

Table ES-3 Summary of Environmental Impacts (continued)

Resource Area	JEH RFDS		Greenbelt		Landover		Springfield	
Cultural Resources								
Archaeological	N	Under the No-action Alternative, there would be no measurable impacts.	N	Under the No-action Alternative, there would be no measurable impacts.	N	Under the No-action Alternative, there would be no measurable impacts.	N	Under the No-action Alternative, there would be no measurable impacts.
	N	Under RFDS 1, there would be no measurable impacts.	N	Under the Greenbelt Alternative, there would be no measurable impacts.	N	Under the Landover Alternative, there would be no measurable impacts.	N	Under the Springfield Alternative, there would be no measurable impacts.
	N	Under RFDS 2, there would be no measurable impacts.						
Historic Resources	N	Under the No-action Alternative, there would be no measurable impacts.	N	Under the No-action Alternative, there would be no measurable impacts.	N	Under the No-action Alternative, there would be no measurable impacts.	N	Under the No-action Alternative, there would be no measurable impacts.
	N	Under RFDS 1, there would be no measurable impacts.	N	Under the Greenbelt Alternative, there would be no measurable impacts.	N	Under the Landover Alternative, there would be no measurable impacts.	N	Under the Springfield Alternative, there would be no measurable impacts.
	N	Under RFDS 2, there would be no measurable impacts.						
Socioeconomics								
Population and Housing	N	Under the No-action Alternative, there would be no measurable impacts.	N	Under the No-action Alternative, there would be indirect, long-term impacts to population. Insufficient information available to determine the impacts to housing.	N	Under the No-action Alternative, there would be no measurable impacts.	N	Under the No-action Alternative, there would be no measurable impacts.
	N	Under RFDS 1, there would be no measurable impacts.	N	Under the Greenbelt Alternative, there would be no measurable impacts to population in Prince George's County or the Washington, D.C., MSA. There is insufficient information to assess impacts to housing in Prince George's County.	N	Under the Landover Alternative, there would be no measurable impacts to population in Prince George's County or the Washington, D.C., MSA. There is insufficient information to assess impacts to housing in Prince George's County.	N	Under the Springfield Alternative, there would be no measurable impacts to population or housing in the Washington, D.C. MSA. There is insufficient information to assess impacts to population or housing in Fairfax County.
	N	Under RFDS 2, there would be indirect and long-term impacts to population; there is insufficient information to determine impacts to housing.						

N	No Measurable Impact or Insufficient Information	ADV	Adverse Impact	MAJ ADV	Major Adverse (Significant) Impact	BEN	Beneficial Impact
---	--	-----	----------------	------------	------------------------------------	-----	-------------------

Table ES-3 Summary of Environmental Impacts (continued)

