14%	1%	1%	0%	3%	2%	1%	99%	47.3%	\$25,833
BG 1, CT 508.31	60%	21%	0%	0%	0%	10%	2%	0%	100%	32.3%	\$24,213
BG 2, CT 508.31	69%	28%	1%	0%	0%	5%	4%	0%	100%	29.7%	\$25,139
BG 3, CT 508.31	70%	52%	5%	0%	0%	15%	5%	0%	100%	34.4%	\$26,940

Block Group	Race (Percent) <sup>a</sup>							Ethnicity (Percent) <sup>a</sup>		Percent of Residents in Poverty <sup>a</sup>	Median Household Income
	White	Black or African American	American Indian or Alaska Native	Asian	Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	Some Other Race Alone	Multiple Races	Hispanic or Latino	Not Hispanic or Latino		
BG 1, CT 508.41	50%	22%	2%	0%	0%	8%	3%	0%	100%	42.6%	\$21,250
BG 2, CT 508.41	57%	32%	3%	1%	0%	7%	2%	0%	100%	54.1%	\$19,851
BG 1, CT 509.01	79%	39%	0%	0%	0%	12%	8%	0%	100%	26.0%	\$32,539
BG 2, CT 509.01	69%	24%	0%	0%	0%	12%	3%	2%	98%	24.1%	\$45,792
BG 3, CT 509.01	42%	40%	1%	0%	0%	11%	4%	0%	100%	46.0%	\$18,750
BG 1, CT 509.02	120%	68%	1%	1%	0%	14%	9%	0%	100%	26.6%	\$33,618
BG 2, CT 509.02	55%	37%	2%	0%	0%	11%	4%	0%	100%	48.1%	\$15,449
BG 3, CT 509.02	67%	25%	0%	0%	0%	9%	1%	2%	98%	32.7%	\$24,970
BG 4, CT 509.02	106%	23%	0%	1%	0%	9%	3%	0%	100%	0.0%	\$44,939
BG 5, CT 509.02	78%	22%	0%	0%	0%	5%	3%	0%	100%	16.9%	\$49,511
BG 1, CT 510.01	121%	12%	1%	0%	0%	11%	3%	0%	100%	46.3%	\$17,188
BG 2, CT 510.01	89%	10%	1%	0%	0%	6%	1%	2%	98%	25.9%	\$26,304
BG 3, CT 510.01	74%	11%	0%	0%	0%	12%	2%	0%	100%	47.2%	\$18,254
BG 1, CT 510.02	63%	17%	0%	0%	0%	17%	2%	0%	100%	51.3%	\$16,368
BG 2, CT 510.02	141%	13%	2%	0%	0%	22%	7%	4%	96%	41.0%	\$12,361
BG 3, CT 510.02	85%	14%	2%	0%	0%	9%	4%	3%	97%	24.8%	\$36,047
BG 1, CT 511.02	65%	27%	0%	0%	0%	13%	5%	1%	99%	52.0%	\$17,333
BG 2, CT 511.02	56%	29%	1%	0%	0%	16%	5%	0%	100%	47.6%	\$14,426
BG 1, CT 511.03	49%	21%	0%	0%	0%	6%	4%	2%	98%	23.8%	\$30,781
BG 2, CT 511.03	87%	23%	0%	0%	0%	7%	5%	0%	100%	6.8%	\$49,432
BG 3, CT 511.03	60%	25%	0%	0%	0%	6%	8%	0%	100%	28.2%	\$33,929
BG 1, CT 511.04	53%	17%	0%	0%	0%	7%	2%	3%	97%	39.5%	\$33,542
BG 2, CT 511.04	73%	14%	0%	1%	0%	6%	2%	1%	99%	6.8%	\$58,375
BG 3, CT 511.04	116%	42%	0%	3%	0%	8%	5%	0%	100%	12.7%	\$27,350
BG 1, CT 9800.03	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
BG 0, CT 9900	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
BG 1, CT 202	81%	20%	1%	0%	0%	14%	6%	2%	98%	37.6%	\$21,111
BG 2, CT 202	82%	17%	2%	0%	0%	15%	5%	0%	100%	60.4%	\$15,036
BG 3, CT 202	109%	33%	0%	0%	0%	21%	7%	0%	100%	78.2%	\$9,265

Block Group	Race (Percent) <sup>a</sup>							Ethnicity (Percent) <sup>a</sup>		Percent of Residents in Poverty <sup>a</sup>	Median Household Income
	White	Black or African American	American Indian or Alaska Native	Asian	Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	Some Other Race Alone	Multiple Races	Hispanic or Latino	Not Hispanic or Latino		
BG 4, CT 202	75%	24%	2%	0%	0%	17%	4%	2%	98%	71.5%	\$11,033
BG 1, CT 203.02	69%	13%	1%	0%	0%	19%	2%	0%	100%	65.5%	\$15,229
BG 1, CT 204.03	80%	15%	0%	0%	0%	6%	2%	0%	100%	40.6%	\$18,824
BG 2, CT 204.03	67%	15%	0%	0%	0%	7%	3%	0%	100%	38.8%	\$21,154
BG 3, CT 204.03	59%	12%	1%	0%	0%	11%	2%	0%	100%	31.9%	\$28,650
BG 4, CT 204.03	84%	28%	1%	0%	0%	20%	4%	0%	100%	51.5%	\$16,129
BG 1, CT 204.22	65%	18%	1%	0%	0%	14%	3%	0%	100%	59.8%	\$14,900
BG 1, CT 204.23	74%	17%	2%	1%	0%	9%	2%	0%	100%	31.5%	\$24,745
BG 2, CT 204.23	77%	14%	2%	0%	0%	5%	4%	0%	100%	29.0%	\$26,866
BG 3, CT 204.23	89%	4%	0%	0%	0%	3%	2%	0%	100%	11.0%	\$55,563
BG 1, CT 204.25	68%	14%	1%	0%	0%	11%	1%	1%	99%	80.2%	\$6,583
BG 2, CT 204.25	60%	18%	0%	0%	0%	5%	1%	0%	100%	36.9%	\$22,303
BG 1, CT 204.26	66%	13%	1%	0%	0%	18%	2%	1%	99%	92.9%	ND
BG 1, CT 204.27	50%	13%	2%	0%	0%	11%	2%	3%	97%	70.1%	\$12,978
BG 1, CT 205	72%	6%	0%	1%	0%	3%	3%	1%	99%	10.7%	\$67,619
BG 2, CT 205	87%	6%	0%	1%	0%	2%	3%	1%	99%	5.0%	\$62,500
BG 3, CT 205	70%	6%	1%	1%	0%	4%	6%	3%	97%	31.3%	\$40,000
BG 1, CT 9800.01	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
BG 1, CT 9800.02	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
BG 1, CT 9800.03	117%	20%	6%	0%	0%	7%	3%	0%	100%	94.8%	\$8,563
BG 1, CT 9800.04	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
BG 1, CT 9800.05	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
BG 1, CT 9800.06	7%	4%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%	52.1%	ND
BG 1, CT 9800.07	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
BG 1, CT 9800.08	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
BG 0, CT 9902.01	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
BG 1, CT 2601	66%	10%	0%	0%	0%	5%	1%	3%	97%	45.3%	\$23,125
BG 2, CT 2601	81%	7%	0%	0%	0%	7%	2%	0%	100%	18.2%	\$33,529
BG 3, CT 2601	97%	11%	0%	0%	0%	11%	2%	0%	100%	54.6%	\$15,017

Block Group	Race (Percent) <sup>a</sup>							Ethnicity (Percent) <sup>a</sup>		Percent of Residents in Poverty <sup>a</sup>	Median Household Income
	White	Black or African American	American Indian or Alaska Native	Asian	Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	Some Other Race Alone	Multiple Races	Hispanic or Latino	Not Hispanic or Latino		
BG 4, CT 2601	90%	13%	1%	1%	0%	16%	2%	0%	100%	48.6%	\$20,286
BG 1, CT 2602.01	83%	7%	0%	0%	0%	6%	3%	0%	100%	23.8%	\$30,625
BG 2, CT 2602.01	64%	6%	0%	0%	0%	9%	1%	1%	99%	16.8%	\$34,583
BG 1, CT 2602.02	105%	7%	0%	0%	0%	11%	4%	2%	98%	16.8%	\$50,167
BG 2, CT 2602.02	87%	6%	0%	0%	0%	6%	1%	0%	100%	46.5%	\$11,920
BG 1, CT 2603	94%	9%	0%	0%	0%	5%	5%	0%	100%	49.6%	\$16,985
BG 2, CT 2603	79%	7%	0%	0%	0%	9%	6%	0%	100%	36.6%	\$20,759
BG 3, CT 2603	75%	11%	0%	0%	0%	12%	4%	0%	100%	54.9%	\$13,360
BG 1, CT 2604	86%	9%	1%	0%	0%	10%	4%	0%	100%	58.1%	\$15,042
BG 2, CT 2604	69%	5%	0%	0%	0%	7%	5%	0%	100%	51.2%	\$17,407
BG 3, CT 2604	82%	8%	1%	0%	0%	7%	6%	0%	100%	39.4%	\$17,912
BG 1, CT 2605	68%	5%	0%	0%	0%	5%	3%	0%	100%	30.5%	\$19,907
BG 2, CT 2605	76%	8%	0%	0%	0%	6%	2%	0%	100%	36.4%	\$27,321
BG 3, CT 2605	99%	10%	0%	1%	0%	18%	3%	0%	100%	91.4%	\$6,833
BG 4, CT 2605	96%	10%	0%	0%	0%	8%	7%	2%	98%	49.8%	\$17,375
BG 1, CT 2606	65%	10%	0%	0%	0%	8%	3%	0%	100%	75.9%	\$4,840
BG 2, CT 2606	67%	11%	0%	0%	0%	11%	2%	0%	100%	61.6%	\$11,538
BG 3, CT 2606	73%	9%	0%	0%	0%	22%	2%	0%	100%	54.0%	\$20,089
BG 1, CT 2607	94%	13%	0%	0%	0%	10%	4%	0%	100%	50.5%	\$13,988
BG 2, CT 2607	72%	10%	0%	0%	0%	10%	1%	1%	99%	67.4%	\$9,147
BG 3, CT 2607	58%	8%	0%	0%	0%	6%	2%	0%	100%	51.3%	\$18,288
BG 1, CT 2608	70%	8%	0%	0%	0%	4%	4%	3%	97%	49.2%	\$21,958
BG 2, CT 2608	77%	7%	0%	1%	0%	9%	1%	0%	100%	31.3%	\$20,833
BG 3, CT 2608	62%	4%	0%	0%	0%	4%	2%	1%	99%	37.5%	\$27,700
BG 1, CT 2609.01	82%	7%	0%	0%	0%	5%	2%	0%	100%	28.8%	\$20,605
BG 2, CT 2609.01	83%	6%	1%	0%	0%	10%	3%	3%	97%	9.4%	\$44,811
BG 1, CT 2609.02	81%	11%	1%	0%	0%	7%	6%	0%	100%	45.5%	\$18,021
BG 2, CT 2609.02	103%	14%	1%	0%	0%	7%	7%	1%	99%	46.2%	\$28,176
BG 1, CT 1601	100%	25%	0%	0%	0%	8%	17%	33%	67%	ND	ND

Block Group	Race (Percent) <sup>a</sup>							Ethnicity (Percent) <sup>a</sup>		Percent of Residents in Poverty <sup>a</sup>	Median Household Income
	White	Black or African American	American Indian or Alaska Native	Asian	Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	Some Other Race Alone	Multiple Races	Hispanic or Latino	Not Hispanic or Latino		
BG 2, CT 1601	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
BG 1, CT 1602.01	73%	14%	1%	0%	0%	9%	5%	0%	100%	29.2%	\$27,007
BG 2, CT 1602.01	69%	18%	1%	0%	0%	5%	3%	0%	100%	40.9%	\$19,239
BG 1, CT 1602.02	81%	17%	1%	0%	0%	15%	6%	0%	100%	51.3%	\$16,123
BG 2, CT 1602.02	75%	16%	0%	0%	0%	9%	5%	3%	97%	43.9%	\$23,261
BG 3, CT 1602.02	68%	21%	0%	0%	0%	5%	3%	0%	100%	51.8%	\$14,943
BG 1, CT 1603	76%	16%	1%	0%	0%	8%	4%	0%	100%	36.6%	\$22,784
BG 2, CT 1603	67%	15%	1%	0%	0%	4%	3%	1%	99%	52.4%	\$12,798
BG 3, CT 1603	66%	18%	0%	0%	0%	7%	7%	1%	99%	44.7%	\$19,787
BG 0, CT 9900	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
BG 1, CT 9556	70%	4%	0%	0%	0%	2%	2%	0%	100%	66.0%	\$15,000
BG 2, CT 9556	96%	3%	0%	0%	0%	6%	2%	0%	100%	49.5%	\$15,798
BG 3, CT 9556	89%	4%	0%	0%	0%	4%	2%	0%	100%	60.4%	\$18,444
BG 1, CT 9557	105%	4%	0%	0%	0%	4%	2%	0%	100%	50.3%	\$14,957
BG 2, CT 9557	75%	6%	0%	0%	0%	5%	2%	0%	100%	66.2%	\$12,598
BG 3, CT 9557	134%	3%	0%	0%	0%	2%	3%	0%	100%	91.1%	ND
BG 1, CT 9558	83%	5%	0%	0%	0%	7%	1%	1%	99%	58.1%	\$12,917
BG 2, CT 9558	105%	4%	0%	0%	0%	5%	2%	0%	100%	49.3%	\$14,858
BG 3, CT 9558	82%	5%	0%	0%	0%	2%	1%	1%	99%	48.3%	\$15,125
BG 1, CT 9559	77%	3%	0%	0%	0%	5%	3%	0%	100%	63.7%	\$12,127
BG 2, CT 9559	114%	5%	0%	0%	0%	6%	3%	0%	100%	75.9%	\$9,661
BG 3, CT 9559	94%	7%	0%	0%	0%	3%	2%	1%	99%	86.2%	\$15,875
BG 1, CT 2401.01	77%	16%	0%	0%	0%	12%	5%	0%	100%	33.9%	\$40,491
BG 2, CT 2401.01	149%	27%	2%	2%	0%	24%	0%	0%	100%	0.0%	ND
BG 3, CT 2401.01	83%	12%	0%	0%	0%	10%	6%	1%	99%	23.2%	\$57,770
BG 1, CT 2401.02	59%	7%	1%	0%	0%	6%	5%	0%	100%	33.1%	\$18,496
BG 2, CT 2401.02	61%	8%	0%	0%	0%	15%	1%	0%	100%	37.2%	\$28,083
BG 3, CT 2401.02	90%	10%	1%	0%	0%	20%	3%	1%	99%	35.1%	\$24,750
BG 1, CT 2402.01	69%	6%	0%	0%	0%	9%	2%	0%	100%	40.5%	\$22,778

Block Group	Race (Percent) <sup>a</sup>							Ethnicity (Percent) <sup>a</sup>		Percent of Residents in Poverty <sup>a</sup>	Median Household Income
	White	Black or African American	American Indian or Alaska Native	Asian	Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	Some Other Race Alone	Multiple Races	Hispanic or Latino	Not Hispanic or Latino		
BG 2, CT 2402.01	79%	7%	1%	0%	0%	12%	2%	1%	99%	31.9%	\$26,538
BG 1, CT 2402.02	96%	8%	1%	0%	0%	16%	4%	0%	100%	46.3%	\$16,918
BG 2, CT 2402.02	76%	7%	0%	0%	0%	11%	1%	0%	100%	45.5%	\$25,608
BG 1, CT 2403	98%	10%	1%	0%	0%	10%	5%	0%	100%	27.2%	\$29,450
BG 2, CT 2403	62%	6%	1%	0%	0%	7%	1%	2%	98%	36.3%	\$22,714
BG 1, CT 2404.01	73%	6%	0%	0%	0%	6%	2%	0%	100%	39.8%	\$22,361
BG 2, CT 2404.01	77%	10%	1%	0%	0%	7%	4%	0%	100%	39.8%	\$21,743
BG 3, CT 2404.01	99%	7%	0%	0%	0%	20%	4%	1%	99%	17.3%	\$31,111
BG 1, CT 2404.02	72%	7%	1%	0%	0%	15%	1%	0%	100%	48.4%	\$25,056
BG 2, CT 2404.02	57%	5%	0%	0%	0%	7%	1%	0%	100%	32.6%	\$26,667
BG 1, CT 2405	74%	5%	0%	0%	0%	8%	4%	0%	100%	48.2%	\$22,298
BG 2, CT 2405	62%	4%	0%	0%	0%	8%	3%	0%	100%	48.2%	\$17,850
BG 3, CT 2405	78%	6%	0%	0%	0%	6%	7%	0%	100%	52.3%	\$11,250
BG 1, CT 2406.01	73%	11%	0%	0%	0%	11%	4%	0%	100%	42.0%	\$23,962
BG 2, CT 2406.01	65%	7%	0%	0%	0%	13%	3%	0%	100%	55.9%	\$18,500
BG 1, CT 2406.02	92%	9%	0%	0%	0%	10%	4%	1%	99%	31.0%	\$27,049
BG 2, CT 2406.02	78%	10%	0%	0%	0%	9%	7%	1%	99%	45.0%	\$20,970
BG 1, CT 9539	91%	9%	1%	0%	0%	8%	3%	0%	100%	44.2%	\$16,148
BG 2, CT 9539	98%	15%	0%	0%	0%	11%	4%	0%	100%	58.0%	\$19,083
BG 1, CT 9540	84%	9%	0%	0%	0%	6%	3%	0%	100%	52.2%	\$17,909
BG 2, CT 9540	110%	8%	1%	0%	0%	13%	5%	0%	100%	70.9%	\$10,933
BG 1, CT 9541	55%	7%	1%	0%	0%	5%	4%	0%	100%	83.5%	\$11,438
BG 2, CT 9541	65%	7%	0%	0%	0%	8%	6%	0%	100%	64.1%	\$15,875
BG 1, CT 9542	60%	10%	0%	0%	0%	5%	1%	0%	100%	61.2%	\$9,262
BG 2, CT 9542	65%	9%	0%	0%	0%	3%	1%	1%	99%	26.2%	\$31,592
BG 3, CT 9542	108%	18%	0%	0%	0%	7%	2%	0%	100%	46.9%	\$19,038
BG 4, CT 9542	105%	12%	0%	0%	0%	5%	1%	0%	100%	60.7%	\$14,635
BG 1, CT 9543	77%	11%	0%	0%	0%	7%	6%	0%	100%	66.2%	\$11,080
BG 2, CT 9543	95%	19%	1%	0%	0%	9%	5%	0%	100%	65.0%	\$12,740

Block Group	Race (Percent) <sup>a</sup>							Ethnicity (Percent) <sup>a</sup>		Percent of Residents in Poverty <sup>a</sup>	Median Household Income
	White	Black or African American	American Indian or Alaska Native	Asian	Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	Some Other Race Alone	Multiple Races	Hispanic or Latino	Not Hispanic or Latino		
BG 1, CT 9544	63%	11%	0%	0%	0%	5%	3%	0%	100%	43.8%	\$17,540
BG 2, CT 9544	67%	9%	0%	0%	0%	7%	2%	0%	100%	59.5%	\$12,847
BG 1, CT 9545	72%	9%	0%	0%	0%	13%	3%	1%	99%	58.6%	\$16,250
BG 2, CT 9545	102%	14%	0%	0%	0%	15%	3%	0%	100%	31.7%	\$18,125
BG 3, CT 9545	86%	10%	0%	0%	0%	18%	4%	0%	100%	65.6%	\$12,955
BG 4, CT 9545	57%	9%	0%	0%	0%	7%	5%	1%	99%	62.8%	\$18,664
BG 5, CT 9545	93%	17%	0%	0%	0%	14%	6%	0%	100%	64.3%	\$11,957
BG 1, CT 9546	70%	15%	0%	0%	0%	18%	3%	0%	100%	47.0%	\$19,185
BG 2, CT 9546	67%	9%	0%	0%	0%	11%	3%	0%	100%	43.1%	\$19,223
BG 1, CT 9547	84%	9%	0%	0%	0%	7%	3%	1%	99%	27.5%	\$30,772
BG 2, CT 9547	70%	9%	0%	0%	0%	10%	3%	0%	100%	60.6%	\$10,134
BG 1, CT 9517	78%	9%	0%	0%	0%	9%	2%	1%	99%	68.7%	\$11,184
BG 2, CT 9517	87%	5%	0%	0%	0%	2%	4%	0%	100%	59.2%	\$13,772
BG 3, CT 9517	106%	16%	0%	0%	0%	2%	5%	0%	100%	50.2%	\$17,702
BG 1, CT 9518	67%	8%	1%	0%	0%	9%	3%	0%	100%	54.7%	\$12,125
BG 2, CT 9518	89%	9%	0%	0%	0%	8%	1%	0%	100%	45.9%	\$14,750
BG 3, CT 9518	63%	10%	1%	0%	0%	10%	1%	0%	100%	67.9%	\$9,362
BG 1, CT 9519	66%	7%	0%	0%	0%	11%	2%	1%	99%	93.8%	\$2,870
BG 2, CT 9519	98%	11%	1%	0%	0%	14%	2%	1%	99%	58.2%	\$13,693
BG 1, CT 9520	73%	8%	1%	0%	0%	11%	4%	0%	100%	62.0%	\$16,117
BG 2, CT 9520	72%	8%	1%	0%	0%	9%	2%	0%	100%	57.5%	\$10,469
BG 1, CT 9521	106%	11%	2%	0%	0%	15%	12%	0%	100%	24.4%	\$21,250
BG 2, CT 9521	47%	4%	0%	0%	0%	6%	1%	0%	100%	70.1%	\$15,639
BG 3, CT 9521	87%	8%	1%	0%	0%	9%	4%	0%	100%	60.6%	\$10,702
BG 1, CT 5301	86%	5%	1%	0%	0%	6%	2%	0%	100%	45.7%	\$18,274
BG 2, CT 5301	83%	6%	0%	0%	0%	8%	1%	0%	100%	56.1%	\$15,403
BG 1, CT 5302	77%	4%	0%	0%	0%	8%	1%	0%	100%	52.5%	\$15,441
BG 2, CT 5302	70%	4%	0%	0%	0%	9%	2%	0%	100%	46.7%	\$24,969
BG 3, CT 5302	116%	7%	1%	1%	0%	8%	2%	0%	100%	56.7%	\$22,933

Block Group	Race (Percent) <sup>a</sup>							Ethnicity (Percent) <sup>a</sup>		Percent of Residents in Poverty <sup>a</sup>	Median Household Income
	White	Black or African American	American Indian or Alaska Native	Asian	Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	Some Other Race Alone	Multiple Races	Hispanic or Latino	Not Hispanic or Latino		
BG 1, CT 5303	79%	6%	0%	0%	0%	5%	2%	0%	100%	61.2%	\$14,313
BG 2, CT 5303	88%	5%	0%	0%	0%	4%	1%	0%	100%	69.0%	\$6,011
BG 3, CT 5303	74%	5%	0%	0%	0%	4%	2%	0%	100%	54.8%	\$16,826
BG 1, CT 5304	112%	9%	1%	0%	0%	13%	3%	0%	100%	66.7%	\$9,250
BG 2, CT 5304	68%	5%	0%	0%	0%	8%	1%	0%	100%	43.8%	\$24,833
BG 3, CT 5304	107%	7%	0%	0%	0%	9%	2%	0%	100%	12.1%	\$29,402
BG 4, CT 5304	105%	6%	0%	0%	0%	15%	3%	0%	100%	69.6%	\$11,875
BG 1, CT 5305	91%	3%	0%	0%	0%	6%	2%	2%	98%	60.9%	\$16,417
BG 2, CT 5305	81%	5%	0%	0%	0%	6%	1%	0%	100%	40.1%	\$23,029
BG 3, CT 5305	74%	6%	0%	0%	0%	6%	2%	0%	100%	39.4%	\$23,894
BG 4, CT 5305	69%	5%	0%	0%	0%	9%	1%	3%	97%	63.0%	\$11,733
BG 1, CT 5306	127%	5%	0%	0%	0%	9%	1%	0%	100%	72.1%	\$12,206
BG 2, CT 5306	147%	11%	0%	0%	0%	9%	2%	0%	100%	55.8%	\$13,125
BG 3, CT 5306	95%	5%	0%	0%	0%	5%	2%	0%	100%	53.4%	\$15,604
BG 4, CT 5306	57%	5%	0%	0%	0%	6%	1%	2%	98%	62.7%	\$15,102
BG 1, CT 9505	75%	33%	2%	0%	0%	5%	18%	3%	97%	49.4%	\$18,611
BG 2, CT 9505	64%	33%	0%	0%	0%	4%	9%	10%	90%	59.1%	\$14,318
BG 0, CT 9905.01	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
BG 1, CT 5401	81%	23%	1%	1%	0%	12%	2%	0%	100%	35.3%	\$19,231
BG 2, CT 5401	50%	17%	0%	0%	0%	14%	4%	0%	100%	46.6%	\$17,778
BG 1, CT 5402	87%	13%	0%	0%	0%	6%	4%	3%	97%	18.5%	\$48,026
BG 2, CT 5402	98%	10%	0%	1%	0%	14%	2%	12%	88%	14.3%	\$46,324
BG 3, CT 5402	66%	19%	0%	0%	0%	12%	5%	0%	100%	42.0%	\$12,404
BG 4, CT 5402	70%	5%	1%	0%	0%	2%	2%	14%	86%	17.6%	\$52,375
BG 1, CT 5403	55%	20%	1%	0%	0%	10%	2%	0%	100%	32.4%	\$22,107
BG 2, CT 5403	58%	24%	2%	0%	0%	9%	3%	0%	100%	57.1%	\$13,601
BG 1, CT 5404	93%	6%	0%	1%	0%	5%	5%	7%	93%	3.9%	\$65,638
BG 2, CT 5404	76%	15%	0%	0%	0%	10%	4%	1%	99%	40.7%	\$26,031
BG 3, CT 5404	79%	8%	0%	0%	0%	7%	2%	1%	99%	15.1%	\$50,893

Block Group	Race (Percent) <sup>a</sup>							Ethnicity (Percent) <sup>a</sup>		Percent of Residents in Poverty <sup>a</sup>	Median Household Income
	White	Black or African American	American Indian or Alaska Native	Asian	Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	Some Other Race Alone	Multiple Races	Hispanic or Latino	Not Hispanic or Latino		
BG 1, CT 5405	60%	16%	1%	0%	0%	9%	5%	4%	96%	41.7%	\$20,431
BG 2, CT 5405	70%	20%	1%	1%	0%	11%	5%	1%	99%	40.2%	\$27,730
BG 3, CT 5405	69%	22%	0%	0%	0%	9%	6%	3%	97%	33.2%	\$31,179
BG 1, CT 5406	46%	19%	1%	0%	0%	10%	5%	1%	99%	67.6%	\$14,534
BG 2, CT 5406	72%	20%	1%	0%	0%	14%	4%	2%	98%	34.1%	\$30,541
BG 1, CT 5407	56%	18%	1%	0%	0%	11%	3%	1%	99%	63.0%	\$13,611
BG 2, CT 5407	78%	14%	1%	0%	0%	12%	4%	1%	99%	26.7%	\$37,287
BG 3, CT 5407	70%	11%	0%	0%	0%	16%	3%	1%	99%	54.8%	\$15,441
BG 0, CT 9900.21	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
BG 1, CT 1501.02	65%	18%	0%	0%	0%	7%	2%	0%	100%	43.3%	\$22,353
BG 2, CT 1501.02	64%	18%	0%	0%	0%	9%	3%	0%	100%	24.8%	\$25,076
BG 1, CT 1501.04	82%	13%	1%	0%	0%	19%	5%	5%	95%	40.0%	\$23,867
BG 2, CT 1501.04	69%	22%	1%	0%	0%	11%	8%	1%	99%	25.3%	\$27,176
BG 3, CT 1501.04	77%	21%	1%	0%	0%	9%	5%	0%	100%	43.0%	\$25,038
BG 4, CT 1501.04	159%	24%	0%	2%	0%	13%	9%	0%	100%	32.8%	\$16,250
BG 1, CT 1502	56%	16%	1%	0%	0%	6%	2%	1%	99%	20.3%	\$31,299
BG 2, CT 1502	67%	17%	1%	0%	0%	16%	4%	0%	100%	52.2%	\$15,268
BG 3, CT 1502	73%	14%	1%	1%	0%	6%	2%	1%	99%	24.1%	\$30,765
BG 4, CT 1502	86%	23%	1%	1%	0%	12%	6%	0%	100%	49.7%	\$15,591
BG 1, CT 1503.01	72%	23%	1%	0%	0%	14%	6%	0%	100%	42.9%	\$16,648
BG 2, CT 1503.01	45%	20%	0%	0%	0%	11%	7%	0%	100%	61.4%	\$17,403
BG 3, CT 1503.01	14%	16%	1%	0%	0%	3%	4%	0%	100%	97.4%	\$3,798
BG 1, CT 1503.02	57%	21%	3%	1%	0%	13%	16%	0%	100%	33.4%	\$23,417
BG 2, CT 1503.02	68%	21%	3%	0%	0%	10%	15%	1%	99%	51.9%	\$15,035
BG 1, CT 1504	61%	14%	1%	0%	0%	9%	3%	1%	99%	65.4%	\$18,672
BG 2, CT 1504	83%	28%	1%	1%	0%	19%	35%	0%	100%	80.1%	\$11,875
BG 3, CT 1504	105%	24%	1%	0%	0%	6%	7%	5%	95%	7.9%	\$43,365
BG 4, CT 1504	61%	15%	1%	0%	0%	13%	3%	0%	100%	17.7%	\$22,955
BG 5, CT 1504	67%	28%	1%	0%	0%	14%	19%	0%	100%	29.3%	\$16,625

Block Group	Race (Percent) <sup>a</sup>							Ethnicity (Percent) <sup>a</sup>		Percent of Residents in Poverty <sup>a</sup>	Median Household Income
	White	Black or African American	American Indian or Alaska Native	Asian	Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	Some Other Race Alone	Multiple Races	Hispanic or Latino	Not Hispanic or Latino		
BG 1, CT 1505	54%	15%	0%	0%	0%	14%	2%	0%	100%	52.2%	\$15,929
BG 2, CT 1505	48%	20%	1%	0%	0%	9%	3%	0%	100%	69.3%	\$16,818
BG 3, CT 1505	56%	16%	1%	0%	0%	8%	4%	0%	100%	52.1%	\$12,556
BG 4, CT 1505	84%	27%	1%	1%	0%	9%	6%	0%	100%	53.2%	\$14,131
BG 1, CT 1506.01	69%	22%	1%	0%	0%	24%	3%	0%	100%	42.6%	\$21,635
BG 1, CT 1506.02	64%	14%	0%	0%	0%	8%	4%	2%	98%	30.5%	\$31,744
BG 2, CT 1506.02	64%	20%	0%	0%	0%	6%	4%	0%	100%	33.3%	\$30,464
BG 0, CT 9901.03	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
BG 1, CT 5801	109%	5%	0%	0%	0%	3%	2%	0%	100%	54.7%	\$14,619
BG 2, CT 5801	114%	9%	0%	0%	0%	4%	2%	1%	99%	36.4%	\$21,071
BG 3, CT 5801	82%	3%	1%	0%	0%	3%	1%	0%	100%	69.9%	\$11,046
BG 1, CT 5802	100%	5%	0%	0%	0%	4%	2%	0%	100%	54.3%	\$15,587
BG 2, CT 5802	86%	3%	1%	0%	0%	3%	2%	0%	100%	76.8%	\$14,181
BG 3, CT 5802	81%	5%	0%	0%	0%	2%	2%	0%	100%	52.3%	\$20,861
BG 4, CT 5802	59%	2%	0%	0%	0%	2%	2%	0%	100%	46.7%	\$24,205
BG 1, CT 9609	99%	11%	1%	0%	0%	5%	4%	0%	100%	52.1%	\$13,860
BG 2, CT 9609	81%	9%	0%	0%	0%	6%	2%	1%	99%	59.4%	\$11,731
BG 3, CT 9609	91%	7%	0%	0%	0%	22%	5%	0%	100%	71.2%	\$11,000
BG 1, CT 9610	106%	13%	1%	0%	0%	7%	3%	1%	99%	74.9%	\$12,285
BG 2, CT 9610	79%	7%	1%	0%	0%	6%	3%	6%	94%	44.3%	\$15,870
BG 1, CT 9611	65%	7%	1%	0%	0%	11%	3%	0%	100%	73.1%	\$15,806
BG 2, CT 9611	69%	7%	1%	0%	0%	14%	1%	1%	99%	76.4%	\$11,615
BG 1, CT 9612	91%	11%	1%	0%	0%	14%	3%	0%	100%	67.0%	\$13,088
BG 2, CT 9612	70%	14%	0%	0%	0%	12%	3%	0%	100%	63.9%	\$12,422
BG 1, CT 9613	50%	6%	0%	0%	0%	13%	3%	1%	99%	95.6%	\$4,643
BG 2, CT 9613	75%	6%	0%	0%	0%	7%	1%	3%	97%	35.6%	\$23,672
BG 1, CT 9614	96%	5%	0%	0%	0%	3%	1%	0%	100%	38.7%	\$24,125
BG 2, CT 9614	72%	3%	1%	0%	0%	5%	1%	0%	100%	47.8%	\$14,292
BG 1, CT 9615	77%	4%	0%	0%	0%	7%	4%	2%	98%	53.2%	\$13,438

Block Group	Race (Percent) <sup>a</sup>							Ethnicity (Percent) <sup>a</sup>		Percent of Residents in Poverty <sup>a</sup>	Median Household Income
	White	Black or African American	American Indian or Alaska Native	Asian	Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	Some Other Race Alone	Multiple Races	Hispanic or Latino	Not Hispanic or Latino		
BG 2, CT 9615	95%	4%	1%	0%	0%	15%	3%	0%	100%	57.8%	\$13,750
BG 3, CT 9615	136%	11%	2%	0%	0%	12%	4%	2%	98%	82.6%	\$8,849
BG 0, CT 9900	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
BG 1, CT 2701	152%	23%	4%	0%	0%	10%	6%	0%	100%	56.6%	\$16,759
BG 2, CT 2701	58%	14%	0%	0%	0%	7%	4%	1%	99%	61.2%	\$13,963
BG 3, CT 2701	84%	10%	1%	0%	0%	11%	9%	1%	99%	46.1%	\$15,250
BG 4, CT 2701	64%	16%	1%	0%	0%	6%	3%	1%	99%	30.9%	\$19,779
BG 1, CT 2702.01	58%	26%	1%	0%	0%	5%	7%	0%	100%	58.9%	\$13,457
BG 2, CT 2702.01	57%	26%	0%	0%	0%	13%	5%	9%	91%	79.8%	\$8,828
BG 3, CT 2702.01	67%	18%	1%	0%	0%	6%	3%	0%	100%	41.6%	\$24,096
BG 1, CT 2702.02	101%	14%	2%	0%	0%	15%	5%	0%	100%	63.7%	\$10,299
BG 2, CT 2702.02	83%	38%	3%	0%	0%	6%	7%	1%	99%	62.6%	\$17,500
BG 1, CT 2703	67%	16%	1%	0%	0%	10%	5%	0%	100%	53.7%	\$29,565
BG 2, CT 2703	98%	15%	1%	0%	0%	5%	5%	0%	100%	27.7%	\$23,750
BG 3, CT 2703	54%	14%	0%	0%	0%	12%	1%	0%	100%	62.2%	\$12,344
BG 4, CT 2703	59%	16%	1%	0%	0%	7%	3%	0%	100%	32.8%	\$31,441
BG 1, CT 2704	68%	12%	0%	0%	0%	6%	3%	0%	100%	60.8%	\$6,836
BG 2, CT 2704	77%	21%	1%	1%	0%	12%	5%	0%	100%	36.9%	\$18,583
BG 3, CT 2704	53%	13%	2%	0%	0%	3%	4%	0%	100%	88.2%	\$5,000
BG 1, CT 2705	64%	11%	0%	0%	0%	7%	4%	0%	100%	42.0%	\$27,192
BG 2, CT 2705	62%	10%	0%	0%	0%	4%	2%	0%	100%	12.3%	\$20,417
BG 3, CT 2705	59%	23%	1%	0%	0%	5%	7%	0%	100%	70.8%	\$10,956
BG 4, CT 2705	93%	12%	1%	0%	0%	9%	4%	0%	100%	31.5%	\$17,431
BG 5, CT 2705	72%	12%	0%	0%	0%	6%	2%	0%	100%	19.3%	\$36,616
BG 1, CT 2706	71%	23%	1%	0%	0%	9%	5%	0%	100%	61.0%	\$12,854
BG 2, CT 2706	70%	21%	0%	0%	0%	4%	6%	0%	100%	66.0%	\$14,219
BG 3, CT 2706	66%	27%	0%	0%	0%	5%	6%	0%	100%	46.6%	\$26,563
BG 4, CT 2706	68%	20%	0%	0%	0%	6%	3%	0%	100%	82.1%	\$8,630
BG 1, CT 2707	91%	25%	0%	0%	0%	11%	8%	0%	100%	42.9%	\$21,042

Block Group	Race (Percent) <sup>a</sup>							Ethnicity (Percent) <sup>a</sup>		Percent of Residents in Poverty <sup>a</sup>	Median Household Income
	White	Black or African American	American Indian or Alaska Native	Asian	Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	Some Other Race Alone	Multiple Races	Hispanic or Latino	Not Hispanic or Latino		
BG 2, CT 2707	60%	32%	1%	0%	0%	12%	5%	0%	100%	65.4%	\$9,519
BG 3, CT 2707	43%	18%	0%	0%	0%	7%	4%	0%	100%	58.9%	\$15,075
BG 1, CT 2708	68%	18%	1%	0%	0%	7%	6%	0%	100%	48.2%	\$21,684
BG 2, CT 2708	68%	15%	1%	0%	0%	6%	7%	0%	100%	56.9%	\$13,942
BG 3, CT 2708	75%	21%	1%	0%	0%	4%	47%	0%	100%	74.0%	\$5,625
BG 4, CT 2708	65%	17%	0%	0%	0%	6%	3%	0%	100%	17.3%	\$23,678
BG 0, CT 9926	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
BG 1, CT 7401.01	88%	3%	0%	0%	0%	5%	1%	2%	98%	64.5%	\$10,341
BG 2, CT 7401.01	75%	4%	1%	0%	0%	5%	1%	1%	99%	54.1%	\$14,010
BG 1, CT 7401.02	91%	13%	1%	0%	0%	6%	3%	3%	97%	53.0%	\$16,193
BG 2, CT 7401.02	88%	9%	0%	0%	0%	8%	1%	0%	100%	47.7%	\$17,222
BG 3, CT 7401.02	112%	5%	1%	0%	0%	9%	2%	2%	98%	53.5%	\$11,932
BG 1, CT 7402	76%	9%	0%	0%	0%	8%	2%	0%	100%	40.3%	\$24,286
BG 2, CT 7402	72%	10%	0%	0%	0%	9%	2%	1%	99%	54.2%	\$14,241
BG 3, CT 7402	91%	9%	0%	0%	0%	11%	1%	0%	100%	60.4%	\$13,901
BG 1, CT 7403	97%	6%	0%	0%	0%	6%	2%	0%	100%	25.9%	\$21,027
BG 2, CT 7403	67%	4%	0%	0%	0%	5%	2%	0%	100%	30.7%	\$23,654
BG 3, CT 7403	99%	10%	1%	0%	0%	7%	2%	0%	100%	60.0%	\$7,950
BG 4, CT 7403	81%	10%	0%	0%	0%	5%	3%	0%	100%	67.3%	\$12,188
BG 1, CT 7404	67%	12%	1%	0%	0%	6%	4%	0%	100%	54.6%	\$14,448
BG 2, CT 7404	85%	10%	0%	0%	0%	8%	3%	0%	100%	57.7%	\$15,421
BG 3, CT 7404	79%	14%	1%	0%	0%	11%	3%	0%	100%	66.5%	\$11,589
BG 0, CT 9900.01	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
BG 1, CT 401.01	91%	20%	1%	0%	0%	7%	5%	0%	100%	56.3%	\$17,225
BG 2, CT 401.01	79%	16%	0%	0%	0%	15%	3%	0%	100%	45.4%	\$18,203
BG 1, CT 401.02	55%	23%	3%	0%	0%	10%	4%	2%	98%	71.5%	\$12,865
BG 2, CT 401.02	48%	15%	1%	1%	0%	10%	2%	3%	97%	35.0%	\$18,125
BG 3, CT 401.02	64%	31%	1%	1%	0%	9%	6%	0%	100%	21.6%	\$31,932
BG 1, CT 401.03	61%	17%	0%	0%	0%	6%	3%	0%	100%	51.0%	\$15,823

Block Group	Race (Percent) <sup>a</sup>							Ethnicity (Percent) <sup>a</sup>		Percent of Residents in Poverty <sup>a</sup>	Median Household Income
	White	Black or African American	American Indian or Alaska Native	Asian	Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	Some Other Race Alone	Multiple Races	Hispanic or Latino	Not Hispanic or Latino		
BG 2, CT 401.03	53%	17%	3%	0%	0%	7%	6%	0%	100%	80.5%	\$9,139
BG 1, CT 402	159%	56%	1%	1%	0%	6%	1%	1%	99%	13.6%	\$65,714
BG 2, CT 402	100%	2%	0%	0%	0%	5%	3%	1%	99%	5.7%	\$47,371
BG 3, CT 402	73%	15%	1%	0%	0%	8%	3%	2%	98%	41.2%	\$31,583
BG 4, CT 402	92%	5%	0%	0%	0%	2%	3%	3%	97%	10.9%	\$53,750
BG 1, CT 403.01	103%	4%	0%	0%	0%	4%	1%	2%	98%	9.9%	\$42,159
BG 1, CT 403.02	102%	4%	0%	1%	0%	5%	2%	9%	91%	15.2%	\$40,750
BG 2, CT 403.02	82%	3%	0%	1%	0%	2%	2%	0%	100%	13.8%	\$38,750
BG 1, CT 403.03	131%	8%	0%	0%	0%	5%	2%	0%	100%	7.4%	\$46,806
BG 2, CT 403.03	99%	3%	0%	0%	0%	3%	1%	1%	99%	7.8%	\$44,482
BG 1, CT 403.04	93%	9%	0%	0%	0%	6%	3%	2%	98%	20.0%	\$50,500
BG 2, CT 403.04	62%	1%	0%	0%	0%	1%	1%	4%	96%	0.0%	\$99,706
BG 3, CT 403.04	102%	3%	0%	0%	0%	1%	2%	0%	100%	10.0%	\$101,000
BG 4, CT 403.04	100%	6%	1%	1%	0%	4%	3%	1%	99%	4.0%	\$50,927
BG 1, CT 404.03	73%	8%	0%	1%	0%	10%	7%	1%	99%	11.5%	\$77,535
BG 2, CT 404.03	84%	13%	0%	0%	0%	11%	6%	0%	100%	12.4%	\$44,808
BG 3, CT 404.03	56%	11%	0%	0%	0%	9%	3%	0%	100%	37.3%	\$34,878
BG 1, CT 404.11	111%	0%	0%	0%	0%	1%	2%	4%	96%	0.8%	\$76,563
BG 2, CT 404.11	71%	7%	0%	0%	0%	5%	6%	1%	99%	46.8%	\$24,338
BG 3, CT 404.11	82%	6%	0%	0%	0%	4%	2%	0%	100%	19.0%	\$61,250
BG 1, CT 404.12	95%	2%	0%	0%	0%	5%	5%	2%	98%	7.5%	\$72,813
BG 2, CT 404.12	93%	3%	0%	0%	0%	9%	3%	3%	97%	11.7%	\$44,853
BG 1, CT 404.21	86%	11%	1%	0%	0%	7%	4%	4%	96%	35.6%	\$38,385
BG 2, CT 404.21	66%	15%	0%	0%	0%	7%	7%	1%	99%	8.5%	\$32,443
BG 3, CT 404.21	86%	5%	0%	0%	0%	6%	4%	0%	100%	27.5%	\$34,767
BG 4, CT 404.21	102%	2%	0%	0%	0%	2%	0%	7%	93%	2.2%	\$104,333
BG 5, CT 404.21	96%	5%	0%	0%	0%	8%	2%	0%	100%	4.8%	\$50,653
BG 1, CT 404.22	74%	5%	0%	0%	0%	6%	4%	4%	96%	20.8%	\$44,706
BG 2, CT 404.22	90%	9%	1%	0%	0%	5%	2%	1%	99%	20.3%	\$25,660