Resource Area	JEH RFDS		Greenbelt		Landover		Springfield	
Employment and Income	N	Under the No-action Alternative, there would be no measurable impacts.	BEN	Under the No-action Alternative, there would be indirect, short- and long-term, beneficial impacts.	N	Under the No-action Alternative, there would be no measurable impacts.	N	Under the No-action Alternative, there would be no measurable impacts.
	BEN	Under RFDS 1, there would be indirect, short-term, beneficial impacts.	BEN	Under the Greenbelt Alternative, there would be indirect, short- and long-term, beneficial impacts.	BEN	Under the Landover Alternative, there would be indirect, short- and long-term, beneficial impacts.	BEN	Under the Springfield Alternative, there would be indirect, short- and long-term, beneficial impacts.
	ADV	Under RFDS 2, there would be indirect, short-term, adverse impacts.						
Taxes	N	Under the No-action Alternative, there would be no measurable impacts.	BEN	Under the No-action Alternative, there would be indirect, long-term, beneficial impacts.	N	Under the No-action Alternative, there would be measurable impacts.	N	Under the No-action Alternative, there would be no measurable impacts.
	BEN	Under RFDS 1, there would be indirect, short- and long-term, beneficial impacts.	N	Under the Greenbelt Alternative, there would be no measurable impacts to property tax revenues.	BEN	Under the Landover Alternative, there would be indirect, short- and long-term, beneficial impacts to sales and income tax revenues.	BEN	Under the Springfield Alternative, there would be indirect, short- and long-term, beneficial impacts to sales and income tax revenues.
	BEN	Under RFDS 2, there would be indirect, short- and long-term, beneficial impacts	BEN	Under the Greenbelt Alternative, there would be indirect, long-term, beneficial impacts to sales and income tax revenues.	ADV	Under the Landover Alternative, there would be indirect, long-term, adverse impacts to property tax revenues.	N	Under the Springfield Alternative, there would be no measurable impacts to property tax revenues.
Schools and Community Services	N	Under the No-action Alternative, there would be no measurable impacts.	N	Under the No-action Alternative, there is insufficient information available to determine impacts to community services. No measurable short-term impacts to schools. Insufficient information available to determine long-term impacts to schools.	N	Under the No-action Alternative, there would be no measurable impacts.	N	Under the No-action Alternative, there would be no measurable impacts.
	N	Under RFDS 1, there is insufficient information available to determine impacts to community services. No measurable impacts to schools.	N	Under the Greenbelt Alternative, there are no measurable impacts to schools in the Washington D.C. MSA. Insufficient information to determine impacts to schools in Prince George's County. No measurable short-term impacts to community services. Insufficient information available to determine long-term impacts to community services.	N	Under the Landover Alternative, there is insufficient information available to determine impacts to community services. No measurable short-term impacts to schools. Insufficient information available to determine long-term impacts to schools.	N	Under the Springfield Alternative, there is insufficient information available to determine impacts to community services. No measurable short-term impacts to schools. Insufficient information available to determine long-term impacts to schools.
	N	Under RFDS 2, there is insufficient information available to determine impacts to community services. No measurable short-term impacts to schools. Insufficient information available to determine long-term impacts to schools.						

N	No Measurable Impact or Insufficient Information	ADV	Adverse Impact	MAJ ADV	Major Adverse (Significant) Impact	BEN	Beneficial Impact
---	--	-----	----------------	------------	------------------------------------	-----	-------------------

Table ES-3 Summary of Environmental Impacts (continued)

Resource Area	JEH RFDS		Greenbelt		Landover		Springfield	
Recreation and Other Community Facilities	N	Under the No-action Alternative, there would be no measurable impacts.	N	Under the No-action Alternative, insufficient information available to determine the impacts.	N	Under the No-action Alternative, there would be no measurable impacts.	N	Under the No-action Alternative, there would be no measurable impacts.
	N	Under RFDS 1, there is insufficient information available to determine impacts to recreation and other community facilities	N	Under the Greenbelt Alternative, there is insufficient information available to determine impacts.	N	Under the Landover Alternative, there is insufficient information available to determine impacts.	N	Under the Springfield Alternative, there is insufficient information available to determine impacts.
	N	Under RFDS 2, there is insufficient information available to determine impacts to recreation and other community facilities						
Environmental Justice	N	Under the No-action Alternative, there would be no measurable impacts.	N	Under the No-action Alternative, there would be no measurable impacts.	N	Under the No-action Alternative, there would be no measurable impacts.	N	Under the No-action Alternative, there would be no measurable impacts.
	N	Under RFDS 1, there would be no long-term adverse impacts to minority or low-income communities.	N	Under the Greenbelt Alternative, there would be no short- or long-term adverse impacts to minority or low-income communities.	N	Under the Landover Alternative, there would be no short- or long-term adverse impacts to minority or low-income communities.	N	Under the Springfield Alternative, there would be no short- or long-term adverse impacts to minority or low-income communities.
	N	Under RFDS 2, there would be no long-term adverse impacts to minority or low-income communities.						
Protection of Children	N	Under the No-action Alternative, there would be no measurable impacts.	N	Under the No-action Alternative, there would be no measurable impacts.	N	Under the No-action Alternative, there would be no measurable impacts.	N	Under the No-action Alternative, there would be no measurable impacts.
	N	Under RFDS 1, no mitigation of disproportionate and adverse impacts to children is required under EO 13045.	N	Under the Greenbelt Alternative, no mitigation of disproportionate and adverse impacts to children is required under EO 13045.	N	Under the Landover Alternative, no mitigation of disproportionate and adverse impacts to children is required under EO 13045.	N	Under the Springfield Alternative, no mitigation of disproportionate and adverse impacts to children is required under EO 13045.
	N	Under RFDS 2, no mitigation of disproportionate and adverse impacts to children is required under EO 13045.						