Block Group	Race (Percent) <sup>a</sup>							Ethnicity (Percent) <sup>a</sup>		Percent of Residents in Poverty <sup>a</sup>	Median Household Income
	White	Black or African American	American Indian or Alaska Native	Asian	Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	Some Other Race Alone	Multiple Races	Hispanic or Latino	Not Hispanic or Latino		
BG 3, CT 404.22	58%	21%	0%	0%	0%	26%	4%	1%	99%	46.6%	\$15,913
BG 1, CT 404.32	62%	5%	1%	0%	0%	4%	4%	1%	99%	15.7%	\$36,548
BG 2, CT 404.32	62%	6%	0%	0%	0%	4%	1%	1%	99%	39.8%	\$29,038
BG 3, CT 404.32	78%	4%	0%	0%	0%	6%	1%	3%	97%	22.0%	\$35,708
BG 1, CT 404.42	77%	7%	0%	0%	0%	8%	2%	3%	97%	12.7%	\$47,097
BG 2, CT 404.42	75%	12%	0%	1%	0%	8%	3%	0%	100%	22.9%	\$24,722
BG 3, CT 404.42	116%	14%	0%	0%	0%	8%	3%	1%	99%	15.0%	\$53,269
BG 1, CT 405	93%	13%	0%	0%	0%	11%	6%	0%	100%	69.7%	\$6,595
BG 2, CT 405	76%	7%	0%	0%	0%	6%	3%	1%	99%	21.3%	\$50,518
BG 3, CT 405	88%	4%	0%	0%	0%	6%	3%	0%	100%	12.6%	\$65,486
BG 1, CT 406.01	59%	15%	1%	0%	0%	8%	7%	0%	100%	52.7%	\$18,906
BG 2, CT 406.01	57%	11%	1%	0%	0%	6%	5%	0%	100%	35.5%	\$21,250
BG 3, CT 406.01	96%	12%	0%	0%	0%	8%	4%	0%	100%	10.7%	\$41,800
BG 1, CT 406.02	76%	3%	0%	0%	0%	4%	3%	1%	99%	3.8%	\$79,318
BG 2, CT 406.02	80%	3%	0%	0%	0%	3%	3%	7%	93%	3.0%	\$87,083
BG 3, CT 406.02	85%	4%	0%	0%	0%	5%	4%	6%	94%	4.8%	\$114,500
BG 4, CT 406.02	87%	8%	1%	0%	0%	8%	1%	0%	100%	27.5%	\$22,125
BG 5, CT 406.02	73%	16%	0%	0%	0%	7%	10%	0%	100%	41.7%	\$21,042
BG 6, CT 406.02	83%	6%	0%	1%	0%	4%	5%	0%	100%	12.7%	\$56,875
BG 1, CT 407	62%	7%	0%	0%	0%	7%	2%	0%	100%	41.9%	\$23,828
BG 2, CT 407	76%	12%	1%	0%	0%	15%	4%	0%	100%	30.3%	\$27,153
BG 3, CT 407	87%	13%	0%	1%	0%	11%	5%	0%	100%	43.8%	\$17,537
BG 1, CT 408	70%	15%	0%	0%	0%	6%	3%	2%	98%	46.3%	\$18,036
BG 2, CT 408	97%	15%	0%	0%	0%	8%	4%	0%	100%	52.1%	\$18,822
BG 1, CT 409	80%	10%	0%	0%	0%	9%	2%	0%	100%	25.4%	\$25,564
BG 2, CT 409	130%	15%	0%	0%	0%	19%	1%	2%	98%	45.6%	\$18,770
BG 3, CT 409	92%	9%	0%	0%	0%	10%	3%	0%	100%	54.1%	\$16,638
BG 1, CT 410	76%	29%	1%	0%	0%	8%	5%	0%	100%	27.8%	\$24,813
BG 2, CT 410	60%	19%	0%	0%	0%	7%	3%	1%	99%	46.0%	\$21,194

Block Group	Race (Percent) <sup>a</sup>							Ethnicity (Percent) <sup>a</sup>		Percent of Residents in Poverty <sup>a</sup>	Median Household Income
	White	Black or African American	American Indian or Alaska Native	Asian	Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	Some Other Race Alone	Multiple Races	Hispanic or Latino	Not Hispanic or Latino		
BG 1, CT 411	95%	5%	0%	0%	0%	7%	1%	0%	100%	27.8%	\$26,274
BG 2, CT 411	76%	10%	0%	0%	0%	9%	2%	2%	98%	38.8%	\$22,188
BG 1, CT 2101	61%	15%	0%	0%	0%	6%	5%	0%	100%	44.1%	\$24,079
BG 2, CT 2101	77%	19%	0%	0%	0%	4%	8%	0%	100%	51.7%	\$16,737
BG 1, CT 2102.01	70%	8%	0%	0%	0%	22%	11%	0%	100%	39.8%	\$34,844
BG 2, CT 2102.01	79%	16%	1%	0%	0%	6%	11%	0%	100%	65.3%	\$12,762
BG 1, CT 2102.02	74%	14%	1%	0%	0%	4%	14%	0%	100%	39.5%	\$21,107
BG 2, CT 2102.02	473%	20%	0%	0%	0%	70%	23%	0%	100%	100.0%	ND
BG 3, CT 2102.02	57%	16%	0%	0%	0%	5%	5%	1%	99%	46.6%	\$24,610
BG 4, CT 2102.02	60%	14%	0%	0%	0%	7%	9%	0%	100%	33.8%	\$27,500
BG 1, CT 2103	103%	43%	0%	0%	0%	6%	13%	0%	100%	60.5%	\$12,813
BG 2, CT 2103	44%	18%	0%	0%	0%	4%	7%	3%	97%	60.7%	\$17,105
BG 3, CT 2103	44%	18%	0%	0%	0%	2%	7%	0%	100%	76.6%	\$10,858
BG 1, CT 2104	60%	16%	1%	0%	0%	3%	5%	0%	100%	51.1%	\$15,375
BG 2, CT 2104	60%	23%	1%	0%	0%	3%	8%	0%	100%	52.6%	\$20,423
BG 3, CT 2104	44%	16%	0%	0%	0%	3%	1%	1%	99%	32.4%	\$29,773
BG 1, CT 2105.02	75%	6%	1%	0%	0%	6%	3%	2%	98%	10.7%	\$52,589
BG 2, CT 2105.02	88%	9%	0%	0%	0%	6%	3%	0%	100%	15.2%	\$64,142
BG 3, CT 2105.02	77%	9%	1%	0%	0%	6%	3%	1%	99%	10.9%	\$55,350
BG 1, CT 2105.03	81%	12%	0%	0%	0%	8%	3%	0%	100%	16.9%	\$35,898
BG 1, CT 2105.04	108%	15%	0%	0%	0%	10%	6%	3%	97%	33.4%	\$35,227
BG 2, CT 2105.04	66%	11%	0%	0%	0%	6%	4%	2%	98%	46.1%	\$13,036
BG 3, CT 2105.04	81%	30%	0%	0%	0%	7%	5%	0%	100%	38.3%	\$25,556
BG 4, CT 2105.04	42%	26%	0%	0%	0%	6%	5%	0%	100%	49.3%	\$30,242
BG 1, CT 3101	84%	2%	0%	0%	0%	4%	1%	0%	100%	25.8%	\$26,429
BG 2, CT 3101	92%	4%	1%	0%	0%	4%	1%	0%	100%	40.4%	\$21,481
BG 3, CT 3101	99%	6%	1%	0%	0%	4%	3%	1%	99%	44.1%	\$20,556
BG 4, CT 3101	94%	4%	0%	0%	0%	3%	1%	0%	100%	47.6%	\$21,782
BG 1, CT 3102	79%	6%	0%	0%	0%	4%	1%	7%	93%	63.4%	\$9,091

Block Group	Race (Percent) <sup>a</sup>							Ethnicity (Percent) <sup>a</sup>		Percent of Residents in Poverty <sup>a</sup>	Median Household Income
	White	Black or African American	American Indian or Alaska Native	Asian	Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	Some Other Race Alone	Multiple Races	Hispanic or Latino	Not Hispanic or Latino		
BG 2, CT 3102	79%	7%	0%	0%	0%	3%	4%	0%	100%	51.9%	\$14,226
BG 3, CT 3102	104%	6%	0%	0%	0%	3%	3%	1%	99%	41.0%	\$19,273
BG 1, CT 3103	64%	4%	0%	0%	0%	3%	2%	1%	99%	26.3%	\$32,250
BG 2, CT 3103	95%	7%	0%	0%	0%	3%	4%	1%	99%	38.9%	\$18,949
BG 3, CT 3103	81%	5%	0%	0%	0%	8%	1%	2%	98%	38.1%	\$22,083
BG 4, CT 3103	78%	5%	0%	0%	0%	10%	2%	2%	98%	54.6%	\$14,885
BG 1, CT 3104	131%	5%	0%	0%	0%	11%	1%	0%	100%	42.4%	\$23,041
BG 2, CT 3104	83%	4%	0%	0%	0%	7%	2%	0%	100%	51.1%	\$16,972
BG 3, CT 3104	71%	3%	1%	0%	0%	5%	2%	1%	99%	51.3%	\$17,939
BG 4, CT 3104	85%	3%	0%	0%	0%	6%	1%	2%	98%	43.4%	\$19,991
BG 1, CT 3105	93%	5%	0%	0%	0%	6%	1%	2%	98%	50.7%	\$21,828
BG 2, CT 3105	96%	6%	0%	0%	0%	8%	3%	1%	99%	39.2%	\$20,765
BG 3, CT 3105	75%	4%	0%	0%	0%	6%	2%	0%	100%	39.4%	\$18,731
BG 4, CT 3105	72%	4%	0%	0%	0%	9%	2%	0%	100%	42.3%	\$15,875
BG 1, CT 3106	96%	4%	0%	0%	0%	8%	2%	0%	100%	45.8%	\$19,265
BG 2, CT 3106	70%	3%	0%	0%	0%	5%	1%	0%	100%	61.0%	\$14,398
BG 3, CT 3106	150%	6%	0%	0%	0%	10%	3%	0%	100%	71.2%	\$12,963
BG 4, CT 3106	100%	4%	0%	0%	0%	10%	3%	0%	100%	67.8%	\$13,833
BG 0, CT 9900.16	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
BG 1, CT 8201	85%	11%	0%	0%	0%	6%	5%	1%	99%	26.2%	\$23,448
BG 2, CT 8201	92%	8%	0%	0%	0%	6%	2%	3%	97%	34.2%	\$21,959
BG 3, CT 8201	92%	8%	0%	0%	0%	6%	3%	0%	100%	33.9%	\$21,217
BG 4, CT 8201	70%	6%	0%	0%	0%	7%	1%	2%	98%	45.3%	\$15,581
BG 5, CT 8201	71%	9%	2%	0%	0%	10%	2%	2%	98%	46.8%	\$13,314
BG 1, CT 8203	115%	10%	0%	0%	0%	13%	3%	0%	100%	29.4%	\$22,165
BG 2, CT 8203	73%	7%	0%	0%	0%	7%	3%	3%	97%	24.7%	\$30,497
BG 1, CT 8204	80%	9%	0%	0%	0%	9%	2%	1%	99%	51.5%	\$14,127
BG 2, CT 8204	76%	14%	0%	0%	0%	10%	1%	0%	100%	51.4%	\$11,716
BG 1, CT 8205	77%	8%	0%	0%	0%	7%	3%	0%	100%	22.4%	\$23,061

Block Group	Race (Percent) <sup>a</sup>							Ethnicity (Percent) <sup>a</sup>		Percent of Residents in Poverty <sup>a</sup>	Median Household Income
	White	Black or African American	American Indian or Alaska Native	Asian	Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	Some Other Race Alone	Multiple Races	Hispanic or Latino	Not Hispanic or Latino		
BG 2, CT 8205	91%	5%	1%	0%	0%	5%	1%	1%	99%	24.8%	\$22,750
BG 1, CT 1801	45%	26%	1%	1%	0%	21%	3%	0%	100%	57.8%	\$13,650
BG 2, CT 1801	58%	22%	1%	0%	0%	25%	6%	1%	99%	57.4%	\$17,604
BG 3, CT 1801	55%	17%	1%	0%	0%	25%	5%	1%	99%	37.4%	\$19,813
BG 4, CT 1801	53%	18%	0%	0%	0%	15%	3%	1%	99%	34.4%	\$21,392
BG 1, CT 1802.01	85%	16%	0%	0%	0%	6%	2%	0%	100%	13.2%	\$22,355
BG 2, CT 1802.01	80%	34%	1%	0%	0%	7%	3%	2%	98%	52.6%	\$19,083
BG 1, CT 1802.02	90%	19%	0%	0%	0%	17%	4%	1%	99%	47.8%	\$23,200
BG 2, CT 1802.02	90%	21%	2%	0%	0%	10%	5%	0%	100%	44.8%	\$18,377
BG 3, CT 1802.02	43%	12%	0%	0%	0%	10%	3%	9%	91%	41.2%	\$15,967
BG 1, CT 1803.01	73%	14%	1%	0%	0%	6%	3%	0%	100%	38.4%	\$19,520
BG 2, CT 1803.01	57%	30%	2%	0%	0%	15%	7%	0%	100%	37.0%	\$25,096
BG 1, CT 1803.02	72%	16%	1%	0%	0%	7%	4%	0%	100%	54.0%	\$15,848
BG 2, CT 1803.02	91%	12%	0%	0%	0%	5%	7%	1%	99%	47.3%	\$16,827
BG 1, CT 1804	62%	14%	2%	0%	0%	7%	5%	0%	100%	50.3%	\$24,460
BG 2, CT 1804	62%	18%	1%	0%	0%	10%	12%	0%	100%	43.3%	\$18,182
BG 3, CT 1804	64%	22%	1%	0%	0%	9%	7%	0%	100%	57.2%	\$17,572
BG 1, CT 1805	98%	34%	3%	0%	0%	6%	7%	0%	100%	36.7%	\$18,466
BG 2, CT 1805	55%	8%	0%	2%	0%	3%	2%	2%	98%	9.8%	\$44,400
BG 3, CT 1805	81%	20%	1%	0%	0%	15%	5%	1%	99%	28.5%	\$32,772
BG 1, CT 1806	45%	12%	1%	0%	0%	7%	16%	1%	99%	74.2%	\$22,972
BG 2, CT 1806	79%	18%	1%	1%	0%	9%	4%	0%	100%	55.1%	\$7,316
BG 3, CT 1806	53%	12%	1%	0%	0%	6%	4%	0%	100%	49.3%	\$17,701
BG 4, CT 1806	93%	23%	2%	0%	0%	9%	4%	1%	99%	49.7%	\$17,632
BG 5, CT 1806	99%	20%	1%	0%	0%	21%	3%	0%	100%	64.3%	\$11,818
BG 1, CT 1807	58%	28%	1%	0%	0%	7%	4%	0%	100%	52.2%	\$16,364
BG 2, CT 1807	109%	17%	1%	0%	0%	10%	6%	1%	99%	27.8%	\$21,226
BG 3, CT 1807	46%	17%	1%	0%	0%	5%	3%	1%	99%	56.4%	\$16,013
BG 4, CT 1807	47%	7%	0%	0%	0%	4%	2%	0%	100%	55.2%	\$30,795

Block Group	Race (Percent) <sup>a</sup>							Ethnicity (Percent) <sup>a</sup>		Percent of Residents in Poverty <sup>a</sup>	Median Household Income
	White	Black or African American	American Indian or Alaska Native	Asian	Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	Some Other Race Alone	Multiple Races	Hispanic or Latino	Not Hispanic or Latino		
BG 1, CT 1808	104%	16%	1%	0%	0%	9%	7%	3%	97%	29.9%	\$26,528
BG 2, CT 1808	62%	15%	1%	0%	0%	6%	4%	2%	98%	30.6%	\$25,625
BG 3, CT 1808	52%	17%	0%	0%	0%	5%	3%	1%	99%	60.9%	\$18,017
BG 1, CT 1809.01	40%	16%	0%	0%	0%	10%	3%	1%	99%	39.6%	\$16,638
BG 2, CT 1809.01	44%	31%	1%	1%	0%	18%	3%	0%	100%	63.4%	\$15,803
BG 1, CT 1809.02	96%	19%	0%	0%	0%	14%	4%	4%	96%	25.2%	\$60,476
BG 2, CT 1809.02	54%	18%	0%	0%	0%	8%	2%	11%	89%	20.4%	\$84,135
BG 3, CT 1809.02	57%	23%	1%	0%	0%	7%	3%	1%	99%	26.0%	\$46,591
BG 0, CT 9918	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
BG 1, CT 4101	107%	9%	0%	0%	0%	8%	3%	2%	98%	56.7%	\$14,896
BG 2, CT 4101	74%	6%	0%	0%	0%	4%	5%	2%	98%	42.8%	\$15,524
BG 3, CT 4101	76%	7%	0%	0%	0%	3%	3%	1%	99%	42.0%	\$22,863
BG 1, CT 4102	82%	7%	0%	1%	0%	5%	3%	1%	99%	73.8%	\$10,265
BG 2, CT 4102	93%	12%	0%	0%	0%	7%	4%	1%	99%	50.5%	\$13,777
BG 1, CT 4103	76%	3%	0%	0%	0%	2%	4%	0%	100%	44.7%	\$19,375
BG 2, CT 4103	95%	7%	1%	0%	0%	6%	5%	1%	99%	30.7%	\$22,174
BG 3, CT 4103	70%	5%	0%	0%	0%	4%	2%	0%	100%	75.8%	\$10,259
BG 1, CT 4104.01	84%	6%	0%	0%	0%	6%	2%	1%	99%	58.6%	\$13,482
BG 2, CT 4104.01	99%	7%	0%	0%	0%	9%	3%	0%	100%	58.2%	\$16,004
BG 1, CT 4104.02	71%	7%	0%	0%	0%	8%	2%	0%	100%	35.8%	\$18,882
BG 2, CT 4104.02	86%	12%	0%	0%	0%	3%	3%	2%	98%	66.4%	\$9,375
BG 3, CT 4104.02	83%	8%	0%	0%	0%	9%	3%	0%	100%	59.8%	\$17,500
BG 4, CT 4104.02	87%	7%	1%	1%	0%	8%	4%	2%	98%	55.0%	\$13,603
BG 1, CT 4105	97%	7%	1%	0%	0%	7%	2%	2%	98%	58.0%	\$10,616
BG 2, CT 4105	79%	7%	0%	0%	0%	3%	2%	3%	97%	52.4%	\$14,036
BG 3, CT 4105	74%	5%	0%	0%	0%	4%	2%	1%	99%	32.8%	\$24,095
BG 1, CT 4106	69%	6%	0%	0%	0%	5%	3%	0%	100%	64.5%	\$17,558
BG 2, CT 4106	89%	9%	0%	0%	0%	8%	2%	0%	100%	72.1%	\$9,773
BG 3, CT 4106	79%	6%	0%	0%	0%	5%	2%	0%	100%	69.0%	\$14,792

Block Group	Race (Percent) <sup>a</sup>							Ethnicity (Percent) <sup>a</sup>		Percent of Residents in Poverty <sup>a</sup>	Median Household Income
	White	Black or African American	American Indian or Alaska Native	Asian	Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	Some Other Race Alone	Multiple Races	Hispanic or Latino	Not Hispanic or Latino		
BG 4, CT 4106	125%	3%	0%	1%	0%	10%	2%	0%	100%	69.6%	\$12,035
BG 1, CT 4107.01	78%	12%	0%	0%	0%	2%	2%	0%	100%	53.9%	\$14,814
BG 2, CT 4107.01	62%	5%	0%	0%	0%	3%	3%	1%	99%	56.6%	\$13,980
BG 1, CT 4107.02	97%	7%	0%	0%	0%	5%	1%	0%	100%	42.6%	\$21,165
BG 2, CT 4107.02	73%	10%	0%	0%	0%	6%	2%	2%	98%	71.7%	\$8,655
BG 3, CT 4107.02	94%	12%	1%	0%	0%	7%	4%	0%	100%	65.6%	\$11,607
BG 0, CT 9900	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
BG 1, CT 9560	126%	4%	1%	0%	0%	5%	3%	0%	100%	56.4%	\$14,306
BG 2, CT 9560	104%	4%	0%	0%	0%	3%	1%	0%	100%	51.1%	\$31,429
BG 3, CT 9560	111%	4%	0%	0%	0%	5%	2%	0%	100%	44.1%	\$19,063
BG 1, CT 9561	108%	4%	0%	0%	0%	5%	1%	0%	100%	57.1%	\$13,056
BG 2, CT 9561	86%	3%	1%	0%	0%	2%	1%	1%	99%	58.7%	\$16,339
BG 1, CT 9562	104%	5%	0%	1%	0%	4%	3%	0%	100%	62.6%	\$18,906
BG 2, CT 9562	66%	3%	0%	0%	0%	3%	1%	0%	100%	55.9%	\$19,826
BG 3, CT 9562	54%	3%	0%	0%	0%	2%	3%	0%	100%	58.3%	\$14,682
BG 4, CT 9562	92%	3%	0%	0%	0%	4%	2%	0%	100%	32.0%	\$22,534
BG 1, CT 7101.02	61%	9%	0%	0%	0%	4%	4%	1%	99%	75.6%	\$14,416
BG 2, CT 7101.02	73%	13%	0%	0%	0%	9%	1%	0%	100%	71.9%	\$15,017
BG 3, CT 7101.02	87%	9%	1%	0%	0%	10%	2%	1%	99%	44.8%	\$23,281
BG 1, CT 7102	77%	12%	0%	0%	0%	15%	2%	0%	100%	76.1%	\$10,682
BG 2, CT 7102	70%	22%	1%	0%	0%	9%	2%	1%	99%	38.5%	\$22,450
BG 3, CT 7102	58%	24%	1%	0%	0%	17%	4%	0%	100%	60.8%	\$13,480
BG 1, CT 7103.01	81%	11%	1%	0%	0%	7%	2%	3%	97%	40.0%	\$21,324
BG 2, CT 7103.01	110%	12%	0%	0%	0%	7%	1%	0%	100%	25.7%	\$30,859
BG 1, CT 7103.02	79%	10%	0%	0%	0%	6%	2%	0%	100%	52.8%	\$24,286
BG 2, CT 7103.02	85%	11%	1%	0%	0%	5%	2%	2%	98%	40.5%	\$22,500
BG 3, CT 7103.02	78%	9%	0%	0%	0%	5%	1%	0%	100%	29.4%	\$31,379
BG 1, CT 7104	71%	11%	0%	0%	0%	7%	2%	0%	100%	62.8%	\$14,085
BG 2, CT 7104	79%	12%	0%	0%	0%	4%	4%	0%	100%	74.7%	\$4,438

Block Group	Race (Percent) <sup>a</sup>							Ethnicity (Percent) <sup>a</sup>		Percent of Residents in Poverty <sup>a</sup>	Median Household Income
	White	Black or African American	American Indian or Alaska Native	Asian	Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	Some Other Race Alone	Multiple Races	Hispanic or Latino	Not Hispanic or Latino		
BG 1, CT 7105	97%	23%	1%	0%	0%	15%	4%	0%	100%	47.6%	\$16,447
BG 2, CT 7105	74%	11%	1%	0%	0%	9%	3%	0%	100%	55.4%	\$13,750
BG 1, CT 7106	91%	12%	0%	0%	0%	7%	2%	0%	100%	28.7%	\$17,390
BG 2, CT 7106	100%	19%	0%	0%	0%	9%	3%	0%	100%	52.7%	\$15,000
BG 1, CT 7107	86%	6%	0%	0%	0%	4%	2%	0%	100%	51.8%	\$15,223
BG 2, CT 7107	119%	11%	0%	0%	0%	12%	5%	0%	100%	56.0%	\$16,591
BG 1, CT 7108	63%	6%	1%	0%	0%	4%	3%	0%	100%	51.1%	\$18,646
BG 2, CT 7108	117%	10%	0%	0%	0%	8%	2%	1%	99%	33.2%	\$24,074
BG 3, CT 7108	51%	6%	1%	0%	0%	2%	2%	1%	99%	47.8%	\$18,824
BG 4, CT 7108	89%	13%	0%	0%	0%	8%	3%	0%	100%	75.6%	\$14,659
BG 1, CT 7109.01	75%	15%	0%	0%	0%	8%	2%	0%	100%	48.7%	\$18,881
BG 2, CT 7109.01	67%	14%	0%	0%	0%	6%	2%	0%	100%	45.9%	\$23,079
BG 3, CT 7109.01	69%	19%	1%	1%	0%	7%	1%	0%	100%	59.5%	\$13,390
BG 1, CT 7109.02	63%	16%	0%	0%	0%	6%	3%	0%	100%	67.8%	\$14,067
BG 2, CT 7109.02	83%	15%	0%	0%	0%	7%	2%	0%	100%	57.9%	\$18,585
BG 3, CT 7109.02	65%	23%	1%	0%	0%	13%	4%	0%	100%	57.9%	\$17,153
BG 1, CT 7110.02	65%	23%	1%	0%	0%	5%	3%	1%	99%	50.5%	\$17,380
BG 2, CT 7110.02	69%	31%	0%	0%	0%	8%	3%	3%	97%	61.9%	\$13,445
BG 3, CT 7110.02	44%	27%	2%	0%	0%	4%	3%	0%	100%	67.7%	\$11,595
BG 4, CT 7110.02	59%	23%	0%	0%	0%	4%	4%	1%	99%	52.9%	\$16,029
BG 0, CT 9900.01	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
BG 1, CT 5001	63%	8%	0%	0%	0%	9%	4%	0%	100%	22.5%	\$28,828
BG 2, CT 5001	71%	12%	0%	0%	0%	3%	5%	0%	100%	58.1%	\$15,451
BG 3, CT 5001	74%	18%	1%	0%	0%	8%	5%	0%	100%	49.0%	\$20,308
BG 4, CT 5001	128%	38%	1%	0%	0%	16%	6%	0%	100%	71.6%	\$15,563
BG 5, CT 5001	64%	22%	1%	0%	0%	8%	4%	0%	100%	73.9%	\$11,607
BG 1, CT 5002	48%	11%	0%	0%	0%	4%	8%	0%	100%	48.7%	\$15,833
BG 2, CT 5002	68%	10%	0%	0%	0%	4%	7%	3%	97%	38.1%	\$18,224
BG 3, CT 5002	69%	12%	0%	0%	0%	5%	11%	1%	99%	32.3%	\$35,000

Block Group	Race (Percent) <sup>a</sup>							Ethnicity (Percent) <sup>a</sup>		Percent of Residents in Poverty <sup>a</sup>	Median Household Income
	White	Black or African American	American Indian or Alaska Native	Asian	Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	Some Other Race Alone	Multiple Races	Hispanic or Latino	Not Hispanic or Latino		
BG 4, CT 5002	96%	18%	1%	1%	0%	4%	9%	0%	100%	40.1%	\$15,375
BG 5, CT 5002	111%	20%	1%	0%	0%	11%	12%	0%	100%	44.3%	\$13,056
BG 1, CT 5003.01	86%	16%	1%	0%	0%	16%	8%	2%	98%	39.8%	\$19,811
BG 2, CT 5003.01	51%	13%	0%	0%	0%	6%	1%	2%	98%	39.8%	\$35,402
BG 3, CT 5003.01	68%	10%	0%	0%	0%	8%	3%	0%	100%	34.6%	\$21,250
BG 1, CT 5003.02	67%	14%	1%	0%	0%	7%	6%	1%	99%	54.9%	\$14,844
BG 2, CT 5003.02	92%	18%	1%	0%	0%	8%	16%	2%	98%	48.2%	\$14,526
BG 3, CT 5003.02	80%	13%	0%	0%	0%	16%	5%	0%	100%	54.1%	\$14,339
BG 1, CT 5004.01	88%	13%	1%	0%	0%	9%	3%	1%	99%	69.0%	\$11,641
BG 2, CT 5004.01	65%	14%	1%	0%	0%	9%	5%	1%	99%	35.4%	\$32,083
BG 3, CT 5004.01	50%	7%	0%	0%	0%	3%	2%	0%	100%	69.2%	\$11,618
BG 1, CT 5004.02	55%	12%	1%	0%	0%	6%	6%	0%	100%	32.9%	\$21,522
BG 2, CT 5004.02	75%	14%	0%	0%	0%	6%	7%	0%	100%	70.3%	\$13,811
BG 3, CT 5004.02	82%	10%	1%	0%	0%	5%	6%	0%	100%	42.3%	\$20,458
BG 1, CT 8501.01	59%	5%	0%	0%	0%	3%	1%	0%	100%	64.8%	\$15,313
BG 2, CT 8501.01	90%	6%	0%	0%	0%	7%	1%	0%	100%	63.3%	\$13,452
BG 1, CT 8501.02	97%	8%	0%	0%	0%	9%	1%	0%	100%	71.3%	\$13,188
BG 2, CT 8501.02	67%	4%	0%	0%	0%	12%	2%	0%	100%	35.4%	\$19,543
BG 3, CT 8501.02	158%	11%	0%	0%	0%	34%	1%	0%	100%	93.0%	\$4,457
BG 4, CT 8501.02	116%	9%	0%	0%	0%	13%	2%	0%	100%	58.0%	\$15,000
BG 1, CT 8502	124%	6%	0%	1%	0%	20%	2%	0%	100%	49.2%	\$14,120
BG 2, CT 8502	79%	4%	0%	0%	0%	18%	2%	1%	99%	72.5%	\$7,196
BG 3, CT 8502	67%	3%	0%	0%	0%	6%	2%	0%	100%	40.3%	\$22,957
BG 1, CT 8503	117%	8%	0%	0%	0%	37%	5%	0%	100%	59.7%	\$12,102
BG 2, CT 8503	81%	3%	0%	0%	0%	18%	1%	0%	100%	68.0%	\$13,125
BG 3, CT 8503	57%	4%	0%	0%	0%	12%	2%	0%	100%	64.7%	\$11,908
BG 4, CT 8503	63%	4%	0%	0%	0%	12%	1%	6%	94%	75.4%	\$12,675
BG 1, CT 8504	53%	7%	0%	0%	0%	7%	3%	0%	100%	60.1%	\$15,821
BG 2, CT 8504	64%	4%	0%	0%	0%	8%	1%	0%	100%	65.2%	\$14,700

Block Group	Race (Percent) <sup>a</sup>							Ethnicity (Percent) <sup>a</sup>		Percent of Residents in Poverty <sup>a</sup>	Median Household Income
	White	Black or African American	American Indian or Alaska Native	Asian	Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	Some Other Race Alone	Multiple Races	Hispanic or Latino	Not Hispanic or Latino		
BG 3, CT 8504	184%	14%	0%	0%	0%	19%	4%	0%	100%	63.6%	\$10,000
BG 4, CT 8504	114%	5%	0%	0%	0%	8%	3%	0%	100%	78.5%	\$14,000
BG 0, CT 9911	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
BG 1, CT 9577	87%	3%	0%	0%	0%	3%	2%	0%	100%	61.1%	\$13,026
BG 2, CT 9577	68%	3%	0%	0%	0%	3%	2%	0%	100%	72.2%	\$7,264
BG 3, CT 9577	96%	3%	0%	0%	0%	2%	2%	1%	99%	48.5%	\$15,451
BG 1, CT 9578	106%	3%	0%	0%	0%	3%	3%	1%	99%	72.3%	\$14,444
BG 2, CT 9578	100%	5%	0%	0%	0%	4%	4%	0%	100%	72.5%	\$8,729
BG 3, CT 9578	108%	5%	1%	0%	0%	5%	2%	0%	100%	58.1%	\$15,250
BG 1, CT 9579	91%	3%	0%	0%	0%	2%	1%	0%	100%	58.7%	\$14,618
BG 2, CT 9579	105%	3%	0%	0%	0%	5%	2%	1%	99%	55.1%	\$9,845
BG 1, CT 9580	64%	2%	0%	0%	0%	1%	1%	1%	99%	76.2%	\$11,941
BG 2, CT 9580	84%	3%	0%	0%	0%	3%	1%	0%	100%	53.7%	\$16,201
BG 1, CT 9581	92%	3%	0%	0%	0%	5%	2%	0%	100%	61.3%	\$9,191
BG 2, CT 9581	91%	3%	0%	0%	0%	7%	1%	1%	99%	50.8%	\$12,566
BG 1, CT 9582	87%	2%	0%	0%	0%	6%	3%	0%	100%	60.2%	\$13,808
BG 2, CT 9582	87%	2%	0%	0%	0%	1%	2%	0%	100%	60.4%	\$11,204
BG 1, CT 9583	98%	2%	1%	0%	0%	5%	1%	1%	99%	62.1%	\$7,621
BG 2, CT 9583	129%	3%	0%	0%	0%	6%	2%	0%	100%	92.5%	\$6,042
BG 1, CT 9584	116%	3%	1%	0%	0%	5%	2%	1%	99%	62.3%	\$9,706
BG 2, CT 9584	91%	2%	0%	0%	0%	2%	3%	3%	97%	43.1%	\$12,769
BG 1, CT 9597	75%	4%	0%	0%	0%	7%	2%	2%	98%	65.0%	\$13,278
BG 2, CT 9597	80%	7%	1%	0%	0%	9%	1%	0%	100%	66.7%	\$15,038
BG 1, CT 9598	115%	8%	1%	0%	0%	6%	2%	0%	100%	45.7%	\$17,895
BG 2, CT 9598	101%	4%	0%	0%	0%	5%	3%	0%	100%	59.1%	\$12,368
BG 1, CT 9599	77%	5%	1%	0%	0%	6%	1%	2%	98%	54.7%	\$16,596
BG 2, CT 9599	83%	5%	0%	0%	0%	5%	2%	0%	100%	59.8%	\$21,442
BG 3, CT 9599	104%	6%	0%	0%	0%	7%	1%	0%	100%	53.6%	\$17,985
BG 1, CT 1901.01	64%	9%	0%	0%	0%	12%	5%	0%	100%	70.3%	\$15,379

Block Group	Race (Percent) <sup>a</sup>							Ethnicity (Percent) <sup>a</sup>		Percent of Residents in Poverty <sup>a</sup>	Median Household Income
	White	Black or African American	American Indian or Alaska Native	Asian	Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	Some Other Race Alone	Multiple Races	Hispanic or Latino	Not Hispanic or Latino		
BG 2, CT 1901.01	72%	9%	0%	0%	0%	9%	6%	1%	99%	68.6%	\$9,578
BG 3, CT 1901.01	63%	11%	0%	0%	0%	10%	4%	0%	100%	44.6%	\$20,119
BG 1, CT 1901.02	61%	10%	0%	0%	0%	3%	8%	0%	100%	39.5%	\$18,629
BG 2, CT 1901.02	73%	9%	0%	0%	0%	6%	7%	1%	99%	32.5%	\$25,903
BG 3, CT 1901.02	81%	10%	0%	1%	0%	12%	4%	0%	100%	19.3%	\$28,355
BG 4, CT 1901.02	78%	9%	0%	0%	0%	7%	6%	1%	99%	44.6%	\$23,829
BG 1, CT 1902.01	68%	15%	0%	0%	0%	4%	17%	0%	100%	60.8%	\$14,917
BG 2, CT 1902.01	40%	7%	0%	0%	0%	6%	14%	0%	100%	63.5%	\$15,640
BG 3, CT 1902.01	77%	11%	1%	0%	0%	17%	6%	1%	99%	45.9%	\$17,727
BG 1, CT 1902.02	74%	8%	0%	0%	0%	8%	9%	0%	100%	85.5%	\$5,583
BG 2, CT 1902.02	79%	14%	1%	0%	0%	10%	4%	0%	100%	43.7%	\$18,250
BG 1, CT 1903.01	69%	18%	1%	0%	0%	17%	4%	0%	100%	38.2%	\$18,626
BG 2, CT 1903.01	75%	15%	0%	0%	0%	15%	2%	1%	99%	59.0%	\$21,224
BG 3, CT 1903.01	66%	12%	1%	0%	0%	8%	8%	0%	100%	56.5%	\$15,833
BG 1, CT 1903.02	79%	15%	1%	0%	0%	14%	7%	0%	100%	53.4%	\$18,167
BG 2, CT 1903.02	62%	14%	1%	0%	0%	10%	13%	0%	100%	49.2%	\$19,141
BG 1, CT 1101.01	18%	82%	1%	0%	0%	9%	2%	0%	100%	47.4%	\$16,060
BG 2, CT 1101.01	11%	77%	1%	0%	0%	9%	3%	0%	100%	73.8%	\$10,337
BG 1, CT 1101.02	23%	79%	1%	0%	0%	6%	1%	0%	100%	57.4%	\$18,611
BG 2, CT 1101.02	22%	88%	1%	0%	0%	4%	3%	0%	100%	44.5%	\$16,281
BG 1, CT 1102	14%	67%	1%	0%	0%	7%	2%	0%	100%	58.1%	\$16,250
BG 2, CT 1102	18%	95%	0%	0%	0%	6%	4%	0%	100%	66.5%	\$12,443
BG 1, CT 1103.01	10%	77%	0%	0%	0%	2%	2%	0%	100%	53.5%	\$12,368
BG 2, CT 1103.01	33%	57%	0%	0%	0%	8%	2%	0%	100%	58.1%	\$21,801
BG 1, CT 1103.02	24%	93%	1%	0%	0%	7%	4%	0%	100%	36.0%	\$19,127
BG 2, CT 1103.02	21%	81%	1%	1%	0%	6%	3%	0%	100%	32.0%	\$19,107
BG 3, CT 1103.02	12%	84%	1%	0%	0%	3%	2%	0%	100%	40.4%	\$20,776
BG 1, CT 1103.03	55%	28%	0%	0%	0%	9%	3%	0%	100%	28.7%	\$25,897
BG 2, CT 1103.03	48%	25%	0%	0%	0%	5%	4%	0%	100%	23.2%	\$34,727

Block Group	Race (Percent) <sup>a</sup>							Ethnicity (Percent) <sup>a</sup>		Percent of Residents in Poverty <sup>a</sup>	Median Household Income
	White	Black or African American	American Indian or Alaska Native	Asian	Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	Some Other Race Alone	Multiple Races	Hispanic or Latino	Not Hispanic or Latino		
BG 1, CT 1103.04	52%	21%	1%	0%	0%	7%	2%	0%	100%	21.0%	\$41,304
BG 2, CT 1103.04	65%	40%	1%	0%	0%	11%	5%	0%	100%	20.5%	\$22,311
BG 3, CT 1103.04	45%	20%	0%	0%	0%	6%	2%	0%	100%	29.0%	\$33,868
BG 1, CT 1104	20%	81%	0%	0%	0%	4%	2%	0%	100%	82.6%	\$9,495
BG 2, CT 1104	17%	103%	0%	0%	0%	8%	3%	0%	100%	47.3%	\$16,250
BG 1, CT 1105	15%	86%	2%	0%	0%	5%	4%	0%	100%	63.1%	\$12,674
BG 2, CT 1105	15%	98%	0%	0%	0%	1%	2%	0%	100%	50.8%	\$22,813
BG 1, CT 1106	7%	62%	0%	0%	0%	3%	2%	0%	100%	49.1%	\$20,536
BG 2, CT 1106	14%	89%	0%	0%	0%	4%	2%	0%	100%	42.9%	\$15,652
BG 0, CT 9900	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
BG 1, CT 1401.01	67%	20%	0%	0%	0%	7%	3%	0%	100%	26.6%	\$29,076
BG 2, CT 1401.01	89%	17%	0%	0%	0%	7%	5%	2%	98%	32.2%	\$23,015
BG 1, CT 1401.02	70%	19%	1%	0%	0%	7%	2%	0%	100%	41.0%	\$16,346
BG 2, CT 1401.02	56%	16%	0%	0%	0%	10%	8%	0%	100%	58.1%	\$14,067
BG 3, CT 1401.02	70%	21%	1%	0%	0%	9%	5%	1%	99%	38.2%	\$17,243
BG 1, CT 1402.01	67%	18%	2%	0%	0%	10%	3%	8%	92%	66.9%	\$12,083
BG 2, CT 1402.01	43%	27%	1%	0%	0%	6%	2%	6%	94%	72.5%	\$8,125
BG 1, CT 1402.02	65%	14%	2%	0%	0%	3%	5%	4%	96%	45.9%	\$19,250
BG 2, CT 1402.02	64%	14%	1%	0%	0%	7%	4%	0%	100%	31.1%	\$29,656
BG 3, CT 1402.02	69%	25%	2%	0%	0%	10%	5%	1%	99%	38.3%	\$25,477
BG 1, CT 1403	58%	37%	1%	0%	0%	16%	5%	4%	96%	58.2%	\$13,431
BG 2, CT 1403	66%	33%	1%	0%	0%	10%	2%	0%	100%	64.6%	\$15,208
BG 3, CT 1403	74%	17%	1%	0%	0%	8%	2%	4%	96%	25.9%	\$31,696
BG 4, CT 1403	56%	23%	1%	0%	0%	16%	2%	0%	100%	43.2%	\$17,321
BG 0, CT 9900.01	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
BG 1, CT 5701	78%	12%	0%	0%	0%	6%	3%	3%	97%	24.4%	\$23,036
BG 2, CT 5701	76%	6%	1%	0%	0%	5%	4%	0%	100%	55.6%	\$18,427
BG 3, CT 5701	88%	8%	1%	0%	0%	15%	2%	2%	98%	56.5%	\$8,594
BG 4, CT 5701	75%	11%	0%	0%	0%	6%	3%	0%	100%	40.4%	\$18,945

Block Group	Race (Percent) <sup>a</sup>							Ethnicity (Percent) <sup>a</sup>		Percent of Residents in Poverty <sup>a</sup>	Median Household Income
	White	Black or African American	American Indian or Alaska Native	Asian	Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	Some Other Race Alone	Multiple Races	Hispanic or Latino	Not Hispanic or Latino		
BG 1, CT 5702.01	78%	10%	0%	0%	0%	4%	2%	1%	99%	43.3%	\$17,218
BG 2, CT 5702.01	70%	7%	0%	0%	0%	5%	3%	0%	100%	33.5%	\$27,106
BG 1, CT 5702.02	91%	12%	1%	0%	0%	8%	6%	1%	99%	40.1%	\$18,373
BG 2, CT 5702.02	83%	11%	0%	0%	0%	6%	3%	1%	99%	33.4%	\$24,450
BG 1, CT 5703	80%	10%	0%	0%	0%	9%	1%	0%	100%	77.5%	\$5,833
BG 2, CT 5703	88%	13%	2%	0%	0%	10%	2%	0%	100%	63.5%	\$15,023
BG 3, CT 5703	130%	18%	2%	0%	0%	8%	4%	0%	100%	61.9%	\$9,420
BG 4, CT 5703	80%	8%	0%	0%	0%	5%	2%	0%	100%	58.7%	\$10,560
BG 1, CT 5704	69%	5%	1%	0%	0%	6%	2%	1%	99%	43.4%	\$15,804
BG 2, CT 5704	89%	8%	0%	0%	0%	6%	2%	0%	100%	65.0%	\$11,761
BG 3, CT 5704	80%	8%	0%	0%	0%	17%	3%	0%	100%	63.1%	\$10,909
BG 1, CT 5705	122%	13%	2%	0%	0%	6%	2%	4%	96%	31.8%	\$29,539
BG 2, CT 5705	63%	5%	0%	0%	0%	6%	1%	5%	95%	36.0%	\$24,710
BG 3, CT 5705	94%	9%	1%	0%	0%	4%	3%	0%	100%	43.3%	\$22,297
BG 1, CT 5706	70%	10%	1%	0%	0%	3%	2%	2%	98%	74.7%	\$8,563
BG 2, CT 5706	87%	7%	1%	0%	0%	6%	2%	1%	99%	39.9%	\$20,955
BG 1, CT 5707	67%	8%	1%	0%	0%	8%	3%	1%	99%	59.8%	\$12,277
BG 2, CT 5707	105%	6%	0%	0%	0%	7%	2%	0%	100%	63.9%	\$13,433
BG 3, CT 5707	90%	15%	1%	0%	0%	5%	5%	0%	100%	47.6%	\$18,720
BG 1, CT 5708	84%	9%	1%	0%	0%	3%	3%	1%	99%	47.2%	\$19,250
BG 2, CT 5708	94%	8%	0%	0%	0%	6%	4%	2%	98%	25.6%	\$25,595
BG 3, CT 5708	91%	7%	0%	0%	0%	9%	2%	2%	98%	55.5%	\$18,098
BG 4, CT 5708	94%	8%	1%	0%	0%	9%	1%	2%	98%	34.2%	\$22,313
BG 0, CT 9900.25	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
BG 1, CT 9601	97%	4%	0%	0%	0%	3%	3%	1%	99%	80.1%	\$10,183
BG 2, CT 9601	68%	4%	1%	0%	0%	4%	1%	0%	100%	63.2%	\$9,657
BG 3, CT 9601	90%	5%	0%	0%	0%	4%	1%	0%	100%	36.5%	\$19,714
BG 1, CT 9602	83%	5%	1%	0%	0%	4%	1%	0%	100%	57.2%	\$15,893
BG 2, CT 9602	96%	4%	0%	0%	0%	2%	1%	0%	100%	41.7%	\$18,917

Block Group	Race (Percent) <sup>a</sup>							Ethnicity (Percent) <sup>a</sup>		Percent of Residents in Poverty <sup>a</sup>	Median Household Income
	White	Black or African American	American Indian or Alaska Native	Asian	Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	Some Other Race Alone	Multiple Races	Hispanic or Latino	Not Hispanic or Latino		
BG 1, CT 9514	34%	19%	0%	0%	0%	14%	2%	0%	100%	65.2%	\$18,056
BG 2, CT 9514	28%	50%	1%	0%	0%	20%	11%	0%	100%	52.2%	\$13,145
BG 3, CT 9514	68%	39%	1%	0%	0%	21%	3%	0%	100%	46.3%	\$22,773
BG 4, CT 9514	62%	32%	1%	0%	0%	19%	2%	0%	100%	31.0%	\$24,300
BG 5, CT 9514	44%	27%	0%	0%	0%	14%	2%	0%	100%	46.1%	\$28,553
BG 6, CT 9514	51%	38%	2%	0%	0%	22%	9%	0%	100%	70.8%	\$18,043
BG 1, CT 9515	46%	26%	0%	0%	0%	12%	4%	0%	100%	74.7%	\$14,327
BG 2, CT 9515	35%	24%	0%	0%	0%	12%	3%	0%	100%	64.0%	\$14,435
BG 1, CT 9516	68%	23%	2%	0%	0%	17%	7%	2%	98%	42.3%	\$20,481
BG 2, CT 9516	62%	32%	2%	0%	0%	19%	4%	0%	100%	44.9%	\$15,750
BG 0, CT 9900	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
BG 1, CT 801	82%	15%	2%	1%	0%	17%	9%	0%	100%	68.3%	\$9,625
BG 2, CT 801	117%	17%	0%	1%	0%	35%	9%	0%	100%	91.3%	\$4,083
BG 1, CT 802	78%	5%	0%	0%	0%	8%	4%	2%	98%	74.2%	ND
BG 2, CT 802	69%	10%	0%	1%	0%	6%	5%	0%	100%	74.1%	\$5,086
BG 1, CT 803	66%	8%	0%	0%	0%	10%	1%	0%	100%	96.9%	\$2,917
BG 2, CT 803	71%	13%	1%	0%	0%	8%	1%	0%	100%	29.6%	\$18,466
BG 3, CT 803	89%	15%	0%	0%	0%	9%	4%	0%	100%	58.7%	\$11,964
BG 1, CT 804	84%	20%	1%	0%	0%	9%	5%	0%	100%	64.4%	\$8,259
BG 1, CT 805	89%	9%	0%	0%	0%	10%	6%	2%	98%	75.4%	\$12,675
BG 2, CT 805	83%	18%	3%	0%	0%	12%	2%	0%	100%	38.4%	\$23,750
BG 1, CT 806	134%	15%	3%	0%	0%	15%	8%	0%	100%	58.9%	\$11,216
BG 2, CT 806	102%	7%	1%	1%	0%	22%	14%	2%	98%	88.6%	ND
BG 1, CT 808	80%	11%	3%	0%	0%	19%	5%	1%	99%	48.1%	\$13,903
BG 2, CT 808	66%	10%	0%	1%	0%	4%	4%	3%	97%	21.3%	\$42,813
BG 3, CT 808	77%	11%	2%	0%	0%	5%	4%	0%	100%	51.1%	\$15,000
BG 1, CT 809	57%	8%	1%	0%	0%	7%	3%	1%	99%	65.3%	\$12,009
BG 2, CT 809	76%	10%	1%	0%	0%	13%	5%	0%	100%	68.2%	\$11,923
BG 1, CT 810	66%	9%	2%	0%	0%	6%	7%	0%	100%	67.2%	\$9,212

Block Group	Race (Percent) <sup>a</sup>							Ethnicity (Percent) <sup>a</sup>		Percent of Residents in Poverty <sup>a</sup>	Median Household Income
	White	Black or African American	American Indian or Alaska Native	Asian	Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	Some Other Race Alone	Multiple Races	Hispanic or Latino	Not Hispanic or Latino		
BG 2, CT 810	74%	15%	1%	0%	0%	10%	3%	0%	100%	76.0%	\$10,318
BG 1, CT 811	121%	10%	0%	0%	1%	15%	8%	0%	100%	49.1%	\$7,109
BG 2, CT 811	80%	12%	1%	0%	0%	4%	6%	0%	100%	64.7%	\$13,468
BG 1, CT 812	88%	12%	3%	0%	0%	7%	5%	0%	100%	75.0%	\$9,946
BG 2, CT 812	61%	10%	1%	0%	0%	7%	7%	0%	100%	84.2%	\$2,743
BG 3, CT 812	88%	9%	2%	0%	0%	15%	3%	0%	100%	95.6%	ND
BG 4, CT 812	95%	10%	3%	0%	0%	5%	4%	0%	100%	100.0%	\$2,831
BG 5, CT 812	65%	7%	1%	1%	0%	2%	4%	1%	99%	100.0%	\$3,218
BG 1, CT 813	72%	9%	1%	0%	0%	4%	3%	0%	100%	54.7%	\$14,063
BG 2, CT 813	69%	4%	0%	0%	0%	3%	3%	2%	98%	26.4%	\$24,875
BG 1, CT 815.01	73%	4%	1%	0%	0%	11%	3%	0%	100%	44.3%	\$18,750
BG 2, CT 815.01	90%	3%	0%	0%	0%	11%	1%	3%	97%	20.8%	\$19,509
BG 3, CT 815.01	76%	8%	0%	0%	0%	18%	3%	0%	100%	80.8%	\$4,368
BG 1, CT 815.12	92%	12%	0%	0%	0%	21%	4%	6%	94%	51.5%	\$9,592
BG 2, CT 815.12	80%	14%	2%	0%	0%	14%	4%	5%	95%	84.6%	\$15,257
BG 3, CT 815.12	63%	12%	0%	0%	0%	12%	1%	1%	99%	56.1%	\$13,333
BG 4, CT 815.12	65%	8%	0%	0%	0%	10%	3%	3%	97%	52.9%	\$11,884
BG 1, CT 815.22	89%	6%	0%	1%	0%	14%	4%	2%	98%	19.7%	\$40,703
BG 2, CT 815.22	77%	6%	1%	1%	0%	9%	2%	2%	98%	24.3%	\$46,328
BG 1, CT 816.01	67%	7%	0%	0%	0%	10%	2%	0%	100%	49.2%	\$16,938
BG 2, CT 816.01	91%	6%	1%	0%	0%	9%	4%	6%	94%	7.7%	\$49,261
BG 3, CT 816.01	67%	4%	1%	0%	0%	6%	3%	1%	99%	27.7%	\$28,964
BG 1, CT 816.02	68%	9%	3%	0%	0%	9%	7%	1%	99%	45.7%	\$18,067
BG 2, CT 816.02	79%	8%	1%	0%	0%	8%	6%	2%	98%	42.1%	\$19,958
BG 3, CT 816.02	79%	6%	2%	0%	0%	11%	2%	3%	97%	27.2%	\$23,750
BG 1, CT 817	88%	5%	0%	0%	0%	12%	4%	0%	100%	51.3%	\$15,201
BG 2, CT 817	93%	8%	1%	0%	0%	3%	4%	0%	100%	45.1%	\$14,031
BG 3, CT 817	80%	8%	1%	0%	0%	5%	3%	2%	98%	52.4%	\$12,319
BG 1, CT 818	150%	25%	4%	0%	0%	21%	5%	3%	97%	4.3%	\$32,639

Block Group	Race (Percent) <sup>a</sup>							Ethnicity (Percent) <sup>a</sup>		Percent of Residents in Poverty <sup>a</sup>	Median Household Income
	White	Black or African American	American Indian or Alaska Native	Asian	Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	Some Other Race Alone	Multiple Races	Hispanic or Latino	Not Hispanic or Latino		
BG 2, CT 818	131%	12%	2%	0%	0%	8%	4%	3%	97%	35.1%	\$16,689
BG 3, CT 818	105%	9%	1%	0%	0%	9%	7%	2%	98%	47.0%	\$15,972
BG 1, CT 819	104%	5%	0%	0%	0%	10%	3%	1%	99%	39.1%	\$15,028
BG 2, CT 819	94%	5%	0%	0%	0%	6%	2%	1%	99%	28.1%	\$21,900
BG 3, CT 819	69%	8%	1%	0%	0%	4%	5%	2%	98%	52.7%	\$15,327
BG 4, CT 819	87%	8%	1%	0%	0%	8%	5%	2%	98%	45.7%	\$16,359
BG 1, CT 820.01	86%	6%	0%	0%	0%	5%	2%	1%	99%	42.9%	\$21,765
BG 2, CT 820.01	52%	8%	0%	0%	0%	5%	2%	0%	100%	67.9%	\$14,792
BG 3, CT 820.01	62%	7%	0%	0%	0%	3%	2%	0%	100%	49.1%	\$22,182
BG 4, CT 820.01	173%	18%	1%	1%	0%	11%	8%	0%	100%	37.7%	\$23,167
BG 1, CT 820.12	87%	12%	0%	0%	0%	5%	2%	1%	99%	46.4%	\$18,971
BG 2, CT 820.12	102%	8%	1%	0%	0%	12%	3%	0%	100%	31.7%	\$25,307
BG 3, CT 820.12	101%	10%	0%	1%	0%	6%	3%	0%	100%	20.8%	\$24,375
BG 1, CT 820.22	89%	5%	1%	0%	0%	5%	3%	4%	96%	30.8%	\$25,048
BG 2, CT 820.22	93%	5%	0%	0%	0%	3%	2%	0%	100%	46.1%	\$18,846
BG 1, CT 821.02	73%	11%	0%	0%	0%	7%	2%	4%	96%	77.9%	\$6,539
BG 2, CT 821.02	113%	10%	1%	1%	0%	3%	3%	2%	98%	33.4%	\$35,417
BG 3, CT 821.02	79%	10%	0%	0%	0%	5%	2%	1%	99%	25.6%	\$19,890
BG 1, CT 821.03	73%	8%	0%	0%	0%	7%	2%	2%	98%	75.7%	\$9,429
BG 2, CT 821.03	71%	9%	0%	0%	0%	8%	2%	1%	99%	28.5%	\$23,807
BG 1, CT 821.04	73%	11%	0%	0%	0%	10%	3%	2%	98%	58.3%	\$13,679
BG 2, CT 821.04	62%	6%	0%	0%	0%	8%	1%	1%	99%	57.7%	\$17,361
BG 0, CT 9900	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
BG 1, CT 4201	61%	11%	0%	0%	0%	5%	1%	2%	98%	59.2%	\$13,028
BG 2, CT 4201	101%	4%	1%	0%	0%	6%	2%	1%	99%	45.4%	\$16,823
BG 3, CT 4201	93%	11%	0%	0%	0%	7%	2%	3%	97%	52.9%	\$13,250
BG 1, CT 4202	50%	3%	0%	0%	0%	2%	1%	3%	97%	52.3%	\$22,500
BG 2, CT 4202	79%	2%	0%	0%	0%	3%	2%	0%	100%	64.2%	\$13,036
BG 3, CT 4202	82%	3%	0%	0%	0%	2%	2%	0%	100%	38.6%	\$16,852

Block Group	Race (Percent) <sup>a</sup>							Ethnicity (Percent) <sup>a</sup>		Percent of Residents in Poverty <sup>a</sup>	Median Household Income
	White	Black or African American	American Indian or Alaska Native	Asian	Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	Some Other Race Alone	Multiple Races	Hispanic or Latino	Not Hispanic or Latino		
BG 4, CT 4202	123%	9%	0%	0%	0%	3%	3%	0%	100%	62.9%	\$11,786
BG 1, CT 4203.01	94%	5%	0%	0%	0%	4%	3%	0%	100%	62.4%	\$17,500
BG 2, CT 4203.01	98%	6%	0%	0%	0%	5%	3%	1%	99%	59.9%	\$10,960
BG 1, CT 4203.02	129%	5%	0%	0%	0%	5%	2%	2%	98%	54.3%	\$13,721
BG 2, CT 4203.02	74%	1%	0%	0%	0%	2%	2%	0%	100%	49.4%	\$21,361
BG 3, CT 4203.02	73%	2%	0%	0%	0%	4%	1%	1%	99%	52.3%	\$17,745
BG 1, CT 4204.01	109%	4%	0%	0%	0%	3%	3%	0%	100%	54.9%	\$13,839
BG 2, CT 4204.01	121%	3%	0%	0%	0%	4%	12%	0%	100%	65.3%	\$12,120
BG 1, CT 4204.02	69%	2%	0%	0%	0%	3%	1%	1%	99%	54.0%	\$12,819
BG 2, CT 4204.02	87%	3%	0%	0%	0%	4%	2%	0%	100%	56.7%	\$13,553
BG 1, CT 4205	97%	2%	0%	0%	0%	3%	3%	0%	100%	29.4%	\$18,089
BG 2, CT 4205	106%	2%	0%	0%	0%	2%	1%	1%	99%	41.1%	\$11,518
BG 3, CT 4205	120%	3%	0%	0%	0%	4%	1%	0%	100%	48.7%	\$15,441
BG 1, CT 9552.01	74%	5%	0%	0%	0%	3%	2%	0%	100%	61.9%	\$13,409
BG 2, CT 9552.01	106%	6%	0%	0%	0%	2%	2%	0%	100%	66.2%	\$10,988
BG 1, CT 9552.02	82%	4%	0%	0%	0%	3%	3%	0%	100%	41.2%	\$22,795
BG 2, CT 9552.02	127%	5%	0%	0%	0%	6%	3%	0%	100%	52.0%	\$20,101
BG 3, CT 9552.02	83%	6%	1%	0%	0%	2%	4%	0%	100%	60.3%	\$9,579
BG 1, CT 9553	85%	6%	0%	0%	0%	5%	4%	0%	100%	54.1%	\$16,020
BG 2, CT 9553	104%	8%	1%	0%	0%	5%	6%	0%	100%	57.7%	\$13,399
BG 3, CT 9553	63%	5%	0%	0%	0%	2%	3%	1%	99%	70.2%	\$11,744
BG 1, CT 9554.01	81%	3%	0%	0%	0%	4%	1%	0%	100%	45.2%	\$24,737
BG 2, CT 9554.01	96%	5%	0%	0%	0%	3%	1%	0%	100%	62.6%	\$13,913
BG 3, CT 9554.01	105%	5%	0%	0%	0%	6%	1%	0%	100%	41.5%	\$15,625
BG 1, CT 9554.02	100%	5%	0%	0%	0%	3%	3%	0%	100%	51.9%	\$12,929
BG 2, CT 9554.02	94%	3%	0%	0%	0%	2%	2%	0%	100%	56.9%	\$16,823
BG 1, CT 9555	127%	6%	0%	1%	0%	5%	5%	0%	100%	67.8%	\$10,313
BG 2, CT 9555	64%	6%	0%	0%	0%	1%	1%	0%	100%	62.9%	\$13,958
BG 1, CT 1701	83%	22%	0%	1%	0%	15%	2%	1%	99%	58.3%	\$12,731

Block Group	Race (Percent) <sup>a</sup>							Ethnicity (Percent) <sup>a</sup>		Percent of Residents in Poverty <sup>a</sup>	Median Household Income
	White	Black or African American	American Indian or Alaska Native	Asian	Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	Some Other Race Alone	Multiple Races	Hispanic or Latino	Not Hispanic or Latino		
BG 2, CT 1701	104%	15%	1%	1%	0%	13%	5%	2%	98%	46.5%	\$13,913
BG 3, CT 1701	64%	18%	0%	0%	0%	7%	4%	2%	98%	47.0%	\$17,140
BG 4, CT 1701	50%	8%	0%	0%	0%	5%	3%	2%	98%	55.5%	\$18,827
BG 1, CT 1702	86%	13%	0%	1%	0%	18%	6%	0%	100%	66.3%	\$18,780
BG 2, CT 1702	82%	7%	1%	0%	0%	11%	2%	3%	97%	55.8%	\$16,548
BG 3, CT 1702	96%	10%	1%	0%	0%	12%	2%	2%	98%	53.6%	\$12,195
BG 4, CT 1702	75%	16%	0%	0%	0%	8%	2%	0%	100%	38.3%	\$18,715
BG 1, CT 1703	71%	19%	0%	0%	0%	9%	3%	0%	100%	58.6%	\$16,833
BG 2, CT 1703	83%	32%	1%	0%	0%	15%	5%	0%	100%	50.6%	\$13,846
BG 3, CT 1703	67%	26%	0%	0%	0%	10%	3%	0%	100%	73.3%	\$10,139
BG 4, CT 1703	85%	19%	1%	0%	0%	9%	3%	0%	100%	34.7%	\$27,991
BG 1, CT 1704	61%	15%	0%	0%	0%	6%	1%	0%	100%	70.3%	\$4,189
BG 2, CT 1704	77%	24%	1%	0%	0%	11%	4%	0%	100%	75.7%	\$10,743
BG 3, CT 1704	52%	14%	0%	0%	0%	7%	3%	1%	99%	36.3%	\$26,297
BG 0, CT 9900.13	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
BG 1, CT 5201	60%	4%	1%	0%	0%	2%	4%	0%	100%	22.6%	\$26,771
BG 2, CT 5201	104%	7%	0%	0%	0%	6%	5%	0%	100%	31.8%	\$22,188
BG 3, CT 5201	82%	7%	1%	0%	0%	5%	3%	0%	100%	57.5%	\$17,564
BG 1, CT 5202	107%	7%	0%	0%	0%	6%	3%	0%	100%	52.5%	\$15,369
BG 2, CT 5202	74%	5%	0%	0%	0%	5%	3%	0%	100%	60.0%	\$15,151
BG 3, CT 5202	105%	11%	0%	0%	0%	18%	2%	2%	98%	72.7%	\$12,688
BG 1, CT 5203	86%	9%	0%	0%	0%	6%	2%	0%	100%	66.1%	\$12,721
BG 2, CT 5203	82%	5%	1%	0%	0%	10%	2%	1%	99%	70.1%	\$6,310
BG 1, CT 5204	108%	6%	1%	0%	0%	5%	7%	0%	100%	59.6%	\$17,104
BG 2, CT 5204	71%	4%	0%	0%	0%	6%	3%	0%	100%	57.2%	\$15,316
BG 3, CT 5204	107%	10%	0%	0%	0%	15%	4%	1%	99%	54.4%	\$15,456
BG 1, CT 5205	102%	6%	0%	0%	0%	5%	4%	0%	100%	33.8%	\$19,464
BG 2, CT 5205	72%	4%	0%	0%	0%	4%	4%	2%	98%	38.9%	\$26,283
BG 3, CT 5205	70%	4%	0%	0%	0%	2%	3%	0%	100%	50.8%	\$16,250

Block Group	Race (Percent) <sup>a</sup>							Ethnicity (Percent) <sup>a</sup>		Percent of Residents in Poverty <sup>a</sup>	Median Household Income
	White	Black or African American	American Indian or Alaska Native	Asian	Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	Some Other Race Alone	Multiple Races	Hispanic or Latino	Not Hispanic or Latino		
BG 4, CT 5205	78%	6%	0%	0%	0%	5%	4%	0%	100%	51.6%	\$23,160
BG 1, CT 9548.01	73%	8%	0%	0%	0%	6%	2%	0%	100%	60.9%	\$13,722
BG 2, CT 9548.01	106%	8%	1%	0%	0%	6%	1%	0%	100%	52.3%	\$17,298
BG 3, CT 9548.01	89%	4%	1%	0%	0%	6%	1%	2%	98%	57.2%	\$14,038
BG 1, CT 9548.02	93%	9%	0%	0%	0%	3%	1%	0%	100%	61.4%	\$15,043
BG 2, CT 9548.02	81%	2%	0%	0%	0%	2%	1%	0%	100%	61.0%	\$15,393
BG 1, CT 9549.01	82%	4%	0%	0%	0%	4%	2%	0%	100%	68.0%	\$12,337
BG 2, CT 9549.01	84%	4%	1%	0%	0%	2%	3%	0%	100%	76.6%	\$12,451
BG 3, CT 9549.01	121%	8%	2%	0%	0%	11%	16%	0%	100%	71.8%	\$7,366
BG 1, CT 9549.02	95%	5%	0%	0%	0%	5%	1%	0%	100%	58.5%	\$15,476
BG 2, CT 9549.02	153%	15%	0%	0%	0%	2%	6%	0%	100%	74.9%	\$15,125
BG 1, CT 9550.01	100%	6%	2%	0%	0%	5%	1%	0%	100%	58.6%	\$11,458
BG 2, CT 9550.01	155%	6%	0%	0%	0%	10%	2%	2%	98%	51.9%	\$10,000
BG 3, CT 9550.01	57%	4%	0%	0%	0%	2%	2%	0%	100%	58.2%	\$16,311
BG 4, CT 9550.01	77%	7%	0%	0%	0%	3%	5%	0%	100%	60.3%	\$17,083
BG 1, CT 9550.02	81%	5%	0%	0%	0%	3%	2%	2%	98%	67.6%	\$20,040
BG 2, CT 9550.02	83%	11%	0%	0%	0%	4%	2%	0%	100%	56.9%	\$15,227
BG 1, CT 9551	73%	7%	1%	0%	0%	4%	2%	0%	100%	57.6%	\$14,724
BG 2, CT 9551	110%	6%	1%	0%	0%	7%	2%	1%	99%	45.2%	\$14,405
BG 1, CT 2901	74%	14%	0%	0%	0%	20%	2%	0%	100%	59.8%	\$10,707
BG 2, CT 2901	67%	13%	0%	0%	0%	13%	2%	0%	100%	57.9%	\$14,688
BG 3, CT 2901	53%	21%	0%	0%	0%	13%	3%	0%	100%	54.6%	\$18,816
BG 1, CT 2902	73%	21%	0%	0%	0%	16%	3%	0%	100%	75.0%	\$9,856
BG 2, CT 2902	64%	19%	0%	0%	0%	16%	3%	0%	100%	61.4%	\$12,108
BG 3, CT 2902	60%	16%	1%	0%	0%	14%	3%	0%	100%	46.4%	\$17,315
BG 4, CT 2902	69%	28%	1%	0%	0%	23%	6%	0%	100%	60.6%	\$12,737
BG 1, CT 2903	59%	23%	1%	0%	0%	13%	2%	0%	100%	53.3%	\$15,500
BG 2, CT 2903	44%	24%	0%	0%	0%	8%	3%	0%	100%	57.4%	\$15,195
BG 3, CT 2903	62%	21%	0%	0%	0%	22%	2%	0%	100%	68.2%	\$11,442

Block Group	Race (Percent) <sup>a</sup>							Ethnicity (Percent) <sup>a</sup>		Percent of Residents in Poverty <sup>a</sup>	Median Household Income
	White	Black or African American	American Indian or Alaska Native	Asian	Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	Some Other Race Alone	Multiple Races	Hispanic or Latino	Not Hispanic or Latino		
BG 1, CT 2904	61%	12%	1%	0%	0%	11%	4%	2%	98%	34.9%	\$14,565
BG 2, CT 2904	55%	19%	1%	0%	0%	13%	7%	1%	99%	46.8%	\$16,804
BG 3, CT 2904	93%	28%	1%	0%	0%	12%	5%	0%	100%	60.1%	\$12,100
BG 0, CT 9900	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
BG 1, CT 7301	68%	10%	0%	0%	0%	2%	1%	0%	100%	51.6%	\$14,298
BG 2, CT 7301	86%	7%	0%	0%	0%	7%	2%	1%	99%	64.6%	\$12,258
BG 3, CT 7301	90%	5%	0%	0%	0%	10%	0%	4%	96%	66.2%	\$14,335
BG 4, CT 7301	104%	4%	1%	0%	0%	7%	1%	0%	100%	61.2%	\$13,625
BG 1, CT 7302	81%	8%	1%	0%	0%	10%	2%	1%	99%	50.0%	\$23,390
BG 1, CT 7303	77%	7%	1%	0%	0%	6%	2%	3%	97%	73.2%	\$14,489
BG 2, CT 7303	87%	18%	0%	0%	0%	10%	2%	0%	100%	69.8%	\$11,710
BG 3, CT 7303	101%	7%	0%	0%	0%	6%	3%	0%	100%	59.6%	\$13,036
BG 4, CT 7303	63%	11%	0%	0%	0%	7%	1%	1%	99%	60.9%	\$19,125
BG 1, CT 7304	91%	10%	0%	0%	0%	4%	1%	3%	97%	60.2%	\$9,437
BG 1, CT 7307	62%	7%	0%	0%	0%	4%	1%	0%	100%	52.9%	\$16,602
BG 2, CT 7307	92%	12%	1%	0%	0%	4%	2%	0%	100%	66.7%	\$16,127
BG 3, CT 7307	104%	9%	1%	0%	0%	7%	2%	1%	99%	60.9%	\$12,500
BG 1, CT 7308	103%	13%	0%	1%	0%	12%	4%	0%	100%	49.8%	\$14,911
BG 0, CT 9900	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
BG 1, CT 701	88%	7%	0%	0%	0%	6%	4%	1%	99%	20.0%	\$33,036
BG 2, CT 701	114%	7%	0%	0%	0%	6%	2%	0%	100%	67.7%	\$7,375
BG 3, CT 701	76%	5%	1%	0%	0%	5%	1%	0%	100%	60.0%	\$13,990
BG 4, CT 701	79%	5%	0%	0%	0%	5%	2%	3%	97%	20.2%	\$38,307
BG 1, CT 702.01	75%	7%	0%	0%	0%	6%	1%	2%	98%	51.5%	\$18,357
BG 2, CT 702.01	53%	4%	0%	0%	0%	11%	3%	0%	100%	66.7%	\$18,950
BG 1, CT 702.02	83%	8%	1%	0%	0%	7%	1%	1%	99%	75.6%	\$10,439
BG 2, CT 702.02	83%	2%	0%	0%	0%	7%	3%	8%	92%	25.5%	\$43,889
BG 3, CT 702.02	67%	6%	0%	0%	0%	1%	1%	0%	100%	78.3%	\$16,548
BG 1, CT 703	61%	7%	0%	0%	0%	16%	1%	0%	100%	60.2%	\$9,500

Block Group	Race (Percent) <sup>a</sup>							Ethnicity (Percent) <sup>a</sup>		Percent of Residents in Poverty <sup>a</sup>	Median Household Income
	White	Black or African American	American Indian or Alaska Native	Asian	Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	Some Other Race Alone	Multiple Races	Hispanic or Latino	Not Hispanic or Latino		
BG 2, CT 703	65%	9%	2%	1%	0%	7%	1%	0%	100%	63.0%	\$10,845
BG 3, CT 703	73%	11%	0%	0%	0%	9%	2%	0%	100%	50.8%	\$13,523
BG 1, CT 704	85%	15%	1%	0%	0%	2%	1%	0%	100%	86.6%	\$2,813
BG 2, CT 704	94%	16%	1%	4%	0%	1%	4%	1%	99%	93.3%	ND
BG 1, CT 705.02	74%	9%	2%	0%	0%	8%	2%	0%	100%	59.3%	\$15,625
BG 2, CT 705.02	134%	7%	1%	1%	0%	5%	3%	0%	100%	34.9%	\$14,688
BG 3, CT 705.02	94%	8%	0%	0%	0%	3%	2%	1%	99%	23.7%	\$18,868
BG 1, CT 705.03	90%	4%	0%	0%	0%	3%	3%	4%	96%	22.0%	\$51,765
BG 2, CT 705.03	100%	3%	0%	1%	0%	5%	2%	0%	100%	48.3%	\$22,583
BG 3, CT 705.03	96%	3%	0%	0%	0%	3%	3%	2%	98%	14.7%	\$39,242
BG 1, CT 705.13	77%	12%	1%	0%	0%	6%	2%	0%	100%	71.9%	\$5,363
BG 2, CT 705.13	75%	10%	1%	0%	0%	5%	3%	0%	100%	55.5%	\$15,595
BG 1, CT 705.14	75%	8%	1%	0%	0%	3%	2%	0%	100%	28.3%	\$30,133
BG 2, CT 705.14	116%	18%	0%	0%	0%	6%	1%	2%	98%	65.2%	\$11,528
BG 1, CT 705.22	75%	9%	0%	0%	0%	6%	4%	1%	99%	80.6%	\$5,313
BG 2, CT 705.22	79%	4%	0%	0%	0%	2%	1%	1%	99%	11.2%	\$35,833
BG 3, CT 705.22	81%	11%	1%	0%	0%	2%	2%	0%	100%	38.7%	\$17,940
BG 1, CT 708	72%	7%	0%	0%	0%	4%	0%	3%	97%	90.9%	\$4,702
BG 2, CT 708	82%	11%	0%	0%	0%	7%	3%	0%	100%	73.6%	\$9,160
BG 1, CT 709	108%	9%	0%	0%	0%	6%	2%	0%	100%	75.4%	\$9,819
BG 2, CT 709	100%	8%	1%	0%	0%	5%	2%	0%	100%	95.3%	\$6,286
BG 3, CT 709	82%	6%	0%	0%	0%	3%	1%	0%	100%	73.4%	\$11,191
BG 1, CT 710	75%	6%	0%	0%	0%	5%	2%	0%	100%	66.6%	\$11,534
BG 2, CT 710	100%	7%	0%	0%	0%	4%	2%	0%	100%	69.5%	\$11,125
BG 1, CT 712	100%	8%	1%	0%	0%	7%	2%	0%	100%	45.5%	\$10,069
BG 2, CT 712	89%	10%	1%	0%	0%	7%	3%	1%	99%	58.5%	\$12,645
BG 3, CT 712	94%	11%	1%	0%	0%	4%	2%	0%	100%	61.3%	\$11,208
BG 1, CT 713	80%	16%	0%	0%	0%	14%	2%	0%	100%	100.0%	ND
BG 2, CT 713	90%	12%	0%	0%	0%	7%	2%	0%	100%	61.1%	\$13,265

Block Group	Race (Percent) <sup>a</sup>							Ethnicity (Percent) <sup>a</sup>		Percent of Residents in Poverty <sup>a</sup>	Median Household Income
	White	Black or African American	American Indian or Alaska Native	Asian	Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	Some Other Race Alone	Multiple Races	Hispanic or Latino	Not Hispanic or Latino		
BG 3, CT 713	72%	10%	1%	0%	0%	8%	0%	0%	100%	88.8%	ND
BG 4, CT 713	116%	11%	0%	0%	0%	13%	2%	0%	100%	92.2%	ND
BG 1, CT 714.01	89%	10%	1%	0%	0%	8%	3%	1%	99%	59.0%	\$19,333
BG 2, CT 714.01	79%	6%	0%	0%	0%	7%	1%	1%	99%	37.8%	\$24,858
BG 1, CT 714.02	102%	10%	0%	0%	0%	4%	3%	0%	100%	35.7%	\$22,917
BG 2, CT 714.02	96%	10%	2%	0%	0%	11%	2%	0%	100%	51.6%	\$13,063
BG 3, CT 714.02	71%	7%	0%	0%	0%	5%	2%	0%	100%	33.1%	\$41,094
BG 1, CT 715	95%	9%	1%	2%	0%	6%	0%	0%	100%	30.6%	\$27,298
BG 2, CT 715	80%	7%	0%	0%	0%	7%	2%	0%	100%	65.6%	\$11,334
BG 3, CT 715	77%	8%	2%	0%	0%	8%	1%	1%	99%	57.6%	\$12,019
BG 4, CT 715	70%	6%	0%	0%	0%	5%	2%	0%	100%	57.3%	\$13,750
BG 1, CT 716.01	60%	2%	0%	1%	0%	4%	2%	3%	97%	25.5%	\$36,700
BG 2, CT 716.01	76%	13%	0%	0%	0%	6%	2%	1%	99%	45.6%	\$22,500
BG 3, CT 716.01	86%	15%	0%	0%	0%	9%	3%	0%	100%	43.4%	\$20,293
BG 1, CT 716.02	72%	11%	0%	0%	0%	5%	1%	6%	94%	94.8%	ND
BG 2, CT 716.02	75%	16%	1%	0%	0%	16%	2%	0%	100%	94.6%	\$6,172
BG 3, CT 716.02	63%	9%	1%	0%	0%	10%	2%	0%	100%	75.3%	\$18,162
BG 4, CT 716.02	52%	9%	0%	0%	0%	7%	1%	0%	100%	77.5%	\$10,734
BG 1, CT 717	98%	6%	0%	0%	0%	8%	3%	9%	91%	43.2%	\$18,000
BG 2, CT 717	80%	5%	0%	0%	0%	3%	2%	0%	100%	45.4%	\$14,750
BG 1, CT 718	113%	19%	4%	1%	0%	11%	4%	0%	100%	76.9%	\$12,361
BG 2, CT 718	84%	16%	0%	0%	0%	2%	1%	3%	97%	57.7%	\$6,533
BG 3, CT 718	103%	35%	3%	0%	0%	9%	7%	0%	100%	74.1%	\$12,583
BG 1, CT 719	79%	15%	2%	0%	0%	6%	3%	0%	100%	100.0%	ND
BG 2, CT 719	46%	13%	1%	0%	0%	9%	2%	1%	99%	98.4%	\$4,500
BG 3, CT 719	53%	14%	2%	0%	0%	8%	1%	0%	100%	60.2%	\$12,333
BG 4, CT 719	61%	21%	0%	0%	0%	7%	4%	0%	100%	79.6%	\$11,029
BG 5, CT 719	101%	13%	0%	1%	0%	16%	3%	0%	100%	52.7%	\$16,976
BG 6, CT 719	84%	14%	1%	1%	0%	9%	6%	0%	100%	49.7%	\$8,922

Block Group	Race (Percent) <sup>a</sup>							Ethnicity (Percent) <sup>a</sup>		Percent of Residents in Poverty <sup>a</sup>	Median Household Income
	White	Black or African American	American Indian or Alaska Native	Asian	Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	Some Other Race Alone	Multiple Races	Hispanic or Latino	Not Hispanic or Latino		
BG 1, CT 720	82%	7%	0%	0%	0%	5%	2%	0%	100%	36.6%	\$22,261
BG 1, CT 721.01	98%	13%	0%	0%	0%	7%	1%	0%	100%	84.6%	\$9,118
BG 2, CT 721.01	78%	15%	2%	0%	0%	4%	3%	0%	100%	60.6%	\$10,526
BG 1, CT 721.02	83%	14%	0%	0%	0%	3%	2%	0%	100%	24.6%	\$28,424
BG 2, CT 721.02	83%	8%	0%	0%	0%	2%	2%	0%	100%	47.5%	\$29,250
BG 3, CT 721.02	95%	10%	1%	1%	0%	4%	3%	0%	100%	32.9%	\$24,728
BG 4, CT 721.02	131%	15%	1%	0%	0%	4%	4%	0%	100%	24.3%	\$27,159
BG 1, CT 722.01	87%	11%	1%	0%	0%	5%	3%	1%	99%	29.1%	\$27,898
BG 2, CT 722.01	84%	11%	0%	1%	0%	5%	2%	0%	100%	58.1%	\$16,614
BG 3, CT 722.01	100%	14%	1%	0%	0%	9%	2%	1%	99%	92.9%	ND
BG 1, CT 722.02	100%	20%	2%	0%	1%	4%	2%	0%	100%	38.4%	\$21,389
BG 2, CT 722.02	102%	10%	1%	0%	0%	5%	1%	0%	100%	36.3%	\$18,814
BG 3, CT 722.02	90%	14%	0%	0%	0%	2%	2%	0%	100%	32.8%	\$30,294
BG 4, CT 722.02	81%	10%	0%	0%	0%	4%	3%	0%	100%	11.3%	\$55,469
BG 5, CT 722.02	77%	10%	0%	0%	0%	4%	2%	0%	100%	23.6%	\$26,011
BG 6, CT 722.02	104%	5%	0%	0%	0%	3%	4%	3%	97%	10.3%	\$76,970
BG 1, CT 723	57%	29%	0%	0%	0%	6%	1%	0%	100%	73.9%	\$10,179
BG 2, CT 723	77%	34%	0%	0%	0%	3%	1%	0%	100%	73.5%	\$10,938
BG 1, CT 724	138%	5%	0%	0%	0%	2%	3%	0%	100%	2.6%	\$98,482
BG 2, CT 724	92%	7%	0%	0%	0%	6%	1%	0%	100%	22.0%	\$46,204
BG 3, CT 724	81%	16%	0%	0%	0%	7%	6%	0%	100%	39.1%	\$18,068
BG 4, CT 724	66%	13%	0%	0%	0%	5%	3%	0%	100%	48.5%	\$14,357
BG 5, CT 724	95%	14%	1%	0%	0%	8%	2%	2%	98%	46.9%	\$26,791
BG 1, CT 725	83%	8%	0%	0%	0%	5%	2%	1%	99%	52.2%	\$17,348
BG 2, CT 725	74%	7%	0%	0%	0%	8%	2%	0%	100%	43.5%	\$18,175
BG 3, CT 725	77%	5%	0%	0%	0%	5%	2%	0%	100%	10.3%	\$41,389
BG 1, CT 726	70%	5%	0%	0%	0%	8%	2%	0%	100%	58.9%	\$12,857
BG 2, CT 726	97%	6%	2%	0%	0%	9%	4%	0%	100%	83.8%	\$12,844
BG 3, CT 726	82%	5%	0%	0%	0%	7%	6%	0%	100%	71.0%	\$11,765

Block Group	Race (Percent) <sup>a</sup>							Ethnicity (Percent) <sup>a</sup>		Percent of Residents in Poverty <sup>a</sup>	Median Household Income
	White	Black or African American	American Indian or Alaska Native	Asian	Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	Some Other Race Alone	Multiple Races	Hispanic or Latino	Not Hispanic or Latino		
BG 4, CT 726	84%	7%	0%	0%	0%	4%	1%	0%	100%	68.7%	\$14,917
BG 1, CT 727.01	78%	7%	0%	0%	0%	5%	2%	0%	100%	33.8%	\$22,724
BG 2, CT 727.01	78%	12%	1%	0%	0%	2%	1%	2%	98%	46.3%	\$25,781
BG 1, CT 727.03	100%	11%	0%	0%	0%	6%	4%	2%	98%	63.7%	\$11,914
BG 2, CT 727.03	81%	10%	0%	0%	0%	6%	2%	0%	100%	45.9%	\$19,500
BG 3, CT 727.03	95%	8%	1%	0%	0%	8%	1%	4%	96%	50.9%	\$15,000
BG 4, CT 727.03	120%	8%	0%	0%	0%	6%	3%	2%	98%	22.9%	\$63,681
BG 5, CT 727.03	70%	6%	0%	0%	0%	1%	1%	1%	99%	5.1%	\$75,156
BG 1, CT 727.04	58%	12%	0%	0%	0%	21%	4%	0%	100%	74.1%	\$7,545
BG 2, CT 727.04	103%	10%	1%	1%	0%	11%	3%	0%	100%	69.2%	\$5,101
BG 1, CT 729	81%	4%	0%	0%	0%	3%	3%	0%	100%	36.1%	\$23,456
BG 2, CT 729	89%	6%	0%	0%	0%	6%	2%	0%	100%	51.7%	\$14,531
BG 3, CT 729	65%	3%	0%	0%	0%	4%	2%	0%	100%	34.2%	\$21,607
BG 4, CT 729	73%	3%	0%	0%	0%	4%	2%	2%	98%	13.0%	\$40,893
BG 1, CT 730.01	87%	4%	0%	0%	0%	4%	2%	0%	100%	54.6%	\$13,942
BG 2, CT 730.01	114%	6%	0%	0%	0%	4%	6%	0%	100%	52.4%	\$13,565
BG 3, CT 730.01	132%	10%	1%	0%	0%	16%	3%	0%	100%	40.9%	\$12,011
BG 1, CT 730.02	114%	7%	1%	0%	0%	7%	5%	3%	97%	61.9%	\$11,864
BG 2, CT 730.02	76%	10%	0%	0%	0%	10%	7%	0%	100%	68.5%	\$14,949
BG 3, CT 730.02	86%	6%	1%	0%	0%	18%	4%	2%	98%	62.0%	\$14,524
BG 1, CT 730.03	86%	9%	0%	0%	0%	4%	3%	3%	97%	56.3%	\$18,650
BG 1, CT 730.04	79%	11%	1%	0%	0%	9%	1%	0%	100%	71.9%	\$13,906
BG 2, CT 730.04	126%	11%	0%	0%	0%	10%	2%	0%	100%	35.5%	\$23,913
BG 3, CT 730.04	64%	7%	0%	0%	0%	3%	1%	2%	98%	34.2%	\$30,703
BG 4, CT 730.04	77%	5%	1%	1%	0%	7%	4%	0%	100%	53.7%	\$22,426
BG 1, CT 730.05	64%	7%	0%	0%	0%	4%	3%	0%	100%	36.3%	\$27,383
BG 2, CT 730.05	88%	8%	0%	0%	0%	3%	2%	0%	100%	13.7%	\$33,839
BG 3, CT 730.05	84%	7%	0%	0%	0%	3%	2%	0%	100%	22.6%	\$22,434
BG 1, CT 730.06	80%	9%	0%	0%	0%	8%	3%	0%	100%	65.5%	\$14,130