N	No Measurable Impact or Insufficient Information	ADV	Adverse Impact	MAJ ADV	Major Adverse (Significant) Impact	BEN	Beneficial Impact
---	--	-----	----------------	------------	------------------------------------	-----	-------------------

Table ES-3 Summary of Environmental Impacts (continued)

Resource Area	JEH RFDS		Greenbelt		Landover		Springfield	
Public Health and Safety/Hazardous Materials								
Public Health and Safety	ADV	Under the No-action Alternative, there would be indirect, long-term, adverse impacts.	ADV	Under the No-action Alternative, there would be indirect, short-term, adverse impacts.	N	Under the No-action Alternative, there would be no measurable impacts.	N	Under the No-action Alternative, there would be no measurable impacts.
	BEN	Under RFDS 1, there would be indirect, long-term, beneficial impacts.	ADV	Under the Greenbelt Alternative, there would be direct, short-term, adverse impacts.	ADV	Under the Landover Alternative, there would be direct, short-term, adverse impacts.	ADV	Under the Springfield Alternative, there would be direct, short-term, adverse impacts.
	BEN	Under RFDS 2, there would be indirect, long-term, beneficial impacts.						
	ADV	Under RFDS 2, there would be indirect, short-term, adverse impacts.	BEN	Under the Greenbelt Alternative, there would be direct, long-term, beneficial impacts.	BEN	Under the Landover Alternative, there would be direct, long-term, beneficial impacts.		
Hazardous Materials	N	Under the No-action Alternative, there would be no measurable impacts.	N	Under the No-action Alternative, there would be no measurable impacts.	N	Under the No-action Alternative, there would be no measurable impacts.	N	Under the No-action Alternative, there would be no measurable impacts.
	ADV	Under RFDS 1, there would be indirect, short-term, adverse impacts.	N	Under the Greenbelt Alternative, there would be no measurable impacts.	N	Under the Landover Alternative, there would be no measurable impacts.	BEN	Under the Springfield Alternative, there would be direct, long-term, beneficial impacts.
	BEN	Under RFDS 1, there would be indirect, long-term, beneficial impacts.						
	ADV	Under RFDS 2, there would be indirect, short-term, adverse impacts.						
BEN	Under RFDS 2, there would be indirect, long-term, beneficial impacts.							

N	No Measurable Impact or Insufficient Information	ADV	Adverse Impact	MAJ ADV	Major Adverse (Significant) Impact	BEN	Beneficial Impact
---	--	-----	----------------	------------	------------------------------------	-----	-------------------

Table ES-3 Summary of Environmental Impacts (continued)

Resource Area	JEH RFDS		Greenbelt		Landover		Springfield	
Transportation								
Pedestrian Network	N	Under the No-action Alternative, there would be no measurable impacts.	BEN	Under the No-action Alternative, there would be indirect, long-term, beneficial impacts.	N	Under the No-action Alternative, there would be no measurable impacts.	BEN	Under the No-action Alternative, there would be direct, long-term, beneficial impacts.
	N	Under RFDS 1, there would be no measurable impacts.	BEN	Under the Greenbelt Alternative, there would be direct, long-term, beneficial impacts.	BEN	Under the Landover Alternative, there would be direct, long-term, beneficial impacts.	BEN	Under the Springfield Alternative, there would be direct, long-term, beneficial impacts.
	BEN	Under RFDS 2, there would be indirect, long-term, beneficial impacts.						
Bicycle Network	N	Under the No-action Alternative, there would be no measurable impacts.	BEN	Under the No-action Alternative, there would be indirect, long-term, beneficial impacts.	N	Under the No-action Alternative, there would be no measurable impacts.	BEN	Under the No-action Alternative, there would be direct, long-term, beneficial impacts.
	N	Under RFDS 1, there would be no measurable impacts.	N	Under the Greenbelt Alternative, there would be no measurable impacts.	ADV	Under the Landover Alternative, there would be direct, long-term, adverse impacts.	N	Under the Springfield Alternative, there would be no measurable impacts.
	N	Under RFDS 2, there would be no measurable impacts.						