Block Group	Race (Percent) <sup>a</sup>							Ethnicity (Percent) <sup>a</sup>		Percent of Residents in Poverty <sup>a</sup>	Median Household Income
	White	Black or African American	American Indian or Alaska Native	Asian	Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	Some Other Race Alone	Multiple Races	Hispanic or Latino	Not Hispanic or Latino		
BG 2, CT 730.06	69%	7%	1%	1%	0%	6%	3%	0%	100%	52.0%	\$16,914
BG 1, CT 730.08	137%	12%	0%	0%	0%	2%	1%	2%	98%	0.0%	ND
BG 1, CT 730.09	94%	13%	0%	0%	0%	5%	5%	1%	99%	70.5%	\$8,536
BG 2, CT 730.09	89%	15%	3%	1%	0%	8%	7%	0%	100%	76.4%	\$11,906
BG 3, CT 730.09	70%	11%	1%	0%	0%	6%	10%	0%	100%	83.6%	\$10,188
BG 1, CT 730.10	98%	10%	0%	0%	0%	1%	4%	0%	100%	40.8%	\$20,372
BG 2, CT 730.10	82%	7%	0%	0%	0%	4%	3%	0%	100%	35.7%	\$30,630
BG 0, CT 9930	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
BG 1, CT 3301	90%	4%	0%	0%	0%	6%	2%	0%	100%	29.0%	\$22,844
BG 2, CT 3301	75%	4%	0%	0%	0%	4%	3%	0%	100%	49.6%	\$14,464
BG 3, CT 3301	94%	2%	0%	0%	0%	6%	4%	1%	99%	53.9%	\$16,298
BG 4, CT 3301	88%	2%	0%	0%	0%	7%	3%	0%	100%	82.2%	\$11,823
BG 1, CT 3302	93%	3%	0%	0%	0%	4%	2%	1%	99%	51.7%	\$18,355
BG 2, CT 3302	95%	4%	0%	0%	0%	4%	3%	0%	100%	80.2%	\$9,149
BG 3, CT 3302	84%	5%	0%	0%	0%	8%	3%	0%	100%	61.3%	\$13,730
BG 1, CT 3303	83%	3%	0%	0%	0%	2%	1%	0%	100%	54.7%	\$17,473
BG 2, CT 3303	81%	4%	0%	0%	0%	5%	1%	2%	98%	47.0%	\$17,372
BG 3, CT 3303	85%	5%	0%	0%	0%	3%	2%	0%	100%	70.5%	\$11,641
BG 1, CT 3304	101%	4%	0%	0%	0%	4%	2%	1%	99%	41.7%	\$23,293
BG 2, CT 3304	99%	3%	0%	0%	0%	3%	3%	0%	100%	62.6%	\$12,450
BG 3, CT 3304	105%	5%	0%	0%	0%	3%	1%	1%	99%	70.3%	\$12,837
BG 0, CT 9900	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
BG 1, CT 9594	85%	4%	0%	0%	0%	5%	3%	13%	87%	30.5%	\$19,519
BG 2, CT 9594	103%	3%	0%	0%	0%	7%	2%	8%	92%	56.9%	\$15,823
BG 3, CT 9594	78%	2%	0%	0%	0%	4%	1%	11%	89%	46.6%	\$13,080
BG 4, CT 9594	74%	5%	0%	0%	0%	5%	3%	9%	91%	49.3%	\$20,801
BG 1, CT 9595	75%	6%	1%	0%	0%	6%	3%	8%	92%	49.8%	\$17,521
BG 2, CT 9595	100%	5%	1%	0%	0%	5%	3%	13%	87%	63.7%	\$15,657
BG 1, CT 9596	82%	12%	0%	0%	0%	7%	6%	3%	97%	52.6%	\$15,854

Block Group	Race (Percent) <sup>a</sup>							Ethnicity (Percent) <sup>a</sup>		Percent of Residents in Poverty <sup>a</sup>	Median Household Income
	White	Black or African American	American Indian or Alaska Native	Asian	Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	Some Other Race Alone	Multiple Races	Hispanic or Latino	Not Hispanic or Latino		
BG 2, CT 9596	85%	7%	1%	0%	0%	6%	3%	6%	94%	50.5%	\$18,150
BG 3, CT 9596	107%	4%	1%	0%	0%	5%	2%	7%	93%	53.7%	\$15,136
BG 4, CT 9596	79%	3%	1%	0%	0%	5%	1%	2%	98%	72.5%	\$10,577
BG 0, CT 9904	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
BG 1, CT 1301.01	96%	24%	0%	0%	0%	25%	5%	0%	100%	46.7%	\$19,417
BG 2, CT 1301.01	74%	26%	0%	1%	0%	4%	7%	2%	98%	58.1%	\$19,282
BG 3, CT 1301.01	73%	23%	1%	0%	0%	6%	4%	3%	97%	13.6%	\$26,848
BG 4, CT 1301.01	63%	26%	0%	0%	0%	9%	4%	0%	100%	53.9%	\$23,922
BG 5, CT 1301.01	64%	40%	0%	0%	0%	9%	2%	0%	100%	60.3%	\$8,933
BG 1, CT 1301.02	71%	20%	0%	1%	0%	8%	3%	0%	100%	13.6%	\$41,449
BG 2, CT 1301.02	57%	18%	0%	0%	0%	6%	1%	3%	97%	20.6%	\$36,268
BG 3, CT 1301.02	72%	11%	3%	0%	0%	13%	3%	4%	96%	20.2%	\$43,663
BG 1, CT 1302	63%	31%	2%	1%	0%	2%	2%	0%	100%	44.4%	\$16,860
BG 2, CT 1302	83%	37%	0%	0%	0%	10%	2%	0%	100%	49.0%	\$12,237
BG 3, CT 1302	62%	29%	2%	0%	0%	6%	4%	0%	100%	70.9%	\$11,624
BG 1, CT 1303	60%	25%	0%	0%	0%	11%	1%	0%	100%	37.6%	\$20,333
BG 2, CT 1303	60%	23%	0%	0%	0%	14%	2%	0%	100%	42.8%	\$22,829
BG 3, CT 1303	90%	32%	0%	0%	1%	14%	3%	0%	100%	29.3%	\$25,597
BG 1, CT 1304.01	45%	35%	1%	0%	0%	3%	3%	0%	100%	43.7%	\$14,921
BG 2, CT 1304.01	65%	29%	1%	0%	0%	9%	7%	0%	100%	13.2%	\$39,803
BG 1, CT 1304.02	48%	29%	1%	0%	0%	9%	5%	0%	100%	66.1%	\$18,715
BG 2, CT 1304.02	49%	33%	0%	0%	0%	5%	4%	0%	100%	54.0%	\$12,188
BG 3, CT 1304.02	35%	21%	0%	0%	0%	8%	1%	0%	100%	45.4%	\$21,875
BG 1, CT 1305	51%	27%	1%	0%	0%	11%	2%	0%	100%	59.4%	\$19,028
BG 2, CT 1305	82%	30%	0%	0%	0%	8%	4%	0%	100%	28.5%	\$24,506
BG 3, CT 1305	50%	21%	1%	0%	0%	6%	1%	1%	99%	36.5%	\$25,556
BG 4, CT 1305	75%	28%	1%	0%	0%	7%	3%	0%	100%	33.9%	\$26,023
BG 1, CT 1306.01	64%	34%	0%	0%	0%	18%	4%	1%	99%	59.6%	\$16,735
BG 2, CT 1306.01	64%	18%	0%	0%	0%	14%	3%	1%	99%	26.0%	\$23,814

Block Group	Race (Percent) <sup>a</sup>							Ethnicity (Percent) <sup>a</sup>		Percent of Residents in Poverty <sup>a</sup>	Median Household Income
	White	Black or African American	American Indian or Alaska Native	Asian	Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	Some Other Race Alone	Multiple Races	Hispanic or Latino	Not Hispanic or Latino		
BG 1, CT 1306.02	59%	14%	1%	0%	0%	17%	3%	2%	98%	36.5%	\$26,296
BG 2, CT 1306.02	58%	15%	1%	0%	0%	6%	2%	0%	100%	45.0%	\$31,848
BG 1, CT 1307.01	71%	21%	1%	0%	0%	6%	4%	0%	100%	38.7%	\$21,915
BG 2, CT 1307.01	85%	15%	0%	0%	0%	12%	5%	0%	100%	42.1%	\$24,063
BG 3, CT 1307.01	45%	29%	1%	0%	0%	9%	1%	0%	100%	41.2%	\$31,489
BG 1, CT 1307.02	56%	33%	0%	0%	0%	3%	2%	0%	100%	37.2%	\$26,471
BG 2, CT 1307.02	67%	26%	0%	1%	0%	13%	3%	0%	100%	53.1%	\$17,077
BG 3, CT 1307.02	61%	27%	1%	0%	0%	7%	5%	0%	100%	42.5%	\$17,976
BG 0, CT 9927	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
BG 1, CT 9603	142%	5%	0%	0%	0%	5%	2%	0%	100%	60.8%	\$14,821
BG 2, CT 9603	88%	7%	0%	0%	0%	12%	5%	4%	96%	59.8%	\$19,269
BG 3, CT 9603	83%	4%	1%	0%	0%	6%	3%	0%	100%	58.2%	\$14,958
BG 4, CT 9603	165%	6%	2%	0%	0%	11%	5%	0%	100%	7.9%	\$28,500
BG 1, CT 9604	85%	6%	0%	0%	0%	5%	2%	2%	98%	60.4%	\$10,221
BG 2, CT 9604	70%	4%	0%	0%	0%	5%	2%	2%	98%	25.2%	\$29,015
BG 3, CT 9604	82%	4%	0%	0%	0%	5%	1%	6%	94%	34.5%	\$25,726
BG 1, CT 9605	83%	5%	0%	0%	0%	3%	1%	4%	96%	52.9%	\$10,640
BG 2, CT 9605	87%	6%	2%	0%	0%	6%	1%	2%	98%	64.6%	\$11,205
BG 1, CT 9606	71%	5%	1%	0%	0%	4%	1%	0%	100%	50.4%	\$15,795
BG 2, CT 9606	61%	2%	0%	0%	0%	2%	1%	0%	100%	37.4%	\$23,750
BG 1, CT 9607	95%	5%	0%	0%	0%	4%	2%	1%	99%	63.6%	\$12,093
BG 2, CT 9607	109%	6%	0%	0%	0%	6%	3%	3%	97%	44.2%	\$17,039
BG 3, CT 9607	68%	6%	0%	0%	0%	3%	2%	0%	100%	54.0%	\$16,691
BG 1, CT 9608	98%	11%	0%	0%	0%	10%	5%	0%	100%	60.4%	\$13,173
BG 2, CT 9608	138%	13%	1%	0%	0%	20%	5%	0%	100%	51.5%	\$12,700
BG 3, CT 9608	59%	5%	0%	0%	0%	7%	3%	1%	99%	56.3%	\$18,000
BG 1, CT 9526	83%	15%	1%	0%	0%	4%	4%	1%	99%	68.4%	\$10,393
BG 2, CT 9526	81%	10%	1%	0%	0%	10%	5%	1%	99%	69.4%	\$13,986
BG 3, CT 9526	93%	14%	2%	0%	0%	9%	5%	0%	100%	52.4%	\$12,813

Block Group	Race (Percent) <sup>a</sup>							Ethnicity (Percent) <sup>a</sup>		Percent of Residents in Poverty <sup>a</sup>	Median Household Income
	White	Black or African American	American Indian or Alaska Native	Asian	Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	Some Other Race Alone	Multiple Races	Hispanic or Latino	Not Hispanic or Latino		
BG 1, CT 9527	51%	8%	0%	0%	0%	12%	8%	0%	100%	54.8%	\$12,336
BG 2, CT 9527	75%	30%	1%	0%	0%	20%	8%	0%	100%	56.9%	\$9,432
BG 3, CT 9527	68%	26%	1%	1%	0%	17%	5%	0%	100%	84.9%	\$6,398
BG 1, CT 9528	69%	12%	1%	0%	0%	15%	4%	2%	98%	52.4%	\$22,986
BG 2, CT 9528	73%	12%	0%	0%	0%	12%	6%	0%	100%	76.4%	\$10,806
BG 3, CT 9528	60%	16%	0%	0%	0%	9%	6%	0%	100%	75.1%	\$9,118
BG 4, CT 9528	54%	12%	1%	0%	0%	14%	5%	0%	100%	35.2%	\$31,829
BG 5, CT 9528	75%	19%	0%	0%	0%	12%	8%	0%	100%	49.7%	\$18,902
BG 1, CT 9529	62%	18%	0%	0%	0%	13%	2%	0%	100%	48.9%	\$17,288
BG 2, CT 9529	84%	17%	1%	0%	0%	11%	4%	0%	100%	40.6%	\$27,418
BG 3, CT 9529	87%	29%	1%	0%	0%	15%	4%	0%	100%	52.2%	\$14,183
BG 4, CT 9529	89%	24%	0%	0%	0%	11%	5%	0%	100%	83.3%	\$6,523
BG 1, CT 9530	51%	11%	0%	0%	0%	7%	3%	0%	100%	81.2%	\$10,486
BG 2, CT 9530	66%	14%	0%	0%	0%	9%	3%	0%	100%	51.8%	\$14,500
BG 1, CT 9531	89%	16%	0%	0%	0%	10%	9%	0%	100%	63.9%	\$11,080
BG 2, CT 9531	65%	17%	1%	0%	0%	9%	2%	2%	98%	57.8%	\$18,477
BG 1, CT 9532	46%	16%	1%	0%	0%	11%	2%	2%	98%	61.2%	\$15,589
BG 2, CT 9532	63%	15%	1%	0%	0%	14%	3%	1%	99%	51.7%	\$18,939
BG 3, CT 9532	63%	24%	0%	0%	0%	13%	3%	0%	100%	62.3%	\$9,385
BG 0, CT 9928	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
BG 1, CT 8401	84%	7%	0%	0%	0%	7%	5%	0%	100%	51.8%	\$11,857
BG 2, CT 8401	83%	7%	0%	0%	0%	5%	2%	0%	100%	75.7%	\$10,613
BG 3, CT 8401	109%	8%	0%	0%	0%	7%	4%	5%	95%	54.1%	\$13,387
BG 1, CT 8402	82%	4%	0%	0%	0%	10%	2%	0%	100%	61.7%	\$12,355
BG 2, CT 8402	113%	8%	1%	0%	0%	19%	5%	0%	100%	49.0%	\$12,000
BG 3, CT 8402	103%	6%	3%	0%	0%	11%	2%	0%	100%	46.4%	\$15,598
BG 4, CT 8402	103%	7%	0%	0%	0%	9%	2%	0%	100%	40.6%	\$25,580
BG 1, CT 8403	68%	5%	0%	0%	0%	6%	2%	0%	100%	53.7%	\$15,508
BG 2, CT 8403	84%	4%	0%	0%	0%	8%	1%	0%	100%	47.2%	\$19,009

Block Group	Race (Percent) <sup>a</sup>							Ethnicity (Percent) <sup>a</sup>		Percent of Residents in Poverty <sup>a</sup>	Median Household Income
	White	Black or African American	American Indian or Alaska Native	Asian	Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	Some Other Race Alone	Multiple Races	Hispanic or Latino	Not Hispanic or Latino		
BG 3, CT 8403	86%	6%	0%	0%	0%	7%	2%	0%	100%	34.1%	\$18,700
BG 1, CT 8404	81%	4%	0%	0%	0%	4%	2%	0%	100%	29.1%	\$30,203
BG 2, CT 8404	60%	6%	0%	0%	0%	10%	1%	0%	100%	92.5%	ND
BG 3, CT 8404	78%	6%	0%	0%	0%	9%	1%	1%	99%	36.2%	\$17,407
BG 1, CT 8405	80%	4%	0%	0%	0%	7%	1%	1%	99%	55.2%	\$10,436
BG 2, CT 8405	66%	5%	0%	0%	0%	9%	2%	0%	100%	43.7%	\$17,891
BG 3, CT 8405	109%	8%	0%	0%	0%	16%	2%	0%	100%	24.8%	\$31,250
BG 1, CT 8406	92%	5%	0%	0%	0%	11%	1%	1%	99%	35.9%	\$21,917
BG 2, CT 8406	82%	4%	1%	0%	0%	12%	1%	0%	100%	56.8%	\$10,479
BG 3, CT 8406	75%	8%	0%	0%	0%	12%	2%	0%	100%	51.9%	\$15,878
BG 1, CT 8407	73%	6%	0%	0%	0%	3%	1%	0%	100%	51.0%	\$19,405
BG 2, CT 8407	86%	3%	0%	0%	0%	5%	2%	0%	100%	23.7%	\$21,741
BG 3, CT 8407	80%	6%	0%	0%	0%	7%	3%	1%	99%	48.8%	\$13,583
BG 4, CT 8407	67%	3%	0%	0%	0%	4%	1%	0%	100%	42.9%	\$15,375
BG 1, CT 4	183%	43%	0%	1%	0%	22%	3%	0%	100%	63.0%	\$3,375
BG 2, CT 4	96%	10%	0%	0%	0%	11%	5%	5%	95%	47.7%	\$16,375
BG 1, CT 5.06	133%	67%	1%	1%	0%	12%	7%	18%	82%	45.3%	\$15,833
BG 2, CT 5.06	92%	7%	0%	0%	0%	10%	3%	8%	92%	19.6%	\$27,461
BG 1, CT 6	44%	19%	0%	0%	0%	2%	1%	2%	98%	69.0%	\$5,784
BG 2, CT 6	124%	15%	0%	1%	0%	4%	2%	4%	96%	19.0%	\$63,333
BG 3, CT 6	46%	26%	1%	0%	0%	6%	2%	0%	100%	80.5%	\$7,333
BG 1, CT 7	81%	21%	0%	1%	0%	7%	3%	0%	100%	66.7%	\$9,211
BG 2, CT 7	41%	5%	0%	0%	0%	2%	1%	2%	98%	41.8%	\$26,528
BG 1, CT 9	57%	3%	1%	2%	0%	4%	2%	11%	89%	27.3%	\$31,424
BG 2, CT 9	75%	3%	0%	5%	0%	3%	3%	11%	89%	4.8%	\$80,370
BG 3, CT 9	150%	7%	1%	6%	0%	5%	5%	2%	98%	29.8%	\$38,750
BG 4, CT 9	85%	2%	0%	1%	0%	2%	3%	18%	82%	8.2%	\$67,500
BG 1, CT 10	107%	4%	1%	3%	0%	8%	4%	37%	63%	6.2%	\$50,517
BG 2, CT 10	102%	4%	0%	1%	0%	4%	3%	12%	88%	13.3%	\$49,844

Block Group	Race (Percent) <sup>a</sup>							Ethnicity (Percent) <sup>a</sup>		Percent of Residents in Poverty <sup>a</sup>	Median Household Income
	White	Black or African American	American Indian or Alaska Native	Asian	Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	Some Other Race Alone	Multiple Races	Hispanic or Latino	Not Hispanic or Latino		
BG 3, CT 10	111%	6%	0%	1%	0%	3%	2%	0%	100%	2.8%	\$74,191
BG 4, CT 10	70%	14%	1%	0%	0%	9%	2%	4%	96%	21.6%	\$34,286
BG 5, CT 10	72%	1%	0%	0%	0%	3%	1%	3%	97%	20.8%	\$47,333
BG 6, CT 10	179%	7%	1%	1%	0%	5%	2%	7%	93%	16.0%	\$68,073
BG 1, CT 11	95%	12%	0%	1%	0%	5%	2%	15%	85%	9.2%	\$48,512
BG 2, CT 11	90%	8%	1%	2%	0%	4%	3%	8%	92%	24.4%	\$33,839
BG 1, CT 12	94%	9%	0%	0%	0%	3%	3%	8%	92%	17.2%	\$36,184
BG 2, CT 12	70%	3%	0%	0%	0%	5%	1%	7%	93%	11.7%	\$55,417
BG 1, CT 13.01	65%	44%	0%	0%	0%	8%	2%	0%	100%	79.2%	\$4,960
BG 1, CT 13.02	86%	43%	2%	0%	0%	18%	5%	2%	98%	81.7%	\$2,901
BG 2, CT 13.02	33%	17%	1%	0%	0%	10%	2%	2%	98%	96.1%	\$2,534
BG 3, CT 13.02	86%	52%	1%	0%	0%	20%	4%	0%	100%	90.2%	ND
BG 1, CT 14	45%	27%	1%	2%	0%	14%	6%	1%	99%	44.9%	\$15,865
BG 2, CT 14	49%	40%	1%	1%	0%	9%	6%	1%	99%	49.7%	\$13,348
BG 1, CT 15	74%	31%	3%	2%	0%	16%	5%	6%	94%	45.3%	\$19,961
BG 2, CT 15	87%	14%	2%	1%	0%	7%	4%	2%	98%	32.1%	\$21,977
BG 1, CT 16	127%	5%	1%	3%	0%	6%	4%	0%	100%	17.9%	\$39,375
BG 2, CT 16	91%	12%	0%	2%	0%	6%	4%	0%	100%	9.3%	\$43,438
BG 3, CT 16	100%	17%	1%	0%	0%	8%	11%	0%	100%	36.9%	\$22,321
BG 1, CT 18	61%	16%	2%	1%	0%	14%	4%	2%	98%	47.6%	\$27,056
BG 2, CT 18	58%	19%	2%	0%	0%	8%	3%	3%	97%	16.5%	\$30,855
BG 1, CT 19	88%	3%	0%	0%	0%	3%	1%	5%	95%	9.7%	\$65,170
BG 2, CT 19	65%	4%	0%	0%	0%	4%	4%	1%	99%	29.6%	\$32,991
BG 3, CT 19	83%	2%	0%	0%	0%	2%	3%	6%	94%	5.8%	\$47,083
BG 4, CT 19	81%	6%	0%	0%	0%	3%	3%	3%	97%	13.0%	\$44,028
BG 5, CT 19	175%	8%	0%	0%	0%	5%	8%	0%	100%	20.9%	\$29,167
BG 1, CT 20.02	55%	39%	1%	2%	0%	17%	5%	0%	100%	39.6%	\$16,064
BG 2, CT 20.02	71%	10%	0%	1%	0%	8%	7%	0%	100%	37.9%	\$22,557
BG 1, CT 21	62%	28%	1%	2%	0%	10%	4%	7%	93%	50.6%	\$15,688

Block Group	Race (Percent) <sup>a</sup>							Ethnicity (Percent) <sup>a</sup>		Percent of Residents in Poverty <sup>a</sup>	Median Household Income
	White	Black or African American	American Indian or Alaska Native	Asian	Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	Some Other Race Alone	Multiple Races	Hispanic or Latino	Not Hispanic or Latino		
BG 2, CT 21	67%	34%	2%	1%	0%	11%	4%	0%	100%	43.9%	\$24,511
BG 1, CT 22	50%	31%	1%	0%	0%	10%	3%	4%	96%	55.5%	\$17,386
BG 2, CT 22	40%	26%	3%	0%	0%	17%	7%	0%	100%	50.4%	\$14,635
BG 1, CT 23	47%	24%	1%	1%	0%	7%	6%	0%	100%	25.0%	\$23,750
BG 2, CT 23	50%	39%	1%	0%	0%	5%	15%	0%	100%	63.3%	\$15,550
BG 3, CT 23	67%	31%	2%	1%	0%	6%	19%	4%	96%	41.8%	\$17,361
BG 1, CT 24	67%	16%	1%	1%	0%	6%	2%	4%	96%	46.8%	\$16,500
BG 2, CT 24	60%	30%	1%	0%	0%	6%	7%	7%	93%	46.7%	\$16,765
BG 1, CT 25	44%	32%	1%	0%	0%	7%	2%	3%	97%	41.7%	\$19,352
BG 2, CT 25	59%	31%	0%	0%	0%	9%	2%	1%	99%	38.0%	\$19,762
BG 3, CT 25	60%	42%	0%	0%	0%	3%	4%	0%	100%	67.3%	\$6,705
BG 1, CT 26	58%	60%	6%	0%	0%	5%	11%	0%	100%	42.6%	\$18,750
BG 2, CT 26	35%	31%	1%	0%	0%	4%	5%	0%	100%	68.5%	\$12,446
BG 3, CT 26	55%	44%	3%	0%	0%	12%	13%	0%	100%	48.7%	\$16,250
BG 4, CT 26	61%	48%	0%	0%	0%	7%	5%	0%	100%	40.0%	\$14,250
BG 1, CT 28	40%	29%	1%	0%	0%	14%	2%	0%	100%	72.5%	\$13,221
BG 2, CT 28	71%	53%	1%	1%	0%	16%	6%	1%	99%	32.4%	\$14,426
BG 3, CT 28	47%	32%	1%	1%	0%	11%	4%	0%	100%	65.8%	\$13,369
BG 1, CT 29	40%	37%	0%	0%	0%	9%	4%	0%	100%	51.2%	\$11,484
BG 2, CT 29	83%	35%	0%	0%	0%	12%	7%	1%	99%	45.3%	\$17,667
BG 1, CT 30	61%	34%	1%	0%	0%	15%	5%	0%	100%	51.0%	\$17,750
BG 2, CT 30	37%	26%	2%	1%	0%	10%	2%	1%	99%	58.3%	\$16,409
BG 1, CT 31	35%	39%	4%	1%	0%	9%	2%	0%	100%	67.9%	\$16,563
BG 2, CT 31	35%	35%	2%	1%	0%	8%	5%	3%	97%	51.4%	\$19,399
BG 3, CT 31	57%	45%	4%	1%	0%	14%	4%	6%	94%	42.9%	\$15,368
BG 1, CT 32	59%	61%	2%	1%	0%	19%	8%	7%	93%	53.3%	\$14,403
BG 2, CT 32	46%	33%	2%	1%	0%	12%	4%	1%	99%	59.4%	\$12,396
BG 1, CT 33	53%	28%	3%	1%	0%	12%	5%	4%	96%	23.7%	\$19,821
BG 2, CT 33	55%	58%	3%	0%	0%	12%	6%	0%	100%	48.2%	\$12,218

Block Group	Race (Percent) <sup>a</sup>							Ethnicity (Percent) <sup>a</sup>		Percent of Residents in Poverty <sup>a</sup>	Median Household Income
	White	Black or African American	American Indian or Alaska Native	Asian	Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	Some Other Race Alone	Multiple Races	Hispanic or Latino	Not Hispanic or Latino		
BG 1, CT 34	66%	34%	0%	0%	0%	8%	2%	2%	98%	52.2%	\$11,731
BG 2, CT 34	46%	23%	1%	0%	0%	7%	3%	0%	100%	84.5%	\$2,810
BG 1, CT 35.01	80%	33%	2%	1%	0%	10%	9%	0%	100%	73.5%	\$13,393
BG 2, CT 35.01	70%	40%	1%	1%	0%	15%	11%	2%	98%	96.4%	ND
BG 3, CT 35.01	45%	25%	1%	0%	0%	5%	3%	2%	98%	84.7%	\$5,761
BG 4, CT 35.01	114%	57%	0%	0%	0%	10%	2%	0%	100%	42.2%	\$8,839
BG 1, CT 35.02	56%	22%	0%	0%	0%	13%	3%	0%	100%	50.8%	\$15,734
BG 2, CT 35.02	49%	40%	2%	0%	0%	28%	10%	4%	96%	55.3%	\$14,397
BG 1, CT 36	74%	53%	4%	0%	0%	5%	7%	0%	100%	69.2%	\$9,737
BG 2, CT 36	72%	42%	1%	1%	0%	13%	8%	0%	100%	41.3%	\$13,892
BG 1, CT 37	36%	26%	1%	1%	0%	6%	4%	0%	100%	63.8%	\$14,242
BG 2, CT 37	55%	48%	1%	0%	0%	14%	5%	0%	100%	83.5%	\$7,096
BG 3, CT 37	46%	33%	1%	1%	0%	5%	3%	0%	100%	61.3%	\$15,430
BG 4, CT 37	38%	21%	1%	1%	0%	9%	3%	0%	100%	59.3%	\$16,563
BG 5, CT 37	42%	31%	2%	1%	0%	13%	3%	1%	99%	65.6%	\$12,281
BG 1, CT 38	39%	39%	1%	2%	0%	12%	7%	1%	99%	38.6%	\$19,167
BG 2, CT 38	50%	37%	2%	0%	0%	11%	4%	6%	94%	59.9%	\$11,913
BG 1, CT 39.02	78%	25%	1%	1%	0%	9%	6%	1%	99%	52.0%	\$15,484
BG 2, CT 39.02	46%	18%	1%	1%	0%	11%	3%	1%	99%	47.6%	\$21,629
BG 3, CT 39.02	68%	23%	1%	0%	0%	5%	25%	1%	99%	67.7%	\$14,949
BG 1, CT 42	67%	20%	0%	0%	0%	7%	4%	11%	89%	2.8%	\$25,268
BG 2, CT 42	42%	17%	0%	0%	0%	10%	4%	0%	100%	64.1%	\$15,739
BG 3, CT 42	51%	22%	1%	0%	0%	11%	4%	3%	97%	45.3%	\$21,875
BG 1, CT 43.06	129%	63%	1%	1%	0%	14%	4%	0%	100%	95.4%	\$4,596
BG 2, CT 43.06	107%	31%	1%	0%	0%	8%	3%	1%	99%	85.2%	\$5,543
BG 3, CT 43.06	52%	29%	0%	0%	0%	5%	2%	0%	100%	100.0%	ND
BG 1, CT 44	54%	26%	2%	1%	0%	7%	7%	3%	97%	39.0%	\$14,528
BG 2, CT 44	214%	106%	14%	0%	0%	49%	29%	0%	100%	85.7%	ND
BG 3, CT 44	50%	26%	2%	0%	0%	9%	9%	0%	100%	67.0%	\$16,200

Block Group	Race (Percent) <sup>a</sup>							Ethnicity (Percent) <sup>a</sup>		Percent of Residents in Poverty <sup>a</sup>	Median Household Income
	White	Black or African American	American Indian or Alaska Native	Asian	Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	Some Other Race Alone	Multiple Races	Hispanic or Latino	Not Hispanic or Latino		
BG 1, CT 45	45%	21%	1%	0%	0%	2%	11%	1%	99%	66.5%	\$14,479
BG 2, CT 45	48%	18%	2%	1%	0%	6%	8%	0%	100%	59.6%	\$7,875
BG 3, CT 45	50%	19%	0%	0%	0%	5%	10%	0%	100%	41.3%	\$23,173
BG 1, CT 46	73%	26%	1%	0%	0%	11%	7%	1%	99%	53.2%	\$15,956
BG 2, CT 46	69%	16%	1%	1%	0%	14%	3%	0%	100%	65.1%	\$14,293
BG 3, CT 46	61%	15%	1%	1%	0%	12%	3%	1%	99%	26.5%	\$12,115
BG 4, CT 46	59%	14%	1%	1%	0%	12%	2%	2%	98%	29.3%	\$22,417
BG 5, CT 46	76%	20%	1%	1%	0%	11%	3%	0%	100%	54.6%	\$18,015
BG 1, CT 47	77%	44%	1%	0%	0%	15%	4%	0%	100%	66.4%	\$15,847
BG 2, CT 47	73%	18%	1%	0%	0%	12%	6%	3%	97%	29.2%	\$21,417
BG 3, CT 47	121%	50%	2%	0%	0%	29%	10%	0%	100%	33.4%	\$16,786
BG 4, CT 47	51%	23%	0%	0%	0%	9%	4%	2%	98%	54.2%	\$20,208
BG 5, CT 47	75%	24%	0%	1%	0%	9%	3%	2%	98%	64.5%	\$18,730
BG 1, CT 48	53%	22%	0%	0%	0%	23%	1%	1%	99%	91.0%	ND
BG 2, CT 48	50%	37%	0%	0%	0%	8%	2%	0%	100%	90.5%	ND
BG 1, CT 49	58%	21%	0%	0%	0%	8%	2%	3%	97%	61.1%	\$12,279
BG 2, CT 49	62%	26%	1%	0%	0%	9%	6%	1%	99%	75.7%	\$8,939
BG 3, CT 49	70%	27%	1%	1%	0%	14%	9%	0%	100%	96.6%	ND
BG 4, CT 49	47%	21%	0%	0%	0%	25%	3%	0%	100%	82.9%	\$2,895
BG 1, CT 50	56%	26%	6%	0%	0%	11%	4%	0%	100%	77.8%	\$5,000
BG 2, CT 50	35%	14%	1%	0%	0%	5%	2%	1%	99%	28.1%	\$28,581
BG 3, CT 50	87%	35%	3%	0%	0%	8%	8%	3%	97%	37.8%	\$13,529
BG 4, CT 50	64%	31%	2%	0%	0%	16%	5%	4%	96%	90.9%	ND
BG 5, CT 50	43%	17%	0%	1%	0%	7%	2%	1%	99%	32.8%	\$24,565
BG 1, CT 51.01	79%	26%	3%	0%	0%	13%	1%	1%	99%	23.0%	\$26,000
BG 2, CT 51.01	50%	24%	0%	0%	0%	9%	2%	0%	100%	35.7%	\$18,636
BG 3, CT 51.01	67%	27%	4%	0%	0%	12%	2%	0%	100%	51.1%	\$10,156
BG 1, CT 51.02	62%	31%	0%	0%	0%	9%	4%	1%	99%	57.0%	\$14,529
BG 2, CT 51.02	62%	22%	0%	0%	0%	11%	4%	5%	95%	55.7%	\$15,500

Block Group	Race (Percent) <sup>a</sup>							Ethnicity (Percent) <sup>a</sup>		Percent of Residents in Poverty <sup>a</sup>	Median Household Income
	White	Black or African American	American Indian or Alaska Native	Asian	Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	Some Other Race Alone	Multiple Races	Hispanic or Latino	Not Hispanic or Latino		
BG 1, CT 51.03	57%	28%	0%	0%	0%	2%	1%	0%	100%	99.4%	\$3,093
BG 2, CT 51.03	64%	33%	2%	0%	0%	12%	12%	2%	98%	82.0%	\$5,661
BG 3, CT 51.03	66%	41%	2%	1%	0%	5%	6%	8%	92%	47.1%	\$10,000
BG 4, CT 51.03	58%	17%	1%	0%	0%	12%	5%	0%	100%	45.0%	\$18,534
BG 1, CT 52.01	54%	19%	1%	0%	0%	8%	2%	1%	99%	25.7%	\$22,465
BG 2, CT 52.01	93%	27%	0%	0%	0%	6%	4%	3%	97%	27.8%	\$26,554
BG 3, CT 52.01	55%	15%	0%	0%	0%	8%	2%	3%	97%	33.8%	\$25,000
BG 1, CT 52.02	67%	25%	1%	0%	0%	7%	2%	1%	99%	64.3%	\$17,107
BG 2, CT 52.02	75%	26%	2%	0%	0%	8%	1%	2%	98%	22.8%	\$32,188
BG 3, CT 52.02	60%	19%	1%	0%	0%	6%	3%	4%	96%	29.3%	\$24,081
BG 1, CT 52.04	52%	18%	2%	0%	0%	3%	3%	0%	100%	54.3%	\$19,527
BG 2, CT 52.04	80%	15%	0%	0%	0%	7%	2%	0%	100%	7.3%	\$33,466
BG 1, CT 52.14	58%	33%	1%	0%	0%	16%	5%	0%	100%	60.1%	\$8,750
BG 2, CT 52.14	63%	19%	1%	0%	0%	8%	4%	1%	99%	24.9%	\$23,455
BG 3, CT 52.14	70%	29%	1%	0%	0%	14%	4%	3%	97%	43.3%	\$25,375
BG 1, CT 52.15	70%	28%	1%	1%	0%	8%	4%	3%	97%	65.6%	\$15,290
BG 2, CT 52.15	75%	21%	0%	1%	0%	8%	5%	3%	97%	26.5%	\$26,359
BG 1, CT 53	53%	15%	0%	0%	0%	7%	2%	0%	100%	39.2%	\$22,639
BG 2, CT 53	56%	20%	1%	0%	0%	5%	2%	4%	96%	38.7%	\$25,921
BG 3, CT 53	59%	19%	1%	0%	0%	7%	1%	10%	90%	49.6%	\$18,264
BG 1, CT 54.01	87%	17%	0%	0%	0%	8%	3%	2%	98%	26.7%	\$41,339
BG 2, CT 54.01	73%	10%	0%	0%	0%	5%	3%	2%	98%	21.3%	\$36,019
BG 3, CT 54.01	99%	22%	1%	0%	0%	7%	4%	0%	100%	27.0%	\$44,957
BG 4, CT 54.01	71%	20%	0%	0%	0%	5%	3%	1%	99%	41.1%	\$24,679
BG 1, CT 54.02	64%	26%	1%	0%	0%	3%	2%	1%	99%	86.9%	\$2,896
BG 1, CT 54.03	71%	8%	0%	0%	0%	6%	2%	3%	97%	12.1%	\$50,227
BG 2, CT 54.03	76%	10%	1%	0%	0%	8%	5%	1%	99%	36.8%	\$40,250
BG 3, CT 54.03	61%	18%	1%	3%	0%	8%	4%	1%	99%	81.0%	\$4,523
BG 1, CT 55	68%	28%	2%	0%	0%	6%	8%	2%	98%	31.1%	\$16,961

Block Group	Race (Percent) <sup>a</sup>							Ethnicity (Percent) <sup>a</sup>		Percent of Residents in Poverty <sup>a</sup>	Median Household Income
	White	Black or African American	American Indian or Alaska Native	Asian	Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	Some Other Race Alone	Multiple Races	Hispanic or Latino	Not Hispanic or Latino		
BG 2, CT 55	52%	22%	3%	0%	0%	9%	6%	3%	97%	36.4%	\$17,946
BG 3, CT 55	67%	16%	2%	0%	0%	10%	4%	0%	100%	27.7%	\$27,637
BG 4, CT 55	66%	17%	0%	0%	0%	6%	3%	5%	95%	58.5%	\$8,317
BG 1, CT 56.01	59%	16%	1%	1%	0%	10%	4%	2%	98%	29.9%	\$26,522
BG 2, CT 56.01	60%	12%	1%	0%	0%	6%	5%	3%	97%	12.7%	\$31,698
BG 1, CT 56.02	45%	27%	1%	0%	0%	3%	1%	5%	95%	74.7%	\$4,940
BG 2, CT 56.02	69%	7%	1%	1%	0%	6%	3%	0%	100%	28.4%	\$26,350
BG 3, CT 56.02	54%	32%	1%	1%	0%	6%	7%	1%	99%	58.2%	\$13,958
BG 4, CT 56.02	66%	6%	0%	1%	0%	6%	5%	4%	96%	32.6%	\$24,219
BG 1, CT 58	69%	23%	1%	1%	0%	11%	6%	8%	92%	29.0%	\$23,517
BG 2, CT 58	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
BG 3, CT 58	86%	10%	1%	2%	0%	8%	4%	6%	94%	5.5%	\$75,147
BG 1, CT 59	76%	25%	0%	0%	0%	8%	3%	0%	100%	56.8%	\$15,900
BG 2, CT 59	80%	28%	1%	0%	0%	8%	2%	0%	100%	35.2%	\$17,656
BG 1, CT 60	44%	22%	1%	1%	0%	9%	3%	2%	98%	75.2%	\$12,311
BG 2, CT 60	66%	21%	1%	0%	0%	14%	5%	1%	99%	60.0%	\$10,707
BG 1, CT 61.01	69%	22%	1%	1%	0%	16%	5%	2%	98%	68.2%	\$14,328
BG 2, CT 61.01	78%	24%	1%	0%	0%	13%	5%	0%	100%	57.7%	\$15,625
BG 3, CT 61.01	62%	22%	0%	1%	0%	5%	3%	1%	99%	73.8%	\$4,266
BG 1, CT 61.02	64%	14%	0%	0%	0%	6%	1%	0%	100%	27.0%	\$16,696
BG 2, CT 61.02	42%	25%	1%	0%	0%	5%	4%	0%	100%	45.9%	\$16,648
BG 1, CT 62	78%	21%	0%	2%	0%	7%	4%	1%	99%	46.0%	\$15,761
BG 2, CT 62	52%	22%	1%	1%	0%	9%	3%	3%	97%	51.5%	\$20,852
BG 3, CT 62	82%	37%	1%	1%	0%	16%	8%	0%	100%	58.9%	\$7,052
BG 1, CT 63	80%	6%	0%	1%	0%	4%	4%	0%	100%	27.3%	\$45,294
BG 2, CT 63	99%	7%	1%	2%	0%	9%	6%	3%	97%	11.4%	\$42,500
BG 3, CT 63	85%	9%	1%	1%	0%	9%	2%	4%	96%	34.0%	\$33,911
BG 4, CT 63	122%	10%	1%	0%	0%	14%	5%	5%	95%	26.5%	\$33,000
BG 5, CT 63	81%	14%	0%	2%	0%	10%	4%	3%	97%	74.2%	\$9,442

Block Group	Race (Percent) <sup>a</sup>							Ethnicity (Percent) <sup>a</sup>		Percent of Residents in Poverty <sup>a</sup>	Median Household Income
	White	Black or African American	American Indian or Alaska Native	Asian	Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	Some Other Race Alone	Multiple Races	Hispanic or Latino	Not Hispanic or Latino		
BG 6, CT 63	81%	11%	1%	0%	0%	10%	3%	0%	100%	30.8%	\$26,116
BG 1, CT 65	91%	8%	2%	0%	0%	9%	2%	2%	98%	30.1%	\$21,563
BG 2, CT 65	64%	7%	1%	0%	0%	4%	4%	7%	93%	15.0%	\$43,542
BG 3, CT 65	83%	14%	0%	0%	0%	8%	3%	5%	95%	12.5%	\$37,344
BG 1, CT 66	102%	20%	0%	2%	0%	23%	7%	2%	98%	51.7%	\$14,223
BG 2, CT 66	82%	23%	1%	2%	0%	15%	6%	6%	94%	63.2%	\$15,938
BG 1, CT 67	75%	3%	0%	0%	0%	7%	4%	2%	98%	19.6%	\$48,194
BG 2, CT 67	81%	6%	0%	0%	0%	14%	4%	0%	100%	16.4%	\$45,938
BG 3, CT 67	104%	12%	0%	0%	0%	19%	4%	0%	100%	41.3%	\$21,328
BG 4, CT 67	70%	5%	1%	0%	0%	6%	2%	0%	100%	24.9%	\$27,091
BG 1, CT 68	77%	7%	1%	0%	0%	6%	3%	1%	99%	26.0%	\$24,896
BG 1, CT 69	60%	19%	1%	1%	0%	11%	6%	1%	99%	49.3%	\$16,250
BG 2, CT 69	97%	28%	2%	0%	0%	11%	10%	0%	100%	4.8%	\$25,711
BG 3, CT 69	79%	22%	3%	0%	0%	10%	6%	0%	100%	41.7%	\$23,333
BG 4, CT 69	68%	18%	2%	0%	0%	11%	9%	1%	99%	45.9%	\$14,525
BG 1, CT 70.04	71%	16%	1%	1%	0%	3%	3%	0%	100%	18.4%	\$14,697
BG 2, CT 70.04	66%	21%	2%	0%	0%	10%	4%	0%	100%	37.1%	\$17,171
BG 3, CT 70.04	50%	32%	0%	0%	0%	5%	2%	2%	98%	38.5%	\$21,705
BG 1, CT 71	58%	34%	1%	0%	0%	7%	4%	1%	99%	21.0%	\$25,833
BG 2, CT 71	37%	24%	1%	0%	0%	7%	3%	2%	98%	29.8%	\$29,509
BG 1, CT 73	87%	16%	0%	1%	0%	7%	4%	1%	99%	23.4%	\$27,569
BG 2, CT 73	85%	7%	0%	0%	0%	11%	3%	2%	98%	11.4%	\$31,940
BG 3, CT 73	61%	11%	1%	0%	0%	8%	4%	2%	98%	28.8%	\$26,000
BG 4, CT 73	73%	6%	0%	1%	0%	5%	4%	0%	100%	13.4%	\$30,962
BG 1, CT 74	49%	26%	1%	0%	0%	5%	5%	4%	96%	39.2%	\$26,500
BG 2, CT 74	65%	39%	1%	0%	0%	16%	5%	2%	98%	33.4%	\$15,917
BG 3, CT 74	54%	18%	0%	0%	0%	3%	3%	1%	99%	46.7%	\$18,824
BG 1, CT 75	53%	27%	1%	0%	0%	8%	6%	0%	100%	40.9%	\$20,357
BG 2, CT 75	56%	23%	1%	0%	0%	10%	5%	0%	100%	70.1%	\$17,460