N	No Measurable Impact or Insufficient Information	ADV	Adverse Impact	MAJ ADV	Major Adverse (Significant) Impact	BEN	Beneficial Impact
---	--	-----	----------------	------------	------------------------------------	-----	-------------------

Table ES-3 Summary of Environmental Impacts (continued)

Resource Area	JEH RFDS		Greenbelt		Landover		Springfield	
Public Transit	MAJ ADV	Under the No-action Alternative, there would be indirect, long-term, major adverse impacts.	N	Under the No-action Alternative, there would be no measurable impacts to public transit capacity.	ADV	Under the No-action Alternative, there would be direct, long-term, adverse impacts to public transit capacity.	N	Under the No-action Alternative, there would be no measurable impacts.
			MAJ ADV	Under the No-action Alternative, there would be indirect, long-term, major adverse impacts to bus operations.	MAJ ADV	Under the No-action Alternative, there would be direct, long-term, major adverse impacts to bus operations.		
	N	Under RFDS 1, there would be no measurable impacts; the long-term major adverse impacts under the No-action would continue.	N	Under the Greenbelt Alternative, there would be no measurable impacts to public transit capacity.	ADV	Under the Landover Alternative, there would be direct, long-term, adverse impacts to public transit capacity and direct, short-term adverse impacts to bus operations.	N	Under the Springfield Alternative, there would be no measurable impacts to public transit capacity.
	ADV	Under RFDS 2, there would be indirect, short-term, adverse impacts; the long-term major adverse impacts under the No-action would continue.	MAJ ADV	Under the Greenbelt Alternative, there would be direct, long-term, major adverse impacts to bus operations.	MAJ ADV	Under the Landover Alternative, there would be direct, long-term, major adverse impacts to bus operations.	ADV	Under the Springfield Alternative, there would be direct, short- and long-term, adverse impacts to bus operations.
BEN					Under the Landover Alternative, there would be direct, long-term, beneficial impacts for FBI employees due to shuttles.			
Parking	BEN	Under the No-action Alternative, there would be indirect, long-term, beneficial impacts.	BEN	Under the No-action Alternative, there would be indirect, long-term, beneficial impacts.	N	Under the No-action Alternative, there would be no measurable impacts.	BEN	Under the No-action Alternative, there would be direct, long-term, beneficial impacts.
	ADV	Under RFDS 1, there would be indirect, short-term, adverse impacts.	N	Under the Greenbelt Alternative, there would be no measurable impacts.	N	Under the Landover Alternative, there would be no measurable impacts.	N	Under the Springfield Alternative, there would be no measurable impacts.
	BEN	Under RFDS 2, there would be indirect, long-term, beneficial impacts.						
	ADV	Under RFDS 2, there would be indirect, short-term, adverse impacts.						

N	No Measurable Impact or Insufficient Information	ADV	Adverse Impact	MAJ ADV	Major Adverse (Significant) Impact	BEN	Beneficial Impact
---	--	-----	----------------	------------	------------------------------------	-----	-------------------

Table ES-3 Summary of Environmental Impacts (continued)

Resource Area	JEH RFDS		Greenbelt		Landover		Springfield	
Truck Access	N	Under the No-action Alternative, there would be no measurable impacts.	N	Under the No-action Alternative, there would be no measurable impacts.	N	Under the No-action Alternative, there would be no measurable impacts.	BEN	Under the No-action Alternative, there would be direct, long-term, beneficial impacts.
	N	Under RFDS 1, there would be no measurable impacts.	N	Under the Greenbelt Alternative, there would be no measurable impacts.	N	Under the Landover Alternative, there would be no measurable impacts.	N	Under the Springfield Alternative, there would be no measurable impacts.
	ADV	Under RFDS 2, there would be indirect, short-term, adverse impacts.						
	N	Under RFDS 2, there is insufficient information to evaluate long-term impacts.						
Traffic Analysis	ADV	Under the No-action Alternative, there would be indirect, long-term, adverse impacts.	MAJ ADV	Under the No-action Alternative, there would be indirect, long-term, major adverse impacts.	MAJ ADV	Under the No-action Alternative, there would be direct, long-term, major adverse impacts to corridors.	ADV	Under the No-action Alternative, there would be direct, long-term, adverse impacts to intersections..
			ADV	Under the No-action Alternative, there would be indirect, long-term, adverse impacts to intersections.	ADV	Under the No-action Alternative, there would be direct, long-term, adverse impacts to intersections.		
	ADV	Under RFDS 1, there would be indirect, short- and long-term, adverse impacts.	MAJ ADV	Under the Greenbelt Alternative, there would be direct, long-term, major adverse impacts.	MAJ ADV	Under the Landover Alternative, there would be direct, short-term, major adverse impacts, and direct, long-term, major adverse impacts to corridors.	MAJ ADV	Under the Springfield Alternative, there would be direct, long-term, major adverse impacts to corridors.
	ADV	Under RFDS 2, there would be indirect, short- and long-term, adverse impacts.	ADV	Under the Greenbelt Alternative, there would be direct, long-term, adverse impacts to traffic at intersections; direct, short-term, adverse impacts during construction.	ADV	Under the Landover Alternative, there would be direct, long-term, adverse impacts to intersections.	ADV	Under the Springfield Alternative, there would be direct, short-term, adverse impacts, and direct, long-term, adverse impacts to intersections.

N	No Measurable Impact or Insufficient Information	ADV	Adverse Impact	MAJ ADV	Major Adverse (Significant) Impact	BEN	Beneficial Impact
---	--	-----	----------------	---------	------------------------------------	-----	-------------------

Table ES-3 Summary of Environmental Impacts (continued)

Resource Area	JEH RFDS		Greenbelt		Landover		Springfield	
Greenhouse Gas Emissions and Air Quality								
Global Climate Change/ Greenhouse Gases	N	Under the No-action Alternative, there would be no measurable impacts.	ADV	Under the No-action Alternative, there would be indirect, long-term, adverse impacts.	N	Under the No-action Alternative, there would be no measurable impacts.	N	Under the No-action Alternative, there would be no measurable impacts.
	N	Under RFDS 1, there is insufficient information.	ADV	Under the Greenbelt Alternative, there would be direct, long-term, adverse impacts.	ADV	Under the Landover Alternative, there would be direct, long-term, adverse impacts.	ADV	Under the Springfield Alternative, there would be direct, long-term, adverse impacts.
	N	Under RFDS 2, there is insufficient information.						
Air Quality	N	Under the No-action Alternative, there would be no measurable impacts.	ADV	Under the No-action Alternative there would be indirect, short- and long-term, adverse impacts.	N	Under the No-action Alternative, there would be no measurable impacts.	N	Under the No-action Alternative, there would be no measurable impacts.
	ADV	Under RFDS 1, there would be indirect, short- and long-term adverse impacts.	ADV	Under the Greenbelt Alternative, there would be direct, short- and long-term, adverse impacts.	MAJ ADV	Under the Landover Alternative, there would be direct, short-term, major adverse impacts.	ADV	Under the Springfield Alternative, there would be direct, short- and long-term, adverse impacts.
	ADV	Under RFDS 2, there would be indirect, short- and long-term adverse impacts.			ADV	Under the Landover Alternative, there would be direct, long-term, adverse impacts.		
Noise								
Noise	N	Under the No-action Alternative, there would be no measurable impacts.	N	Under the No-action Alternative, there would be no measurable impacts.	N	Under the No-action Alternative, there would be no measurable impacts.	N	Under the No-action Alternative, there would be no measurable impacts.
	N	Under the RFDS 1, there would be no measurable impacts.	N	Under the Greenbelt Alternative, there would be no measurable impacts.	ADV	Under the Landover Alternative, there would be direct, short-term, adverse impacts.	ADV	Under the Springfield Alternative, there would be direct, short-term, adverse impacts.
	ADV	Under RFDS 2, there would be indirect, short- and long-term, adverse impacts.						

N	No Measurable Impact or Insufficient Information	ADV	Adverse Impact	MAJ ADV	Major Adverse (Significant) Impact	BEN	Beneficial Impact
---	--	-----	----------------	------------	------------------------------------	-----	-------------------

Table ES-3 Summary of Environmental Impacts (continued)