Block Group	Race (Percent) <sup>a</sup>							Ethnicity (Percent) <sup>a</sup>		Percent of Residents in Poverty <sup>a</sup>	Median Household Income
	White	Black or African American	American Indian or Alaska Native	Asian	Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	Some Other Race Alone	Multiple Races	Hispanic or Latino	Not Hispanic or Latino		
BG 1, CT 76	68%	22%	1%	1%	0%	11%	2%	3%	97%	48.4%	\$18,796
BG 2, CT 76	49%	28%	2%	0%	0%	15%	5%	0%	100%	47.2%	\$16,993
BG 3, CT 76	61%	29%	1%	1%	0%	13%	4%	0%	100%	46.7%	\$11,250
BG 4, CT 76	62%	28%	1%	0%	0%	11%	3%	0%	100%	58.2%	\$12,917
BG 1, CT 77	67%	43%	1%	0%	0%	6%	7%	0%	100%	51.6%	\$9,956
BG 2, CT 77	45%	31%	2%	1%	0%	10%	5%	0%	100%	53.7%	\$16,313
BG 3, CT 77	57%	23%	1%	0%	0%	7%	2%	0%	100%	66.7%	\$14,302
BG 4, CT 77	64%	23%	2%	0%	0%	10%	5%	0%	100%	21.6%	\$24,844
BG 1, CT 78	70%	24%	1%	0%	0%	8%	5%	0%	100%	31.1%	\$19,402
BG 2, CT 78	58%	19%	1%	0%	0%	4%	6%	0%	100%	38.7%	\$26,176
BG 3, CT 78	56%	17%	0%	0%	0%	5%	3%	0%	100%	38.2%	\$19,167
BG 4, CT 78	121%	30%	2%	1%	0%	16%	4%	2%	98%	46.7%	\$20,203
BG 5, CT 78	65%	17%	0%	1%	0%	9%	2%	0%	100%	93.4%	\$5,000
BG 1, CT 79	69%	9%	0%	0%	0%	10%	2%	5%	95%	16.5%	\$37,938
BG 2, CT 79	76%	9%	0%	1%	0%	7%	4%	3%	97%	20.4%	\$46,705
BG 3, CT 79	89%	6%	1%	1%	0%	6%	2%	4%	96%	20.0%	\$36,360
BG 1, CT 80.01	71%	9%	0%	0%	0%	4%	3%	0%	100%	31.1%	\$31,105
BG 2, CT 80.01	73%	17%	0%	0%	0%	5%	3%	5%	95%	26.8%	\$24,180
BG 3, CT 80.01	53%	14%	0%	0%	0%	4%	5%	2%	98%	83.5%	ND
BG 1, CT 80.02	57%	12%	0%	1%	0%	5%	4%	0%	100%	62.8%	\$14,129
BG 2, CT 80.02	74%	27%	1%	0%	0%	3%	7%	2%	98%	57.6%	\$14,297
BG 3, CT 80.02	66%	22%	0%	0%	0%	5%	5%	1%	99%	60.9%	\$18,361
BG 1, CT 81	62%	11%	1%	0%	0%	3%	3%	11%	89%	21.7%	\$25,859
BG 2, CT 81	85%	13%	0%	0%	0%	8%	6%	0%	100%	11.4%	\$43,633
BG 1, CT 82.01	50%	19%	0%	0%	0%	4%	5%	8%	92%	68.8%	\$4,018
BG 2, CT 82.01	50%	25%	1%	0%	0%	3%	13%	0%	100%	92.3%	\$2,549
BG 3, CT 82.01	72%	34%	2%	0%	0%	6%	7%	0%	100%	87.7%	\$3,621
BG 1, CT 82.02	78%	5%	1%	0%	0%	5%	2%	1%	99%	14.5%	\$48,295
BG 2, CT 82.02	86%	30%	0%	0%	0%	9%	10%	3%	98%	44.3%	\$22,697

Block Group	Race (Percent) <sup>a</sup>							Ethnicity (Percent) <sup>a</sup>		Percent of Residents in Poverty <sup>a</sup>	Median Household Income
	White	Black or African American	American Indian or Alaska Native	Asian	Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	Some Other Race Alone	Multiple Races	Hispanic or Latino	Not Hispanic or Latino		
BG 3, CT 82.02	41%	24%	0%	0%	0%	5%	17%	0%	100%	84.3%	\$2,734
BG 1, CT 83	74%	28%	1%	0%	0%	5%	6%	0%	100%	61.8%	\$17,333
BG 2, CT 83	57%	22%	2%	0%	0%	4%	8%	0%	100%	38.3%	\$25,240
BG 3, CT 83	84%	28%	2%	1%	0%	7%	14%	0%	100%	40.0%	\$29,007
BG 4, CT 83	65%	25%	2%	0%	0%	7%	11%	0%	100%	53.6%	\$17,578
BG 1, CT 84	59%	13%	1%	0%	0%	8%	3%	3%	97%	45.2%	\$22,260
BG 2, CT 84	51%	23%	1%	0%	0%	3%	1%	3%	97%	40.3%	\$19,688
BG 3, CT 84	67%	13%	0%	0%	0%	8%	4%	2%	98%	9.1%	\$33,900
BG 4, CT 84	86%	15%	0%	1%	0%	11%	5%	0%	100%	19.1%	\$22,321
BG 1, CT 85	71%	23%	1%	0%	0%	12%	9%	1%	99%	43.9%	\$14,674
BG 2, CT 85	57%	7%	1%	1%	0%	6%	1%	0%	100%	30.9%	\$19,939
BG 3, CT 85	83%	17%	1%	0%	0%	7%	2%	0%	100%	22.6%	\$18,643
BG 4, CT 85	63%	15%	1%	1%	0%	8%	5%	0%	100%	46.8%	\$16,014
BG 5, CT 85	94%	11%	0%	1%	0%	6%	3%	3%	97%	6.0%	\$48,333
BG 1, CT 86.01	98%	2%	0%	1%	0%	4%	3%	0%	100%	10.4%	\$48,843
BG 2, CT 86.01	93%	6%	0%	0%	0%	8%	4%	6%	94%	10.3%	\$46,985
BG 3, CT 86.01	118%	10%	1%	1%	0%	16%	4%	0%	100%	15.1%	\$33,750
BG 1, CT 86.02	58%	5%	0%	0%	0%	6%	2%	9%	91%	25.9%	\$35,395
BG 2, CT 86.02	73%	7%	0%	0%	0%	8%	3%	2%	98%	14.4%	\$33,477
BG 3, CT 86.02	74%	16%	0%	0%	0%	8%	4%	3%	97%	37.6%	\$26,367
BG 4, CT 86.02	107%	9%	1%	0%	0%	6%	3%	4%	96%	26.2%	\$22,059
BG 5, CT 86.02	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
BG 1, CT 86.03	81%	5%	1%	0%	0%	7%	3%	0%	100%	10.9%	\$38,125
BG 2, CT 86.03	60%	6%	0%	0%	0%	8%	1%	1%	99%	23.4%	\$34,394
BG 1, CT 87	62%	16%	1%	2%	0%	15%	5%	6%	94%	65.1%	\$12,462
BG 2, CT 87	80%	28%	0%	3%	0%	29%	10%	5%	95%	57.4%	\$11,168
BG 3, CT 87	62%	22%	2%	0%	0%	20%	4%	5%	95%	49.6%	\$19,022
BG 1, CT 89	33%	32%	3%	1%	0%	15%	6%	2%	98%	57.0%	\$14,963
BG 2, CT 89	59%	54%	2%	3%	0%	39%	13%	2%	98%	72.4%	\$11,563

Block Group	Race (Percent) <sup>a</sup>							Ethnicity (Percent) <sup>a</sup>		Percent of Residents in Poverty <sup>a</sup>	Median Household Income
	White	Black or African American	American Indian or Alaska Native	Asian	Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	Some Other Race Alone	Multiple Races	Hispanic or Latino	Not Hispanic or Latino		
BG 3, CT 89	67%	46%	1%	2%	0%	22%	9%	2%	98%	52.4%	\$11,866
BG 1, CT 90	43%	25%	1%	0%	0%	8%	4%	1%	99%	53.6%	\$13,448
BG 2, CT 90	48%	29%	2%	0%	0%	11%	5%	0%	100%	71.5%	\$12,467
BG 3, CT 90	37%	25%	2%	1%	0%	22%	6%	0%	100%	58.8%	\$14,883
BG 1, CT 91.11	58%	13%	1%	0%	0%	12%	4%	5%	95%	27.7%	\$27,417
BG 2, CT 91.11	70%	15%	1%	0%	0%	14%	5%	3%	97%	30.4%	\$25,241
BG 1, CT 91.12	59%	23%	1%	0%	0%	18%	3%	0%	100%	57.5%	\$17,411
BG 2, CT 91.12	68%	11%	1%	0%	0%	10%	2%	5%	95%	18.5%	\$37,989
BG 3, CT 91.12	62%	17%	1%	0%	0%	6%	4%	3%	97%	45.3%	\$26,580
BG 4, CT 91.12	102%	11%	1%	0%	0%	7%	3%	8%	92%	32.7%	\$26,154
BG 1, CT 91.21	77%	15%	2%	1%	0%	11%	2%	4%	96%	46.2%	\$17,969
BG 2, CT 91.21	70%	15%	1%	0%	0%	9%	3%	4%	96%	35.5%	\$29,186
BG 3, CT 91.21	72%	6%	0%	0%	0%	8%	3%	4%	96%	16.9%	\$40,331
BG 1, CT 91.22	81%	23%	2%	0%	0%	19%	2%	11%	89%	57.9%	\$18,482
BG 2, CT 91.22	79%	21%	1%	0%	0%	9%	4%	2%	98%	34.4%	\$32,625
BG 3, CT 91.22	77%	10%	0%	0%	0%	7%	2%	3%	97%	30.3%	\$38,608
BG 4, CT 91.22	74%	7%	0%	0%	0%	6%	4%	5%	95%	17.0%	\$37,330
BG 1, CT 91.23	73%	10%	0%	0%	0%	4%	4%	3%	97%	19.6%	\$38,917
BG 2, CT 91.23	109%	9%	1%	1%	0%	5%	6%	0%	100%	16.3%	\$45,156
BG 1, CT 93	40%	23%	1%	0%	0%	8%	13%	1%	99%	46.0%	\$22,803
BG 2, CT 93	71%	25%	1%	0%	0%	11%	13%	2%	98%	42.1%	\$18,362
BG 3, CT 93	45%	18%	2%	0%	0%	18%	6%	4%	96%	58.2%	\$13,045
BG 1, CT 94	64%	25%	1%	0%	0%	15%	10%	0%	100%	44.0%	\$14,965
BG 2, CT 94	69%	14%	1%	0%	0%	9%	5%	1%	99%	27.5%	\$24,583
BG 1, CT 96.01	61%	4%	0%	0%	0%	4%	2%	6%	94%	17.7%	\$47,750
BG 2, CT 96.01	87%	8%	0%	0%	0%	6%	4%	2%	98%	17.5%	\$34,318
BG 1, CT 96.02	62%	11%	0%	0%	0%	13%	3%	1%	99%	33.6%	\$41,591
BG 2, CT 96.02	87%	7%	0%	1%	0%	7%	4%	0%	100%	13.3%	\$40,588
BG 1, CT 96.03	79%	3%	0%	0%	0%	6%	2%	0%	100%	17.6%	\$41,111

Block Group	Race (Percent) <sup>a</sup>							Ethnicity (Percent) <sup>a</sup>		Percent of Residents in Poverty <sup>a</sup>	Median Household Income
	White	Black or African American	American Indian or Alaska Native	Asian	Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	Some Other Race Alone	Multiple Races	Hispanic or Latino	Not Hispanic or Latino		
BG 2, CT 96.03	84%	4%	0%	0%	0%	3%	3%	0%	100%	12.6%	\$73,269
BG 3, CT 96.03	95%	8%	1%	0%	0%	8%	4%	3%	97%	34.1%	\$17,381
BG 1, CT 96.14	102%	3%	0%	1%	0%	2%	2%	3%	97%	13.5%	\$61,705
BG 2, CT 96.14	104%	3%	0%	0%	0%	4%	1%	4%	96%	5.0%	\$58,083
BG 1, CT 96.24	70%	19%	1%	1%	0%	5%	4%	2%	98%	60.3%	\$15,556
BG 2, CT 96.24	74%	11%	0%	1%	0%	5%	2%	2%	98%	24.6%	\$33,393
BG 3, CT 96.24	68%	5%	1%	0%	0%	5%	1%	1%	99%	20.9%	\$37,400
BG 1, CT 98	105%	7%	1%	0%	0%	4%	11%	0%	100%	8.4%	\$44,125
BG 2, CT 98	66%	1%	0%	0%	0%	1%	1%	2%	98%	3.4%	\$97,756
BG 3, CT 98	119%	1%	0%	0%	0%	1%	0%	7%	93%	3.1%	\$210,804
BG 4, CT 98	74%	0%	0%	0%	0%	2%	1%	0%	100%	0.0%	\$122,000
BG 5, CT 98	72%	11%	1%	0%	0%	10%	4%	1%	99%	27.1%	\$31,372
BG 1, CT 99.01	101%	3%	0%	0%	0%	7%	2%	1%	99%	2.8%	\$65,909
BG 2, CT 99.01	79%	9%	1%	0%	0%	4%	2%	3%	97%	40.5%	\$36,607
BG 3, CT 99.01	70%	6%	0%	0%	0%	5%	1%	0%	100%	4.1%	\$63,083
BG 1, CT 99.02	53%	24%	0%	0%	0%	6%	4%	1%	99%	45.6%	\$24,143
BG 2, CT 99.02	74%	24%	1%	1%	0%	20%	3%	0%	100%	44.6%	\$24,792
BG 3, CT 99.02	84%	29%	0%	0%	0%	8%	2%	1%	99%	23.2%	\$35,568
BG 1, CT 99.03	111%	4%	0%	0%	0%	7%	3%	4%	96%	11.2%	\$88,906
BG 2, CT 99.03	64%	19%	1%	0%	0%	6%	11%	4%	96%	7.1%	\$50,469
BG 3, CT 99.03	127%	17%	0%	1%	0%	8%	8%	3%	97%	13.1%	\$56,719
BG 4, CT 99.03	87%	19%	1%	0%	0%	9%	3%	1%	99%	18.6%	\$52,574
BG 1, CT 99.04	78%	5%	0%	0%	0%	7%	3%	0%	100%	14.4%	\$35,344
BG 2, CT 99.04	85%	5%	0%	0%	0%	4%	2%	0%	100%	4.6%	\$55,577
BG 1, CT 100.01	99%	6%	1%	0%	0%	5%	2%	1%	99%	7.7%	\$50,313
BG 2, CT 100.01	69%	6%	0%	0%	0%	4%	3%	0%	100%	13.7%	\$52,350
BG 3, CT 100.01	101%	12%	0%	0%	0%	7%	3%	1%	99%	20.9%	\$57,404
BG 4, CT 100.01	88%	5%	0%	0%	0%	5%	2%	3%	97%	8.6%	\$49,512
BG 1, CT 100.02	87%	1%	0%	0%	0%	3%	1%	1%	99%	4.5%	\$138,203

Block Group	Race (Percent) <sup>a</sup>							Ethnicity (Percent) <sup>a</sup>		Percent of Residents in Poverty <sup>a</sup>	Median Household Income
	White	Black or African American	American Indian or Alaska Native	Asian	Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	Some Other Race Alone	Multiple Races	Hispanic or Latino	Not Hispanic or Latino		
BG 2, CT 100.02	89%	4%	0%	0%	0%	4%	1%	0%	100%	4.7%	\$61,453
BG 1, CT 100.12	77%	5%	0%	0%	0%	9%	2%	0%	100%	14.4%	\$43,560
BG 2, CT 100.12	106%	19%	0%	0%	0%	10%	7%	0%	100%	48.2%	\$40,250
BG 3, CT 100.12	86%	9%	1%	0%	0%	5%	2%	0%	100%	5.1%	\$44,250
BG 4, CT 100.12	80%	14%	1%	2%	0%	12%	4%	0%	100%	37.4%	\$29,925
BG 1, CT 100.22	78%	13%	1%	0%	0%	4%	3%	0%	100%	23.1%	\$36,406
BG 2, CT 100.22	82%	8%	0%	0%	0%	6%	3%	1%	99%	21.0%	\$53,209
BG 1, CT 100.32	59%	22%	0%	0%	0%	7%	5%	0%	100%	59.4%	\$16,875
BG 2, CT 100.32	77%	22%	1%	0%	0%	5%	3%	0%	100%	34.9%	\$35,114
BG 3, CT 100.32	81%	11%	1%	0%	0%	11%	4%	2%	98%	37.5%	\$31,487
BG 4, CT 100.32	76%	23%	2%	0%	0%	5%	2%	0%	100%	10.8%	\$35,329
BG 1, CT 100.42	82%	15%	1%	0%	0%	9%	6%	1%	99%	26.6%	\$42,721
BG 2, CT 100.42	73%	11%	0%	0%	0%	9%	4%	1%	99%	17.0%	\$39,702
BG 3, CT 100.42	79%	15%	0%	0%	0%	4%	4%	0%	100%	27.9%	\$29,229
BG 4, CT 100.42	95%	13%	1%	0%	0%	4%	4%	0%	100%	24.7%	\$23,851
BG 1, CT 101	82%	6%	0%	0%	0%	5%	2%	0%	100%	13.8%	\$95,750
BG 2, CT 101	81%	17%	1%	0%	0%	7%	4%	1%	99%	35.2%	\$25,205
BG 3, CT 101	63%	22%	0%	0%	0%	10%	4%	0%	100%	36.7%	\$23,412
BG 1, CT 102	80%	17%	0%	0%	0%	8%	3%	0%	100%	21.6%	\$47,831
BG 2, CT 102	83%	33%	0%	0%	0%	13%	7%	2%	98%	34.5%	\$19,000
BG 3, CT 102	58%	25%	0%	0%	0%	9%	3%	0%	100%	47.5%	\$19,083
BG 1, CT 105	100%	17%	1%	3%	0%	10%	4%	3%	97%	47.7%	\$12,008
BG 2, CT 105	63%	5%	0%	1%	0%	5%	2%	0%	100%	12.0%	\$49,386
BG 3, CT 105	118%	9%	2%	1%	0%	10%	6%	3%	97%	21.7%	\$44,449
BG 1, CT 9800	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
BG 1, CT 9801.01	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
BG 1, CT 9801.02	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
BG 1, CT 9801.03	105%	39%	0%	0%	0%	29%	4%	0%	100%	93.6%	ND
BG 1, CT 9801.04	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND

Block Group	Race (Percent) <sup>a</sup>							Ethnicity (Percent) <sup>a</sup>		Percent of Residents in Poverty <sup>a</sup>	Median Household Income
	White	Black or African American	American Indian or Alaska Native	Asian	Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	Some Other Race Alone	Multiple Races	Hispanic or Latino	Not Hispanic or Latino		
BG 1, CT 9801.05	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
BG 1, CT 9801.07	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
BG 1, CT 9801.08	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
BG 1, CT 9802	466%	81%	3%	0%	0%	103%	16%	0%	100%	100.0%	ND
BG 1, CT 9803	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
BG 0, CT 9900	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
BG 1, CT 2201	99%	14%	1%	0%	0%	13%	5%	1%	99%	33.7%	\$29,390
BG 2, CT 2201	70%	11%	1%	0%	0%	8%	4%	0%	100%	27.9%	\$25,461
BG 3, CT 2201	57%	14%	0%	0%	0%	8%	3%	0%	100%	38.0%	\$17,931
BG 1, CT 2202	66%	11%	1%	0%	0%	5%	5%	1%	99%	80.0%	\$8,420
BG 2, CT 2202	62%	8%	1%	0%	0%	4%	3%	0%	100%	63.1%	\$13,918
BG 1, CT 2203	90%	11%	1%	0%	0%	7%	4%	9%	91%	53.7%	\$16,773
BG 2, CT 2203	111%	6%	0%	1%	0%	9%	8%	0%	100%	62.0%	\$12,019
BG 1, CT 2204.01	81%	9%	1%	0%	0%	9%	4%	0%	100%	23.7%	\$27,456
BG 2, CT 2204.01	92%	10%	0%	0%	0%	10%	4%	0%	100%	36.8%	\$20,029
BG 1, CT 2204.02	68%	11%	0%	0%	0%	7%	5%	0%	100%	47.3%	\$18,135
BG 2, CT 2204.02	74%	9%	1%	0%	0%	6%	2%	1%	99%	52.1%	\$20,337
BG 3, CT 2204.02	87%	10%	1%	0%	0%	8%	5%	1%	99%	24.3%	\$34,779
BG 1, CT 2205.01	76%	9%	0%	0%	0%	6%	5%	3%	97%	53.1%	\$14,185
BG 2, CT 2205.01	67%	9%	1%	0%	0%	9%	3%	0%	100%	48.3%	\$17,813
BG 1, CT 2205.02	66%	11%	1%	0%	0%	8%	6%	0%	100%	38.2%	\$23,587
BG 2, CT 2205.02	85%	13%	1%	1%	0%	12%	5%	0%	100%	47.2%	\$18,300
BG 1, CT 2206	94%	6%	1%	0%	0%	12%	5%	0%	100%	55.5%	\$14,033
BG 2, CT 2206	84%	9%	0%	0%	0%	8%	4%	2%	98%	57.9%	\$15,307
BG 1, CT 2207	86%	11%	2%	0%	0%	11%	11%	0%	100%	60.9%	\$15,192
BG 2, CT 2207	77%	5%	1%	0%	0%	7%	13%	2%	98%	58.1%	\$14,970
BG 3, CT 2207	72%	5%	1%	0%	0%	7%	8%	0%	100%	64.6%	\$13,734
BG 1, CT 92	81%	4%	0%	0%	0%	7%	2%	1%	99%	69.2%	\$7,313
BG 2, CT 92	93%	4%	1%	0%	0%	9%	1%	2%	98%	74.8%	\$7,542

Block Group	Race (Percent) <sup>a</sup>							Ethnicity (Percent) <sup>a</sup>		Percent of Residents in Poverty <sup>a</sup>	Median Household Income
	White	Black or African American	American Indian or Alaska Native	Asian	Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	Some Other Race Alone	Multiple Races	Hispanic or Latino	Not Hispanic or Latino		
BG 1, CT 9585	96%	3%	0%	0%	0%	4%	3%	2%	98%	58.0%	\$13,348
BG 2, CT 9585	117%	4%	0%	0%	0%	4%	1%	0%	100%	68.5%	\$13,160
BG 3, CT 9585	92%	5%	0%	0%	0%	5%	2%	1%	99%	67.7%	\$12,750
BG 1, CT 9587	99%	2%	0%	0%	0%	7%	2%	0%	100%	48.9%	\$19,688
BG 2, CT 9587	73%	2%	0%	0%	0%	5%	3%	1%	99%	53.1%	\$16,632
BG 3, CT 9587	93%	4%	0%	0%	0%	5%	2%	1%	99%	18.3%	\$21,159
BG 1, CT 9588	96%	3%	1%	0%	0%	7%	5%	3%	97%	30.5%	\$21,192
BG 2, CT 9588	83%	3%	1%	0%	0%	4%	3%	0%	100%	44.9%	\$16,353
BG 3, CT 9588	96%	3%	1%	0%	0%	5%	4%	0%	100%	48.9%	\$17,054
BG 1, CT 9589	74%	3%	0%	0%	0%	9%	1%	0%	100%	85.1%	\$4,476
BG 2, CT 9589	92%	4%	0%	0%	0%	6%	1%	0%	100%	30.1%	\$17,392
BG 1, CT 9590	85%	3%	1%	0%	0%	3%	1%	0%	100%	46.9%	\$11,552
BG 2, CT 9590	73%	3%	0%	0%	0%	3%	2%	0%	100%	60.5%	\$15,417
BG 3, CT 9590	77%	3%	0%	0%	0%	4%	1%	1%	99%	28.0%	\$20,966
BG 1, CT 9592	188%	3%	0%	0%	0%	14%	3%	0%	100%	59.6%	\$12,898
BG 2, CT 9592	96%	5%	0%	0%	0%	7%	2%	4%	96%	58.1%	\$10,069
BG 3, CT 9592	82%	3%	1%	0%	0%	5%	3%	0%	100%	67.2%	\$15,663
BG 1, CT 9593	88%	2%	0%	0%	0%	7%	2%	4%	96%	50.4%	\$15,700
BG 2, CT 9593	94%	3%	0%	0%	0%	5%	2%	0%	100%	62.0%	\$15,673
BG 3, CT 9593	88%	2%	0%	0%	0%	8%	2%	0%	100%	76.9%	\$10,302
BG 1, CT 9595	86%	2%	0%	0%	0%	5%	2%	0%	100%	52.8%	\$14,821
BG 2, CT 9595	112%	3%	0%	0%	0%	7%	3%	0%	100%	51.7%	\$17,738
BG 3, CT 9595	103%	5%	0%	0%	0%	7%	2%	2%	98%	69.5%	\$11,181
BG 1, CT 9533	73%	13%	1%	0%	0%	10%	3%	1%	99%	28.1%	\$33,424
BG 2, CT 9533	68%	17%	0%	0%	0%	17%	4%	0%	100%	73.1%	\$11,714
BG 1, CT 9535	88%	11%	0%	0%	0%	11%	3%	0%	100%	48.0%	\$23,000
BG 2, CT 9535	79%	16%	1%	0%	0%	3%	1%	0%	100%	67.9%	\$11,486
BG 3, CT 9535	66%	22%	0%	0%	0%	4%	1%	0%	100%	68.0%	\$11,277
BG 1, CT 9536	66%	9%	0%	0%	0%	7%	2%	0%	100%	31.9%	\$33,500

Block Group	Race (Percent) <sup>a</sup>							Ethnicity (Percent) <sup>a</sup>		Percent of Residents in Poverty <sup>a</sup>	Median Household Income
	White	Black or African American	American Indian or Alaska Native	Asian	Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	Some Other Race Alone	Multiple Races	Hispanic or Latino	Not Hispanic or Latino		
BG 2, CT 9536	72%	20%	0%	0%	0%	11%	5%	0%	100%	38.1%	\$23,800
BG 3, CT 9536	70%	20%	0%	0%	0%	7%	2%	0%	100%	53.3%	\$13,534
BG 1, CT 9537	89%	20%	1%	0%	0%	7%	2%	0%	100%	79.2%	\$13,393
BG 2, CT 9537	88%	21%	1%	1%	0%	6%	3%	0%	100%	39.9%	\$18,750
BG 3, CT 9537	66%	15%	1%	0%	0%	7%	3%	0%	100%	31.8%	\$20,313
BG 1, CT 9538	85%	18%	0%	0%	0%	16%	4%	0%	100%	63.0%	\$6,300
BG 2, CT 9538	62%	14%	0%	0%	0%	6%	2%	0%	100%	58.1%	\$15,025
BG 3, CT 9538	77%	21%	1%	0%	0%	9%	2%	0%	100%	75.3%	\$11,625
BG 4, CT 9538	63%	20%	0%	0%	0%	6%	0%	0%	100%	36.2%	\$20,458
BG 0, CT 9900	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
BG 1, CT 5101.01	74%	8%	1%	0%	0%	7%	2%	0%	100%	8.5%	\$53,378
BG 2, CT 5101.01	112%	9%	0%	0%	0%	15%	4%	1%	99%	12.6%	\$34,788
BG 3, CT 5101.01	68%	8%	0%	0%	0%	7%	3%	0%	100%	23.8%	\$54,375
BG 4, CT 5101.01	96%	10%	0%	0%	0%	8%	5%	0%	100%	18.7%	\$53,622
BG 1, CT 5101.02	85%	14%	0%	1%	0%	13%	10%	1%	99%	62.7%	\$15,614
BG 2, CT 5101.02	84%	14%	1%	0%	0%	14%	5%	1%	99%	42.3%	\$18,875
BG 1, CT 5102	71%	7%	0%	0%	0%	5%	2%	0%	100%	35.7%	\$19,410
BG 2, CT 5102	49%	9%	0%	0%	0%	12%	3%	0%	100%	58.8%	\$14,774
BG 3, CT 5102	69%	12%	0%	0%	0%	11%	5%	2%	98%	58.1%	\$13,278
BG 4, CT 5102	90%	9%	0%	0%	0%	8%	4%	1%	99%	14.1%	\$63,250
BG 1, CT 5103	71%	15%	1%	0%	0%	6%	2%	0%	100%	54.0%	\$17,552
BG 2, CT 5103	79%	14%	0%	0%	0%	7%	4%	0%	100%	39.8%	\$19,281
BG 1, CT 5104	80%	12%	1%	0%	0%	16%	2%	0%	100%	47.5%	\$17,788
BG 2, CT 5104	80%	14%	0%	0%	0%	12%	4%	2%	98%	58.6%	\$12,083
BG 3, CT 5104	79%	20%	0%	0%	0%	23%	4%	0%	100%	78.9%	\$12,455
BG 1, CT 5105.02	53%	9%	1%	0%	0%	6%	3%	0%	100%	38.3%	\$25,303
BG 2, CT 5105.02	65%	11%	0%	0%	0%	12%	1%	0%	100%	51.0%	\$24,219
BG 3, CT 5105.02	67%	9%	0%	0%	0%	7%	2%	0%	100%	26.7%	\$27,121
BG 1, CT 5105.03	68%	9%	0%	0%	0%	11%	3%	0%	100%	34.9%	\$38,281

Block Group	Race (Percent) <sup>a</sup>							Ethnicity (Percent) <sup>a</sup>		Percent of Residents in Poverty <sup>a</sup>	Median Household Income
	White	Black or African American	American Indian or Alaska Native	Asian	Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	Some Other Race Alone	Multiple Races	Hispanic or Latino	Not Hispanic or Latino		
BG 2, CT 5105.03	88%	11%	1%	0%	0%	16%	3%	0%	100%	34.9%	\$35,295
BG 3, CT 5105.03	62%	6%	0%	0%	0%	9%	2%	0%	100%	31.3%	\$53,639
BG 4, CT 5105.03	64%	10%	1%	0%	0%	6%	2%	0%	100%	31.4%	\$30,357
BG 1, CT 5105.04	74%	8%	0%	0%	0%	7%	2%	2%	98%	30.4%	\$35,058
BG 2, CT 5105.04	80%	8%	0%	0%	0%	6%	3%	0%	100%	14.8%	\$39,696
BG 1, CT 5105.05	75%	5%	0%	0%	0%	6%	3%	1%	99%	4.0%	\$56,793
BG 2, CT 5105.05	64%	9%	0%	0%	0%	4%	2%	0%	100%	30.6%	\$34,674
BG 1, CT 5106.01	80%	7%	0%	0%	0%	9%	4%	0%	100%	25.5%	\$37,054
BG 1, CT 5106.02	71%	8%	0%	0%	0%	13%	2%	0%	100%	53.1%	\$24,950
BG 2, CT 5106.02	74%	11%	0%	0%	0%	7%	7%	0%	100%	30.0%	\$28,897
BG 3, CT 5106.02	75%	9%	0%	0%	0%	10%	4%	0%	100%	36.3%	\$26,153
BG 1, CT 5107.01	78%	8%	0%	0%	0%	17%	1%	0%	100%	32.9%	\$33,415
BG 2, CT 5107.01	102%	10%	1%	0%	0%	21%	4%	0%	100%	16.4%	\$27,173
BG 1, CT 5107.02	105%	13%	1%	0%	0%	19%	4%	0%	100%	73.1%	\$11,207
BG 2, CT 5107.02	61%	9%	0%	0%	0%	6%	2%	0%	100%	47.0%	\$21,122
BG 1, CT 1202	89%	11%	0%	1%	0%	10%	4%	0%	100%	28.9%	\$24,074
BG 2, CT 1202	70%	18%	1%	0%	0%	8%	5%	2%	98%	45.8%	\$23,575
BG 3, CT 1202	79%	9%	1%	1%	0%	7%	7%	0%	100%	17.2%	\$33,500
BG 1, CT 1203	81%	14%	1%	1%	0%	11%	5%	0%	100%	29.6%	\$25,982
BG 2, CT 1203	52%	11%	0%	0%	0%	7%	4%	1%	99%	30.3%	\$32,647
BG 1, CT 1204	81%	12%	1%	1%	0%	6%	4%	3%	97%	27.3%	\$33,594
BG 2, CT 1204	84%	18%	1%	2%	0%	8%	2%	2%	98%	39.4%	\$21,103
BG 1, CT 1205	60%	12%	0%	1%	0%	6%	2%	4%	96%	27.4%	\$28,455
BG 1, CT 1206	113%	18%	0%	0%	0%	7%	4%	0%	100%	11.8%	\$24,952
BG 2, CT 1206	79%	13%	0%	0%	0%	5%	4%	0%	100%	23.0%	\$33,676
BG 3, CT 1206	59%	11%	1%	0%	0%	4%	3%	0%	100%	28.1%	\$33,155
BG 1, CT 1207	94%	22%	1%	1%	0%	8%	7%	3%	97%	22.3%	\$27,619
BG 1, CT 1208	59%	12%	1%	0%	0%	5%	3%	1%	99%	27.9%	\$30,993
BG 2, CT 1208	74%	11%	0%	1%	0%	6%	1%	5%	95%	33.9%	\$25,337

Block Group	Race (Percent) <sup>a</sup>							Ethnicity (Percent) <sup>a</sup>		Percent of Residents in Poverty <sup>a</sup>	Median Household Income
	White	Black or African American	American Indian or Alaska Native	Asian	Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	Some Other Race Alone	Multiple Races	Hispanic or Latino	Not Hispanic or Latino		
BG 3, CT 1208	66%	13%	0%	0%	0%	6%	2%	4%	96%	22.3%	\$26,991
BG 1, CT 1209	57%	11%	0%	0%	0%	10%	3%	0%	100%	11.7%	\$44,844
BG 2, CT 1209	64%	13%	1%	0%	0%	6%	3%	3%	97%	23.2%	\$45,185
BG 3, CT 1209	71%	18%	0%	0%	0%	8%	0%	0%	100%	44.2%	\$23,611
BG 1, CT 1210.01	64%	13%	1%	0%	0%	8%	3%	1%	99%	24.9%	\$32,930
BG 2, CT 1210.01	85%	14%	2%	0%	0%	10%	3%	1%	99%	27.0%	\$48,175
BG 1, CT 1210.02	53%	12%	1%	0%	0%	9%	3%	0%	100%	17.7%	\$36,644
BG 2, CT 1210.02	60%	12%	0%	0%	0%	7%	4%	0%	100%	26.1%	\$33,726
BG 3, CT 1210.02	97%	16%	0%	0%	0%	11%	2%	0%	100%	24.3%	\$38,571
BG 1, CT 1211	60%	41%	1%	0%	0%	11%	9%	1%	99%	45.5%	\$18,464
BG 2, CT 1211	58%	29%	1%	0%	0%	7%	3%	1%	99%	39.9%	\$25,549
BG 3, CT 1211	69%	36%	0%	0%	0%	12%	7%	0%	100%	30.6%	\$17,739
BG 1, CT 1212	96%	26%	0%	0%	0%	7%	3%	0%	100%	60.2%	\$16,914
BG 2, CT 1212	63%	23%	0%	0%	0%	7%	3%	5%	95%	53.3%	\$13,403
BG 3, CT 1212	62%	15%	0%	0%	0%	7%	2%	0%	100%	50.1%	\$19,375
BG 1, CT 1213	60%	17%	0%	0%	0%	8%	4%	0%	100%	33.9%	\$23,773
BG 1, CT 1214	69%	11%	0%	0%	0%	6%	2%	1%	99%	12.9%	\$54,421
BG 2, CT 1214	86%	16%	0%	0%	0%	7%	3%	2%	98%	15.1%	\$33,750
BG 3, CT 1214	115%	18%	2%	0%	0%	15%	0%	3%	97%	7.5%	\$75,972
BG 1, CT 1217.01	65%	18%	1%	0%	0%	14%	2%	0%	100%	36.9%	\$33,274
BG 1, CT 1217.02	62%	25%	1%	0%	0%	12%	3%	0%	100%	58.5%	\$16,250
BG 2, CT 1217.02	80%	16%	1%	0%	0%	18%	4%	0%	100%	73.5%	\$10,903
BG 3, CT 1217.02	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
BG 4, CT 1217.02	66%	15%	0%	0%	0%	11%	2%	1%	99%	46.3%	\$20,156
BG 1, CT 1218.01	64%	18%	0%	0%	0%	13%	3%	0%	100%	69.6%	\$13,611
BG 2, CT 1218.01	36%	20%	1%	0%	0%	7%	1%	0%	100%	32.9%	\$19,857
BG 3, CT 1218.01	67%	11%	1%	0%	0%	19%	2%	0%	100%	52.2%	\$18,514
BG 4, CT 1218.01	75%	19%	1%	1%	0%	21%	3%	1%	99%	70.0%	\$15,310
BG 1, CT 1218.02	63%	20%	0%	0%	0%	4%	4%	1%	99%	51.0%	\$19,196

Block Group	Race (Percent) <sup>a</sup>							Ethnicity (Percent) <sup>a</sup>		Percent of Residents in Poverty <sup>a</sup>	Median Household Income
	White	Black or African American	American Indian or Alaska Native	Asian	Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	Some Other Race Alone	Multiple Races	Hispanic or Latino	Not Hispanic or Latino		
BG 2, CT 1218.02	82%	34%	0%	0%	0%	9%	5%	0%	100%	47.0%	\$17,905
BG 3, CT 1218.02	126%	16%	2%	0%	0%	6%	5%	1%	99%	15.6%	\$35,500
BG 1, CT 1219	86%	14%	0%	0%	0%	3%	4%	3%	97%	30.6%	\$25,057
BG 2, CT 1219	70%	14%	0%	0%	0%	4%	5%	0%	100%	28.0%	\$32,788
BG 1, CT 1220.01	59%	23%	0%	0%	0%	4%	2%	2%	98%	43.3%	\$16,360
BG 2, CT 1220.01	96%	22%	0%	0%	0%	11%	6%	0%	100%	50.8%	\$18,500
BG 3, CT 1220.01	82%	13%	0%	0%	0%	7%	4%	0%	100%	32.3%	\$31,346
BG 1, CT 1220.02	89%	13%	1%	0%	0%	6%	3%	0%	100%	20.6%	\$33,229
BG 2, CT 1220.02	70%	12%	0%	0%	0%	4%	4%	0%	100%	23.5%	\$45,132
BG 1, CT 1221	74%	22%	1%	0%	0%	7%	4%	0%	100%	64.0%	\$13,273
BG 2, CT 1221	73%	29%	1%	0%	0%	6%	10%	0%	100%	69.8%	\$17,426
BG 1, CT 1222.01	80%	17%	0%	0%	0%	13%	2%	0%	100%	51.2%	\$18,500
BG 2, CT 1222.01	80%	15%	0%	0%	0%	16%	4%	0%	100%	67.7%	\$13,828
BG 3, CT 1222.01	84%	19%	0%	0%	0%	18%	5%	0%	100%	67.1%	\$14,434
BG 1, CT 1222.02	66%	19%	1%	1%	0%	14%	3%	0%	100%	51.3%	\$18,218
BG 1, CT 1224	62%	21%	0%	0%	0%	10%	2%	1%	99%	59.5%	\$16,368
BG 0, CT 9900	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
BG 1, CT 601.02	81%	5%	0%	0%	0%	9%	3%	0%	100%	5.3%	\$61,094
BG 2, CT 601.02	84%	13%	1%	1%	0%	12%	7%	0%	100%	16.4%	\$29,643
BG 3, CT 601.02	93%	8%	0%	0%	0%	8%	0%	0%	100%	18.1%	\$33,750
BG 4, CT 601.02	62%	42%	1%	1%	0%	6%	2%	4%	96%	84.2%	\$3,143
BG 1, CT 601.03	60%	20%	3%	1%	0%	11%	5%	0%	100%	51.6%	\$16,236
BG 2, CT 601.03	92%	33%	1%	0%	0%	15%	5%	0%	100%	14.1%	\$31,020
BG 1, CT 601.04	72%	20%	1%	0%	0%	11%	3%	0%	100%	21.7%	\$35,543
BG 2, CT 601.04	93%	16%	1%	0%	0%	12%	4%	0%	100%	25.8%	\$27,220
BG 1, CT 602.11	90%	23%	1%	0%	0%	8%	2%	0%	100%	27.1%	\$29,219
BG 2, CT 602.11	90%	24%	5%	0%	0%	9%	5%	0%	100%	54.2%	\$14,702
BG 3, CT 602.11	59%	11%	1%	0%	0%	8%	1%	0%	100%	18.7%	\$24,716
BG 4, CT 602.11	67%	19%	1%	0%	0%	17%	4%	1%	99%	47.9%	\$24,881

Block Group	Race (Percent) <sup>a</sup>							Ethnicity (Percent) <sup>a</sup>		Percent of Residents in Poverty <sup>a</sup>	Median Household Income
	White	Black or African American	American Indian or Alaska Native	Asian	Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	Some Other Race Alone	Multiple Races	Hispanic or Latino	Not Hispanic or Latino		
BG 1, CT 602.12	46%	16%	1%	0%	0%	13%	2%	0%	100%	88.4%	\$5,440
BG 2, CT 602.12	55%	10%	0%	0%	0%	7%	3%	0%	100%	15.7%	\$38,229
BG 3, CT 602.12	76%	13%	0%	0%	0%	9%	3%	7%	93%	8.0%	\$45,694
BG 4, CT 602.12	96%	10%	1%	0%	0%	9%	3%	1%	99%	7.1%	\$45,769
BG 1, CT 602.13	98%	9%	0%	0%	0%	8%	3%	0%	100%	11.1%	\$43,176
BG 2, CT 602.13	73%	7%	1%	0%	0%	10%	1%	2%	98%	9.7%	\$53,697
BG 3, CT 602.13	66%	8%	0%	0%	0%	7%	2%	1%	99%	10.9%	\$67,578
BG 1, CT 602.22	64%	9%	0%	0%	0%	7%	1%	1%	99%	33.4%	\$36,632
BG 2, CT 602.22	59%	17%	1%	0%	0%	9%	2%	0%	100%	22.7%	\$26,984
BG 3, CT 602.22	65%	12%	0%	0%	0%	8%	2%	3%	97%	22.5%	\$31,964
BG 1, CT 602.23	55%	14%	1%	0%	0%	12%	4%	0%	100%	50.4%	\$13,789
BG 2, CT 602.23	90%	18%	0%	0%	0%	13%	3%	0%	100%	31.8%	\$26,536
BG 3, CT 602.23	59%	17%	1%	0%	0%	8%	3%	1%	99%	23.1%	\$27,361
BG 1, CT 603.01	69%	11%	0%	1%	0%	7%	3%	0%	100%	15.9%	\$45,841
BG 2, CT 603.01	76%	11%	0%	0%	0%	9%	3%	0%	100%	26.6%	\$32,214
BG 1, CT 603.02	64%	10%	1%	0%	0%	7%	5%	0%	100%	15.7%	\$46,394
BG 2, CT 603.02	86%	13%	1%	0%	0%	10%	4%	1%	99%	19.7%	\$35,227
BG 3, CT 603.02	72%	20%	0%	0%	0%	5%	3%	0%	100%	34.8%	\$21,339
BG 4, CT 603.02	60%	10%	1%	0%	0%	6%	2%	0%	100%	26.7%	\$32,593
BG 1, CT 604.01	75%	21%	1%	0%	0%	6%	2%	0%	100%	24.8%	\$23,750
BG 2, CT 604.01	109%	23%	2%	0%	0%	5%	8%	0%	100%	50.3%	\$15,580
BG 1, CT 604.03	72%	5%	0%	0%	0%	6%	2%	2%	98%	7.8%	\$67,097
BG 2, CT 604.03	73%	23%	0%	0%	0%	9%	4%	0%	100%	32.8%	\$33,710
BG 1, CT 604.04	76%	3%	0%	0%	0%	5%	1%	0%	100%	2.6%	\$95,481
BG 2, CT 604.04	101%	10%	0%	0%	0%	10%	3%	1%	99%	31.2%	\$30,417
BG 3, CT 604.04	77%	5%	1%	0%	0%	7%	2%	3%	97%	12.1%	\$65,641
BG 1, CT 605.01	70%	16%	1%	0%	0%	8%	5%	0%	100%	41.8%	\$24,402
BG 2, CT 605.01	76%	24%	1%	0%	0%	17%	6%	0%	100%	58.3%	\$16,439
BG 1, CT 605.02	77%	25%	1%	0%	0%	10%	3%	0%	100%	45.8%	\$21,705

Block Group	Race (Percent) <sup>a</sup>							Ethnicity (Percent) <sup>a</sup>		Percent of Residents in Poverty <sup>a</sup>	Median Household Income
	White	Black or African American	American Indian or Alaska Native	Asian	Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	Some Other Race Alone	Multiple Races	Hispanic or Latino	Not Hispanic or Latino		
BG 2, CT 605.02	72%	11%	0%	0%	0%	9%	3%	0%	100%	49.7%	\$17,059
BG 1, CT 9569	81%	4%	0%	0%	0%	2%	1%	1%	99%	57.3%	\$14,458
BG 2, CT 9569	85%	2%	0%	0%	0%	4%	1%	1%	99%	63.7%	\$15,227
BG 3, CT 9569	71%	4%	0%	0%	0%	2%	1%	2%	98%	66.3%	\$11,578
BG 1, CT 9570	118%	3%	0%	0%	0%	3%	1%	0%	100%	47.2%	\$21,915
BG 2, CT 9570	100%	2%	0%	0%	0%	3%	2%	0%	100%	60.7%	\$15,450
BG 3, CT 9570	87%	3%	0%	0%	0%	2%	1%	0%	100%	63.0%	\$16,731
BG 1, CT 9571	114%	5%	1%	0%	0%	3%	2%	0%	100%	39.3%	\$14,125
BG 2, CT 9571	94%	3%	0%	0%	0%	2%	2%	0%	100%	58.5%	\$14,539
BG 3, CT 9571	103%	3%	1%	0%	0%	8%	2%	1%	99%	56.0%	\$13,594
BG 1, CT 9572	117%	2%	0%	0%	0%	4%	2%	3%	97%	44.6%	\$16,604
BG 2, CT 9572	103%	2%	1%	0%	0%	3%	0%	1%	99%	61.8%	\$17,702
BG 3, CT 9572	88%	1%	0%	0%	0%	2%	2%	1%	99%	54.5%	\$15,444
BG 1, CT 9573	87%	2%	0%	0%	0%	4%	1%	1%	99%	43.7%	\$17,500
BG 2, CT 9573	76%	2%	0%	0%	0%	2%	2%	0%	100%	42.4%	\$17,450
BG 3, CT 9573	86%	3%	0%	0%	0%	2%	3%	0%	100%	44.1%	\$19,758
BG 1, CT 9574	99%	2%	0%	0%	1%	2%	2%	0%	100%	81.9%	\$6,851
BG 2, CT 9574	106%	2%	0%	0%	0%	4%	2%	1%	99%	39.9%	\$16,339
BG 1, CT 9575	87%	1%	0%	0%	0%	2%	1%	0%	100%	53.5%	\$11,378
BG 2, CT 9575	112%	7%	0%	0%	0%	2%	1%	2%	98%	78.5%	\$6,687
BG 3, CT 9575	85%	4%	0%	0%	0%	2%	2%	0%	100%	72.8%	\$8,375
BG 1, CT 9576	87%	3%	1%	0%	0%	3%	1%	1%	99%	64.5%	\$14,865
BG 2, CT 9576	93%	1%	0%	0%	0%	1%	1%	0%	100%	24.6%	\$26,200
BG 3, CT 9576	103%	2%	0%	0%	0%	5%	1%	0%	100%	51.1%	\$14,828
BG 1, CT 5501	84%	20%	1%	0%	0%	12%	6%	1%	99%	43.0%	\$22,386
BG 2, CT 5501	67%	25%	1%	0%	0%	11%	8%	0%	100%	43.7%	\$18,300
BG 3, CT 5501	51%	11%	0%	0%	0%	8%	3%	2%	98%	36.4%	\$34,116
BG 4, CT 5501	64%	28%	1%	0%	0%	10%	6%	5%	95%	66.0%	\$10,242
BG 1, CT 5502	75%	25%	1%	0%	0%	13%	2%	1%	99%	58.5%	\$14,842

Block Group	Race (Percent) <sup>a</sup>							Ethnicity (Percent) <sup>a</sup>		Percent of Residents in Poverty <sup>a</sup>	Median Household Income
	White	Black or African American	American Indian or Alaska Native	Asian	Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	Some Other Race Alone	Multiple Races	Hispanic or Latino	Not Hispanic or Latino		
BG 2, CT 5502	83%	16%	1%	0%	0%	9%	4%	4%	96%	59.6%	\$11,985
BG 3, CT 5502	80%	19%	1%	0%	0%	15%	3%	1%	99%	70.1%	\$12,432
BG 1, CT 5503	82%	12%	0%	0%	0%	16%	2%	4%	96%	44.7%	\$13,664
BG 2, CT 5503	100%	11%	3%	0%	0%	15%	4%	2%	98%	59.8%	\$15,924
BG 3, CT 5503	79%	10%	0%	0%	0%	17%	4%	1%	99%	40.5%	\$21,219
BG 1, CT 5504	52%	11%	1%	0%	0%	5%	3%	4%	96%	77.8%	\$11,500
BG 2, CT 5504	96%	14%	1%	0%	0%	10%	4%	1%	99%	50.8%	\$10,667
BG 3, CT 5504	61%	10%	0%	0%	0%	8%	2%	7%	93%	54.9%	\$17,574
BG 1, CT 5505	44%	21%	1%	1%	0%	8%	2%	0%	100%	46.6%	\$22,639
BG 2, CT 5505	86%	15%	1%	0%	0%	9%	3%	0%	100%	33.5%	\$25,164
BG 3, CT 5505	64%	15%	0%	0%	0%	4%	1%	0%	100%	41.3%	\$17,944
BG 4, CT 5505	73%	17%	1%	0%	0%	9%	3%	0%	100%	41.8%	\$22,011
BG 1, CT 5506.01	83%	11%	0%	0%	0%	21%	1%	0%	100%	48.9%	\$16,563
BG 2, CT 5506.01	78%	9%	0%	0%	0%	10%	2%	1%	99%	53.4%	\$15,731
BG 3, CT 5506.01	73%	7%	1%	0%	0%	5%	1%	1%	99%	54.1%	\$17,327
BG 1, CT 5506.02	62%	14%	0%	0%	0%	7%	3%	1%	99%	44.2%	\$23,953
BG 2, CT 5506.02	85%	10%	0%	0%	0%	16%	3%	0%	100%	55.0%	\$16,760
BG 0, CT 9900	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
BG 1, CT 5601	86%	39%	0%	0%	0%	17%	3%	2%	98%	50.1%	\$12,946
BG 2, CT 5601	70%	14%	1%	0%	0%	10%	2%	2%	98%	53.6%	\$13,397
BG 3, CT 5601	73%	14%	1%	0%	0%	14%	3%	0%	100%	64.1%	\$13,949
BG 1, CT 5602.01	90%	19%	1%	0%	0%	8%	3%	3%	97%	47.2%	\$20,795
BG 2, CT 5602.01	95%	18%	0%	0%	0%	7%	3%	1%	99%	26.6%	\$30,771
BG 1, CT 5602.04	107%	30%	1%	0%	0%	13%	7%	3%	97%	49.5%	\$12,857
BG 2, CT 5602.04	108%	50%	1%	0%	0%	3%	2%	0%	100%	61.8%	\$12,000
BG 3, CT 5602.04	51%	8%	0%	0%	0%	5%	3%	3%	97%	53.7%	\$16,192
BG 1, CT 5603	79%	17%	1%	0%	0%	9%	2%	6%	94%	53.5%	\$17,375
BG 2, CT 5603	66%	10%	0%	0%	0%	9%	2%	3%	97%	62.9%	\$13,938
BG 3, CT 5603	55%	7%	0%	0%	0%	7%	2%	2%	98%	56.7%	\$19,620

Block Group	Race (Percent) <sup>a</sup>							Ethnicity (Percent) <sup>a</sup>		Percent of Residents in Poverty <sup>a</sup>	Median Household Income	
	White	Black or African American	American Indian or Alaska Native	Asian	Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	Some Other Race Alone	Multiple Races	Hispanic or Latino	Not Hispanic or Latino			
BG 1, CT 5604.01	89%	11%	1%	0%	0%	10%	3%	3%	97%	30.9%	\$39,063	
BG 2, CT 5604.01	123%	17%	2%	0%	0%	14%	4%	0%	100%	74.5%	\$10,964	
BG 1, CT 5604.02	87%	9%	0%	0%	0%	10%	3%	2%	98%	34.6%	\$17,857	
BG 2, CT 5604.02	69%	9%	0%	0%	0%	4%	2%	0%	100%	60.0%	\$17,827	
BG 3, CT 5604.02	75%	11%	1%	0%	0%	4%	2%	2%	98%	7.7%	\$48,839	
BG 1, CT 5605	93%	7%	1%	1%	0%	8%	2%	6%	94%	31.6%	\$21,477	
BG 2, CT 5605	75%	7%	0%	0%	0%	10%	2%	3%	97%	41.3%	\$15,750	
BG 3, CT 5605	88%	23%	2%	0%	0%	19%	4%	0%	100%	47.9%	\$10,673	
BG 1, CT 5606	74%	10%	1%	0%	0%	7%	2%	5%	95%	42.1%	\$17,813	
BG 2, CT 5606	101%	12%	1%	0%	0%	8%	1%	0%	100%	62.8%	\$9,857	
BG 3, CT 5606	63%	7%	0%	0%	0%	7%	2%	6%	94%	63.6%	\$14,298	
BG 1, CT 5607.01	76%	10%	0%	0%	0%	10%	1%	6%	94%	59.2%	\$15,720	
BG 2, CT 5607.01	74%	7%	0%	0%	0%	7%	2%	4%	96%	56.7%	\$17,321	
BG 3, CT 5607.01	57%	9%	0%	0%	0%	11%	1%	1%	99%	36.6%	\$25,313	
BG 4, CT 5607.01	82%	10%	0%	1%	0%	8%	1%	0%	100%	27.6%	\$18,250	
BG 1, CT 5607.02	57%	8%	1%	0%	0%	8%	1%	1%	99%	48.3%	\$17,651	
BG 2, CT 5607.02	78%	12%	0%	0%	0%	7%	5%	0%	100%	45.7%	\$20,877	
BG 3, CT 5607.02	80%	8%	0%	0%	0%	6%	5%	3%	97%	47.2%	\$16,193	
BG 1, CT 5608.01	84%	10%	0%	1%	0%	9%	2%	4%	96%	62.3%	\$13,542	
BG 2, CT 5608.01	99%	12%	0%	0%	0%	5%	2%	0%	100%	52.3%	\$11,083	
BG 1, CT 5608.02	65%	5%	1%	0%	0%	10%	2%	1%	99%	72.3%	\$12,232	
BG 2, CT 5608.02	82%	9%	1%	0%	0%	8%	5%	2%	98%	58.4%	\$13,192	
BG 3, CT 5608.02	59%	5%	0%	0%	0%	4%	2%	2%	98%	65.1%	\$13,667	
BG 1, CT 5609	102%	11%	1%	0%	0%	9%	2%	6%	94%	62.4%	\$9,506	
BG 2, CT 5609	117%	12%	0%	0%	0%	11%	2%	3%	97%	65.9%	\$11,838	
BG 3, CT 5609	128%	11%	1%	0%	0%	16%	3%	0%	100%	46.3%	\$15,776	
BG 0, CT 9900	No population											
BG 1, CT 9505	73%	31%	2%	0%	0%	6%	3%	2%	98%	47.1%	\$14,901	
BG 2, CT 9505	53%	29%	1%	0%	0%	4%	3%	4%	96%	48.2%	\$16,657	

Block Group	Race (Percent) <sup>a</sup>							Ethnicity (Percent) <sup>a</sup>		Percent of Residents in Poverty <sup>a</sup>	Median Household Income
	White	Black or African American	American Indian or Alaska Native	Asian	Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	Some Other Race Alone	Multiple Races	Hispanic or Latino	Not Hispanic or Latino		
BG 3, CT 9505	52%	23%	0%	0%	0%	7%	6%	1%	99%	28.5%	\$19,350
BG 1, CT 9506	54%	26%	0%	0%	0%	9%	2%	0%	100%	51.3%	\$15,300
BG 2, CT 9506	61%	32%	0%	0%	0%	12%	6%	9%	91%	56.1%	\$19,018
BG 3, CT 9506	66%	33%	1%	0%	0%	9%	8%	6%	94%	44.6%	\$20,361
BG 0, CT 9900	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
BG 1, CT 7201	90%	6%	0%	0%	0%	5%	3%	0%	100%	59.3%	\$17,614
BG 2, CT 7201	96%	9%	0%	0%	0%	6%	4%	0%	100%	47.2%	\$19,023
BG 3, CT 7201	94%	13%	0%	0%	0%	9%	1%	0%	100%	74.4%	\$9,404
BG 4, CT 7201	93%	10%	0%	0%	0%	4%	2%	0%	100%	61.2%	\$12,368
BG 1, CT 7203	89%	18%	0%	0%	0%	12%	2%	0%	100%	69.5%	\$14,418
BG 2, CT 7203	75%	12%	0%	0%	0%	14%	2%	2%	98%	69.6%	\$11,181
BG 3, CT 7203	62%	5%	0%	0%	0%	5%	4%	0%	100%	29.9%	\$15,825
BG 4, CT 7203	63%	6%	0%	0%	0%	5%	3%	0%	100%	35.4%	\$22,472
BG 1, CT 7204	80%	7%	1%	1%	0%	3%	1%	0%	100%	54.2%	\$17,095
BG 2, CT 7204	72%	10%	0%	0%	0%	4%	4%	0%	100%	70.9%	\$14,526
BG 1, CT 7205.02	109%	14%	0%	0%	0%	8%	5%	0%	100%	59.0%	\$11,743
BG 2, CT 7205.02	119%	10%	0%	0%	0%	8%	3%	0%	100%	46.5%	\$22,045
BG 3, CT 7205.02	93%	5%	0%	0%	0%	12%	2%	0%	100%	49.9%	\$21,611
BG 1, CT 7205.03	67%	6%	0%	0%	0%	4%	5%	1%	99%	64.9%	\$13,514
BG 2, CT 7205.03	86%	8%	0%	0%	0%	4%	3%	1%	99%	49.6%	\$29,811
BG 1, CT 7205.04	118%	10%	0%	0%	0%	5%	2%	4%	96%	37.3%	\$17,399
BG 2, CT 7205.04	67%	5%	0%	0%	0%	4%	2%	0%	100%	45.6%	\$24,453
BG 1, CT 9506	39%	18%	1%	0%	0%	9%	18%	0%	100%	84.0%	\$11,131
BG 2, CT 9506	72%	18%	0%	1%	0%	11%	8%	0%	100%	46.6%	\$23,088
BG 3, CT 9506	59%	16%	0%	0%	0%	9%	11%	1%	99%	35.9%	\$24,750
BG 4, CT 9506	78%	31%	0%	0%	0%	15%	9%	0%	100%	58.6%	\$13,162
BG 1, CT 9507	84%	10%	0%	0%	0%	10%	3%	0%	100%	35.0%	\$17,214
BG 2, CT 9507	83%	14%	0%	0%	0%	15%	10%	0%	100%	54.4%	\$20,750
BG 3, CT 9507	77%	21%	0%	0%	0%	13%	9%	0%	100%	52.8%	\$14,864

Block Group	Race (Percent) <sup>a</sup>							Ethnicity (Percent) <sup>a</sup>		Percent of Residents in Poverty <sup>a</sup>	Median Household Income
	White	Black or African American	American Indian or Alaska Native	Asian	Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	Some Other Race Alone	Multiple Races	Hispanic or Latino	Not Hispanic or Latino		
BG 1, CT 9508	48%	10%	1%	0%	0%	18%	2%	0%	100%	61.8%	\$13,900
BG 2, CT 9508	58%	12%	0%	0%	0%	9%	6%	0%	100%	44.9%	\$18,512
BG 3, CT 9508	88%	12%	2%	0%	0%	26%	4%	0%	100%	46.3%	\$15,357
BG 1, CT 9509	63%	11%	1%	0%	0%	11%	7%	3%	97%	47.3%	\$16,150
BG 2, CT 9509	69%	10%	0%	0%	0%	11%	5%	0%	100%	51.2%	\$19,125
BG 3, CT 9509	114%	16%	0%	0%	0%	12%	6%	0%	100%	58.8%	\$15,606
BG 1, CT 9510	70%	12%	0%	0%	0%	11%	5%	1%	99%	41.0%	\$16,974
BG 2, CT 9510	79%	16%	0%	0%	0%	10%	6%	0%	100%	43.2%	\$19,402
BG 1, CT 9511	73%	18%	0%	0%	0%	10%	4%	0%	100%	56.3%	\$20,036
BG 2, CT 9511	55%	13%	1%	0%	0%	8%	5%	1%	99%	70.9%	\$8,639
BG 1, CT 9512	50%	10%	0%	0%	0%	16%	11%	0%	100%	57.3%	\$18,036
BG 2, CT 9512	70%	21%	0%	0%	0%	14%	13%	1%	99%	67.9%	\$13,527
BG 3, CT 9512	42%	13%	1%	0%	0%	9%	11%	0%	100%	46.7%	\$15,064
BG 1, CT 9513	55%	12%	0%	0%	0%	15%	6%	0%	100%	38.6%	\$26,548
BG 2, CT 9513	45%	10%	0%	0%	0%	2%	15%	0%	100%	63.2%	\$14,868
BG 3, CT 9513	65%	10%	0%	0%	0%	6%	15%	1%	99%	49.6%	\$19,779
BG 0, CT 9900	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
BG 1, CT 7501.01	127%	6%	0%	0%	0%	9%	2%	0%	100%	60.6%	\$10,461
BG 2, CT 7501.01	109%	6%	1%	0%	0%	5%	2%	0%	100%	84.1%	\$8,654
BG 1, CT 7501.02	88%	6%	0%	0%	0%	12%	4%	1%	99%	50.3%	\$14,717
BG 2, CT 7501.02	93%	5%	0%	0%	0%	8%	7%	0%	100%	82.4%	\$7,214
BG 1, CT 7502.01	113%	4%	0%	0%	0%	11%	5%	0%	100%	64.4%	\$11,324
BG 2, CT 7502.01	77%	6%	1%	0%	0%	8%	3%	1%	99%	46.9%	\$23,198
BG 1, CT 7502.02	78%	6%	1%	0%	0%	5%	1%	0%	100%	71.4%	\$11,759
BG 2, CT 7502.02	103%	7%	0%	0%	0%	14%	4%	0%	100%	64.6%	\$11,339
BG 3, CT 7502.02	92%	7%	0%	0%	0%	14%	1%	2%	98%	66.9%	\$9,194
BG 1, CT 7503	90%	8%	0%	0%	0%	7%	2%	3%	97%	47.2%	\$19,743
BG 2, CT 7503	75%	5%	1%	0%	0%	4%	1%	2%	98%	37.6%	\$17,708
BG 1, CT 7504	125%	9%	0%	0%	0%	15%	4%	0%	100%	81.4%	\$7,841

Block Group	Race (Percent) <sup>a</sup>							Ethnicity (Percent) <sup>a</sup>		Percent of Residents in Poverty <sup>a</sup>	Median Household Income
	White	Black or African American	American Indian or Alaska Native	Asian	Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	Some Other Race Alone	Multiple Races	Hispanic or Latino	Not Hispanic or Latino		
BG 2, CT 7504	68%	6%	1%	0%	0%	10%	1%	0%	100%	64.5%	\$10,750
BG 3, CT 7504	86%	5%	0%	0%	0%	10%	2%	0%	100%	70.0%	\$14,038
BG 4, CT 7504	98%	8%	0%	1%	0%	10%	3%	0%	100%	51.5%	\$22,750
BG 5, CT 7504	65%	4%	0%	0%	0%	6%	2%	2%	98%	48.5%	\$23,125
BG 1, CT 7505.01	77%	3%	0%	0%	0%	6%	4%	2%	98%	57.2%	\$18,913
BG 2, CT 7505.01	84%	6%	0%	0%	0%	5%	1%	0%	100%	27.3%	\$22,256
BG 3, CT 7505.01	69%	5%	0%	0%	0%	5%	2%	1%	99%	57.8%	\$16,076
BG 1, CT 7505.02	76%	6%	0%	0%	0%	8%	1%	1%	99%	81.4%	ND
BG 2, CT 7505.02	77%	5%	0%	0%	0%	6%	2%	1%	99%	28.1%	\$22,250
BG 1, CT 7505.03	131%	14%	0%	0%	0%	11%	5%	1%	99%	62.7%	\$13,587
BG 2, CT 7505.03	88%	5%	0%	0%	0%	5%	2%	0%	100%	42.3%	\$22,500
BG 1, CT 7506.01	68%	8%	0%	0%	0%	22%	5%	5%	95%	64.8%	\$15,131
BG 2, CT 7506.01	72%	6%	0%	0%	0%	6%	3%	1%	99%	41.3%	\$27,031
BG 3, CT 7506.01	67%	3%	0%	0%	0%	4%	3%	2%	98%	4.2%	\$44,563
BG 1, CT 7506.02	66%	7%	1%	0%	0%	5%	2%	2%	98%	60.5%	\$9,279
BG 2, CT 7506.02	110%	14%	2%	0%	0%	16%	6%	0%	100%	86.6%	\$9,722

Source: U.S. Census Bureau 2013

BG = Block Group; CT = Census Tract; ND = no data

<sup>a</sup> Totals may not add to 100 percent, due to rounding.

**Table 7: Block Group Demographic Data, U.S. Virgin Islands, 2010**

Block Group	Race (Percent) <sup>a</sup>							Ethnicity (Percent) <sup>a</sup>		Percent of Residents in Poverty <sup>a</sup>	Median Household Income
	White	Black or African American	American Indian or Alaska Native	Asian	Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	Some Other Race Alone	Multiple Races	Hispanic or Latino	Not Hispanic or Latino		
BG 1, CT 9701	39%	50%	0%	1%	0%	8%	1%	21%	79%	12.8%	\$51,250
BG 2, CT 9701	68%	24%	0%	1%	0%	4%	2%	11%	89%	12.0%	\$63,750
BG 1, CT 9702	8%	78%	0%	1%	0%	9%	3%	40%	60%	39.8%	\$21,600
BG 2, CT 9702	20%	71%	1%	1%	0%	6%	1%	26%	74%	34.1%	\$29,554
BG 1, CT 9703	8%	75%	1%	1%	0%	7%	8%	35%	65%	50.7%	\$20,424
BG 2, CT 9703	18%	66%	1%	2%	0%	9%	4%	30%	70%	22.3%	\$33,269
BG 3, CT 9703	19%	70%	0%	3%	0%	7%	2%	23%	77%	17.4%	\$50,069
BG 1, CT 9704	16%	69%	0%	2%	0%	9%	4%	30%	70%	19.8%	\$46,964
BG 2, CT 9704	18%	69%	0%	3%	0%	7%	4%	17%	83%	13.4%	\$50,956
BG 3, CT 9704	10%	76%	0%	1%	0%	10%	3%	26%	74%	13.0%	\$51,776
BG 4, CT 9704	29%	62%	1%	1%	0%	5%	3%	17%	83%	13.5%	\$54,750
BG 1, CT 9705	8%	80%	1%	1%	0%	8%	2%	31%	69%	23.3%	\$41,250
BG 2, CT 9705	21%	64%	0%	6%	0%	5%	3%	24%	76%	14.9%	\$50,156
BG 3, CT 9705	15%	74%	1%	0%	0%	8%	2%	21%	79%	17.1%	\$48,214
BG 1, CT 9706	35%	51%	0%	4%	0%	7%	3%	19%	81%	12.6%	\$57,500
BG 2, CT 9706	4%	88%	0%	1%	0%	4%	3%	13%	87%	15.5%	\$45,313
BG 3, CT 9706	6%	90%	0%	0%	0%	2%	2%	11%	89%	13.4%	\$38,125
BG 4, CT 9706	32%	56%	1%	1%	0%	6%	3%	17%	83%	11.9%	\$53,333
BG 5, CT 9706	14%	78%	1%	1%	0%	5%	1%	14%	86%	10.2%	\$60,179
BG 1, CT 9707	47%	48%	0%	0%	0%	3%	1%	9%	91%	14.4%	\$46,250
BG 2, CT 9707	5%	81%	1%	0%	0%	10%	2%	24%	76%	19.4%	\$36,875
BG 3, CT 9707	5%	82%	0%	0%	0%	12%	1%	28%	72%	24.1%	\$35,481
BG 1, CT 9708	8%	72%	0%	1%	0%	17%	1%	33%	67%	26.3%	\$33,182
BG 2, CT 9708	6%	71%	1%	1%	0%	18%	4%	42%	58%	39.3%	\$25,566
BG 1, CT 9709	7%	84%	0%	0%	0%	7%	1%	17%	83%	48.5%	\$18,802
BG 1, CT 9710	54%	33%	1%	2%	0%	7%	4%	15%	85%	11.5%	\$55,000

Block Group	Race (Percent) <sup>a</sup>							Ethnicity (Percent) <sup>a</sup>		Percent of Residents in Poverty <sup>a</sup>	Median Household Income
	White	Black or African American	American Indian or Alaska Native	Asian	Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	Some Other Race Alone	Multiple Races	Hispanic or Latino	Not Hispanic or Latino		
BG 2, CT 9710	8%	85%	0%	1%	0%	4%	1%	15%	85%	28.7%	\$32,833
BG 1, CT 9711	8%	80%	0%	0%	0%	9%	2%	25%	75%	32.7%	\$32,917
BG 2, CT 9711	10%	80%	0%	0%	0%	7%	3%	22%	78%	35.2%	\$27,368
BG 3, CT 9711	10%	80%	1%	0%	0%	8%	2%	28%	72%	51.5%	\$20,076
BG 4, CT 9711	13%	75%	0%	1%	0%	6%	4%	18%	82%	22.7%	\$40,250
BG 1, CT 9712	11%	85%	0%	1%	0%	3%	1%	15%	85%	17.8%	\$39,141
BG 2, CT 9712	13%	78%	1%	0%	0%	7%	2%	21%	79%	28.4%	\$36,071
BG 3, CT 9712	8%	77%	1%	2%	0%	6%	6%	24%	76%	24.6%	\$37,216
BG 4, CT 9712	6%	81%	0%	1%	0%	10%	2%	29%	71%	25.8%	\$31,319
BG 1, CT 9713	17%	71%	0%	0%	0%	9%	2%	26%	74%	16.1%	\$45,313
BG 2, CT 9713	5%	87%	1%	1%	0%	5%	2%	25%	75%	40.4%	\$21,048
BG 3, CT 9713	7%	84%	0%	1%	0%	6%	2%	29%	71%	21.3%	\$31,250
BG 1, CT 9714	3%	87%	0%	0%	0%	8%	2%	20%	80%	26.4%	\$33,214
BG 1, CT 9715	29%	58%	0%	1%	0%	8%	4%	25%	75%	12.6%	\$65,625
BG 2, CT 9715	13%	75%	1%	0%	0%	7%	4%	26%	74%	24.2%	\$29,712
BG 3, CT 9715	9%	84%	1%	1%	0%	4%	2%	17%	83%	20.7%	\$41,938
BG 0, CT 9900	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
BG 1, CT 9501	69%	27%	0%	1%	0%	1%	3%	3%	97%	13.2%	\$41,250
BG 2, CT 9501	49%	47%	0%	1%	0%	1%	2%	5%	95%	18.5%	\$37,361
BG 3, CT 9501	34%	62%	1%	1%	0%	1%	1%	6%	94%	13.3%	\$41,136
BG 1, CT 9502	34%	63%	1%	1%	0%	1%	1%	8%	92%	12.2%	\$42,438
BG 2, CT 9502	28%	65%	0%	0%	0%	6%	1%	14%	86%	10.5%	\$47,321
BG 3, CT 9502	27%	67%	1%	1%	0%	3%	1%	24%	76%	20.0%	\$37,375
BG 4, CT 9502	46%	48%	1%	1%	0%	2%	2%	5%	95%	16.1%	\$38,281
BG 0, CT 9900	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
BG 1, CT 9601	21%	76%	0%	1%	0%	1%	1%	6%	94%	15.0%	\$43,603
BG 2, CT 9601	6%	93%	0%	0%	0%	0%	1%	9%	91%	18.4%	\$33,214
BG 3, CT 9601	14%	83%	0%	0%	0%	1%	1%	8%	92%	21.3%	\$33,125
BG 1, CT 9602	3%	94%	0%	0%	0%	2%	1%	8%	92%	19.8%	\$38,295

Block Group	Race (Percent) <sup>a</sup>							Ethnicity (Percent) <sup>a</sup>		Percent of Residents in Poverty <sup>a</sup>	Median Household Income
	White	Black or African American	American Indian or Alaska Native	Asian	Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	Some Other Race Alone	Multiple Races	Hispanic or Latino	Not Hispanic or Latino		
BG 2, CT 9602	5%	93%	0%	0%	0%	1%	0%	6%	94%	13.9%	\$38,188
BG 3, CT 9602	6%	92%	0%	0%	0%	0%	1%	10%	90%	22.9%	\$38,654
BG 1, CT 9603	2%	97%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	3%	97%	25.3%	\$31,987
BG 2, CT 9603	4%	93%	0%	0%	0%	2%	1%	8%	92%	11.0%	\$46,518
BG 3, CT 9603	3%	95%	0%	1%	0%	1%	1%	8%	92%	13.4%	\$41,188
BG 1, CT 9604	39%	55%	1%	2%	0%	2%	1%	9%	91%	12.7%	\$52,981
BG 2, CT 9604	37%	55%	0%	4%	0%	3%	2%	13%	87%	13.3%	\$48,958
BG 3, CT 9604	23%	71%	0%	3%	0%	1%	2%	5%	95%	7.5%	\$57,250
BG 4, CT 9604	27%	61%	1%	6%	0%	2%	3%	9%	91%	8.4%	\$55,750
BG 1, CT 9605	10%	86%	0%	1%	0%	1%	2%	8%	92%	10.4%	\$54,853
BG 2, CT 9605	16%	79%	0%	2%	0%	1%	1%	6%	94%	6.3%	\$60,417
BG 3, CT 9605	63%	28%	1%	3%	0%	2%	3%	6%	94%	10.7%	\$52,583
BG 4, CT 9605	40%	54%	0%	2%	0%	1%	3%	7%	93%	9.3%	\$61,083
BG 5, CT 9605	58%	36%	1%	2%	0%	2%	3%	5%	95%	13.0%	\$51,838
BG 1, CT 9606	17%	78%	1%	2%	0%	1%	1%	10%	90%	15.0%	\$44,167
BG 2, CT 9606	15%	72%	1%	8%	0%	2%	1%	8%	92%	10.3%	\$41,750
BG 3, CT 9606	7%	88%	0%	1%	0%	2%	1%	22%	78%	26.0%	\$26,118
BG 1, CT 9607	3%	94%	1%	0%	0%	1%	0%	11%	89%	19.1%	\$36,103
BG 2, CT 9607	12%	86%	0%	0%	0%	1%	1%	7%	93%	17.6%	\$36,938
BG 3, CT 9607	45%	50%	0%	2%	0%	2%	2%	6%	94%	12.6%	\$49,327
BG 1, CT 9608	16%	79%	0%	1%	0%	2%	1%	11%	89%	20.6%	\$37,214
BG 2, CT 9608	11%	80%	1%	5%	0%	1%	3%	25%	75%	21.8%	\$35,144
BG 1, CT 9609	31%	57%	1%	8%	0%	2%	2%	7%	93%	9.5%	\$59,167
BG 2, CT 9609	4%	94%	0%	0%	0%	1%	1%	13%	87%	31.6%	\$26,905
BG 1, CT 9610	8%	88%	0%	0%	0%	1%	2%	16%	84%	17.9%	\$38,906
BG 2, CT 9610	5%	92%	1%	0%	0%	2%	1%	29%	71%	32.4%	\$27,011
BG 3, CT 9610	6%	91%	0%	0%	0%	0%	1%	14%	86%	25.2%	\$31,200
BG 4, CT 9610	28%	56%	0%	8%	0%	3%	5%	19%	81%	23.5%	\$29,868
BG 5, CT 9610	2%	97%	0%	0%	0%	1%	1%	22%	78%	36.6%	\$23,482

Block Group	Race (Percent) <sup>a</sup>							Ethnicity (Percent) <sup>a</sup>		Percent of Residents in Poverty <sup>a</sup>	Median Household Income
	White	Black or African American	American Indian or Alaska Native	Asian	Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	Some Other Race Alone	Multiple Races	Hispanic or Latino	Not Hispanic or Latino		
BG 1, CT 9611	5%	91%	0%	2%	0%	1%	1%	10%	90%	28.7%	\$28,892
BG 2, CT 9611	7%	88%	1%	2%	0%	1%	1%	16%	84%	26.8%	\$27,305
BG 1, CT 9612	4%	93%	0%	0%	0%	1%	1%	11%	89%	30.6%	\$26,895
BG 2, CT 9612	8%	86%	0%	1%	0%	1%	2%	20%	80%	28.9%	\$27,361
BG 0, CT 9900	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND

Source: U.S. Census Bureau 2010

BG = Block Group; CT = Census Tract; ND = no data

<sup>a</sup> Totals may not add to 100%, due to rounding.

## REFERENCES

- U.S. Census Bureau. 2010. *Decennial Census*. Accessed June 23, 2015. Retrieved from:  
<http://factfinder.census.gov/faces/nav/jsf/pages/searchresults.xhtml>
- \_\_\_\_\_. 2013. 2009-2013 American Community Survey 5-year Estimates. Accessed June 18, 2015. Retrieved from:  
<http://factfinder.census.gov/faces/nav/jsf/pages/searchresults.xhtml>

## **APPENDIX F**

### **Climate Change Sources and Models**


Page Intentionally Left Blank

### **Fifth Assessment Report, International Panel on Climate Change**

The Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC) released its Fifth Assessment Report in 2014. The report analyzes and evaluates global climate change projections and trends and provides regional climate trends and sector-specific climate risks. This assessment introduced new emission scenarios referred to as Representative Concentration Pathways (RCPs) used to evaluate future climate trends. The RCPs are “identified by approximate radiative forcing (cumulative measure of human emissions of greenhouse gases from all sources) in year 2100 relative to year 1750” (*IPCC 2013*). There are four RCPs: one mitigation scenario (RCP2.6), two stabilization scenarios (RCP4.5 and RCP6.0), and one high emission scenario (RCP8.0) (*IPCC 2013*).

### **Third National Climate Assessment, United States Global Change Research Program**

The United States Global Change Research Program (USGCRP) released the third National Climate Assessment in May 2014. The USGCRP is comprised of 13 federal departments and agencies participating in the USGCRP. Downscaled global circulation models were used to create regional models for eight regions covering the contiguous U.S., Alaska, Hawaii, and the Pacific Islands. These agencies conduct research and develop capabilities to support the national response to global change. The National Climate Assessment (NCA) uses RCP scenarios to evaluate climate effects on a national scale. However, regional climate effects presented in the NCA use emission scenarios from the Special Report on Emission Scenarios (SRES) which was first published in 2000 by the IPCC. These emission scenarios were used in the Third and Fourth Assessment Reports by IPCC. The SRES scenarios are based on population and economic growth and cultural responses, as well as technology development (*IPCC 2000*). The four scenarios for future conditions are defined as: high emission cases A1 and A2, and low emission cases B1 and B2. These scenarios are illustrated in Figure 1.



Source: IPCC 2000

Note: The graph illustrates the four families in the SRES. The A1 scenario is characterized by rapid economic growth, global population peaking by 2050 and declines after, introduction to new and more efficient technology, and three alternatives with predominant use of fossil fuel (A1F1), moderate use of fossil fuels (A1B), and predominant use of renewable energy (A1T). The A2 Scenario represents a heterogeneous world with continuously increasing global population and regional economic growth that is slower than other scenarios. The B1 scenario is characterized by global population peaking in 2050 and declines after, and economic structure with a service and information economy including reductions in material intensity and introduction to clean and resource efficient technology. The B2 scenario has an increasing population that is lower than the A2 and intermediate economic development (IPCC 2000). The ranges represent the long-term uncertainties associate with the literature available at the time of preparation of the report.

**Figure 1: Greenhouse Gas Emissions for SRES Storylines**

**Regional Climate Trends and Scenarios for the U.S. National Climate Assessment: Part 7, Climate of Alaska, and Part 8, Climate of the Pacific Islands, National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration.**

National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration created regional climate trends and scenarios reports for each of the eight regions in the NCA, and one for the contiguous U.S. The eight regions in the NCA are Northeast, Southeast and the Caribbean, Midwest, Great Plains, Northwest, Southwest, Alaska, and Hawaii/Pacific Islands.

Climate change trends presented in this Draft Programmatic Environmental Impact Statement were sourced from *Regional Climate Trends and Scenarios for the U.S. National Climate Assessment Part 7, Climate of Alaska* (Stewart et al. 2013), and *Part 8, Climate of the Pacific Islands* (Keener et al. 2013). The analysis used 15 coupled atmosphere–ocean general circulation models from the World Climate Research Program’s Coupled Model Intercomparison Project phase 3 (CMIP3) multi-model data set. These models have a grid resolution of approximately 100 to 200 miles (Stewart et al. 2013). Additionally downscaled CMIP3 models for temperature, precipitation, and growing season lengths at a 2-kilometer resolution for Alaska were used to simulate season temperature, precipitation and sea level pressure (Stewart et al. 2013). Three types of analysis were presented for input into the NCA, which include the following types:

- Multi model mean maps: models are regraded to a common grid with resolution of approximately 190 miles latitude and 60 to 110 miles longitude. Grid points are calculated as the mean of all variable means grid points. Multi mean analysis of future spatial patterns may provide the most robust estimate of future climate change;
- Spatially averaged products: grid points are averaged and represented as a single value; and
- Probability density function: This is used to compare and illustrate the differences between models by calculating spatially averaged values for each model simulation. The output is the frequency distribution of the averaged values (*Stewart et al. 2013*).