Resource Area	JEH RFDS		Greenbelt		Landover		Springfield	
Infrastructure and Utilities								
Water Supply	N	Under the No-action Alternative, there would be no measurable impacts.	ADV	Under the No-action Alternative, there would be indirect, short-term, adverse impacts.	N	Under the No-action Alternative, there would be no measurable impacts.	N	Under the No-action Alternative, there would be no measurable impacts.
			BEN	Under the No-action Alternative, there would be indirect, long-term, beneficial impacts.				
	N	Under RFDS 1, there would be no measurable impacts.	N	Under the Greenbelt Alternative, there would be no measurable impacts.	N	Under the Landover Alternative, there would be no measurable impacts.	N	Under the Springfield Alternative, there would be no measurable impacts.
N	Under RFDS 2, there would be no measurable impacts.							
Wastewater Collection and Treatment	N	Under the No-action Alternative, there would be no measurable impacts.	ADV	Under the No-action Alternative, there would be indirect, short-term, adverse impacts.	N	Under the No-action Alternative, there would be no measurable impacts.	N	Under the No-action Alternative, there would be no measurable impacts.
	N	Under RFDS 1, there would be no measurable impacts.	N	Under the Greenbelt Alternative, there would be no measurable impacts.	ADV	Under the Landover Alternative, there would be direct, short-term, adverse impacts.	N	Under the Springfield Alternative, there would be no measurable impacts.
	N	Under RFDS 2, there would be no measurable impacts.						
Electric Power	N	Under the No-action Alternative, there would be no measurable impacts.	ADV	Under the No-action Alternative, there would be indirect, short-term, adverse impacts.	N	Under the No-action Alternative, there would be no measurable impacts.	N	Under the No-action Alternative, there would be no measurable impacts.
	N	Under RFDS 1, there would be no measurable impacts.	ADV	Under the Greenbelt Alternative, there would be indirect, short-term, adverse impacts.	ADV	Under the Landover Alternative, there would be direct, short-term, adverse impacts.	ADV	Under the Springfield Alternative, there would be direct, short-term, adverse impacts.
	N	Under RFDS 2, there would be no measurable impacts.						
Natural Gas	N	Under the No-action Alternative, there would be no measurable impacts.	ADV	Under the No-action Alternative, there would be indirect, short-term, adverse impacts.	N	Under the No-action Alternative, there would be no measurable impacts.	N	Under the No-action Alternative, there would be no measurable impacts.
	N	Under RFDS 1, there would be no measurable impacts.	MAJ ADV	Under the Greenbelt Alternative, there would be direct, short-term, major adverse impacts.	N	Under the Landover Alternative, there would be no measurable impacts.	N	Under the Springfield Alternative, there would be no measurable impacts.
	N	Under RFDS 2, there would be no measurable impacts.						

N	No Measurable Impact or Insufficient Information	ADV	Adverse Impact	MAJ ADV	Major Adverse (Significant) Impact	BEN	Beneficial Impact
---	--	-----	----------------	------------	------------------------------------	-----	-------------------

Table ES-3 Summary of Environmental Impacts (continued)

Resource Area	JEH RFDS		Greenbelt		Landover		Springfield	
Telecommunications	N	Under the No-action Alternative, there would be no measurable impacts.	ADV	Under the No-action Alternative, there would be indirect, short-term, adverse impacts.	N	Under the No-action Alternative, there would be no measurable impacts.	N	Under the No-action Alternative, there would be no measurable impacts.
	N	Under RFDS 1, there would be no measurable impacts.	ADV	Under the Greenbelt Alternative, there would be direct, short-term, adverse impacts.	ADV	Under the Landover Alternative, there would be direct, short-term, adverse impacts.	N	Under the Springfield Alternative, there would be no measurable impacts.
	N	Under RFDS 2, there would be no measurable impacts.						
Stormwater Management	N	Under the No-action Alternative, there would be no measurable impacts.	BEN	Under the No-action Alternative, there would be indirect, long-term, beneficial impacts.	N	Under the No-action Alternative, there would be no measurable impacts.	N	Under the No-action Alternative, there would be no measurable impacts.
	N	Under RFDS 1, there would be no measurable impacts.	BEN	Under the Greenbelt Alternative, there would be direct, long-term, beneficial impacts.	BEN	Under the Landover Alternative, there would be direct, long-term, beneficial impacts.	BEN	Under the Springfield Alternative, there would be direct, long-term, beneficial impacts.
	BEN	Under RFDS 2, there would be indirect, long-term, beneficial impacts.						

N	No Measurable Impact or Insufficient Information	ADV	Adverse Impact	MAJ ADV	Major Adverse (Significant) Impact	BEN	Beneficial Impact
---	--	-----	----------------	---------	------------------------------------	-----	-------------------