## References

- IPCC (Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change). 2000. *Ch. 5: Emissions Scenarios*. In IPCC Special Report on Emissions Scenarios. Geneva, Switzerland: IPCC. Accessed: July 23, 2015. Retrieved from: <http://www.ipcc.ch/ipccreports/sres/emission/index.php?idp=0>
- \_\_\_\_\_. 2013. *Climate Change 2013: The Physical Science Basis*. Contribution of Working Group I to the Fifth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change. Accessed: July 28, 2015. Retrieved from: <https://www.ipcc.ch/report/ar5/wg1/>
- Keener, V.W., K. Hamilton, S.K. Izuka, K.E. Kunkel, L.E. Stevens, and L. Sun. 2013. *Regional Climate Trends and Scenarios for the U.S. National Climate Assessment: Part 8. Climate of the Pacific Islands*. U.S. NOAA Technical Report NESDIS 142-8. National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, National Environmental Satellite, Data, and Information Service, Washington, D.C. Accessed: July 28, 2015. Retrieved from: [http://www.nesdis.noaa.gov/technical\\_reports/NOAA\\_NESDIS\\_Tech\\_Report\\_142-8-Climature\\_of\\_the\\_Pacific\\_Islands.pdf](http://www.nesdis.noaa.gov/technical_reports/NOAA_NESDIS_Tech_Report_142-8-Climature_of_the_Pacific_Islands.pdf)
- Stewart, Brook C., Kenneth E. Kunkel, Laura E. Stevens, Liqiang Sun, and John E. Walsh. 2013. *Regional Climate Trends and Scenarios for the U.S. National Climate Assessment: Part 7. Climate of Alaska*. U.S. NOAA Technical Report NESDIS 142-7. National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, National Environmental Satellite, Data, and Information Service, Washington, D.C. Accessed: July 23, 2015. Retrieved from: [http://www.nesdis.noaa.gov/technical\\_reports/NOAA\\_NESDIS\\_Tech\\_Report\\_142-7-Climature\\_of\\_Alaska.pdf](http://www.nesdis.noaa.gov/technical_reports/NOAA_NESDIS_Tech_Report_142-7-Climature_of_Alaska.pdf)

Page Intentionally Left Blank

## **APPENDIX G**

### **Hawaii Register of Historic Places**

Page Intentionally Left Blank

**Table 1: Hawaii Register of Historic Places**

<b>Property Name</b>	<b>Island</b>	<b>Address/Property Area</b>	<b>Tax Map Key</b>
'Imiola Church	Hawaii	NA	6-5-004:004
(Kapoho Quad) King's Highway	Hawaii	NA	1-3-007:026
(Keaau Ranch Quad) Burials	Hawaii	NA	2-1-013:001
(Keahole Quad) Habitation Cluster	Hawaii	NA	7-3-043:003
(Keahole Quad) Habitation Site	Hawaii	NA	7-4-008:003
(Keahole Quad) House and Burials	Hawaii	NA	7-5-005:007
(Keahole Quad) Shelter and Pen	Hawaii	NA	7-3-043:003
(Keawanui Quad) Habitation and Burials	Hawaii	NA	5-8-001:012
(Keawanui Quad) Habitation Complex	Hawaii	NA	5-7-001:021
(Keawanui Quad) Possible Heiau	Hawaii	NA	5-8-001:013
(Keawanui Quad) Vault Complex	Hawaii	NA	5-7-001:021
(Manuka Quad) Habitation Complex	Hawaii	NA	9-1-001:007
(Manuka Quad) Heiau and Trail	Hawaii	NA	9-1-001:003
(Manuka Quad) Koa	Hawaii	NA	9-1-001:003
(Manuka Quad) Kuleana Complex	Hawaii	NA	9-1-001:003
(Manuka Quad) Lava Tube Complex	Hawaii	NA	9-1-001:003
(Manuka Quad) Platform and Mounds	Hawaii	NA	9-1-001:003
(Manuka Quad) Platform and Shelters	Hawaii	NA	9-1-001:003
(Manuka Quad) Shrine and Heiau	Hawaii	NA	9-1-01:003, :007
(Milolii Quad) Burial Cave	Hawaii	NA	8-9-003:001
1790 Footprints	Hawaii	NA	9-9-001:001, :002
A.J. Williamson Residence	Hawaii	NA	2-6-006:011
Ahole Holua Complex	Hawaii	NA	8-9-006:003
Ahu-a-'Umi Heiau	Hawaii	NA	7-8-001:003
Ainahou Ranch House	Hawaii	NA	9-9-001:006
Ainapo Trail (Menzies Trail)	Hawaii	NA	9-9-001:003
Ala Loa (Puna District)	Hawaii	NA	1-2-009:003 (portion)

<b>Property Name</b>	<b>Island</b>	<b>Address/Property Area</b>	<b>Tax Map Key</b>
Ala Loa Foot Trail (Southernmost Kohala & Northernmost Kona Districts)	Hawaii	NA	6-8-001:032, :035 (portions); 6-8-022:032 (portion); 6-9-001:015 (portion); 6-9-007: (portion); 7-1-003:022 (portion)
Anna Ranch (a.k.a Lindsey Ranch and Waiauia Ranch)	Hawaii	65-1480 Kawaihae Rd., Kamuela	6-5-006 & 003
Asa and Lucy Thurston House Site (a.k.a. "Laniakea")	Hawaii	Between Alahou and Lamaokaola Streets, Kailua	7-5-004:035
Bobcat Trail Habitation Cave	Hawaii	NA	7-1-004:006
Boundary Change	Hawaii	NA	6-8-001:022, 015, 017 (portion)
Chee Ying Society Clubhouse (Delisted: 6/30/2007)	Hawaii	NA	4-5-009:009
Christ Church Episcopal	Hawaii	NA	8-1-005:008
Crater Rim Drive	Hawaii	NA	9-3
Daifukuji Soto Zen Mission	Hawaii	NA	8-2-010:020
District Courthouse and Police Station	Hawaii	NA	2-3-006:004
East Hamakua Protestant Church	Hawaii	NA	4-4-006:001
Elias Bond District	Hawaii	Kapaau	5-3-005:004, :005, :017, :019, :020, :026, :027
Francis Ii Brown Beach Residence	Hawaii	NA	7-1-003:003, :012, :013
Greenwell Store	Hawaii	NA	8-1-004:050
H. Tanimoto Residence (Honomu Theater)	Hawaii	NA	2-8-014:015-Residence (3) 2-8-014:107-Theater
Hakalau Plantation Manager's House	Hawaii	29-2301 Old Mamalahoa Highway	2-9-002:039
HALAULANI PLACE Multiple Property: Edward H. and Claire L. Moses Residence	Hawaii	105 Halaulani Place	2-6-006:017 and :025
HALAULANI PLACE Multiple Property: Herbert Austin Truslow Residence a.k.a. Ellen G. Lyman Residence	Hawaii	52 Halaulani Place	2-6-006:007
HALAULANI PLACE Multiple Property: James and Catherine Parker Residence	Hawaii	72 Halaulani Place	2-6-006:027
HALAULANI PLACE Multiple Property: Levi and Nettie Lyman Residence	Hawaii	40 Halaulani Place	2-6-006:008
HALAULANI PLACE Multiple Property: Patrick and Ethel McGuinness Residence	Hawaii	30 Halaulani Place	2-6-006:018
HALAULANI PLACE Multiple Property: W. H. Hill Residence a.k.a. Vernon D. and Alice L. Shutte Residence	Hawaii	91 Halaulani Place	2-6-006:016

<b>Property Name</b>	<b>Island</b>	<b>Address/Property Area</b>	<b>Tax Map Key</b>
HALAULANI PLACE Multiple Property: Walter Irving and Jean Henderson Residence	Hawaii	82 Halaulani Place	2-6-006:004
Hale Halawai o Holualoa	Hawaii	NA	7-6-016:013
Haleokane Heiau	Hawaii	NA	7-4-008:003
Hamakua Steel Bridges	Hawaii	Mamalahoa Hwy	3-2; 3-3
Hashimoto House	Hawaii	NA	5-5-015:038
Hawi Plantation Manager's Residence	Hawaii	NA	5-5-015:041
Heiau in Kukuipahu	Hawaii	NA	5-6-001:075
Heichi and Hawai Kawabata Family Home	Hawaii	64-810 Mamalahoa Hwy., Kamuela	6-4-001:145
Hilina Pali Road	Hawaii	NA	9-3
Hilo Masonic Lodge	Hawaii	NA	2-3-005:007
HISTORIC AND ARCHITECTURE RESOURCES OF HONOKAA TOWN MP Ferreira Building	Hawaii	45-3625 Mamane Street	4-5-007:007
Hoku Loa Church	Hawaii	69-160 Puako Beach Drive	6-9-002:009
Holualoa 4 Archaeological District	Hawaii	NA	7-7-004
Holualoa Telephone Exchange Building	Hawaii	76-5973 Mamalahoa Highway	7-6-005:036
Honokaa Plantation Manager's Residence	Hawaii	NA	4-8-006:013
Honokohau Settlement/Kaloko-Honokohau National Historic Park (U.S. Government has acquired TMK 7-3-09:02 & 7-4-08:25 for park) (District w/ multiple sites)	Hawaii	NA	7-3-009:002; 7-4-008:003, :010, :025
Hulihee Palace (Additional Documentation Accepted to Change Level of Significance)	Hawaii	NA	7-5-007:020
James M. Hind Residence	Hawaii	NA	5-5-015:035
Ka'elemakule-Magoon House Complex at Mahai'ula Bay	Hawaii	72-3630 Queen Ka'ahumanu Highway	7-2-005:003
Kahaluu Historic District (District w/multiple sites)	Hawaii	NA	7-8-010:002, :004, :035
Kahikolu Church	Hawaii	NA	8-2-007:006
Kaiakekua Complex	Hawaii	NA	9-1-001:003
Kalaoa Permanent House Site 10,205	Hawaii	NA	7-3-043:042 (portion)
Kalapana Painted Church	Hawaii	NA	1-2-006:081 (portion)
Kamakahonu (Residence of King Kamehameha I)	Hawaii	NA	7-5-006:024, :032
Kamehameha Hall	Hawaii	NA	2-1-021:043
Kamehameha III Birthplace (Kauikeaouli Stone)	Hawaii	NA	7-8-012:017

Property Name	Island	Address/Property Area	Tax Map Key
Kapalaoa Archaeological District	Hawaii	NA	9-3-001:002 (portion)
Kaulanamaluna Upland Complex	Hawaii	NA	8-9-006:002
Kealakekua Bay Historical District (District w/multiple sites)	Hawaii	NA	8-2 , 8-3
Keauhou Holua Slide	Hawaii	NA	7-8-010:030
Keauohana Ahupua'a Archaeological District (District with multiple sites)	Hawaii	NA	1-2-009:003 (portion)
Keawaiki Complex	Hawaii	NA	9-1-001:003
Keolonahihi Complex/Kamoa Point Complex (District w/multiple sites)	Hawaii	NA	7-7-004:012, :028, :031, :051, :052
Kiholo-Puako Trail (Also in Quad 10)	Hawaii	NA	6, 7
Ki'i Petroglyphs	Hawaii	NA	9-5-006:001
Kilauea Crater	Hawaii	NA	9-9-001:001
Kilauea Lodge	Hawaii	NA	1-9-004:005 and :055
Kipuka Malua Complex	Hawaii	NA	9-1-001:003, :006
Kohala District Courthouse	Hawaii	NA	5-4-005:001
Kohala Pilgrim Church (Delisted: 6/30/2007)	Hawaii	NA	5-5-015:025
Kuamo'o Burials	Hawaii	NA	7-8-010:066
Lanihau Papamu	Hawaii	NA	7-5-005:007
Lapakahi Complex (District w/ multiple sites)	Hawaii	NA	5-7
Ludloff Residence	Hawaii	1432 Wailuku Dr.	2-3-028:022
MacKenzie Petroglyphs	Hawaii	NA	1-3-007:026; 1-3-008:001
Mahana Archaeological District	Hawaii	NA	9-3-001:002 (portion)
Makeanehu Complex	Hawaii	NA	5-8-001:012
Manuka Bay Holua Slide	Hawaii	NA	9-1-001:003
Manuka Bay Petroglyphs	Hawaii	NA	9-1-001:003
Masao Kubo Residence	Hawaii	1411 Wailuku Dr.	2-3-028:044
Matayoshi Hospital	Hawaii	59 and 41 Hoku St., Hilo	2-2-019:040 & :041
Mauna Kea Adze Quarry	Hawaii	NA	4-4-015:001, :009, :010
Mauna Loa Road	Hawaii	NA	9-3
Mauna Loa Trail	Hawaii	NA	9
Michael Victor Houses	Hawaii	NA	2-3-014:002
Moku'aikaua Church	Hawaii	NA	7-5-007:018
Mo'okini Heiau	Hawaii	NA	5-5-005:020

<b>Property Name</b>	<b>Island</b>	<b>Address/Property Area</b>	<b>Tax Map Key</b>
Mountain View Theater	Hawaii	NA	1-8-020:001
Nanbu Hotel/Holy's Bakery	Hawaii	Akoni Pule Hwy., Kapaa	5-4-005:022
North Honomalino Complex	Hawaii	NA	8-9-003:001
Okoe Bay Complex	Hawaii	NA	8-9-003:001
Old Laupahoehoe Jodo Mission	Hawaii	46-1006 Laupahoehoe Point Road	3-6-002:034
Old Lindsey House	Hawaii	NA	6-5-003:042
Old Volcano House No. 42	Hawaii	NA	9-9-001:023
Old Waimea Elementary School Building/ Isaacs Art Center	Hawaii	NA	6-5-007:003
Opihikao Evangelical Church Residence	Hawaii	NA	1-3-004:018
Paaupuu Plantation House	Hawaii	NA	4-4-006:022
Palace Theatre	Hawaii	NA	2-3-007:021
Pua'a 2 Agricultural Fields Archaeological District	Hawaii	NA	7-5-014:023 (portion)
Puakea Ranch	Hawaii	56-2864 Akoni Pule Highway	5-6-001:082
Puako Petroglyph Archaeological District	Hawaii	NA	6-9-001:015
Puako Petroglyph Boundary Change	Hawaii	NA	6-9-001:015
PUBLIC SCHOOLS ON THE ISLAND OF HAWAII Chiefess Kapiolani Elementary School	Hawaii	NA	2-2-020:001
PUBLIC SCHOOLS ON THE ISLAND OF HAWAII Hilo High School	Hawaii	NA	2-3-014:001 (portion)
PUBLIC SCHOOLS ON THE ISLAND OF HAWAII Honokaa High & Elementary School	Hawaii	NA	4-5-003:020 (portion)
PUBLIC SCHOOLS ON THE ISLAND OF HAWAII Ka'u High & Pahala Elementary School	Hawaii	NA	9-6-005:008
PUBLIC SCHOOLS ON THE ISLAND OF HAWAII Kalaniana'ole Elementary & Intermediate School	Hawaii	NA	2-7-022:002 and :003
PUBLIC SCHOOLS ON THE ISLAND OF HAWAII Laupahoehoe High and Elementary School	Hawaii	NA	3-5-004:026 and :050; 3-5-005:001
PUBLIC SCHOOLS ON THE ISLAND OF HAWAII Naalehu School	Hawaii	NA	9-5-009:006, :015
PUBLIC SCHOOLS ON THE ISLAND OF HAWAII Hilo Union Elementary School	Hawaii	NA	2-3-016:037 (portion)
PUBLIC SCHOOLS ON THE ISLAND OF HAWAII Old Riverside School (Hilo District Office)	Hawaii	NA	2-3-015:001

Property Name	Island	Address/Property Area	Tax Map Key
Puna-Ka'u Historic District, Hawaii Volcanoes National Park (District w/multiple sites)	Hawaii	NA	9
Pu'uhoonua o Honaunau National Historical Park (District with multiple sites)	Hawaii	NA	8-4-011:007
Pu'uukohola Heiau National Historic Park (District with multiple sites)	Hawaii	NA	6-2-002:009, :010, :016
Rev. D. B. Lyman House	Hawaii	NA	2-3-016:024
S. Hata Building	Hawaii	318 Kamehameha Avenue, Hilo	2-3-008:016
Samuel and Asako Haraguchi Residence	Hawaii	90 Koula St.	2-5-024:025 and :026
South Manuka Bay Complex	Hawaii	NA	9-1-001:003
South Point Complex	Hawaii	NA	9-3-001:001, :003, :007, :011
St. Benedict's Catholic Church	Hawaii	NA	8-4-006:006
Thomas Guard House (a.k.a. Wylie House and Leonard House)	Hawaii	240 Kaiulani St., Hilo	2-3-015:020
Tomikawa Store	Hawaii	76-5902 Mamalahoa Hwy., Holualoa	7-6-008:020
Tong Wo Society Building	Hawaii	NA	5-3-008:020
Uchida Coffee Farm	Hawaii	NA	8-2-015:013 (portion)
Union Mill Managers Residence	Hawaii	NA	5-4-010:058, :059
United Community Church	Hawaii	NA	2-2-020:002
United States Post Office and Office Building	Hawaii	NA	2-3-005:003
Volcano Block	Hawaii	NA	2-3-003:009
W. H. Shipman House	Hawaii	NA	2-3-015:004 and :005
Waiakea Mission Station or Haili Church	Hawaii	NA	2-3-012:009
Walter Irving Henderson House:	Hawaii	75-5944 Alii Drive	(3) 7-5-019:018
Wawalo'i Habitation	Hawaii	NA	7-3-043:003
Whitney Seismograph Vault No. 29	Hawaii	NA	9-9-001:023
Wilkes Campsite	Hawaii	NA	9-9-001:003
Yamamoto Store	Hawaii	NA	2-9-003:018
Various	Kahoolawe	NA	20-97-101 thru 20-97-676
Opaeka'a Road Bridge	Kauai	NA	4-2-002:022
A. S. Wilcox Beach House	Kauai	NA	5-5-001:002 (portion), 018, 019, 020, 021
Ahuloulu Heiau Complex (Puukapele)	Kauai	NA	1-2-001:003
Allan Sanborn Beach House	Kauai	5174 Weke Rd., Hanalei	5-5-002:049

<b>Property Name</b>	<b>Island</b>	<b>Address/Property Area</b>	<b>Tax Map Key</b>
Anahola Dune Burials	Kauai	NA	4-8-007;001
Bishop National Bank of Hawaii (First Hawaiian Bank, Waimea Branch)	Kauai	NA	1-6-006:033
Burial Caves	Kauai	NA	1-9-001:020
Camp Slogett, Kokee	Kauai	NA	1-4-004:033
Charles Gay House	Kauai	NA	1-6-001:029
Civilian Conservation Corps Camp, Koke'e	Kauai	NA	1-4-001:013 (portion)
Cook Landing Site	Kauai	NA	1-6-006:001
Douglas Baldwin Beach House	Kauai	NA	5-5-002:007
Grove Farm	Kauai	NA	3-6-001:002
Grove Farm Boundary Increase	Kauai	NA	3-6-001:010
Grove Farm Company Locomotives	Kauai	NA	3-3-002:015
Gulick-Rowell House	Kauai	NA	1-2-006:034
Haena Archaeological Complex	Kauai	NA	Multiple
Hanalei Elementary School	Kauai	NA	5-5-009:008
Hanalei Pier	Kauai	Kuhio Hwy.	5-5-001:008
Hanapepe Complex 50	Kauai	NA	1-8-008:001,084
Hanapepe Salt Pans	Kauai	NA	1-8-008:043
HANAPEPE TOWN LOTS Multiple Property: Hanapepe Lot No. 11B	Kauai	NA	1-9-005:053
HANAPEPE TOWN LOTS Multiple Property: Hanapepe Lot No.18	Kauai	NA	1-9-004:008
HANAPEPE TOWN LOTS Multiple Property: Hanapepe Lot No.49	Kauai	NA	1-9-011:008
HANAPEPE TOWN LOTS Multiple Property: Obatake's, Lot No.21A	Kauai	NA	1-9-005:041
Haraguchi Rice Mill	Kauai	NA	5-4-003:007
Hauola Heiau	Kauai	NA	1-2-002:023
Hooneenu Heiau	Kauai	NA	1-2-002:023
Kaipu Camp	Kauai	NA	3-6-001:017
Kamo'omaika'i Pond/Pu'u Poa Marsh	Kauai	NA	5-4-004:001
Kapaia Swinging (Pedestrian) Bridge	Kauai	NA	3-7-001
Kauai Belt Road	Kauai	NA	Multiple
Kauai Museum (Albert Spencer Wilcox Building)	Kauai	NA	3-6-005:005

<b>Property Name</b>	<b>Island</b>	<b>Address/Property Area</b>	<b>Tax Map Key</b>
KAUAI PUBLIC SCHOOLS Multiple Property: Anahola School Buildings	Kauai	NA	4-8-005:001
KAUAI PUBLIC SCHOOLS Multiple Property: Kalaheo School Campus	Kauai	NA	2-3-002:005
KAUAI PUBLIC SCHOOLS Multiple Property: Kapa'a School	Kauai	NA	4-6-014:031
KAUAI PUBLIC SCHOOLS Multiple Property: Waimea Elementary and Jr. High (Homemaking Bldg.)	Kauai	NA	1-6-010:004
Kawamura Residence and Utility Shed	Kauai	NA	4-5-008:016
Kihe Heiau Complex	Kauai	NA	4-9-009:001
Kikiaola (Kiki-a-ola, Menehune Ditch)	Kauai	NA	1-5-001:002 and 1-6-001:028, :045
KILAUEA PLANTATION STONE BUILDINGS Thematic Group: Head Bookkeeper's House	Kauai	NA	5-2-009:004
KILAUEA PLANTATION STONE BUILDINGS Thematic Group: Head Luna's House	Kauai	NA	5-2-009:003
KILAUEA PLANTATION STONE BUILDINGS Thematic Group: Kong Lung Store	Kauai	NA	5-2-08:29
KILAUEA PLANTATION STONE BUILDINGS Thematic Group: Plantation Manager's House	Kauai	NA	5-2-013:001
Kilauea Point Lighthouse	Kauai	NA	5-2-004:017
Kilauea School	Kauai	NA	5-2-009:006
Kilohana (Gaylord P. Wilcox House)	Kauai	NA	3-4-005:001 (portion)
Koloa Lava Tubes 3075	Kauai	NA	2-6-004:038
Kukui Heiau	Kauai	NA	4-3-002:001, portion of :010
Lawa'i Lava Tubes 3071	Kauai	NA	2-6-003:003
Lawa'i Lava Tubes 3072	Kauai	NA	2-6-003:003
Lewaula Heiau	Kauai	NA	1-5-001:001
Lihue Civic Center Historic District	Kauai	NA	3-6-005: 001,002,003
Lihue Hongwanji Mission	Kauai	NA	5-5-009:013
Lihue Post Office	Kauai	NA	3-6-005:010
Mahamoku (a.k.a. Mabel Wilcox Hanalei Beach House)	Kauai	5344 Weke Rd., Hanalei 96814	5-5-003:010
Masuda Building	Kauai	NA	1-6-007:030
Menehune Fishpond (Alekoiko Fishpond)	Kauai	NA	3-2-001:001

<b>Property Name</b>	<b>Island</b>	<b>Address/Property Area</b>	<b>Tax Map Key</b>
Na Pali Coast Archaeological District	Kauai	NA	5-9-001:001, 002, 022
Na Pali Coast Archaeological District	Kauai	NA	1-4-001:007, 014, 020
Old Sugar Mill of Koloa	Kauai	NA	2-8-006:001
Puuopae Bridge	Kauai	NA	4-4-002
Russian Fort Elizabeth	Kauai	NA	1-7-005:003
Say Dock House	Kauai	Kuhio Hwy.	5-5-009:007
Seto Building	Kauai	NA	4-5-011:031
Taro Terraces	Kauai	NA	1-5-001:002
Wahiawa Petroglyphs	Kauai	NA	2-2-001:007
Wailua Complex of Heiaus	Kauai	NA	3-9-06:01; 4-1-02:03; 4-2-13:17
Waimea Educational Center	Kauai	NA	1-6-007:042
Waimea Valley Complex	Kauai	NA	1-5-001:002,017
Wainiha Taro Terraces 152	Kauai	NA	5-8-003:003
Wai'oli Mission District	Kauai	NA	5-5-006:008,019
Weuweu-Kawai-iki Fishpond (Coco Palms)	Kauai	Corner of Kuamoo Road and Kuhio Highway	4-1-003:007
Yamase Building	Kauai	NA	1-6-007:032
'Alae Petroglyphs	Maui	NA	2-2-013:010
Alena Habitation Site	Maui	NA	1-9-001:003
Asa Baldwin Residence	Maui	149 Cane Place, Paia	3-8-002:004
Bank of Hawaii - Haiku Branch	Maui	NA	2-7-020:008
Cave of Seven Coffins	Maui	NA	2-1-002:001
CHINESE SOCIETY HALLS Thematic Group: Chee Kung Tong (Site removed 8/29/98)	Maui	NA	3-4-013:040
CHINESE SOCIETY HALLS Thematic Group: Ket Hing Society	Maui	NA	2-2-003:036
CHINESE SOCIETY HALLS Thematic Group: Wo Hing Society Hall	Maui	NA	4-5-001:045
Crater Historic District Haleakala National Park	Maui	NA	1, 2
Frank Baldwin/ H.F. Rice Residence	Maui	NA	2-4-008:010
Fred C. Baldwin Memorial Home	Maui	1813 Baldwin Avenue	2-5-004:007
Gomes Residence	Maui	NA	2-4-018:063
Haiku Fruit and Packing Company Manager's Residence	Maui	1061 Kokomo Road	2-7-021:007

<b>Property Name</b>	<b>Island</b>	<b>Address/Property Area</b>	<b>Tax Map Key</b>
Ha'iku Mill	Maui	NA	2-7-003:006
Hale Ho'ike'ike (Old Bailey House)	Maui	NA	3-4-014:083
Hale Pa'i	Maui	NA	4-6-018:005
Haleki'i-Pihana Heiau	Maui	NA	3-4-030:004
Hale-o-Lono Heiau	Maui	NA	1-4-010:003
Hamoia Complex	Maui	NA	1-4-007:002; 1-4-010:003
Hana Belt Road	Maui	NA	1, 2, 3
Hana District Police Station and Courthouse	Maui	NA	1-4-013:036
Hana Hongwanji Temple	Maui	NA	1-4-003:003
Hana Store	Maui	NA	1-4-013:001
Hanamau'uloa Complex	Maui	NA	1-9-001:003
Hardy House	Maui	NA	2-4-018:009
Holy Ghost Catholic Church	Maui	NA	2-3-037:002
Honokalani Village (Ka'eleku, Honokalani & Wakiu Ahupua'a) (Wai'anapanapa)	Maui	NA	1-3-003:026; 1-3-005:002, :009; 1-3-006:007, :009
Honokowai Petroglyphs	Maui	NA	4-4-002:003
Iao Theater	Maui	NA	3-4-012:022
Ka'ahumanu Church	Maui	NA	3-4-014:002
Kahikinui House	Maui	NA	1-9-001:003
Kaiapuni Ko'a	Maui	NA	1-4-005:028
Kaimupe'elua Heiau	Maui	NA	2-2-002:014
Kalepolepo Fishpond	Maui	NA	3-9-001: submerged
Kaluakakalioa Cave	Maui	NA	1-9-001:003
Kaluanui Heiau Complex	Maui	NA	1-4-007:002
Kama'ole House Site	Maui	NA	3-9-004:001
Kauhihale Complex	Maui	NA	2-9-012:002
Ka'umi'umiua Heiau	Maui	NA	2-2-002:014
Kealiakapu Complex-Kaunolu Village	Maui	NA	4-9-002:001
Keone'oio Archaeological District/La Perouse Archaeological District	Maui	NA	2-1-004:006, :035, :073, :075, :110, :111, :114
Kilolani Congregational Church	Maui	NA	3-9-001:012
Kipahulu Historic District	Maui	NA	00-04-2010
Kipapa Archaeological District	Maui	NA	1-9-001:003
Kula Sanitorium	Maui	100 Keokea Pl., Kula	2-2-004:034

<b>Property Name</b>	<b>Island</b>	<b>Address/Property Area</b>	<b>Tax Map Key</b>
Lahaina Historic District 60 Sites (Approximate)	Maui	NA	00-04-2100
Lo'alo'a Heiau	Maui	NA	1-7-002:012, :014
Luala'ilua Cave	Maui	NA	1-9-001:003
Luala'ilua Heiau	Maui	NA	1-9-001:003
Luala'ilua Terrace Complex	Maui	NA	1-9-001:003
Lucy Kaiewe Searle Residence	Maui	239 Front St., Lahaina	4-6-003:002
Maalaea General Store	Maui	232 Maalaea Harbor Road	3-6-008:002
Makawao Union Church	Maui	NA	2-5-004:011
Maui Jinsha Mission	Maui	NA	3-4-029:032
MAUI PUBLIC SCHOOLS Multiple Property: Henry Perrine Baldwin High School	Maui	NA	3-8-007:004
MAUI PUBLIC SCHOOLS Multiple Property: Keanae School	Maui	NA	1-1-008:020
MAUI PUBLIC SCHOOLS Multiple Property: Paia School	Maui	NA	2-5-005:004
MAUI PUBLIC SCHOOLS Multiple Property: Puunene School	Maui	NA	3-8-006:008
MAUI PUBLIC SCHOOLS Multiple Property: Wailuku School	Maui	NA	3-4-007:001
MAUI PUBLIC SCHOOLS Multiple Property: Kaupo School	Maui	NA	1-7-002:015
Moku'ula	Maui	NA	4-6-007:001, :002, :035, :036
Molohai Heiau	Maui	NA	2-2-002:014
Naniloa Drive Overpass Bridge	Maui	NA	00-04-2067
Paia Mantokuji Soto Mission	Maui	253-C Hana Highway	2-6-008:013
Papakea Heiau	Maui	NA	2-2-004:036
Papakea Petroglyphs	Maui	NA	1-9-001:003
Pi'ilanihale Heiau	Maui	NA	1-3-002:004, :039
Pioneer Mill Company Office	Maui	380 Lahainaluna Rd., Lahaina	4-6-026:057
Wahene Platform	Maui	NA	2-1-002:001
Waiale Bridge	Maui	NA	3-4-010:037
Waihe'e Church	Maui	NA	3-2-07:23
Waikapu Stone Church	Maui	NA	3-5-012:036
Wailuku Civic Center Historic District	Maui	NA	3-4-007:008, 3-4-008:042, 3-4-014:001
Wananalua Congregational Church	Maui	NA	1-4-004:019

<b>Property Name</b>	<b>Island</b>	<b>Address/Property Area</b>	<b>Tax Map Key</b>
William K. Kaluakini Residence	Maui	450 Front Street, Lahaina	4-6-006:005
'Ili'ili'opae Heiau	Molokai	NA	5-7-005:002
MOLOKA'I FISHPONDS Multiple Property Ualapu'e Fishpond (also part of Hokukano-'Ualapu'e NHL Complex)	Molokai	NA	5-6-001:001
'Ualapu'e Fishpond	Molokai	NA	5-6-001:001
Ahahui Kalaniana'ole (Kalaniana'ole Hall)	Molokai	NA	5-2-009:018 (portion)
Ahina Heiau	Molokai	NA	6-1-001:002
Ali'i Pond	Molokai	NA	5-4-006:025
Anahaki Gulch Complex	Molokai	NA	5-2-005:019
Ananaluawahine Cave	Molokai	NA	6-1-001:002
Archaeological Sites, Hawaiian Home Lands	Molokai	NA	5-4-0030:03
California Packing Corporation's Plantation Manager's Residence	Molokai	Southeast Corner of Kalae Highway and Farrington Avenue, Molokai	5-2-012:006
Fishing Shrine	Molokai	NA	5-1-002:030
Fishing Shrine at Kamaka'ipo Pt.	Molokai	NA	5-1-002:030
Fishing shrine at Keawa Ka Lani	Molokai	NA	5-1-002:030
Former site of fishing shrine	Molokai	NA	5-1-002:002
Habitation Area	Molokai	NA	5-1-002:030
Habitation Area at Kaheu Gulch	Molokai	NA	5-1-002:030
Habitation Area at Kamaka'ipo Gulch	Molokai	NA	5-1-002:030
Habitation Area at Kamaka'ipo Lowlands	Molokai	NA	5-1-002:030
Habitation Area Near Kamaka'ipo Gulch	Molokai	NA	5-1-002:030
Habitation Site	Molokai	NA	5-1-002:030
Habitation Site	Molokai	NA	5-1-008 Easements 166 and 167
Heiau at Kapukikani	Molokai	NA	5-1-002:030
Hokukano-'Ualapu'e Complex (discontiguous properties)	Molokai	NA	Multiple
Honouliwai Fishtrap	Molokai	NA	5-8-002:068
Kahili Koa	Molokai	NA	6-1-001:002
Kahokukano Heiau	Molokai	NA	5-6-006:013
Kalauonakukui Heiau	Molokai	NA	5-6-006:015
Kalaupapa Leprosy Settlement	Molokai	NA	6-1-001:001

<b>Property Name</b>	<b>Island</b>	<b>Address/Property Area</b>	<b>Tax Map Key</b>
Kalua'aha Church	Molokai	NA	5-7-011:064
Kaluakapi'ioho Heiau	Molokai	NA	5-6-006:013
Kalualohe Complex	Molokai	NA	5-2-010:001
Kape'elua Complex	Molokai	NA	5-2-023:007
Kaupikiawa Cave	Molokai	NA	6-1-001:002
KAWELA SITES Kamehameha V Wall, T-20 and T-42-4	Molokai	NA	5-4-003:001
KAWELA SITES Mound Site, Burial Site	Molokai	NA	5-4-003:001
KAWELA SITES Puuhonua	Molokai	NA	5-4-003:028
KAWELA SITES T-10	Molokai	NA	5-4-014:062
KAWELA SITES T-108	Molokai	NA	5-4-003:028
KAWELA SITES T-111-116 and T-182	Molokai	NA	5-4-003:001
KAWELA SITES T-12	Molokai	NA	5-4-014:071, :072
KAWELA SITES T-124	Molokai	NA	5-4-003:001
KAWELA SITES T-125-126 and T-181	Molokai	NA	5-4-003:028
KAWELA SITES T-13	Molokai	NA	5-4-014:064, :065
KAWELA SITES T-134	Molokai	NA	5-4-003:028
KAWELA SITES T-135-136	Molokai	NA	5-4-003:001
KAWELA SITES T-144	Molokai	NA	5-4-003:028
KAWELA SITES T-145	Molokai	NA	5-4-003:028
KAWELA SITES T-155-158	Molokai	NA	5-4-003:001
KAWELA SITES T-165-166	Molokai	NA	5-4-003:028; 5-4-016:001, :002
KAWELA SITES T-167	Molokai	NA	5-4-003:028
KAWELA SITES T-171	Molokai	NA	5-4-003:028
KAWELA SITES T-180	Molokai	NA	5-4-003:001
KAWELA SITES T-19	Molokai	NA	5-4-014:082
KAWELA SITES T-22-4, T-90 A&B	Molokai	NA	5-4-003:001
KAWELA SITES T-28	Molokai	NA	5-4-003:001
KAWELA SITES T-5, T-122, T-178	Molokai	NA	5-4-003:028
KAWELA SITES T-57	Molokai	NA	5-4-003:028
KAWELA SITES T-6 Complex	Molokai	NA	5-4-003:028
KAWELA SITES T-70B	Molokai	NA	5-4-003:028
KAWELA SITES T-75	Molokai	NA	5-4-016:024

<b>Property Name</b>	<b>Island</b>	<b>Address/Property Area</b>	<b>Tax Map Key</b>
KAWELA SITES T-76	Molokai	NA	5-4-016:023, :024
KAWELA SITES T-78	Molokai	NA	5-4-003:028
KAWELA SITES T-79	Molokai	NA	5-4-0160:03
KAWELA SITES T-81, 100, 101, 105, 142	Molokai	NA	5-4-001:050
KAWELA SITES T-88	Molokai	NA	5-4-003:001
KAWELA SITES T-92	Molokai	NA	5-4-003:001
Keawakalae Ko'a	Molokai	NA	5-1-002:030
Keawanui Fishpond	Molokai	NA	5-6-006:008
Kilohana School	Molokai	NA	5-6-002:008
Kipapa Fishpond	Molokai	NA	5-5-001:008
Koloko'eli Fishpond	Molokai	NA	5-4-002:014
Kukui Heiau	Molokai	NA	5-6-004:016, :057
Luahine Maika (Bowling) Run	Molokai	NA	5-2-010:001
MOLOKA'I FISHPONDS Multiple Property Fishpond	Molokai	NA	5-6-009: submerged
MOLOKA'I FISHPONDS Multiple Property Fishpond	Molokai	NA	5-7-001: submerged
MOLOKA'I FISHPONDS Multiple Property Fishpond	Molokai	NA	5-7-003: submerged
MOLOKA'I FISHPONDS Multiple Property Fishpond	Molokai	NA	5-7-011: submerged
MOLOKA'I FISHPONDS Multiple Property Fishpond	Molokai	NA	7-7-007: submerged
MOLOKA'I FISHPONDS Multiple Property Halemahana Fishpond	Molokai	NA	5-6-003:035
MOLOKA'I FISHPONDS Multiple Property Ipukaiole Fishpond	Molokai	NA	5-7-004: submerged
MOLOKA'I FISHPONDS Multiple Property Kahinapohaku Fishpond	Molokai	NA	5-8-001:002
MOLOKA'I FISHPONDS Multiple Property Kainalu Fishpond	Molokai	NA	5-7-004: submerged
MOLOKA'I FISHPONDS Multiple Property Kalua'aha Fishpond	Molokai	NA	5-7-010, -011: submerged
MOLOKA'I FISHPONDS Multiple Property Mahilika Fishpond	Molokai	NA	5-7-010:031

<b>Property Name</b>	<b>Island</b>	<b>Address/Property Area</b>	<b>Tax Map Key</b>
MOLOKA'I FISHPONDS Multiple Property Mikiawa Fishpond	Molokai	NA	5-6-006:009
MOLOKA'I FISHPONDS Multiple Property Panahana Fishpond	Molokai	NA	5-7-007:022
MOLOKA'I FISHPONDS Multiple Property Weheleauulu Fishpond	Molokai	NA	5-6-009: submerged
Moloka'i Public Library	Molokai	NA	5-3-005:012
Nanahoa Complex	Molokai	NA	5-2-013:006
Nihoa Complex	Molokai	NA	5-2-013:009
Pahiomu Fishpond	Molokai	NA	5-5-001:010
Pakui Heiau	Molokai	NA	5-6-006:013
Pu'u 'Olelo Heiau	Molokai	NA	5-6-006:013
R. W. Meyer Sugar	Molokai	NA	5-2-016:045
S.W. Molokai Archaeological District (Discontiguous sites)	Molokai	NA	Multiple
Sandalwood Pit	Molokai	NA	5-4-003:025
St. Joseph Catholic Church	Molokai	NA	5-6-008:005
U.S. Coast Guard Molokai Light	Molokai	NA	6-1-001:003
Waiakea Fishpond (Molokai Fishponds Multiple Property, also see Quads 04,05)	Molokai	NA	5-2-011:020
2 Aalapapa Pl. (a.k.a. "Hilltop House", "Puuhonua" or "Bird Lady's House")	Oahu	2 Aalapapa Pl., Lanikai	4-3-008:087
2022 University Ave.	Oahu	2022 University Av.	2-8-022:037
3029 Oahu Avenue	Oahu	3029 Oahu Av.	2-9-032:053
3033 Oahu Avenue	Oahu	3033 Oahu Av.	2-9-032:019
3162 Huelani Dr. (a.k.a. "Hale Huelani")	Oahu	3162 Huelani Dr.	2-9-034:014
931 14th Avenue, Kaimuki	Oahu	931 14th Avenue, Kaimuki	3-2-047:014
A.T. Cooke and P.E. Spalding Residence	Oahu	2447 Makiki Heights Dr.	2-5-008:002
Ada Gartley Residence	Oahu	2208 Kamehameha Av., Manoa	2-9-002:014
Adolph Egholm Residence #1	Oahu	3022 Kalakaua Av., Diamond Head	3-1-033:020
Ala Wai Canal	Oahu	NA	2-6-Variou
Albert and Alice Berg Residence	Oahu	2386 Oahu Av., Manoa	2-9-005:023
Albert E. Coxhead Residence	Oahu	1932 Awapuhi Av., Manoa	2-9-016:013
Albert R. "Sunny" Cunha House	Oahu	1305 Center St., Kaimuki	3-3-007:012

<b>Property Name</b>	<b>Island</b>	<b>Address/Property Area</b>	<b>Tax Map Key</b>
Alex G. and Jessie T. Horn Residence	Oahu	2320 Sonoma St., Manoa	2-9-008:051
Alexander & Baldwin Building	Oahu	129 Merchant St.	2-1-013:001
Alfa Hatch Residence	Oahu	3255 Huelani Dr., Manoa	2-9-034:006
Alfred Hocking Beach House a.k.a. Hale Pohaku	Oahu	41-849 Laumilo Street, Waimanalo	4-1-004:042
Alfred Hocking House (a.k.a. Rose Chang Lee House)	Oahu	1302 Nehoa St., Makiki	2-4-027:065
Alfred Sturgis Residence	Oahu	118 Poloke Place, Tantalus	2-5-015:007
Alice Jones/Abraham Lewis Residence	Oahu	2211 Kamehameha Av., Manoa	2-9-001:025
Alice K. Rodenhurst Residence	Oahu	928 14th Av., Kaimuki	3-2-047:036
Ali'iolani Hale	Oahu	NA	2-1-025:003
Allan R. Johnson Residence	Oahu	2442 Sonoma St., Manoa	2-9-008:058
Aloha Tower	Oahu	NA	2-1-001:013
Alvin Melim Residence	Oahu	3038 Oahu Avenue	2-9-032:030
Andrade Beach Retreat	Oahu	908 Mokulua Dr., Lanikai	4-3-007:043
Anna Rice Cooke/Alice and Philip Spalding Residence	Oahu	2411 Makiki Heights Dr.	2-5-008:001
Antonio Victorino Soares and Arcenia Fernandes Soares Residence	Oahu	1407 Koko Head Av., Kaimuki	3-3-008:030
ART DECO PARKS Thematic: Ala Wai Park Clubhouse	Oahu	NA	2-7-036:005
ART DECO PARKS Thematic: Haleiwa Beach Park	Oahu	Waialua	6-2-001:002
ART DECO PARKS Thematic: Kawanānakoā Playground	Oahu	Nuuanu	2-2-009:011 (portion), 2-2-009:012
ART DECO PARKS Thematic: Mother Waldron Playground	Oahu	Kakaako	2-1-051:005
ART-DECO PARKS Thematic: Ala Moana Beach Park	Oahu	1201 Ala Moana Blvd.	2-3-037:001
Arthur and Beatrice Greenwell House	Oahu	1919 Ualakaa St.	2-5-001:005
Arthur Coyne House	Oahu	2386 East Manoa Road	2-9-010:034
ARTILLERY DISTRICT OF HONOLULU: Battery Hasebrouck	Oahu	NA	9-9-001:013
ARTILLERY DISTRICT OF HONOLULU: Battery Hawkins	Oahu	NA	9-9-001:013
ARTILLERY DISTRICT OF HONOLULU: Battery Hawkins Annex	Oahu	NA	9-9-001:013

<b>Property Name</b>	<b>Island</b>	<b>Address/Property Area</b>	<b>Tax Map Key</b>
ARTILLERY DISTRICT OF HONOLULU: Battery Jackson	Oahu	NA	9-9-001:013
ARTILLERY DISTRICT OF HONOLULU: Battery Randolph	Oahu	NA	2-6-005:001
ARTILLERY DISTRICT OF HONOLULU: Battery Selfridge	Oahu	NA	9-9-001:013
August and Emilia Rego Cottage A	Oahu	1058A Green Street, Punchbowl	2-4-017:031-0002
August C. Spoehler Residence (a.k.a. Robertson Arnott Residence)	Oahu	2726 Ferdinand Av., Manoa	2-9-016:025
Austin Jones Residence	Oahu	2230 Kamehameha Av., Manoa	2-9-002:013
Beach Midden Site	Oahu	NA	9-1-074:036
Bellows Field Archaeological Area	Oahu	NA	4-1-015:001,015
Bishop Bank	Oahu	63 Merchant St.	2-1-002:019
Bishop Museum Complex	Oahu	1525 Bernice St., Kalihi	1-6-024:001 (portion)
Board of Agriculture and Forestry Building	Oahu	1428 South King St., lower Makiki	2-4-005:018
Burial Cave, Ka'a'awa	Oahu	Kaaawa	5-1-005:001
Burial Platform	Oahu	NA	5-7-002:003
C. Brewer Building	Oahu	827 Fort St. Mall	2-1-013:003
C. L. Crutchfield Residence	Oahu	4823 Matsonia Dr., Welhelmina Rise	3-3-026:009
C. W. Dickey House	Oahu	3030 Kalakaua Av., Diamond Head	3-1-033:018
C.W. Case Deering Residence	Oahu	4191 Round Top Dr.	2-5-016:030
Carl and Francis Bayer Residence	Oahu	5329 Kalaniana'ole Hwy., Aina Hina	3-6-003:012
Castle Memorial Hall	Oahu	NA	2-8-016:001
Catherine H. Richards/William and Emma Goodale Residence	Oahu	247 Dowsett Av., Nuuanu	2-2-045:004 & 005
Catherine MacIntosh Brown Residence	Oahu	2115 Brown Way	2-9-011:009
Central Intermediate School	Oahu	1302 Queen Emma St., Downtown	2-1-009:001
Central Union Church	Oahu	1660 South Beretania St.	2-8-011:002
Charles and Edith Cooke Residence	Oahu	2869 Manoa Road	2-9-019:004
Charles and Mae Boettcher Residence	Oahu	248 North Kalaheo, Kailua	4-3-016:004
Charles Martin House	Oahu	3528 Campbell Av., Kapahulu	3-1-015:053
Charles Montague Cooke, Jr. Residence Boundary Revision, Add	Oahu	NA	2-9-019:043

<b>Property Name</b>	<b>Island</b>	<b>Address/Property Area</b>	<b>Tax Map Key</b>
Charles Montague Cooke, Jr., Residence	Oahu	2859 Manoa Rd.	2-9-019:035
Charlotte Erickson Meyer/William C. Furer Residence	Oahu	1909 Aleo Place, Manoa	2-9-008:036
CHINATOWN HISTORIC DISTRICT	Oahu	NA	1-7-002,003,004
Church of the Crossroads	Oahu	1212 University Av., Moilili	2-8-006:017
CINCPAC FLT Headquarters, Commander in Chief, Pacific Fleet, Pearl Harbor, Makalapa Crater	Oahu	NA	9-9-002:004 (portion)
Clarence Cooke Guest Beach House	Oahu	1548 Mokulua Drive, Lanikai	4-3-003:091
Clarence Cooke Residence	Oahu	3860 Old Pali Rd., Nuuanu	1-9-005:004
Clarence H. Dyer Residence (a.k.a Doak and Majorie Cox Residence)	Oahu	1929 Kakela Dr., Manoa	2-8-019:029
Clinton Briggs Ripley Homestead	Oahu	52-54-56-58 Robinson Ln., Nuuanu	1-8-003:002, 003,004,005
COLLEGE HILLS TRACT Thematic: Antonio Perry Residence	Oahu	2111 Brown Way, Manoa	2-9-011:015
COLLEGE HILLS TRACT Thematic: Arthur L. Andrews Residence	Oahu	2346 Liloa Rise, Manoa	2-9-006:011
COLLEGE HILLS TRACT Thematic: Carrie A. Thompson House	Oahu	2139 McKinley St., Manoa	2-8-020:011
COLLEGE HILLS TRACT Thematic: Charles and Emma Hottel/Ebert and Sybil Botts Residence	Oahu	2056 Lanihuli Dr., Manoa	2-9-001:041
COLLEGE HILLS TRACT Thematic: Charles and Vena Reed Residence	Oahu	2225 Kamehameha Av., Manoa	2-9-001:010
COLLEGE HILLS TRACT Thematic: Charles H. Hitchcock House	Oahu	2376 Oahu Av., Manoa	2-9-005:024
COLLEGE HILLS TRACT Thematic: Frank C. Atherton House	Oahu	2234 Kamehameha Av., Manoa	2-9-002:012
COLLEGE HILLS TRACT Thematic: Freddie Steere Residence	Oahu	2330 Beckwith St., Manoa	2-9-007:006
COLLEGE HILLS TRACT Thematic: George and Emily Winant Residence	Oahu	2361 East Manoa Rd., Manoa	2-9-007:021
COLLEGE HILLS TRACT Thematic: Glazier Residence	Oahu	2121 Lanihuli Dr., Manoa	2-8-020:014
COLLEGE HILLS TRACT Thematic: Henry and Henrietta Bredhoff House	Oahu	2542 Malama Pl., Manoa	2-9-012:024
COLLEGE HILLS TRACT Thematic: Ida Macdonald Residence	Oahu	2243 Mohala Way, Manoa	2-9-006:023

<b>Property Name</b>	<b>Island</b>	<b>Address/Property Area</b>	<b>Tax Map Key</b>
COLLEGE HILLS TRACT Thematic: J.D. and Laura Marques Residence	Oahu	2141 Mohala Way /2312 Liloa Rise, Manoa	2-9-006:014
COLLEGE HILLS TRACT Thematic: Jane Pell Residence	Oahu	2311 Liloa Rise, Manoa	2-9-005:035
COLLEGE HILLS TRACT Thematic: Leo and Marguerite Miller Residence	Oahu	2318 Beckwith St., Manoa	2-9-007:008
COLLEGE HILLS TRACT Thematic: Mark Potter Residence	Oahu	2208 Hyde St., Manoa	2-8-022:020
COLLEGE HILLS TRACT Thematic: Mary Abel Residence	Oahu	2107 Lanihuli Dr., Manoa	2-8-020:012
COLLEGE HILLS TRACT Thematic: Ray Morris Residence	Oahu	2207 Mohala Way, Manoa	2-9-006:020
COLLEGE HILLS TRACT Thematic: Walter and Emma Snyder Residence	Oahu	2418 Oahu Av., Manoa	2-9-011:010
COLLEGE HILLS TRACT Thematic: Mildred Yoder Residence	Oahu	2239 Mohala Way, Manoa	2-9-006:022
Cornelia W. Good Residence	Oahu	2334 Ferdinand Av., Manoa	2-9-008:021(1)
Cyrus and Amy Loo Residence	Oahu	2727 Kolonahe Place, Tantalus	2-5-023:044
D. Worthington / H. Hewitt Residence	Oahu	158 and 164 Poloke Pl.	2-2-015:004
David and Martha Thrum House	Oahu	2119 Kaola Way	2-8-020:040
Dillingham Transportation Building	Oahu	733 Bishop St.	2-1-014:003
Donald Hayselden Residence	Oahu	2344 Sonoma St., Manoa	2-9-008:046
Dr. A. Clifford Braly House	Oahu	2608 Ferdinand Avenue, Manoa	2-9-009:016
Dr. Archibald Sinclair Residence	Oahu	2725 Terrace Dr./2726 Hillside Av., Manoa	2-9-015:023
Dr. Edward Lau Residence	Oahu	17 Kepola Place, Nuuanu	2-2-005:026
Dr. Gardner and Esther Black Residence	Oahu	4817 Aukai Avenue	3-5-007:026
Dr. Henry Bicknell Residence	Oahu	2336 Liloa Rise, Manoa	2-9-006:012
Dr. Vasco E. M. and Olga Marion Osorio Investment Property	Oahu	3136 Huelani Drive, Manoa	2-9-033:017
Earl and Lillian McGhee Residence	Oahu	2627 East Manoa Rd.	2-9-014:037
East-West Center Complex	Oahu	NA	2-8-023:005
Ed Sheehan Residence	Oahu	239 Kulamanu Place	3-1-040:061
Edgar & Lucy Henriques House	Oahu	20 Old Pali Pl., Nuuanu	1-9-009:016
Edric Cook Residence	Oahu	NA	4-3-025:035

<b>Property Name</b>	<b>Island</b>	<b>Address/Property Area</b>	<b>Tax Map Key</b>
Edward B. Loomis Residence	Oahu	2442 Sonoma St., Manoa	2-9-008:039
Edward J. Greaney / Zodiac Brown Residence	Oahu	3115 Noela Drive, Diamond Head	3-1-027:007001
Edwin and Emma Stone Residence	Oahu	2505 E Manoa Rd	2-9-011:022
Edwin and Maude Benner Residence	Oahu	2533 Malama Place, Manoa	2-9-012:004
Edwin H. Bryan Residence	Oahu	2721 Ferdinand Av., Manoa	2-9-016:015
Elizabeth W. Leong Residence	Oahu	2721 Kolonahe Pl. Lower Tantalus	2-5-023:045
Elma Schadt House (a.k.a. "The Coral House")	Oahu	49-705 Kamehameha Hwy.	4-9-008:012
Emerald Building	Oahu	1146-48-50 Bishop St., Downtown	2-1-010:041
ENGLISH TUDOR / FRENCH NORMAN COTTAGES Thematic: 3023 Kalakaua Avenue	Oahu	NA	3-1-033:051
ENGLISH TUDOR / FRENCH NORMAN COTTAGES Thematic: 2311 Ferdinand Avenue	Oahu	NA	2-9-008:016
ENGLISH TUDOR / FRENCH NORMAN COTTAGES Thematic: 2826 Coconut Avenue	Oahu	NA	3-1-033:046
ENGLISH TUDOR / FRENCH NORMAN COTTAGES Thematic: 2830 Coconut Avenue	Oahu	NA	3-1-033:045
ENGLISH TUDOR / FRENCH NORMAN COTTAGES Thematic: 2958 Pali Highway	Oahu	NA	1-8-011:007
ENGLISH TUDOR / FRENCH NORMAN COTTAGES Thematic: 3023A Kalakaua Avenue	Oahu	NA	3-1-033:013
ENGLISH TUDOR / FRENCH NORMAN COTTAGES Thematic: 3023B Kalakaua Avenue	Oahu	NA	3-1-033:008
ENGLISH TUDOR / FRENCH NORMAN COTTAGES Thematic: 3027 Kalakaua Avenue	Oahu	NA	3-1-033:050
ENGLISH TUDOR / FRENCH NORMAN COTTAGES Thematic: 3029 Felix Street	Oahu	NA	3-3-053:025
ENGLISH TUDOR / FRENCH NORMAN COTTAGES Thematic: 3033 Kalakaua Avenue	Oahu	NA	3-1-033:014
ENGLISH TUDOR / FRENCH NORMAN COTTAGES Thematic: 3033B Kalakaua Avenue	Oahu	NA	3-1-033:007
ENGLISH TUDOR / FRENCH NORMAN COTTAGES Thematic: 3050 Kiele Avenue	Oahu	NA	3-1-033:028
ENGLISH TUDOR / FRENCH NORMAN COTTAGES Thematic: 3581 Woodlawn Drive	Oahu	NA	2-9-048:007

<b>Property Name</b>	<b>Island</b>	<b>Address/Property Area</b>	<b>Tax Map Key</b>
ENGLISH TUDOR / FRENCH NORMAN COTTAGES Thematic: 4109 Black Point Road	Oahu	NA	3-5-001:026
ENGLISH TUDOR / FRENCH NORMAN COTTAGES Thematic: 4354 Kahala Avenue	Oahu	NA	3-5-013:008
ENGLISH TUDOR / FRENCH NORMAN COTTAGES Thematic: 4584 Kahala Avenue	Oahu	NA	3-5-004:012
ENGLISH TUDOR / FRENCH NORMAN COTTAGES Thematic: 4850 Kahala Avenue	Oahu	NA	3-5-007:001
Ephraim V. and Rose Sayers Residence	Oahu	2211 Mohala Way, Manoa	2-9-006:021
Eric Fennel Residence	Oahu	2310 Ferdinand Av., Manoa	2-9-008:023
Ernest R. Cameron House, American Association of University Women Residence	Oahu	1802 Keeaumoku St.	2-4-025-002
Ernest Shelton Van Tassel House (Nutridge)	Oahu	3280 Round Top Dr., Tantalus	2-5-019:004
Ewa Villages	Oahu	NA	9-1-017:078, :101, 102, :126; 9-1-095, :096, :097
Eyman-Judson Residence	Oahu	3114 Paty Dr., Manoa	2-9-039:001
Falls of Clyde	Oahu	NA	2-1-001:060
Farrington High School	Oahu	NA	1-6-021:005 (portion)
Filipino Federation of America/Equi Frili Brium Church	Oahu	1534 Kalaeepaa Drive, Kalihi	1-4-021:052
FIRE STATIONS OF OAHU Thematic Group	Oahu	NA	2-1-009:026/3-2-036:007 (portion)/ 1-3-005:022 (portion)/2-4-029:029 (portion)/2-1-031:018/1-5-005:014/6-6-013:003 (portion)
FIRE STATIONS OF OAHU Thematic Group: Kaimuki Fire Station	Oahu	NA	3-2-036:007 (portion)
FIRE STATIONS OF OAHU Thematic Group: Kalihi Fire Station	Oahu	NA	1-3-005:022 (portion)
FIRE STATIONS OF OAHU Thematic Group: Makiki Fire Station	Oahu	NA	2-4-029:029 (portion)
FIRE STATIONS OF OAHU Thematic Group: Old Kakaako Fire Station	Oahu	NA	2-1-031:018
FIRE STATIONS OF OAHU Thematic Group: Palama Fire Station	Oahu	NA	1-5-005:014
FIRE STATIONS OF OAHU Thematic Group: Waialua Fire Station	Oahu	NA	6-6-013:003 (portion)
FIRE STATIONS OF OAHU Thematic Group:	Oahu	NA	2-1-031:018

Property Name	Island	Address/Property Area	Tax Map Key
Kakaako Fire Station			
Flora Lidgate Residence	Oahu	2494 East Manoa Rd.	2-9-010:001
Fort Kamehameha Housing	Oahu	NA	9-9-001:013,014
Fort Ruger Historical District	Oahu	NA	3-1-042:009,020
Fort Ruger Market	Oahu	3585 Alohea Avenue	3-1-010:159
Fort Shafter, Palm Circle, 100 Area	Oahu	NA	1-1-008:005
Forty Niner Restaurant	Oahu	98-110 Honomanu Street, Aiea	9-8-019:042
Foster Botanic Garden	Oahu	Vineyard	1-7-007:001,002, 1-7-008:001,002
Francis and Janetta Peterson Residence	Oahu	3034 Manoa Rd.	2-9-032:012
Frank and Juliette Lee Residence	Oahu	914 12th Av., Kaimuki	3-2-019:029
Frank and Kathryn Plum Residence (a.k.a. Rosof Residence)	Oahu	3044 Kalakaua Av., Diamond Head	3-1-033:017
Frank and Mary Bechert Residence	Oahu	2872 Manoa Road	2-9-017:004
Frank Souza House	Oahu	1839 Lusitana Street	2-2-007:078
Fred Harrison Rental Property	Oahu	3050 Kalakaua Avenue	3-1-033:054
Frederick G. Krauss Residence	Oahu	2437 Parker Pl., Manoa	2-9-012:029, 070
Friend Building	Oahu	NA	2-1-002:032
Friendship Garden	Oahu	44-226 Kokokahi Place	4-4-031:076
George D. Oakley Residence	Oahu	2110 Kakela Pl., Manoa	2-8-019:046
George Fred Wright Residence	Oahu	3137 Oahu Av., Manoa	2-9-032:027 (portion)
George Miller House (a.k.a. known as Carlson House)	Oahu	747 Ocean View Dr., Kaimuki	3-2-055:030
George P. Castle Mountain Residence	Oahu	2998 Pacific Heights Rd.	2-2-031:017
George Robert Ewart Residence	Oahu	2350 and 2370 Nuuanu Av.	1-8-005:031,032
George Yanagihara Residence	Oahu	941-A 8th Av., Kaimuki	3-2-017:010
Georges de S. Canavarro House	Oahu	2731 Rooke Av., Nuuanu	1-8-026:005
Gerald A. Dolan Residence	Oahu	44-431 Kaneohe Bay Dr.	4-4-006:009,015
Gobindrum Watumull House	Oahu	3015 Kiele Avenue, Diamond Head	3-1-033:025
Grace Cooke Residence	Oahu	2365 Oahu Av., Manoa	2-9-005:056
H. Alexander Walker Residence	Oahu	2616 Pali Highway	1-8-008:001
H. Allen Cook Residence	Oahu	1915 Komaia Dr., Manoa	2-9-017:018
Hale Hani Court	Oahu	1526, 1526A, 1526B, 1534, 1534A Wilhelmina Rise	3-3-032:019
Hanawao Heiau	Oahu	NA	5-2-002:001
Harold and Estella Everett Residence	Oahu	3209 Oahu Avenue	2-9-035:035

<b>Property Name</b>	<b>Island</b>	<b>Address/Property Area</b>	<b>Tax Map Key</b>
Harold Eichelberger Family Beach House	Oahu	1556 Mokulua Drive, Lanikai	4-3-003:067
Harold L. Castle Beach Residence	Oahu	55 Kailuana Place, Kailua	4-3-022:014-0001
Harold T. Kay Residence	Oahu	1612 Alewa Dr., Alewa Heights	1-8-029:001
Haumalu (a.k.a. Martha and Frank Gerbode Residence)	Oahu	3065 Diamond Head Rd. and 2831 Coconut Av.	3-1-036:009
Hawaii Shingon Mission	Oahu	915 Sheridan St.	2-3-018:004
Hawaii State Library	Oahu	NA	2-1-025:001
Hawaiian Canoe Malia	Oahu	2015 Kapiolani Blvd.	2-7-036:005
Hawaiian Electric Company	Oahu	NA	2-1-016:001
Hawaiian Electric Company	Oahu	45-3625 Mamane Street	2-1-016:001
Hawaiian Electric Company	Oahu	NA	2-1-016:001
Hawaiian Trail and Mountain Club	Oahu	41-023 Puuone St., Waimanalo	4-1-005:090
He'eia Fishpond	Oahu	NA	4-6-005:001
Heinrich Kreye Residence	Oahu	2714 Aolani Pl., Manoa	2-9-014:004
Helene Morgan Residence	Oahu	3040 Diamond Head Road	3-1-034:026
Henry and Florence Davis Residence	Oahu	2765 Pacific Heights Road	2-2-023:025
Henry Ho Court	Oahu	1252, 1252A, 1256, 1256A Ekaha Avenue, Honolulu, HI 96816	3-3-002:024
Herbert Austin Residence	Oahu	3060 Oahu Av., Manoa	2-9-032:028
Hermann and Johanna Rohrig Residence	Oahu	2146 Kamehameha Avenue, Manoa	2-9-006:015
Hibiscus Place	Oahu	2954 and 2956 Hibiscus Pl., Diamond Head	3-1-034:047
Hickam Air Force Base	Oahu	NA	9-9 Various
Ho Fow and Mary Chong Residence	Oahu	1420 Lunililo Street	2-4-022:021
Honolulu Academy of Arts	Oahu	NA	2-4-014:021
Honolulu Hale	Oahu	NA	2-1-033:007
Honolulu Hale Annex	Oahu	NA	2-1-033:010
Honolulu Hale/Grounds	Oahu	NA	2-1-033:007
Honolulu Plantation Manager's Residence	Oahu	98-563 Kaimu Loop, Aiea	9-8-030:050
Honolulu Star-Bulletin Building	Oahu	121/125 Merchant Street	2-1-013:008
Honouliuli Bridge	Oahu	Farrington Highway and Honouliuli Stream	None
Honouliuli Internment Camp	Oahu	Honouliuli Gulch, Vicinity of Waipahu	9-2-001:001

<b>Property Name</b>	<b>Island</b>	<b>Address/Property Area</b>	<b>Tax Map Key</b>
Houvener Residence	Oahu	1975 Judd Hillside Road	2-5-004:016
Howard and Betty Liljestrand Residence	Oahu	3300 Tantalus Dr.	2-5-011:008
Hugh G. Peterson, Jr. Residence	Oahu	2345 Makiki Heights Dr.	2-5-008:004
Huilua Fishpond	Oahu	NA	5-2-005:021
Hung Lum Chun Residence	Oahu	1321 Alewa Drive	1-8-032:001
Iolani Barracks	Oahu	NA	2-1-025:002
Iolani Barracks	Oahu	NA	2-1-025:002
Iolani Palace Bandstand	Oahu	NA	2-1-025:002
Iolani Palace/Grounds (includes Old Archives Building and Old Mausoleum)	Oahu	NA	2-1-025:002
Irvine Paris Residence	Oahu	2475 Makiki Heights Dr.	2-5-009:015
Irwin Memorial Park	Oahu	NA	2-1-013:007
J. Lindsay and Margaret Grimshaw Residence	Oahu	2747 Puuhonua St.	2-9-016:034
J. P. Mendonca Residence	Oahu	1942 Judd Hillside Rd., Manoa	2-5-004:009
James A. Lyle Residence (a.k.a. Kenneth and Mae Seto Residence)	Oahu	2371 Oahu Av., Manoa	2-9-005:019
James and Asta Hughes Residence	Oahu	2722 Sonoma St., Manoa	2-9-016:026
James and Virginia Machado Residences (a.k.a. Machado Homestead and “The Fair Ladies”)	Oahu	1775 Lusitana,/207 Concordia/209 Concordia, Punchbowl	2-2-007:037-0001, -0002, -0003
James Cockburn Residence	Oahu	2440 Ferdinand Av., Manoa	2-9-008:029
James David Mulvehill Residence	Oahu	3148 Alika Drive, Nuuanu Dowsett	2-2-045:028
James Haynes Residence	Oahu	3026 Kalakaua Avenue	2-5-014:011
James L. Coke Residence	Oahu	3649 Nuuanu Pali Dr., Nuuanu	2-2-051:010
James Mann Residence	Oahu	125 Kalaiopua Place	2-5-014:011
James R. and Louise Judd Residence	Oahu	2490 Makiki Heights Drive	2-4-035:002
Jean Charlot Residence	Oahu	4956 Kahala Av.	3-5-008:029
Jean Vaughan Gilbert Residence	Oahu	2366 Lipoa Rise, Manoa	2-9-006:009
John & Kate Kelly Residence	Oahu	4117 Black Point Rd., Kahala	3-1-041:010
John A. Davis Residence	Oahu	2119 Armstrong/2251 Mohala Way, Manoa	2-9-006:024
John and Alice Hind Residence	Oahu	2561 Manoa Road	2-9-010:033
John and Eleanor Freitas Residence	Oahu	1947 Judd Hillside, Manoa	2-5-004:007
John and Jane Millen Residence	Oahu	3797 Diamond Head Circle, Kapahulu	3-1-020:019

<b>Property Name</b>	<b>Island</b>	<b>Address/Property Area</b>	<b>Tax Map Key</b>
John and Julia Stone Residence	Oahu	2746 Kahawai Street	2-9-014:063
John and Ruth Steffee Residence	Oahu	1955 Makiki St	2-5-001:043
John Francis Gray Stokes Residence (a.k.a. Young Residence)	Oahu	2618 Ferdinand Av., Manoa	2-9-009:015
John Guild Residence	Oahu	2001 Vancouver Dr., lower Manoa	2-8-016:028
John T. Waterhouse Residence/Borthwick Residence	Oahu	420 Wylie St., Nuuanu	1-8-006:007
Johnny Noble Residence	Oahu	2625 Doris Pl., Manoa	2-9-014:043
Jones, Isabella Residence	Oahu	71 Dowsett Av.	2-2-044:024
Joseph and Jean Skorpen Residence	Oahu	3968 Lurline Dr., Wilhelmina Rise	3-3-027:008
Joseph E. and Luiza Gouveia Residence	Oahu	2134 Metcalf Street	2-8-017:042
Joseph Pratt Residence	Oahu	2911 Pacific Heights Rd.	2-2-030:033
Joseph W. Podmore Building (Bon Bon Cafe)	Oahu	202 and 206 Merchant St., Downtown	2-1-016:004
Juliet Montague Guard Residence (a.k.a. "Armstrong Manor")	Oahu	2426 Armstrong St., Manoa	2-9-012:014
Ka'ena Complex	Oahu	NA	6-9-002:006,009; 8-1-001:006,016
Kahalu'u Fishpond (Kahouna Fishpond)	Oahu	NA	4-7-011:001
Kahalu'u Taro Loi	Oahu	NA	4-7-051:004
Kahuku Habitation Area	Oahu	Kahuku	5-6-003:010
Kahuku Plantation Supervisor's House	Oahu	NA	5-6-010:158
Kaimuki Reservoir, Kaimuki Scout Bowl	Oahu	East corner of Crater Road, Kaimuki	3-2-035:012 (portion)
Kaka'ako Pumping Station	Oahu	653 Ala Moana Blvd., Kakaako	2-1-015:043,044
Kalou Fishpond	Oahu	NA	5-8-001:015,055
Kamaunu Residence	Oahu	89-425 Pohakunui Av.	8-9-006:039
Kamehameha Statue	Oahu	NA	2-1-025:003
Kamehameha Statue	Oahu	NA	2-1-025:003
Kamehameha V Post Office	Oahu	44 Merchant St.	2-1-002:012
Kaneohe Naval Air Station	Oahu	NA	4-4-008:001; 4-4-Various
Kane'ohe Ranch Building	Oahu	NA	4-5-035:003
Kaniakapupu (aka Kamehameha III Summer Palace)	Oahu	NA	2-2-054:001
Kapapa Island Complex	Oahu	NA	4-4-008:004
Kapiolani Park	Oahu	NA	3-1-030:001, 002, 003, 004; 3-1-031:003, 004, 005, 006, 007; 3-1-043:All except 004, 005, 011, 012

<b>Property Name</b>	<b>Island</b>	<b>Address/Property Area</b>	<b>Tax Map Key</b>
Kapuaiwa Building	Oahu	NA	2-1-025:003
Kaumakapili Church	Oahu	766 N. King St.	1-7-031:049
Kawa'ewa'e Heiau	Oahu	NA	4-5-033:001
Kawaihaho Church and Grounds (includes Lunalilo's Tomb and Adobe Schoolhouse)	Oahu	957 Punchbowl St.	2-1-032:017
Kawailoa Ryusenji Temple (Temple Demolished, Foundation Steps and Prayer Tree Remain)	Oahu	NA	6-1-005:001
KAWAIILOA TRAINING SCHOOL FOR GIRLS (Industrial School): Hookipa Cottage	Oahu	NA	4-2-006:002
KAWAIILOA TRAINING SCHOOL FOR GIRLS (Industrial School): Maluhia Cottage	Oahu	NA	4-2-006:002
KAWAIILOA TRAINING SCHOOL FOR GIRLS (Industrial School): Gymnasium	Oahu	NA	4-2-006:002
KAWAIILOA TRAINING SCHOOL FOR GIRLS (Industrial School): Hilltop Cottage	Oahu	NA	4-2-006:002
KAWAIILOA TRAINING SCHOOL FOR GIRLS (Industrial School): Manoa Cottage	Oahu	NA	4-2-006:002
Kea'au Talus Sites	Oahu	NA	8-3-001:012
Keaiwa Heiau	Oahu	NA	9-9-011:001,002, 003,004,005,006
Kealii O Ka Malu Church	Oahu	NA	6-6-008:017
Kenneth and Frances Day Residence (a.k.a. Richard Gosling Residence and Joseph Ferraro Residence)	Oahu	2703 Terrace Dr., Manoa	2-9-015:039
Kin and Lau Shee Lum Residence	Oahu	840 15th Avenue, Kaimuki	3-2-051:025
Kipapa School Building B	Oahu	NA	9-5-021:002 (portion)
Koa (Rabbit Island)	Oahu	NA	4-1-014:009
KUALOA AHUPUAA HISTORIC DISTRICT	Oahu	NA	4-9-004:001, 4-9-005:001, portion of 4-9-006:001
Kukaniloko Birthing Place Boundary Revision	Oahu	NA	7-1-001:004
Kukuipilau Heiau	Oahu	NA	4-2-006:002
Kupopolo Heiau	Oahu	NA	6-1-005:016
Kyoto Gardens of Honolulu Memorial Park	Oahu	22 Craigsides Pl., Nuuanu	2-2-020:001
La Pietra	Oahu	2933 Poni Moi Rd.	3-1-029:038
Lanikai Monument	Oahu	NA	4-3-009:001
Leleahina Heiau	Oahu	NA	4-6-014:005

<b>Property Name</b>	<b>Island</b>	<b>Address/Property Area</b>	<b>Tax Map Key</b>
Lemon Wond Holt Residence	Oahu	3704 Anuheha St., Wilhelmina Rise	3-3-016:066
Leong and Mildred (Chang) Young Residence	Oahu	847 Paahana Street	3-2-020:050
Leong-Yap Residence	Oahu	934 8th Av., Kaimuki	3-2-017:044
Leroy Bush Residence	Oahu	3107 Oahu Av., Manoa	2-9-032:024
Lihiwai (George R. Carter House)	Oahu	51 Kepola Pl., Nuuanu	2-2-050: 012,036,042,043
Lihiwai Boundary Increase	Oahu	NA	2-2-050:057
Linekona School	Oahu	1111 Victoria St.	2-4-002:020
Louis and Marjorie Booth Stephens Residence	Oahu	3239 Pali Hwy., Nuuanu	2-2-045:040
Louis Butler Residence (a.k.a. Alice Hoogs Residence and Elisabeth Gladding Residence)	Oahu	2027 Lanihuli	2-8-019:013
Lyndon Roberts Residence	Oahu	4382 Kahala Av.	3-5-013:004
Mabel Smyth Memorial Building	Oahu	510 Punchbowl St., Downtown	2-1-035:001
Makani'olu Shelter	Oahu	NA	3-8-004:001
Makiki Christian Church	Oahu	829 Pensacola St., lower Makiki	2-3-011:002
Malcolm and Janet MacIntyre Residence	Oahu	2375 University Av., Manoa	2-9-003:002
Manoa Chinese Cemetery	Oahu	NA	2-9-043:001
Marconi Wireless Telegraph Station	Oahu	58-1095 Kamehameha Hwy., Kahuku	5-6-003:032
Mark Robinson Beach House	Oahu	33 Pilipu Pl., Kailua	4-3-018:045
Marshall and Ruth Goodsill Residence	Oahu	4258 Puu Panini Pl., Diamond Head	3-2-061:007
Martha K. Dowsett Residence	Oahu	3320 Kaohinani Dr.	2-2-050:004
Mary Lyman Residence	Oahu	1108 Kaumailuna Pl., Alewa Heights	1-8-033:066
May and George Jennings Residence	Oahu	1176 Alewa Dr., Alewa Heights	1-8-033:007
May/Spalding Residence	Oahu	2231 Mohala Way	2-9-006:008
McKinley High School	Oahu	NA	2-3-009:001 (portion)
Melchers Building	Oahu	51 Merchant St.	2-1-020:020
MERCHANT STREET DISTRICT Judd Building	Oahu	843 Fort St. Mall	2-1-013:004
Mervin and Marian Williams Residence	Oahu	1519 Oneele Pl., Makiki	2-5-001:021
Mildred M. Yodor House	Oahu	1619 Makiki St. and 1614 Anapuni St.	2-4-023:008, 069
Miles and Kathy Anderson Residence	Oahu	1320 Aalapapa St., Lanikai	4-3-004:064
Milton I Residence	Oahu	4339 Papu Circle	3-1-044:051

<b>Property Name</b>	<b>Island</b>	<b>Address/Property Area</b>	<b>Tax Map Key</b>
Minnie Churchill Residence	Oahu	2424 East Manoa Road	2-9-010:009
Mission Houses	Oahu	NA	2-1-032:002
Mission Memorial Building (Honolulu Hale Annex)	Oahu	NA	2-1-033:007
Moana Hotel	Oahu	2365 Kalakaua Av.	2-6-001:012
Moanalua Community Church	Oahu	NA	1-1-010:034
Moiliili Japanese Cemetery	Oahu	2642 Kapiolani Boulevard	2-7-018:024
Mokapu Burial Area	Oahu	Mokapu	4-4-008:001
Moli'i Fishpond	Oahu	NA	4-9-003:001,002, 012,013,014,015,016,018
Mrs. Helen Bruns House	Oahu	2621 Anuenue Street	2-9-014:070
Mrs. Josephine Ketchum Residence	Oahu	3004 Kiele, Diamond Head	3-1-033:035
Nioiula Heiau	Oahu	NA	8-8-001:001
Nu'uano Petroglyph Complex	Oahu	NA	2-2-021:007, 2-2-020:014
Oahu Railway & Land Company Right-of-Way (National)	Oahu	ROW	8-9 & 9-1
Oahu Railway and Land Company Right-of-Way and Base Yard (State)	Oahu	ROW and 91-1001 Renton Road	8-9, 9-1, and 9-1-69:001
Okiokiolepe Pond	Oahu	NA	9-1-001:001
Old Police Station (Walter Murray Gibson Building.)	Oahu	842 Bethel St.	2-1-002:057
Old U.S. Post Office, Custom House and Court House (Federal Building)	Oahu	335 Merchant St.	2-1-025:004
Opana Radar Site	Oahu	NA	5-7-002:002
OR&L Office & Document Storage Building and Station	Oahu	333 N. King St., Iwilei	1-5-007:002
Otto and Laura Bierbach Residence	Oahu	2346 Oahu Av., Manoa	2-9-005:027
Our Lady of Peace Cathedral	Oahu	NA	2-1-001:014
Owen and Ellen Williams Residence	Oahu	3206 Ahinahina Pl., Palolo	3-3-045:029
Pahonu Turtle Pond	Oahu	NA	4-1-002:007
Pahukini Heiau	Oahu	NA	4-2-015:003
Paul and Catherine Withington Residence	Oahu	3150 Huelani Place	2-9-033:005-0004
Paul and Eleanor Burgher Residence	Oahu	3304 Kaohinani Drive, Nuuanu	2-2-050:005
Paul and Martha Wysard Residence	Oahu	2541 Malama Place	2-9-012:003
Paul Merle Scott Residence	Oahu	3104 Oahu Av., Manoa	2-9-032:040
Pearl Harbor Naval Base	Oahu	NA	9-1, 9-4, 9-6, 9-7, 9-8, 9-9

<b>Property Name</b>	<b>Island</b>	<b>Address/Property Area</b>	<b>Tax Map Key</b>
Percy Pond Residence (a.k.a. William Clark Residence and Duncan Dempster Residence)	Oahu	2026 McKinley, Manoa	2-8-019:019
Pohaku ka Luahine	Oahu	NA	1-1-013:001
Pohaku Lanai	Oahu	NA	6-6-007:007
Pohakunui Heiau	Oahu	NA	4-1-027:022
Pueo Heiau	Oahu	Waimanalo	4-1-008:005
Punahou School Campus	Oahu	NA	2-8-018:001
Punchbowl Crater and National Memorial Cemetery of the Pacific	Oahu	2177 Puowaina Dr.	2-2-005:001, 002
Pu'u o Mahuka Heiau	Oahu	NA	5-9-005:068
Queen Emma Square	Oahu	NA	2-1-018:004
Queen Emma's Summer Home (Hanaiakamalama)	Oahu	2913 Pali Hwy.	2-2-034:027
R. N. Linn Residence	Oahu	2013 Kakela Dr., Manoa	2-8-019:036
Railway Rolling Stock	Oahu	NA	9-1-069:001
Residence at 1519 Oliver Street	Oahu	1519 Oliver St., Moiliili	2-8-013:082
Richard J. Boyen Beach Cottage	Oahu	123 Kaiolena Drive, Lanikai	4-3-006:032
Richard M. Botley Residence	Oahu	3040 Hibiscus Pl., Diamond Head	3-1-034:012
Richardson Theater	Oahu	NA	1-1-008:005
Riley Allen Residence	Oahu	3275 Pacific Heights Rd.	2-2-029:014
Robert L. and Geraldine Mullen Residence	Oahu	4604 Aukai Avenue	3-5-031:014
Robert L. Lukens Residence	Oahu	3524 Woodlawn Dr., Manoa	2-2-047:012
Robert M. Purvis Residence	Oahu	3346 Kaohinani Dr., Nuuanu	2-2-050:002
Robert McCorriston Beach House	Oahu	1056 Mokolua Dr., Kailua	4-3-006:058
Ronald and Miriam Deissaroth Residence	Oahu	671 Old Mokapu Road	4-4-025:004
Roosevelt High School	Oahu	NA	2-4-032:002
Royal Brewery	Oahu	545 Queen Street	2-1-031:021
Royal Mausoleum	Oahu	NA	2-2-021:012
Royal Saloon	Oahu	2 Merchant St.	2-1-002:035
S.W. Lee and Mavis W. Awana Residence	Oahu	377 Diamond Head Circle	3-1-020:021
Sacred Heart Church	Oahu	1701 Wilder Av., Makiki	2-8-012:068
Saint Peter's Church	Oahu	1317 Queen Emma St., Downtown	2-1-018:002
Sam and Mary Cooke Residence	Oahu	2829 Manoa Road	2-9-019:025
Samuel and Laura Walker Residence	Oahu	3030 Puiwa Ln., Nuuanu	2-2-044:026
SCHOFIELD BARRACKS HISTORICAL DISTRICT	Oahu	NA	7-7, Various

<b>Property Name</b>	<b>Island</b>	<b>Address/Property Area</b>	<b>Tax Map Key</b>
Schofield Barracks Stockade	Oahu	NA	7-7, Various
Shields/Moore Residence	Oahu	NA	2-9-014:031
Sidney and Grace Carr Residence	Oahu	2727 Manoa Road	2-9-015:057
Small Heiau	Oahu	NA	4-9-004, 4-9-005
Springer Residence	Oahu	2128 Armstrong Street	2-9-011:017
St. Andrews Cathedral	Oahu	NA	2-1-018:002
St. Andrews Cathedral (includes Tenney Hall)	Oahu	NA	2-1-018:002
Stangenwald Building	Oahu	119 Merchant St.	2-1-013:005
Stanley Ball Residence	Oahu	2387 Beckwith St., Manoa	2-9-006:029
State Capitol/Grounds	Oahu	NA	2-1-024:001 and :008
State Capitol/Grounds	Oahu	NA	2-1-024:001 and :008
State Capitol/Grounds	Oahu	NA	2-1-024:All
State Tax Office	Oahu	NA	2-1-026:022
Stuart and Elisabeth Thompkins Residence	Oahu	2339 Pikake Pl., Nuuanu	1-8-005:014
T. R. Foster Building	Oahu	902 Nuuanu Av.	1-7-002:035
Tahitienne Cooperative Apartments (Whole Building)	Oahu	2999 Kalakaua Avenue	3-1-032:001
Tantalus Drive-Round Top Road	Oahu	Begin 1.5 Mile Marker on Tantalus Dr. and end at 8.0 Mile Marker on Round Top Dr.	2-4 & 2-5 various
TANTALUS RESIDENCES Thematic: James A. Wilder Residence	Oahu	3935 Round Top Dr.	2-5-017:011
TANTALUS RESIDENCES Thematic: Vincent and Eleanor Fullard-Leo Residence	Oahu	4069 Round Top Dr.	2-5-016:024
Tennent Art Foundation Gallery	Oahu	203 Prospect. Punchbowl	2-2-003:075&076
Territorial Office Building	Oahu	NA	2-1-025:003
The Hawai'i Theatre	Oahu	1130 Bethel St.	2-1-003:014
Theodore and Rose Vierra Residence	Oahu	2326 Sonoma St., Manoa	2-9-008:049
Thomas Alexander Burningham Residence	Oahu	2849 Pali Hwy., Nuuanu	2-2-034:040,041
Thomas Petrie Residence	Oahu	1916 Manoa Road	2-5-001:002
Thomas Square	Oahu	NA	2-4-001:001
Thomas Victor King Residence	Oahu	155 Dowsett Av., Nuuanu	2-2-046:056
Tom/Yee Court	Oahu	3405, 3409A and 3409B Wela St; 3554 Trousseau Street	3-1-010:045

<b>Property Name</b>	<b>Island</b>	<b>Address/Property Area</b>	<b>Tax Map Key</b>
Trentino or Kahuku Plantation Manager's Beach Cottage	Oahu	NA	5-7-003:041
Tsoong Nyee Society Cook House	Oahu	NA	9-4-010:004 (portion)
U.S. Coast Guard Diamond Head Lighthouse	Oahu	NA	3-1-042:003
U.S. Coast Guard Makapuu Point Light	Oahu	NA	3-9-011:001
U.S. Immigration Office	Oahu	595 Ala Moana Blvd.	2-1-015:018, 019
U.S.S. Bowfin	Oahu	NA	9-9-003:031
U.S.S. Missouri Battleship	Oahu	NA	9-9-003
UH Manoa Bachman Hall	Oahu	2444 Dole St.	2-8-023:003
UH Manoa Hemenway Hall	Oahu	2034 Campus Rd.	2-8-023:003
UH Manoa Music Complex	Oahu	2411 Dole St.	2-8-029:001
UH Manoa Sinclair Library	Oahu	2425 Campus Rd.	2-8-023:003
Ukanipo Heiau	Oahu	NA	8-1-001:019
Ulu Po Heiau	Oahu	NA	4-2-013:031
Uluhaimalama (a.k.a. The Royal Flower Garden of Queen Liliuokalani	Oahu	352 Auwaiolimu St., Pauoa Valley	2-2-014:005 through 006
UNIVERSITY OF HAWAII Thematic: Andrews Amphitheater	Oahu	NA	2-8-023:003
UNIVERSITY OF HAWAII Thematic: Crawford Hall	Oahu	NA	2-8-023:003
UNIVERSITY OF HAWAII Thematic: Dean Hall	Oahu	NA	2-8-023:003
UNIVERSITY OF HAWAII Thematic: Founders Gate	Oahu	NA	2-8-023:003
UNIVERSITY OF HAWAII Thematic: Gartley Hall	Oahu	NA	2-8-023:003
UNIVERSITY OF HAWAII Thematic: George Hall	Oahu	NA	2-8-023:003
UNIVERSITY OF HAWAII Thematic: Hawaii Hall	Oahu	NA	2-8-023:003
UNIVERSITY OF HAWAII Thematic: Varney Circle	Oahu	NA	2-8-023:003
UNIVERSITY OF HAWAII Thematic: Wist Hall	Oahu	NA	2-8-016:001
Virgil Biggs Residence	Oahu	3334-A Kaimuki Av.	3-2-016:005
W. W. Goodale Moir Residence	Oahu	3311 Kahawalu Dr., Nuuanu	2-2-049:025
Waialua Agricultural Company Engine Number 6	Oahu	NA	9-1-069:001
Waialua Courthouse	Oahu	NA	6-6-009:023
Waialua School (Hale'iwa Elementary School)	Oahu	NA	6-6-013:012 (portion)
Wai'anae District	Oahu	NA	8-5-002: 019,022,024,025
Wai'anae Plantation Manager's House	Oahu	NA	8-5-003:011

<b>Property Name</b>	<b>Island</b>	<b>Address/Property Area</b>	<b>Tax Map Key</b>
Waiawa Bridge	Oahu	Kamehameha Highway Westbound and Waiawa Stream	None
Waikane Store	Oahu	48-377 Kamehameha Hwy.	4-8-003:001 (portion)
Waikane Taro Flats	Oahu	Waikane	4-8-006:001; 4-8-014:004,006
Waikele Stream Bridge and Highway Overpass	Oahu	Farrington Highway and Waikele Stream	None
Waimanalo Taro Terraces	Oahu	NA	4-1-010:001
Waioli Tea Room	Oahu	3016 Oahu Av., Manoa	2-9-031:001
Wakamiya Inari Shrine	Oahu	94-695 Waipahu St.	9-4-010:004
War Memorial Natatorium	Oahu	NA	3-1-031:003
Washington Place	Oahu	NA	2-1-018:001
Washington Place/Grounds	Oahu	NA	2-1-018:001
Waterhouse Warehouse Bldg.	Oahu	16 Merchant St.	2-1-002:034
Watson and Louise Ballentyne Residence	Oahu	2838 Oahu Avenue	2-9-019:011
Wet Taro System	Oahu	NA	8-5-006:001
Wheeler Field Wheeler AFB	Oahu	NA	7-7, Various
Willard and Mary Jane Wax Residence	Oahu	2453 Manoa Rd.	2-9-010:021
William and Edna Montgomery Residence	Oahu	3014 Kiele Avenue, Diamond Head	3-1-033:034
William Getz Residence	Oahu	2756 Pacific Heights Road	2-2-030:011
William H. Hoogs Residence	Oahu	2329 Sonoma St., Manoa	2-9-008:024
William L. & Mary T. Hopper Residence	Oahu	2069 California Av.	7-5-027:010
William Ninde Chaffee House	Oahu	2311 Armstrong St., Manoa	2-9-005:002
William Schieber Residence	Oahu	2056 Mohala Way, Manoa	2-9-007:009
Yee/Kobayashi Store	Oahu	849 Queen St., Kakaako	2-1-049:008
YMCA	Oahu	NA	2-1-017:001, :002
Yokohama Specie Bank	Oahu	908 Bethel St.	2-1-002:033
Yukihide and Aki Kohatsu Residence (a.k.a. "Taira Residence")	Oahu	1651 Young St., Pawaa	2-8-001:029
YWCA	Oahu	NA	2-1-017:009
2927 Hibiscus Place Residence	Oahu	2927 Hibiscus Place	(1) 3-1-034:007
Charles J. and Louisa Henderson Residence	Oahu	3965 Noela Place	(1) 3-1-029:034
Franklin Dexter House	Oahu	1916 Manoa Road	(1) 3-2-022:023
George R. Ward House	Oahu	2438 Ferdinand Avenue	(1) 2-9-008:030
Granville Abbott Jr. Residence	Oahu	4774 Aukai Avenue	(1) 3-5-034:014

<b>Property Name</b>	<b>Island</b>	<b>Address/Property Area</b>	<b>Tax Map Key</b>
Henry and Eva Frandsen Residence	Oahu	1921 Makiki Street	(1) 2-5-001:013
James T and Helene Farr Residence	Oahu	2356 Makanani Drive	(1) 1-6-018:048
JB Guard House	Oahu	305 A Portlock Ave	(1) 3-9-003:004
John Walker Beach House	Oahu	876 Mokulua Drive	(1) 4-3-008:093
Kalama Beach Clubhouse	Oahu	280 N Kalaheo Avenue	(1) 4-3-016:006
Malama Manor	Oahu	2501 Malama Place	(1) 2-9-012:008

NA = not applicable; not assessed

Page Intentionally Left Blank